



Technical Manual

METHODOLOGICAL GUIDE

**Community participatory inventory
and prioritization of climate-smart crop-livestock
agroforestry technologies / practices**



ENGLISH VERSION

Jules Bayala, S. Djibril Dayamba, Augustine A. Ayantunde, Jacques Somda, Catherine Ky Dembele, B. André Bationo, Saaka Buah, Diaminatou Sanogo, Abasse Tougiani, Robert Zougmore



The World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF) is a centre of scientific excellence that harnesses the benefits of trees for people and the environment. Leveraging the world's largest repository of agroforestry science and information, we develop knowledge practices, from farmers' fields to the global sphere, to ensure food security and environmental sustainability.

ICRAF's headquarters are based in Nairobi, Kenya, and we operate in six regional programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa, Asia and Latin America. Our vision is an equitable world where all people have viable livelihoods supported by healthy and productive landscapes. Our mission is to harness the multiple benefits trees provide for agriculture, livelihoods, resilience and the future of our planet, from farmers' fields through to continental scales.

ICRAF is the only institution conducting globally significant agroforestry research in and for all of the developing tropics. Knowledge produced by ICRAF allows governments, development agencies, and farmers to use the power of trees to make farming livelihoods more environmentally, socially and economically sustainable at scales.

Titles in the Manual Papers series aim to disseminate information on agroforestry research and practices and stimulate feedback from the scientific community. Other publication series from the World Agroforestry Centre include Occasional Papers, Working Papers and Trees for Change.

Correct citation: Bayala J., Dayamba S. D., Ayantunde A. A., Somda J., Ky-Dembele C., Bationo B.A., Buah S., Sanogo D., Tougiani A., Zougmore R. 2018. METHODOLOGICAL GUIDE: Community participatory inventory and prioritization of climate-smart crop-livestock-agroforestry technologies / practices. ICRAF Technical Manual. Nairobi: World Agroforestry Centre

Published by the World Agroforestry Centre
West and Central Africa Region
ICRAF-WCA/Sahel
B.P. E5118 Bamako, Mali
Tel: + 223 2023 5000
Fax: + 223 2022 8683
Email: worldagroforestry@cgiar.org
Website: www.worldagroforestry.org

© World Agroforestry Centre 2018
ICRAF Technical Manual

ISBN: 978-9966-108-06-7
Cover photo: D. Sanogo
Editing & proofreading: ICRAF Sahel team
Design & layout: Quadrigraph SARL
Printer: Quadrigraph SARL

Articles appearing in this publication may be quoted or reproduced without charge, provided the source is acknowledged. No use of this publication may be made for resale or other commercial purposes.

All images remain the sole property of their source and may not be used for any purpose without written permission from the source.

The geographic designation employed and the presentation of material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Agroforestry Centre concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

Summary

This guide addresses the issue of identifying priority interventions for communities in the face of climate change. The manual is about participatory approach of inventorizing and prioritizing climate-smart crop-livestock-agroforestry and social technologies / practices. The guide provides a step by step guidance on how project/extension workers can work with communities and other development stakeholders in the target sites to identify practices that can help local communities to better adapt to climate variability in production.

The guide was developed within the framework of a project "Building resilient agro-sylvo-pastoral systems in West Africa through participatory action research" (BRAS-PAR)" which is one of the flagship 2 projects funded by the CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS).

The flagship 2 of CCAFS, which is about climate-smart technologies and practices, addresses the challenge of how to transition to a climate-smart agriculture (CSA) at a large scale for enabling agricultural systems to be transformed and reoriented to support food security under the new realities of climate change. Led by ICRAF-WCA/Sahel, the BRAS-PAR project is being implemented by a consortium of National research institutes in Burkina Faso, Ghana, Niger and Senegal, IUCN, and ILRI.

Key words: Adaptation, Climate-Smart, Climate change, Climate variability, Food security, Mitigation

About the authors

Dr. Jules Bayala
j.bayala@cgiar.org

Jules Bayala is a principal scientist with the World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF). He holds a PhD in Ecophysiology and Agroforestry from the University of Wales, Bangor, UK. He is currently working on establishing key directions for the agroforestry research and development programme in the Sahel. His research focus is on the soil-plant-water continuum in West and Central Africa and on agroforestry species physiology in the face of climate change.

