

PRODUCTION OF SORBITOL FROM *MERANTI* WOOD SAWDUST USING  
SOLID STATE FERMENTATION (SSF) PROCESS

ZURIANA BT SIDI AHMAD

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT  
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF  
ENGINEERING (BIO-PROCESS)

FACULTY OF CHEMICAL & NATURAL RESOURCES ENGINEERING  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

AUGUST 2013

## ABSTRACT

The main objective of this research is to produce a high concentrations of sorbitol using solid state fermentation (SSF) of *meranti* wood dust by bacteria *Lactobacillus plantarum* (BAA 793; NCIMB 8826). Before the fermentation process, *meranti* wood dust has been treated through physical and chemical processes for the recovery of cellulose, followed by enzymatic hydrolysis process to produce glucose. The resulting glucose is then used as the carbon sources in fermentation to produce sorbitol. Parameters studied in sorbitol production using solid-state fermentation is fermentation time (2 hours to 14 hours), moisture content (40% to 90%) and temperature (25°C to 45°C). Method one factor at a time (OFAT) conducted on all parameters to determine an appropriate range before the response surface methodology (RSM) is implemented. From this study, the results showed that the optimum condition for the production of sorbitol is at 10 hours, with 50% moisture content and temperature of 35°C where the production of sorbitol is 29.0625g/L. Results of this experiment showed that SSF produce high sorbitol than SMF

## ABSTRAK

Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk menghasilkan kepekatan sorbitol yang tinggi menggunakan proses penapaian keadaan pepejal (SSF) daripada habuk kayu *meranti* dengan bacteria *Lactobacillus plantarum* (BAA 793; NCIMB 8826). Sebelum proses penapaian, habuk kayu meranti telah dirawat melalui proses fizikal serta kimia untuk pemulihan selulosa dan diikuti dengan proses enzim hidrolisis untuk menghasilkan glukosa. Glukosa yang terhasil kemudiannya dijadikan sumber karbon dalam penapaian untuk menghasilkan sorbitol. Parameter yang dikaji dalam penghasilan sorbitol menggunakan proses penapaian keadaan pepejal adalah masa penapaian (2 hingga 14 jam), kandungan lembapan (40% hingga 90%) dan suhu (25°C hingga 45°C). Kaedah satu faktor pada satu masa (OFAT) dijalankan terhadap semua parameter bagi menentukan julat yang sesuai sebelum kaedah tindak balas permukaan (RSM) dilaksanakan. Daripada kajian ini, keputusan menunjukkan bahawa keadaan optimum untuk penghasilan sorbitol adalah pada 10 jam, dengan 50% kandungan lembapan dan suhu 35°C dimana penghasilan sorbitol adalah sebanyak 29.0625 g/L. Hasil eksperimen ini menunjukkan bahawa SSF dapat menghasilkan sorbitol yang tinggi berbanding SMF.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>ITEM</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>TITLE PAGE</b>	i
	<b>SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>STUDENT'S DECLARATION</b>	iii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iv
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	vi
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vii
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	viii-xix
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xv-xvi
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xvii-xx
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xxi-xxii
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xxiii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1-5
	1.1 Background Study	1-2
	1.2 Problem Statement	2-3
	1.3 Research Objective	3-4
	1.4 Scope of the Study	4
	1.5 Rational and Significance	5
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	6-42

2.1	Background of Sorbitol	6
2.1.1	Sorbitol Production	6-8
2.1.2	Application of Sorbitol	9-10
2.1.3	Advantages of Sorbitol	10
2.2	Process of Producing Sorbitol from <i>Meranti</i> wood Sawdust	10-11
2.2.1	Woody Biomass as a Substrate	11-12
2.2.2	<i>Meranti</i> wood Sawdust	12-13
2.2.3	Lignocellulose	14
	i) Cellulose	14-15
	ii) Hemicellulose	15-16
	iii) Lignin	16-17
2.3	Pre-treatment Process for Cellulose Recovery	17-18
2.3.1	Type of Pretreatment	18
	i) Physical Pretreatment	18-19
	ii) Chemical Pretreatment	19
	iii) Biological Pretreatment	19
	iv) Hybrid (Combination) Pretreatment	19-21
2.4	Hydrolysis Process for Glucose Production	21
2.4.1	Chemical Hydrolysis	21-22
2.4.2	Enzymatic Hydrolysis	22-23
	i) Cellulase Enzyme	23-24

