

CIAT Research Online - Accepted Manuscript

Contributions from carbon and nitrogen in roots to closing the yield gap between conventional and organic cropping systems

The International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT) believes that open access contributes to its mission of reducing hunger and poverty, and improving human nutrition in the tropics through research aimed at increasing the eco-efficiency of agriculture.

CIAT is committed to creating and sharing knowledge and information openly and globally. We do this through collaborative research as well as through the open sharing of our data, tools, and publications.

Citation:

Córdoba, E. M., Chirinda, Ngonidzashe, Li, F., & Olesen, J. E. (2018). Contributions from carbon and nitrogen in roots to closing the yield gap between conventional and organic cropping systems. Soil Use and Management, 1–8 p.

Publisher's DOI: http://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12427

Access through CIAT Research Online: <u>http://hdl.handle.net/10568/95869</u>

Terms:

© **2018**. CIAT has provided you with this accepted manuscript in line with CIAT's open access policy and in accordance with the Publisher's policy on self-archiving.



This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License</u>. You may re-use or share this manuscript as long as you acknowledge the authors by citing the version of the record listed above. You may not use this manuscript for commercial purposes.

For more information, please contact CIAT Library at CIAT-Library@cgiar.org.

- Contributions from carbon and nitrogen in roots to closing the yield gap between conventional and
 organic cropping systems
- 3

⁴ ¹Eva M. Córdoba*, ²Ngonidzashe Chirinda, ³Fucui Li & ⁴Jørgen E. Olesen

¹ Instituto de Investigación y Formación Agraria y Pesquera (IFAPA) Centro Alameda del Obispo, Córdoba, España,
²Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT), A. A. 6713, Cali, Colombia, ³College of Natural Resources and
Environment, Northwest A&F University, Yangling 712100, Shaanxi, China, ⁴Department of Agroecology, Aarhus
University, Blichers Allé 20, DK-8830 Tjele, Denmark

9 Abstract

10 This study investigates the effect of different crop rotation systems on carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) in root biomass as 11 well as on soil organic carbon (SOC). Soils under spring barley and spring barley/pea mixture were sampled both in 12 organic and conventional crop rotations. The amounts of root biomass and SOC in fine (250-53 µm), medium (425-250 13 μm) and coarse (> 425 μm) soil particulate organic matter (POM) were determined. Grain dry matter (DM) and the amount of N in harvested grain were also quantified. Organic systems with varying use of manure and catch crops had 14 15 lower spring barley grain DM yield compared to those in conventional systems, whereas barley/pea showed no 16 differences. The largest benefits were observed for grain N yields and grain DM yields for spring barley, where grain N 17 yield was positively correlated with root N. The inclusion of catch crops in organic rotations resulted in higher root N 18 and SOC (g C/m^2) in fine POM in soils under barley/pea. Our results suggest that manure application and inclusion of 19 catch crops improve crop N supply and reduce the yield gap between conventional and organic rotations. The observed 20 positive correlation between root N and grain N imply that management practices aimed at increasing grain N could also 21 increase root N and thus enhance N supply for subsequent crops.

22 Keywords Particulate organic matter, root carbon, root nitrogen, catch crops, manure, low input system

23 Introduction

The expansion of resource-intensive (conventional) agriculture has increased productivity of major crops and enhanced global food security (Leifeld, 2012). Although intensive farming systems achieve high crop productivity, meeting environmental goals remains a challenge for conventional crop production systems. Organic cropping systems, which aim to achieve food production without depleting natural resources may be a viable solution (IFAD, 2011). However, the productivity of organic cropping systems is reduced by nutrient limitations, and higher productivity can be obtained by implementing management approaches that enhance soil nutrient availability and increase nutrient use efficiency (Berry *et al.*, 2002).

In organic cropping systems, nitrogen (N) may be obtained from animal manure or through strategic inclusion of non-leguminous or leguminous catch crops (Thorup-Kristensen *et al.*, 2003). Non-leguminous catch crops may retain and recycle soil N as they recover surplus N from fertilizer applied to the previous crop or from mineralised soil organic N, preventing it from being lost through several N loss pathways. Leguminous catch crops fix atmospheric N and represent an important renewable source of N that enhances soil fertility for the benefit of subsequent crops, reducing dependence on external N sources (Jensen *et al.*, 2012). Soil-incorporated leguminous and non-leguminous crop residues decompose and contribute nutrients for subsequent crops (Li *et al.*, 2015a).