Dr. Djibril S. Dayamba
d.dayamba@cgiar.org

Djibril S. Dayamba is a postdoctoral fellow on Climate-Smart Agriculture at the World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF), based in Bamako, Mali. He is involved in a number of projects aiming at capacitating stakeholders in using climate Information and climate-smart practices for enhanced resilience of the production systems in West Africa. He holds a PhD degree in Forest Biology, Ecology and Management from Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences.

Dr. Augustine Ayantunde
a.ayantunde@cgiar.org

Augustine Ayantunde is a principal scientist in sustainable livestock systems at International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI). He holds a PhD degree in ruminant nutrition from University of Wageningen, The Netherlands. He is based at ILRI office in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. His areas of research include participatory testing and evaluation of livestock-related strategies for sustainable intensification of crop-livestock systems in West Africa, evaluation of feed resources in West African Sahel, evaluation, monitoring of natural resource use in (agro)-pastoral systems including conflict management, and participatory analysis of vulnerability to climate change in agro-pastoral systems in the Sahel.

Dr. Jacques Somda
jacques.somda@iucn.org

Jacques Somda is a senior programme officer with the International Union for Conservation of Nature, Central and West Africa programme. He holds a doctoral degree in rural economics at the University of Cocody, Côte d'Ivoire. His research interests include monitoring and evaluation, environmental economics, technology adoption and policy analysis.

Dr. Catherine Ky-Dembele
c.dembele@cgiar.org

Catherine Ky-Dembele is a tree scientist based at the Sahel Node, Bamako of the World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF-WCA/Sahel). She holds a PhD in Forest Management, Option Silviculture from the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences. Her research interests include developing appropriate propagation methods for valuable agroforestry tree species and other activities regarding tree domestication.

Dr. Babou André Bationo
babou.bationo@gmail.com

Babou André Bationo is a senior forestry biology and ecology scientist. He holds a PhD from the University of Ouagadougou (Burkina Faso). He works at the Agriculture and Environmental Research Institute (INERA), Burkina Faso. He is an associate scientist and the focal point of ICRAF in Burkina Faso. His expertise includes participatory regeneration and ecology of agroforestry tree species in agroforestry systems.

Dr. Saaka Buah
ssbuah@yahoo.com

Saaka Buah is an agronomist and soil scientist with a PhD in Soil Fertility and Plant Nutrition from Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, USA. He is currently working with CSIR-SARI, Ghana where his research activities focus on solving agricultural production problems in the savanna zone of Ghana. He also provides technical assistance to increase the availability of appropriate and affordable soil fertility management technologies to sustainably improve agricultural productivity in northern Ghana. He is currently the Head of the farming system research team based in the Upper West region of Ghana.

Dr. Diaminatou Sanogo
sdiami@yahoo.fr

Diaminatou Sanogo is a senior scientist at the Senegalese Agricultural Research Institute, focal person of ICRAF in Senegal. She holds a doctoral degree in Ecology, Agroforestry at Cheikh Anta Diop University of Dakar (Senegal). Her research interests include Participatory Action Research in agroforestry and forestry including cultivation of high-value indigenous tree species. She is also working on developing strategies for the sustainable management of natural resources on communal lands.

Dr. Abasse Tougiani
abasse.tougiani@gmail.com

Abasse Tougiani is a senior scientist at the National Agricultural Research Institute of Niger (INRAN), and is an ICRAF focal point in Niger. He holds a PhD in Biology and Silviculture from the University of Ibadan, Nigeria. His research interests include agroforestry, integration of agricultural crops, livestock and tree species production system and cultivation of high-value indigenous tree species.

Dr. Robert Zougmore
r.zougmore@cgiar.org

Robert Zougmore is an agronomist and soil scientist with a PhD in Production Ecology & Resources Conservation, University of Wageningen. He is based at ICRISAT Bamako where he currently leads the CGIAR research programme on climate change, agriculture and food security (CCAFS) in West Africa. His work focuses on the development of climate-smart agriculture technologies, practices, institutions and policies for better climate risk management in West Africa.