	ii) Cellobiose Enzyme	24-25
2.4.3	Comparison of Chemical and Enzymatic Hydrolysis	25-26
2.5	Conversion Glucose to Sorbitol	26
	i) Glucose as Substrate	26-27
	ii) Microorganism	27-29
2.6	Submerged Fermentation Process	30
2.7	Hydrogenation Process	30-31
2.8	Solid State Fermentation (SSF) Process	31-33
2.8.1	Fermentation Operating Condition and Parameter	33
	i) Temperature	33-34
	ii) Moisture Content	34
	iii) Fermentation time	35
	iv) Ph	35
2.8.2	Summary of step involved in solid state fermentation (SSF)	35-37
2.8.3	Comparisons between Solid State Fermentation and Submerge Fermentation	37-38
2.8.4	Advantages of Solid State Fermentation (SSF) Process	39
2.8.5	Application of Solid State Fermentation (SSF) Process	39-40

2.9	Design Experiment using Ofat Experiment and Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	40-41
2.10	Solid State Fermentation (SSF) Process And Optimization by Using Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	41-42
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	43-77
3.1	Materials	43
3.2	Equipments	44-45
3.3	The Whole Of Experimental Process	46
3.3.1	Experimental Procedure for Whole Process of Production Sorbitol	47-48
3.4	<i>Meranti</i> Wood Sawdust	48-49
3.5	Pretreatment Process	49-50
3.5.1	Physical Pretreatment	50-51
3.5.2	Predelignification Process Using Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)	52-53
3.5.3	First Stage of Pretreatment using Peracetic Acid (CH <sub>3</sub> COOOH)	54-55
3.5.4	Second Stage of Pretreatment	56-57
3.6	Enzymatic Hydrolysis	58-60
3.7	Glucose in Liquid Form Converted to Solid Form	61

3.8	Solid State Fermentation (SSF) Process	62-63
3.8.1	Strain/ Bacteria	64
3.8.2	Preparation of MRS Agar and MRS Broth	64-65
3.8.3	Striking Bacteria on Petri Dish	65-66
3.8.4	Cultivation of Bacteria / Inoculums Preparation	67-68
3.8.5	Growth Profile of <i>Lactobacillus Plantarum</i> by using the MRS medium	68-69
3.8.6	Solid State Fermentation (SSF) Process	70-71
3.8.7	Solid State Fermentation (SSF) process, One factor at a time (OFAT)	72-73
3.8.8	Design of Experiment (DOE): Experimental Planning	73-75
3.9	Techniques to Analysis	75
3.9.1	Kappa Number	76
3.9.2	Dinitrosalicylic Colorimetric Method (DNS) using UV-VIS	77
3.9.3	Functional Group Using Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy	77



<b>4</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	78-115
4.1	The Composition of <i>Meranti</i> Wood Sawdust	78-79
4.2	Pretreatment of <i>Meranti</i> Wood Sawdust	79-80
4.3	Physical Pretreatment of <i>Meranti</i> Wood Sawdust	80
4.4	Chemical Pretreatment of <i>Meranti</i> Wood Sawdust	80-85
4.4.1	Characteristic of <i>Meranti</i> Wood Sawdust (Untreated)	85-88
4.5	Conversion of Cellulose to Glucose Using Enzymatic Hydrolysis Process	88-89
4.5.1	Characteristic of Glucose after Enzymatic Hydrolysis Process	89-90
4.6	Solid State Fermentation (SSF) Process of Glucose to Produce Sorbitol	91
4.7	Profile Growth of <i>Lactobacillus Plantarum</i>	91-93
4.8	Production of Sorbitol using Solid State Fermentation (SSF) Process: One Factor at a Time (OFAT)	93-98
4.9	Production of Sorbitol using Solid State Fermentation (SSF) Process: Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	98-110
4.10	Production of Sorbitol using Solid State Fermentation (SSF) Process: Process Optimization	110-111
4.11	Production of Sorbitol using Solid State	111-113

	Fermentation (SSF) Process: Validation of Empirical Model Adequacy	
4.12	Comparisons the Result of Experimental Before Optimization and After Optimization	113-114
4.13	Comparisons of Solid State Fermentation (SSF) and Submerged Fermentation (SmF)	114-115
4.14	Comparing The Yield Of Sorbitol From Commercial Glucose and Glucose Pre-Treated from <i>Meranti</i> Wood Sawdust Using SSF	115
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	116-118
5.1	General Conclusions	116-117
5.2	Recommendation for Future Work	117-118
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	119-125
	<b>APENDIXS</b>	126-138