38 Carbon inputs directly influence soil organic matter (SOM), soil microbial processes and crop productivity 39 (Janzen, 2006). Katterer et al. (2011) estimated that C derived from crop roots contributes more to soil C and its stability 40 than that from aboveground residues. Previous studies suggest that different crop management measures (i.e., inorganic 41 fertilizer, organic manure, pesticides, tillage intensity) can affect root biomass (Van Noordwijk et al., 1994; Swinnen et 42 al., 1995). Yet, there is growing evidence showing inconsistent management effects on root C inputs (Chirinda et al., 43 2012; Lazicki et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2018). The addition of above- and belowground crop residues to soils increase their 44 particulate organic matter (POM) content (Fronning et al., 2008). Previously, POM (50-2000 µm), which refers mostly to 45 organic matter that has not yet been subject to microbial degradation, has been used as an index of labile SOM, which is 46 also more sensitive to management than total SOM (Carter, 2002). Soil POM content may be considered a predictor of N 47 mineralization potential and thus correlate with the amounts of soil-derived N taken up by crops (Willson et al., 2001).

48 The objective of this study was to determine cropping system effects on C and N in roots and shoots as well as the 49 amount of N returned in above- and belowground residues from cereals and grain legume/cereal intercrops in systems differing in approaches to soil fertility management. Additionally, the study explored whether system differences in soil
fertility were related to C in soil POM.

52 Materials and methods

53 Field site and soil description

Field measurements were made in a long-term organic and conventional cropping experiment (established in 1997) at
Research Centre Foulum in western Denmark (Olesen *et al.*, 2000). The mean annual temperature at the study site is 7.3
°C and average rainfall is 704 mm. The soil type at the site is a sandy loam classified as a Mollic Luvisol according to
The World Reference Base for Soils (WRB) with the following chemical and physical characteristics at 0-0.25 m depth
at the commencement of the crop rotation experiment: pH 6.5 (CaCl₂), 0.05 g P/kg soil, 0.13 g K/kg soil, 78 % sand, 13
% silt, 9 % clay, 23 g SOC/kg soil, 1.8 g total N/kg soil and soil bulk density was 1.35 g/cm³ (Djurhuus & Olesen, 2000).

60 Experimental layout

61 The experiment had a factorial design with three factors and two replicate blocks. The experimental factors were 1) 62 different cropping systems, i.e. organic with whole-year green manure (O2) or organic (O4) or conventional (C4) without 63 whole-year green manure, 2) with (+CC) and without (-CC) a catch crop, and 3) with animal manure (+M) or inorganic 64 fertilizer (+IF) and a treatment where fertilizers were excluded (-M). Four-year crop rotations were used between 1997 to 2009. All systems were managed as organic until 2004. From 2005 the treatment combination of no manure and no catch 65 crop (-CC/-M) in O2 or O4 was converted to a conventional system (C4) that include application of inorganic fertilizers 66 (+IF) and use of agrochemicals. From 2005, the crops in O4 and C4 were the same, although the species used for catch 67 68 crops differed, with legume-based mixtures in O4 and non-legumes in C4. During 2005-2009, the C4 and O4 rotations 69 included spring barley (Hordeum vulgare L.), faba bean (Vicia faba L.), potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) and winter wheat 70 (Triticum aestivum L.) while the O2 cropping sequence was spring barley with undersown ley, grass-clover, potato and 71 winter wheat. In 2009, the faba bean crop in C4 and O4 was replaced with a mixture of spring barley and pea (Pisum 72 sativum L.) to avoid soil borne diseases. From 2010, O4 and C4 rotations were changed to spring barley, hemp 73 (Cannabis sativa L.), spring barley/pea, spring wheat and potato, and rotation O2 had lucerne (Medicago sativa L.) 74 instead of hemp and spring barley/pea. For the current study, three crop sequences were used (Table 1) with either spring 75 barley or spring barley/pea mixture in 2012. The following treatments were included in the organic rotations (O2 and 76 O4): -CC/+M, +CC/-M and +CC/+M. The conventional rotation (C4) included treatments with and without catch crops: -

CC/IF and +CC/IF. The size of individual plots was 216 m² and each plot was sub-divided (4 sub-plots of 54 m²) (Olesen *et al.*, 2000; Shah *et al.*, 2017).