Table of content

Summary	6
About the authors	7
List of acronyms and abbreviations	10
Acknowledgements	11
Preamble	12

1. Introduction 13

2. Definition of key terms used in the document 13

3. Community participatory inventory of the climate-smart technologies/practices 14

3.1. Identification of workshop participants	14
3.2 Collecting general Information on the target site	16
3.3. Conduct of the inventory	17

4. Prioritization of the climate-smart crop-livestock-agroforestry practices/technologies 20

4.1. Criteria to be used for the prioritization exercise	20
4.2. Procedure for conducting the prioritization	20

5. Rapid identification of key crop-livestock-agroforestry value chains 23

6. Selecting scalable technologies/social practices from the inventory	23
(Follow up of the results from the inventory and prioritization)	23

Literature 24

List of acronyms and abbreviations

CCAFS:	Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security
CGIAR:	Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research
CIAT:	International Center for Tropical Agriculture
CSA:	Climate-Smart Agriculture
CSIR-SARI:	Savanna Agricultural Research Institute of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research
DANIDA:	Danish International Development Agency
EU:	European Union
FAO:	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
ICRAF:	World Agroforestry Centre
ICRISAT:	International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics
IFAD:	International Fund for Agricultural Development
IICT:	Instituto de Investigação Científica Tropical
ILRI:	International Livestock Research Institute
INERA:	Institut de l'Environnement et de Recherches Agricoles
INRAN:	Institut National de Recherche Agronomique du Niger
IPCC:	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISRA:	Institut Sénégalais de Recherche Agricole
IUCN:	International Union for Conservation of Nature
MEA:	Millenium Ecosystem Assessment
NGO:	Non-Governmental Organization
SDC:	Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), which is a strategic partnership of CGIAR and Future Earth, led by the International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT). The Program is carried out with funding by CGIAR Fund Donors, the Danish International Development Agency (DANIDA), Australian Government (ACIAR), Irish Aid, Environment Canada, Ministry of Foreign Affairs for the Netherlands, Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC), Instituto de Investigação Científica Tropical (IICT), UK Aid, Government of Russia, the European Union (EU), New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade, with technical support from the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD). Colleagues from national research institutions – Institut de l'Environnement et de Recherches Agricoles (INERA) of Burkina Faso, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRAN) of Niger, Institut Sénégalais de Recherche Agricole (ISRA) of Senegal, and Savanna Agriculture Research Institute (SARI) of Ghana – also generously shared their documents and data with us.

Preamble

This manual has been produced within the framework of the project: Building resilient agro-sylvo-pastoral systems in West Africa through participatory action research (BRAS-PAR)". BRAS-PAR is one of the flagship 2 projects funded by the CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS). The flagship 2 of CCAFS, which is about climate-smart technologies and practices, addresses the challenge of how to transition to a climate-smart agriculture (CSA) at a large scale for enabling agricultural systems to be transformed and reoriented to support food security under the new realities of climate change. Led by ICRAF-WCA/Sahel, the BRAS-PAR project is being implemented by a consortium of National research institutes in Burkina Faso, Ghana, Niger and Senegal, IUCN, and ILRI. This project seeks to develop up-scalable technological and social innovations of climate-smart agriculture for integrated crop-livestock-tree systems through improved understanding of farmer's perceptions and demands, by addressing barriers to adoption taking into consideration gender and social differentiation.

One of the three activities of the project is to strengthen the capacity of key actors through multi-stakeholders platforms and the key element of this activity is engaging different key actors and the local communities to make inventory of promising climate-smart crop-livestock-agroforestry practices and to prioritize these practices for testing and evaluation through participatory action research. The stakeholders include farmers, extension services, development agencies (e.g. NGO), private sector, researchers and governmental agencies.

1. Introduction

This guide describes a simple method for community participatory inventory and prioritization of climate-smart agriculture practices/technologies and social innovations.

It aims at:

- (i) engaging key stakeholders in inventorizing promising climate-smart crop-livestock-agroforestry practices that fit their local context;
- (ii) prioritizing the promising climate-smart technologies based on a set of criteria pertinent to climate smart agriculture (food security, adaptation and mitigation) and which are feasible in the local farmers' context.
- (iii) making an inventory of key crop-livestock-agroforestry value chains and their actors. This is just a rapid identification of promising value chains and a detailed study is to follow later.