79 *Crop management*

Spring barley was sown at row distances of 12.5 cm, except for barley/pea in organic systems (24 cm) (Table 2). Sowing
was at a target density of 250 plants/m² in O2, 400 plants/m² in O4 and 350 plants/m² in C4 for spring barley and 150/35
plants/m² in O4 and 200/45 plants/m² in C4 for barley and pea, respectively, in barley/pea plots. Harvesting was done on
20 August 2012.

Catch crops in organic rotations were a mixture of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.), chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.), red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) and white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) (10 kg/ha) undersown after weed
harrowing. The conventional system (C4/+IF/+CC), had a mixture of winter rye (*Secale cereale* L.) and winter rape
(*Brassica napus* L.) (52 kg/ha) as catch crops sown after harvest. All catch crops were sown at a row distance of 12.5 cm
and were incorporated by ploughing in spring prior to sowing of spring crops (Table 2). Lucerne was undersown (30
kg/ha) in the spring barley after the end of weed harrowing in spring in the O2 rotation and left in the field to complete its
growth cycle as a main crop in the following year.

91 The spring barley plots receiving manure were supplied with anaerobically digested slurry (mixture of cattle and
92 pig) in O2 and pig slurry in O4 (Table 2). About 60 kg total N/ha of manure was applied in organically grown spring
93 barley. The barley/pea crop grown in O4 and C4 (*a* and *b*) did not receive any amendments. Spring barley in C4 plots
94 received inorganic fertilizer (122 kg N/ha). Soil incorporation of crop residues was done after harvest.

95 Plant measurements

In July and August of 2012, shoot and root samples were collected at maturity from plots with spring barley and from
plots with barley/pea mixture (Table 1). Shoots were sampled from two 0.5 m² areas and pooled to obtain a
representative plot value. We took approximately one kilogram from these samples and separated this sample into barley,
pea, catch crop and weeds. The dry matter (DM) content of all plant samples was determined after oven drying at 80 °C
for at least 24 h.

Root biomass was measured through soil samples collected from within and between crop rows in each
 treatment plot. The samples were collected after crop harvest in the same area as shoot samples, at 0-0.30 m depth using
 a hand auger. Composite samples consisting of 3 cores of 5 cm diameter were collected from within and midway

between crop rows and pooled according to sampling positions (Bolinder *et al.*, 1997). Soon after sampling, soils were

- 105 frozen at -18 °C to avoid root decomposition. The roots were processed as described by Chirinda *et al.* (2012). Nitrogen
- 106 content in finely milled shoot and root samples was assessed using the Dumas method (Hansen, 1989). The root C
- 107 content was estimated by assuming C concentrations are 45 % of DM biomass. To extrapolate shoot and root biomass
- 108 and estimated C concentrations from point to field scale, we used a similar approach to Bolinder *et al.* (1997).
- 109 Crops from each treatment were harvested from two sub-plots (\sim 27 m²) using a combine harvester for grain DM
- 110 determination. In the barley/pea mixture a sub-sample was used to determine the yield of the respective components.
- 111 Total N in the pea grain was determined on finely milled samples by the Dumas method, whereas N in barley grains was
- determined using a near infrared spectroscopy analyzer (Infratec TM 1242 Grain Analyzer, Foss A/S).

113 POM fractionation and laboratory analysis

- 114 The soil sampling strategy was similar to that used for estimating root biomass: samples were collected from between
- and within rows. Samples stored at -18°C were thawed and fractionated based on size and POM was fractioned as SOM
- 116 $> 53 \mu m$ (Marriott & Wander, 2006). The procedure described by Willson *et al.* (2001) was used to process the soil
- samples. We defined the different fractions of POM-C as coarse (>425 µm), medium (425-250 µm) and fine (250-53
- 118 µm). The amount of C to 30 cm depth was calculated by multiplying by the bulk density. All three fractions were
- analyzed for total C by combustion-based elemental analysis (ELTRAs CS-580A "Helios").

120 Statistical analyses

- 121 Data were first checked for normality, and since all data could be considered normally distributed, no data
- 122 transformations were applied. The experimental setup applied a division of treatments into sub-blocks, where the three-
- 123 way interaction between treatments was confounded by the sub-blocks. However, since our selection of treatments did
- 124 not include three-way interactions, these sub-blocks were not included in the statistical models. All data on barley grain
- 125 DM, grain N, shoot N, root C and N amounts and the C content in different fractions of POM were analysed using a
- 126 mixed effect model with treatments as fixed effects and blocks as random effects. Analyses were performed using PROC
- 127 MIXED of SAS (SAS Institute 1996). Since the experimental layout did not include a balanced design between treatment
- 128 combinations, we applied four statistical models with different subsets of the data. The first analysis included all data for
- 129 each crop type and allowed results to be compared between treatment combinations based on Least Significant
- 130 Difference at P<0.05 (Table 3). In addition, we selected three combinations of subsets to test the overall treatment effects

(crop rotation, catch crop, manure, Table 4) as follows: 1) Only organic systems with catch crops to test the effects of rotation and manure for spring barley, 2) Both conventional and organic systems with manure and fertilizer to test the effects of rotation and catch crops for spring barley, and 3) Both crop types for conventional and organic systems with manure/fertilizer to test the effects of crop type and catch crop.

In addition, correlation analyses were performed between grain DM and grain N yield and root and soil POM variables todetermine relationships for treatment means using PROC CORR in SAS.

137 Results

138 Grain DM and grain N yields

When all treatment combinations for spring barley were compared, the conventional rotations had higher grain DM yields irrespective of catch crop inclusion than the organic rotations, except for O2, which included both catch crops and manure. The latter also had higher grain DM yields than the two O4 rotations, which included either catch crops or manure (Table 3). When only organic rotations were compared, the presence of manure significantly increased spring barley grain DM yields (Table 4). Moreover, the presence of catch crops significantly increased spring barley grain N yields and shoot N, and it tended to increase spring barley grain DM and shoot C (Table 4). The corresponding grain DM yield benefits from catch crops were 30 and 23 g DM/m² in the O2 and O4 rotations, respectively.

The average grain DM benefit from presence of catch crops was 102 and 36 g DM/m² in the O4 and C4
rotations, respectively. The largest benefits from manure and catch crops were obtained for spring barley, whereas there
was little effect for the barley/pea mixture (Table 4).

149 *Root N and its relation to grain N*

The amount of N in root was significantly affected by crop and rotation system (Table 4). On average, the amounts of N
in roots from the O4 and C4 cropping systems were 3.3 and 2.6 g N/m², respectively, for barley/pea, and 2.1 and 3.0 g
N/m², respectively, for spring barley (Table 3).

Mean root N was 3.0 and 2.3 g N/m² in barley/pea and spring barley, respectively. Root N in barley/pea in O4 increased by 0.45 g N/m² with the presence of catch crops. For spring barley, all rotations tended to have higher root N when the crop was grown in systems with catch crops (Table 3). For spring barley, the root N benefits from catch crops

- were 0.65, 0.95 and 0.60 g N/m² in rotations O2, O4 and C4, respectively. A positive correlation was observed between
- 157 N harvested in grain and N in spring barley roots (Fig. 1). This correlation was not found for the barley/pea crop.

158 *Particulate organic matter in soil*

- 159 Total POM-C contents in the O2 and O4 organic rotations were similar for soils under spring barley (Table 3). For
- barley/pea plots, total POM-C contents were similar across crop rotations. Similarly, POM-C contents in the coarse,
- 161 medium, and fine fractions were similar across systems for both crops, except barley/pea, which had higher fine POM-C
- 162 in the conventional rotations with catch crops than without catch crops.