2. Definition of key terms used in the document

In this guide, the terminologies such as adaptation, mitigation and ecosystem services are used as defined by Bayala et al. (2016):

Adaptation is referred to as "adjustment in natural or human systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli or their effects, which moderates harm or exploits beneficial opportunities" (IPCC, 2007).

Mitigation refers to actions that limit the magnitude and/or rate of long-term climate change; it generally involves reductions in human (anthropogenic) emissions of greenhouse gases and may also be achieved by increasing the capacity of carbon sinks, e.g., through reforestation (IPCC, 2007).

Ecosystem services refers to the benefits people obtain from ecosystems which are grouped into four broad categories: *provisioning*, such as the production of food and water; *regulating*, such as the control of climate and disease; *supporting*, such as nutrient cycles and crop pollination; and *cultural*, such as spiritual and recreational benefits (MEA, 2005).

Climate-smart agricultural production is defined by FAO (2013) as agricultural production activities that composed of three pillars: (1) sustainably increasing

agricultural productivity and incomes; (2) adapting and building resilience to climate change; and (3) reducing and/or removing greenhouse gases emissions, where possible. Therefore, for a technology to be considered climate smart, it has to contribute to food security through increased productivity and income generation, adaptation and mitigation strategies. The technologies should also be proven up-scalable and economically viable.

A list of crop-livestock-agroforestry technologies that could be climate-smart as adapted from the publication by IUCN (Savadogo et al., 2011) is provided in Annex to this guide. The list should not be read to the participants but should only serve as a guide. It will be good for the workshop team to familiarize themselves with this informative publication.

3. Community participatory inventory of the climate-smart technologies/practices

The inventory of the CSA practices/technologies should be conducted during a community workshop (Photo 1).

3.1. Identification of workshop participants

The workshop should gather:

- At least 30 adult participants (over 18 years) from the target communities;
- At least 30% of communities participants should be women who have a good knowledge of the biophysical and socio-institutional contexts of the sites;
- All key social groups/ethnic groups in the community including crop and livestock farmers;
- Representatives from the community should form at least 50% of the workshop participants;
- At least 2 representatives (with background in agronomy, livestock and agroforestry) from:
 - research institutes,
 - development agencies (NGOs),
 - private sector and
 - Government agencies.
- The workshop team should consist of a team leader, a facilitator and 2 rapporteurs. Their role is described in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Roles of the Workshop team

Team member	Role
Team Leader	Introduces the workshop and the agenda for the day. Explains the objectives of the project, has the responsibility for the management of the workshop and acts as a contact point between community and the rest of the team. He/She is responsible for quality control and the final production of the workshop report.
Facilitator	Explains and guides the discussion during the workshop. Ensure all participants are getting an equal chance to participate, especially women and try as much as possible to ensure that the sitting arrangements allow for interactions among the participants. It will be advantageous for the facilitator to understand the local language.
2 Rapporteurs (note-takers)	They have the responsibility of tracking and documenting in details the content of the discussions. The note takers should also record any controversies during the discussions, contentious issues, how group makes decisions or reached consensus. The note takers should remind the facilitator if any issues that are supposed to be covered have been left out.



Figure 1: Participants at prioritization exercise of climate-smart crop-livestock-agroforestry technologies/practices.

The names of the workshop participants should be recorded together with their gender and institution of origin. An example of how to record the names of the participants is given Table 2.

Table 2: List of participants

Name	Sex	Institution
	1=Male; 2= Female	
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		
7.		
8.		
...		
...		
...		

3.2 Collecting general information on the target site

After introducing the project and explaining the objectives of the workshop, it is essential to collect general information on the village using Table 3. This information is necessary to better contextualize the responses of the participants regarding the inventory of climate-smart crop-livestock-agroforestry practices.