163 *Root C and total N recycling*

164 Root C tended to be higher under organic (O4) than conventional (C4) crop rotation for barley/pea (Table 4) and was 84 165 and 64 g C/m^2 , respectively (Table 3). By contrast, it was similar among treatments for spring barley and averaged 72 g 166 C/m². For barley/pea, there was a higher root C in the organic (O4) compared to the conventional (C4) rotation (Table 3). 167 Overall, root C contents were similar across both crops (Table 4). Our results allow the estimation of N recycled in the 168 above- and below-ground residues of barley and barley/pea crops. For barley/pea an average amount of 119 kg N/ha was 169 returned in crop residues, composed of 89 and 30 kg N/ha in above- and belowground residues, respectively. There were 170 no pronounced differences between cropping systems in these amounts. This should be compared with an average value 171 of 65 kg N/ha in residues from spring barley, where 41 and 24 kg N/ha originated from above- and belowground 172 residues, respectively.

173 Discussion

174 Productivity of cropping systems

175 Several studies have shown beneficial effects of intercropping grain legumes with cereals, because this can increase and 176 stabilize yields compared to sole grain legume crops, and it also reduces the need for inputs (e.g., fertilizer and 177 agrochemicals for pest and weed control) compared to sole cereal crops (Hauggaard-Nielsen & Jensen, 2001; Sahota & 178 Malhi, 2012). Our results showed that grain N yields and shoot N of the barley/pea intercrop were generally considerably 179 larger than when spring barley was a sole crop. Similar results have previously been reported for intercropping of barley 180 and pea (Sahota & Malhi, 2012; Hunady & Hochman, 2014). We observed that grain DM for spring barley responded to 181 the different crop systems (organic/conventional, manure and catch crop), whereas this was not the case for barley/pea. 182 This corroborates with previous studies reporting that a grain legume-cereal mixture provided more stable yields

(Hunady & Hochman, 2014). Therefore, intercropping and catch crop inclusion may both contribute towards stabilizing
yields in organic systems and closing the yield gap between organic and conventional systems.

185 While a lack of effective weed and pest control measures has been given as the reason for lower grain DM 186 yields in organic systems (Clark et al., 1998; Melander et al., 2016), others report that deficient nutrient supply 187 (especially N and phosphorus) often constitutes the major limitation (Shah et al., 2017). A key difference in N 188 management is related to the fact that unlike the more targeted fertilizer use in conventional systems, organic cropping 189 systems are often characterized by poor synchrony between nutrient supply and plant demand (Berry et al., 2002). In the 190 current study, the effect of catch crops and the application of manure were evaluated for both organic and conventional 191 rotations. The legume-based catch crops in the organic cropping systems contributed N to the cropping systems through 192 both biological N fixation (Li et al. 2015b) and through retaining N by recycling this in above- and belowground plant 193 residues.

194 The highest spring barley yields (DM and N) in the organic systems were obtained when both manure and catch 195 crops were used (Table 3). Both factors contribute to crop N supply, albeit in different ways. The application of manure 196 adds a substantial amount of mineral N as ammonium, whereas the N from the catch crops is supplied over a longer time 197 through mineralization of organic matter (Olesen et al., 2007; Doltra & Olesen, 2013). For spring barley, enhanced soil N 198 availability following incorporation of catch crops prior to sowing and application of manure probably explains the yield 199 similarities between the low-input organic and the high-input inorganic fertilizer based systems. These findings are 200 consistent with those from previous studies in which the use of catch crops and application of animal manure showed 201 positive effects on grain DM yields (Olesen et al., 2007; Chirinda et al., 2010). Moreover, the larger grain yields from O2 202 compared with the O4 rotation suggest a positive response to the inclusion of grass-clover green manure in the rotation 203 (Olesen et al., 2007). Nonetheless, it is important to note that prior to 2005, the current conventional plots had not 204 received fertilizer or manure and did not include a catch crop. It is reasonable to assume that this system was, to some 205 extent, depleted in readily degradable organic N when converted to conventional management.

206 *N inputs by roots*

207 No significant differences in root N content were observed between crop rotation systems, but there was a tendency for
208 higher values for the barley/pea intercrop compared to spring barley. In addition, the inclusion of catch crops in organic
209 systems led to higher shoot and root N input. This is simply a consequence of the presence of more plant biomass in

systems where pea and catch crops were included, and this indicates a larger N accumulation capacity in organic systemswith inclusion cereal-legume crops and catch crops.

212 Grain DM yields have been reported to closely relate to the growth and development of root systems in high 213 yielding cropping systems (Wang et al., 2014). However, Hu et al. (2018) found no relationship between shoot and root 214 biomass of cereals when comparing organic and conventional systems. This lack of difference in root biomass and root C 215 between cropping systems of different intensities was also found in our study (Table 3). We found a linear relationship 216 (Fig. 1) between root N and grain DM for spring barley, but not for the barley/pea intercrop. This relationship was caused 217 by differences in N concentration in roots of different systems (15.0 g N/g DM in spring barley versus 17.9 g N/g DM in 218 barley/pea), rather than differences in biomass (data not shown). The lower N availability in the organic systems was thus 219 found to result in lower N concentration in root biomass, which would delay net N mineralization of these residues

220 (Thomsen *et al.*, 2016).

221 Residual N effects of spring barley and barley/pea

222 The productivity of organic cropping systems depend on the recycling of nutrients through crop residues. We observed 223 considerably higher amounts of N in residues following barley/pea compared with spring barley. This may be expected to 224 enhance the risk of N leaching losses during autumn, but such differences in N leaching between spring barley and 225 barley/pea were not found by Askegaard et al. (2011). Other previous studies (e.g., Bergström & Kirchmann, 2004) 226 show that when legumes instead of ryegrass are included in cropping systems, leaching of N increase considerably over 227 the short-term. In the case of our study, it is likely that barley/pea contributes to the long-term fertility of the cropping 228 system rather than short-term losses. These temporal aspects of N release are affected by the N concentration and C:N 229 ratio of the crop residues (Jensen et al., 2005), and the root N concentrations of both barley/pea and barley roots were so 230 low that they would likely cause net N immobilization.

231 Crop management implications on POM

The amounts of POM-C strongly depend on organic matter inputs, which are influenced by cropping systems and management practices. In this study, if we consider all crop residues, there were no pronounced differences in average organic matter inputs between different management systems (data not shown). Fine POM-C (250-53 µm) was only significantly higher in soils under barley/pea in the organic rotation that included catch crops and manure, and in conventional systems that included catch crops, than in the conventional system that excluded catch crops. As fine POM is a biologically and chemically active part of the easily decomposable organic matter, the high amount of fine POM-C in

- rotations including catch crops may be the reason for the higher soil respiration from rotations, including catch crops, as
 reported in a previous study conducted on the same experiment (Chirinda *et al.*, 2010). However, this higher soil
 respiration may be associated with higher N mineralization that increases the risk of N loss through leaching, which thus
- emphasizes the need for maintaining the use of catch crops to retain N in the system.
- As observed by Marriott & Wander (2006) for a study conducted on nine farming system trials, similar POM-C

243 (>53 μm) was observed in organic and conventionally managed systems. We also found little difference between

- cropping systems in POM-C. The POM-C may therefore not in itself be a good indicator of soil fertility, but may have to
- be supplemented with indicators that better reflect nutrient contents and nutrient availability, in particular N
- 246 mineralization potential.

247 Conclusions

248 Our results suggest that manure application and inclusion of catch crops improves crop N supply and reduces differences 249 between yields in conventional and organic rotations, resolving some of limiting factors of organic systems such as low 250 N supply. Although application of manure in organic systems reduces differences in yields between conventional and 251 organic systems, limitations in availability of manure from livestock farms may challenge this option for enhancing N 252 inputs in organic systems. The observed positive correlation between root N and grain N for spring barley suggests that 253 high yielding cereal crops may be contributing more N to soil fertility. These findings suggest that grain N can be used as 254 a proxy for N in root N residues and thus the amount of residual N available for subsequent crops. Overall, targeted 255 management of manure application and catch crop inclusion combined with knowledge on root derived residual N should 256 be able to improve crop yields and sustainability of organic cropping systems.

257

258

259 Acknowledgments

E. Córdoba has a contract from IFAPA (III Programa de Incorporación de Personal Investigador) and co-financed by the
European Social Fund (Programa Operativo FSE de Andalucía 2007-2013). The authors gratefully acknowledge the
support of the RowCrop project funded under Organic RDD2 by Danish Ministry of Environment and Food, and the
FertilCrop project funded under Core Organic Plus by Danish Ministry of Environment and Food.