Table 3: Preliminary information on the village (project site)

Name	
Dominant ethnic group (s)	
Total population	
Total number of households	
Number of female headed households	
Proportion of households growing crops and keeping livestock	
Proportion of households growing crops only	
Proportion of households keeping livestock only	
Proportion of the households engaged in agroforestry practices	
Proportion of households engaged in non-agricultural activities only e.g. commerce	
Average size of crop farm per household	
Dominant crops grown in the village	
Dominant livestock species in the village	
Average livestock number per household	
Access to market (0=Poor; 1=Fair; 2=Good; 3= Very good)	
State of infrastructures (school, health centre etc.) in the community (0=Poor; 1=Fair; 2=Good; 3= Very good)	
Pressure on crop land in the community (1=Low; 2=Average; 3=High; 4= Very high)	

3.3. Conduct of the inventory

The conduct of the inventory workshop entails:

- (i) explaining to the participants the technologies that could be considered "climate-smart" (Photo 2);
- (ii) asking the participants to mention technologies that have proven successful and beneficial in terms of improving household food security, adaptation to climate change and mitigation; Attendants should be asked to bear in mind technologies/practices from all domains namely agronomic, soil and water conservation / water storage, livestock and forestry-agroforestry, social practices, etc. (Table 4a, b, c, etc.). You can start with crop-related technologies, and then followed by live-stock and agroforestry.
- (iii) For each mentioned technology, the participants should be asked to elaborate on the benefits and constraints for its implementation, and reasons for being considered climate-smart;
- (iv) At completion of the inventory, the results should be presented to the participants to ensure that nothing is missing.



Figure 2: A facilitator explaining a point to community members during the inventory workshop.

Table 4a: Inventory of promising climate smart agronomic practices (including soil and water)

Technology/ social practices	Benefit	Constraint	Reason for climate smartness
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			

Table 4b: Inventory of promising climate smart livestock practices

Technology/ social practices	Benefit	Constraint	Reason for climate smartness
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			

Table 4c: Inventory of promising climate smart agroforestry practices

Technology/ social practices	Benefit	Constraint	Reason for climate smartness
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			

Example of inventory exercise at Doggoh, Jirapa district in Ghana

Technology	Benefit	Constraints to adoption	Reason for climate smartness
Pass-on-the-gift (Credit in kind) sheep (Social innovation)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Easy source of up scaling livestock rearing • Poverty reduction • Source of manure • Lower mortality rates • Higher fertility rates, • Improvement in animal and herd performance • Extra income and food • Rich and diversified diets • Growth in animal-source foods • Preventive health measures such as vaccinations to control disease, stress reduction (provision of shade and water) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate veterinary services • High cost of treatment and housing • Vaccine against The PPR (peste de petits ruminants) virus that infects primarily Goats and Sheep and is a major impediment to small ruminant production • Challenges are scarcity of high-quality vaccines in the communities and dissemination of vaccine in a cost-effective manner. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Healthy stock with reduced mortality risks • Food security strategy through poverty reduction.
Fodder production (Cajanus cajan)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Animals do not roam far • Provide protein to animals • Provides nutrients to the land • Reliable source of animal feed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Labour intensive • Often grazed by free roaming livestock • Little or no cultivated pastures • Bulk of current forage resource are from natural rangelands 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved quality feeds and products mitigating emissions • Forage quality improvement. • By enhancing forage production, more organic matter is returned to soils, which, in turn, increases the amount of organic carbon stored in the soil
Semi-intensive housing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Know where about of live stock • Manure is secured • Sick animals are easily identified • Highly protected from thieves • Lower mortality rates • Higher fertility rates, • Improvement in animal and herd-performance • Preventive health measures such as vaccinations to control disease, stress reduction (provision of shade and water) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Difficulties in bringing livestock home every evening • limited expertise • Poor feed quality (low feed digestibility). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adaptation strategy. • Reduction in disease and pest incidence • Prevent excessive/extreme weather events • Minimizes deforestation and land degradation
Grazing management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Able to manage limited land. • Increased mobility of animals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas allocated for livestock are over utilized due to insufficient land. • Poor feed quality (low feed digestibility). • Vegetation loss due to overgrazing • Increases deforestation and land degradation • Deforestation is a land-use change process that can generate most GHG emissions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adaptation strategy in livestock feeding • Grassland carbon sequestration could offset emissions • The impact of better grazing management (increased mobility, and a better balance between grazing and rest periods) can have a positive impact on forage production and soil carbon sequestration. • Forage quality improvement
Supplementary feeding (Acacia fruits)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhance faster growth of livestock 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not easily available 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adaptation strategy against limited access to feed. • Forage quality improvement