264 References

- Askegaard, M., Olesen, J.E., Rasmussen, I.A. & Kristensen, K. 2011. Nitrate leaching from organic arable crop rotations
 is mostly determined by autumn field management. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 142, 149-160.
- 267 Berry, P.M., Sylvester-Bradley, R., Philipps, L., Hatch, D., Cuttle, S.P., Rayns, F.W. & Goslin, P. 2002. Is the
- productivity of organic farms restricted by the supply available nitrogen? Soil Use and Management, 18, 248269 255.
- Bergström, L. <u>&</u>, Kirchmann, H., 200<u>46</u>. Leaching and crop uptake of nitrogen <u>from nitrogen-15- labeled green</u>
 <u>manures and amonium nitrate</u>. <u>-and phosphorus from pig slurry as affected by different application rates</u>. Journal
 of Environmental Quality 335, 1786-1792803-1811.
- Bolinder, M.A., Angers, D.A. & Dubuc, J.P. 1997. Estimating shoot to root ratios and annual carbon inputs in soils for
 cereal crops. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 63, 61-66.
- 275 Carter, M.R. 2002. Soil quality for sustainable land management: organic matter and aggregation interactions that
 276 maintain soil function. Agronomy Journal, 98, 38-47.
- 277 Chirinda, N., Olesen, J.E., Porter, J.R., 2012. Root carbon input in organic and inorganic fertilizer-based systems. Plant
 278 Soil, 359, 321-333.
- Chirinda, N., Olesen, J.E., Porter, J.R. & Schjønning, P. 2010. Soil properties, crop production and greenhouse gas
 emissions from organic and inorganic fertilizer-based arable cropping systems. Agriculture, Ecosystems &
 Environment, 139, 584-594.
- 282 Clark, M.S., Ferris, H., Klonsky, K., Lanini, W.T., van Bruggen A.H.C. & Zalom, F.G. 1998. Agronomic, economic,
 283 and environmental comparison of pest management in conventional and alternative tomato and corn systems in
- 284 northern California. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 68, 51–71.
- 285 Djurhuus, J. & Olesen, J.E. 2000. Characterization of four sites in Denmark for long-term experiments on crop rotations
 286 for organic farming. DIAS Report Plant Production No. 33.
- 287 Doltra, J. & Olesen, J.E. 2013. The role of catch crops in ecological intensification of organic spring cereals under
 288 Nordic conditions. European Journal of Agronomy, 44, 98-108.

- Fronning, B.E., Thelen, K.D. & Min, D. 2008. Use of manure, compost, and cover crops to supplant crop residue carbon
 in corn stover removed cropping systems. Agronomy Journal, 100, 1703-10.
- Hansen, B. 1989. Determination of nitrogen as elementary N, an alternative to Kjeldahl. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica
 B-S, 39, 113-118.
- Hauggaard-Nielsen, H. & Jensen, E.S. 2001. Evaluating pea and barley cultivars for complementarity in intercropping at
 different levels of soil N availability. Field Crop Research, 72, 185-196.
- Hu, T., Sørensen, P., Wahlström, E.M., Chirinda, N., Sharif, B., Li, X. & Olesen, J.E. 2018. Root biomass in cereals,
 catch crops and weeds does not depend on aboveground biomass. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment,
 297 251, 141-148.
- Huňady, I. & Hochman, M. 2014. Potential of legume-cereal intercropping for increasing yields and yield stability for
 self-sufficiency with animal fodder in organic farming. Czech Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding, 50, 185 194.
- 301 IFAD, 2011. Rural poverty report. New realities, new challenges: new opportunities for tomorrow's generation. Rome,
 302 International Fund for Agricultural Development.
- Janzen, H.H., 2006. The soil carbon dilemma: Shall we hoard it or use it? Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 38, 419-424.
- 304 Jensen, E.S., Peoples, M.B., Boddey, R.M., Gresshoff, P.M., Hauggaard-Nielsen, H., Alves, B.J.R. & Morrison, M.J.
- 2012. Legumes for mitigation of climate change and the provision of feedstock for biofuels and biorefineries. A
 review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 32, 329-364.
- Jensen, L.S., Salo, T., Palmason, F., Breland, T.A., Henriksen, T.M., Stenberg, B., Pedersen, A., Lundström, C. & Esala,
 M. 2005. Influence of biochemical quality on C and N mineralisation from a broad variety of plant materials in
 soil. Plant and Soil 273, 307-326.
- Katterer, T., Bolinder, M.A., Andren, O., Kirchmann, H. & Menichetti, L. 2011. Roots contribute more to refractory soil
 organic matter than aboveground crop residues, as revealed by a long-term field experiment. Agriculture,
 Ecosystems & Environment, 141, 184-192.