4. Prioritization of the climate-smart crop-livestock-agroforestry practices/technologies

In this session, the inventoried climate-smart crop-livestock-agroforestry practices are to be prioritized by assessing each identified practice against seven criteria (Table 5). In the context of climate change, it is recognised that technology innovations alone are insufficient, and we suggest to include social innovations. In fact, social innovations emerge as networks generating innovative solutions for climate change adaptation and mitigation (Feola et Nunes, 2014).

4.1. Criteria to be used for the prioritization exercise

- (i) ability to sustainably improving agricultural productivity;
- (ii) market value of the different products generated by the technology;
- (iii) viability as adaptation strategy;
- (iv) potential for reducing greenhouse gas emissions (mitigation);
- (v) potential for up-scaling;
- (vi) economic viability (cost and benefit) /income generation and
- (vii) impact on ecosystem services.

4.2. Procedure for conducting the prioritization

- (i) Participants are first asked to score each identified technology/practice for each criterion on a scale of 0 (none/not at all) to 10 (Excellent/highly suitable) (Photo 3).

Table 5. Framework for technology and social practices prioritization

Technology/ social practices	Criteria (score 0 (none/not at all) to 10 (Excellent/highly suitable))							Score total
	Food security		Adaptation	Mitigation	Others			
	Productivity	Income	Adaptation	Mitigation potential	potential for up-scaling	economic viability	impact on ecosystem services	
1.								
2.								
3.								
4.								
...								
...								

Repeat the table separately for the different categories (agronomy, livestock, agroforestry, etc.)



Figure 3: A woman scoring for a technology during the inventory workshop.

- (i) In case of disagreement among the participants in the scoring of each technology, all groups in the workshop are asked to provide a score.
- (ii) Then the modal value (score that was most frequent) is retained.
- (iii) The scores for different criteria for each technology are then summed-up and the results announced to the participants.

It is critical here to prevent any group from dominating in giving score for the technologies. Technologies/social practices with higher score should be considered as promising and used for the next assessment regarding the identification of the key value chains which the scaling up of the technologies/social practices should focus on. However, attention should be paid to the adaptation and mitigation score for final decision on which technology to screen for their value addition.

Example of prioritization of promising climate smart agronomic practices at Bompari, Lawra district, Ghana.

Technology	Criteria (score from 0 (none/not at all) to 10 (excellent/highly suitable))										Total score
	Food security		Adaptation	Mitigation	Up-scaling	Others		Ecosystem services			
	Productivity	Income	Adaptation	Mitigation	Up-scaling	Economic viability	Ecosystem services	Economic viability	Ecosystem services	Total score	
Tie ridges	10	8	9	8	10	9	7	9	7	61	
Crop rotation	9	10	8	7	10	8	7	8	7	59	
Earth-Bunding	9	8	7	7	9	9	8	9	8	57	
Intercropping	9	9	8	7	10	7	5	7	5	55	
Improved varieties	9	9	10	8	5	6	7	6	7	54	
Crop residue retention	8	8	9	6	10	5	7	5	7	53	
Composting	9	5	10	8	6	8	5	8	5	51	
Minimum tillage	8	7	8	6	7	7	6	7	6	49	
Mulching	5	6	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	47	

5. Rapid identification of key crop-livestock-agroforestry value chains

This short session identifies key crop-livestock-agroforestry value chains, ranking them and identifying the main actors together with their level of influence on the value chain. It also identifies interventions needed to make the value chain operational (Table 6).

Table 6. Template for participatory value chain analysis

Value Chain	Rank	Main actors	Influence of the main actors	Intervention needed
			(1. Weak; 2. Modest; 3. Strong; 4. Very strong /dominant)	
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				

6. Selecting scalable technologies/social practices from the inventory

(Follow up of the results from the inventory and prioritization)

Once the prioritization is completed, the cost-benefit analysis of the selected options (Andrieu et al., 2017) will help coming up with a limited number that will be up-scaled through improved understanding of farmer's perceptions and demands, by addressing barriers to adoption taking into consideration gender and social differentiation.

This will be done either by strengthening the capacity of the key stakeholders on the short list of options retained or testing and evaluating them (fine tuning to local circumstances) through participatory action research. The stakeholders include farmers, extension services, development agencies (e.g. NGO), private sector, researchers and governmental agencies.

Literature

Andrieu N., Sogoba B., Zougmore R., Howland F., Samake O., Bonilla-Findji O., Lizarazo M., Nowak A., Dembele C., Corner-Dolloff C. 2017. Prioritizing investments for climate-smart agriculture: Lessons learned from Mali. *Agricultural Systems* 154: 13-24

Bayala J., Zougmore R., Dayamba S.D., Olivier A. 2017. Climate-Smart Agriculture Technologies in West Africa: learning from the ground Research for Development experiences. *Agriculture & Food Security* (2017) 6:40. DOI 10.1186/s40066-017-0117-5.

Bayala J., Zougmore R., Ky-Dembele C., Bationo B.A., Buah S., Sanogo D., Somda J., Tougiani A., Traoré K., Kalinganire A. 2016. Towards developing scalable climate-smart village models: approach and lessons learnt from pilot research in West Africa. ICRAF Occasional Paper No. 25. Nairobi: World Agroforestry Centre.

FAO 2013. *Climate-Smart Agriculture Sourcebook*. Rome, Italy.

Feola, G. and Nunes, R. (2014) Success and failure of grassroots innovations for addressing climate change: the case of the Transition Movement. *Global Environmental Change*, 24. pp. 232250. ISSN 09593780 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.11.011> Available at <http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/36095/>

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2007. *Climate change 2007: mitigation of climate change*, B. Metz, O.R. Davidson, P.R. Bosch, R. Dave & L.A. Meyer (eds). Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, USA, Cambridge University Press.

Millenium Ecosystem Assessment 2005. *Ecosystems and Human Well-Being: Synthesis*. Island Press, World Resources Institute, Washington, USA.

Savadogo M., Somda J., Seynou O., Zabré S., Nianogo A. J. 2011. *Catalogue des bonnes pratiques d'adaptation aux risques climatiques au Burkina Faso*. Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. UICN Burkina Faso. 52 pp. ISBN : 978-2-8317-1392-2.

Guide to climate smart crop-livestock-agroforestry practices

Annex 1 : (adapted from Savadogo et al., 2011)

Technology	Soil and water conservation	Agroforestry	Crop	Water storage	Livestock
1. Stone bunds	X				
2. Dugout (bouli)	X			X	
3. Zai pit	X				
4. Half-moon	X				
5. Mulching	X		X		
6. Improved fallow		X			
7. Rehabilitation of floodplain	X			X	
8. Pruning		X			
9. Farmer Managed Natural Regeneration		X			
10. Reforestation/afforestation		X			
11. Wind break	X	X			
12. Fire belt		X			
13. Forest management		X			
14. Protected community forest		X			
15. Well (shallow/deep)				X	
16. Small reservoir				X	
17. Collection of rainwater				X	
18. Improved crop varieties			X		
19. Drought tolerant crop varieties			X		
20. Composting	X		X		
21. Intercropping			X		
22. Zero/minimum tillage			X		
23. Vegetable production (off season)			X		
24. Fodder harvesting and storage					X
25. Planted fodder (e.g. dual purpose crop varieties)					X
26. Planting vegetation strips (e.g. with <i>Andropogon gayanus</i>)	X				X
27. Live fences		X			X
28. Supplementary feeding					X
29. Animal fattening					X
30. Crop residue treatment (physical e.g. chopping or chemical e.g. adding urea)					X
31. Corralling to deposit manure on crop field				X	X
32. Transhumance					X
33. Grazing management					Xv



METHODOLOGICAL GUIDE

Community participatory inventory and prioritization of climate-smart
crop-livestockagroforestry technologies / practices



ISBN 978-9966-108-06-7



9 789966 108067