- Lazicki, P.A., Liebman, M., Wander, M.M., 2016. Root parameters show how management alters resource distribution
 and soil quality in conventional and low-input cropping systems in Central Iowa. PLoS ONE 11(10):
- **315** e0164209.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164209
- Leifeld, J., 2012. How sustainable is organic farming? Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 150, 121-122.
- Li, X., Petersen, S.O., Sørensen, P. & Olesen, J.E. 2015a. Effects of contrasting catch crops on nitrogen availability and
 nitrous oxide emissions in an organic cropping system. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 199, 382-393.
- Li, X., Sørensen, P., Li, F., Petersen, S.O. & Olesen, J.E. 2015b. Quantifying biological nitrogen fixation of different
 catch crops, and the residual effects of roots and tops on nitrogen uptake in barley using in situ 15N labelling.
 Plant Soil, 395, 273-287.
- Marriott, E.E. & Wander, M. 2006. Qualitative and quantitative differences in particulate organic matter fractions in
 organic and conventional farming systems. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 38, 1527-1536.
- Melander, B., Rasmussen, I.A. & Olesen, J.E. 2016. Incompatibility between fertility building methods and the
 management of perennial weeds in organic cropping systems. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 220,
 184-192.
- Olesen, J.E., Askegaard, M. & Rasmussen, I.A. 2000. Design of an organic farming crop rotation experiment. Acta
 Agriculturae Scandinavica B-S P, 50, 13-21.
- Olesen, J.E., Hansen, E.M., Askegaard, M. & Rasmussen, I.A. 2007. The value of catch crops and organic manures for
 spring barley in organic arable farming. Field Crop Research, 100, 168-178.
- Sahota, T.S. & Malhi, S.S. 2012. Intercropping barley with pea for agronomic and economic considerations in northern
 Ontario. Agricultural Science, 3, 889-895.
- 333 SAS Institute 1996. SAS/STATTM Software: changes and enhancements through release 6.11. SAS Institute, Cary.
- Shah, A., Askegaard, M., Rasmussen, I.A., Jimenez, I.M.C. & Olesen, J.E. 2017. Productivity of organic and
 conventional arable cropping systems in Denmark. European Journal of Agronomy, 90, 12-22.
- Swinnen, J., Van Veen, J.A. & Merckx, R. 1995. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere of winter wheat and spring barley with
 conventional vs. intergrated farming. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 27, 811-820.

- Thomsen, I.K., Elsgaard, L. & Olesen, J.E., 2016. Nitrogen release from differently aged cover crops (*Raphanus sativus*L.) during mineralization at autumn temperatures. Soil Use and Management, 32, 183-191.
- Thorup-Kristensen, K., Magid, J. & Jensen, L.S. 2003. Catch crops and green manures as biological tools in nitrogen
 management in tempertate zones. Advances in Agronomy, 79, 227-302.
- 342 Van Noordwijk, M., Spek, L.Y. & De Willingen, P. 1994. Proximal root diameters as predictors of total root system size
 343 for fractal branching models. I. Theory. Plant Soil, 164, 107-118.
- Wang, C., Liu, W., Li, Q., Ma, D., Lu, H., Feng, W., Xie, Y., Zhu, Y. & Guo, T. 2014. Effects of different irrigation and
 nitrogen regimes on root growth and its correlation with above-ground plant parts in high-yielding wheat under
 field conditions. Field Crop Research, 165,138-149.
- 347 Willson, T.C., Paul, E.A. & Harwood, R.R. 2001. Biologically active soil organic matter fractions in sustainable

390



Fig. 1: Relationships between root and grain nitrogen for pea/barley and barley only cropping systems for samples collected in 2012. The statistics are shown for linear regressions of root N on N yield.