**LIST OF TABLE**

<b>TABLE NO</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 2.1	Properties of Sorbitol	7
Table 2.2	Application of Sorbitol	9-10
Table 2.3	Compositions in the several of wood sawdust	13
Table 2.4	Percent dry weight (% w/dw) composition of lignocelluloses from plants	13
Table 2.5	Advantages and disadvantages of pre-treatment method for lignocellulosic material	20-21
Table 2.6	Comparison of Chemical and Enzymatic Hydrolysis	26
Table 2.7	Summary of types of bacteria that used in produce sugar alcohol	29
Table 2.8	The diverse range of agro-residues utilization in SSF technology	33
Table 2.9	Summary of steps involved in SSF	36-37
Table 2.10	The differences between of solid state fermentation and submerged fermentation	38
Table 2.11	Application of SSF	40
Table 3.1	List of Equipments and their Functions	44-45
Table 3.2	The composition of Meranti wood sawdust	49
Table 3.3	The number of preliminary experiment for fermentation process (Effect of time)	72
Table 3.4	The number of preliminary experiment for fermentation	73

	process (Effect of moisture content)	
Table 3.5	The number of preliminary experiment for fermentation process (Effect of temperature)	73
Table 3.6	The experimental range of variables process	74
Table 3.7	The arrangement of experiment using central composite design (CCD) for solid state fermentation process	74-75
Table 4.1	The composition of <i>meranti</i> wood sawdust	79
Table 4.2	Assignments of IR Bands of Ash-Tree Wood	86
Table 4.3	The experimental layout and results of central composite design (CCD)	99-100
Table 4.4	ANOVA for response surface quadratic model (partial some of square) response; sorbitol production (g/L)	101
Table 4.5	The result of the optimum operational conditions for sorbitol production	111
Table 4.6	The validation of experimental design	112
Table 4.7	The comparisons of results before optimization (OFAT) and after optimization (RSM)	114

**LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>FIGURES NO</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1	The Structure of Sorbitol	8
Figure 2.2	Sorbitol and manitol degradation pathway for LDH-deficient strain of <i>L. plantarum</i>	8
Figure 2.3	Representation of lignocelluloses structure showing cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin fractions	14
Figure 2.4	Cellulose microfibril	15
Figure 2.5	Structure of Hemicellulose	16
Figure 2.6	Structure of Lignin	17
Figure 2.7	Process of cellulose recovery	18
Figure 2.8	Structure of $\beta$ -Cellobiose	25
Figure 2.9	Catalytic hydrogenation of D-glucose to D-sorbitol	31
Figure 2.10	Feature for solid state fermentation (SSF) system	32
Figure 3.1	The Expeimental Process	46
Figure 3.2	Flowchart of whole experimental procedure	47-48
Figure 3.3	Whole process of Pre-treatment Meranti wood sawdust	50
Figure 3.4	Physical treatment of meranti wood sawdust	51
Figure 3.5	Process of Predelignification pretreatment using NaOH solution.	53
Figure 3.6	Process of first stage pretreatment using PAA solution	55
Figure 3.7	Process of Second stage of pretreatment using H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	57
Figure 3.8	Enzymatic hydrolysis process	60

Figure 3.9	Spray drying of Glucose	61
Figure 3.10	Flow chart for whole process of fermentation	63
Figure 3.11	MRS agar and MRS broth before autoclaved	64
Figure 3.12	Process preparation of MRS agar And MRS broth	65
Figure 3.13	Process of streaking bacteria on petri dish	66
Figure 3.14	Process of incubated bacteria	66
Figure 3.15	Process of purged nitrogen gas for inoculums preparation	67
Figure 3.16	Inoculums Preparation	68
Figure 3.17	Profile growth of bacteria process	69
Figure 3.18	SSF process	71
Figure 4.1	The lignin content in <i>meranti</i> wood sawdust after pre-treatment	81
Figure 4.2	The percentage of lignin content removal in <i>meranti</i> wood sawdust	82
Figure 4.3	<i>Meranti</i> wood sawdust after pre-delignification process using NaOH	83
Figure 4.4	<i>Meranti</i> wood sawdust after the first stage process using PAA	84
Figure 4.5	<i>Meranti</i> wood sawdust after second stage process using H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	85
Figure 4.6	FTIR spectra of <i>meranti</i> wood sawdust before treatment	87
Figure 4.7	FTIR spectra of <i>meranti</i> wood sawdust after second stage pretreatment using H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	87
Figure 4.8	FTIR spectra of <i>meranti</i> wood sawdust for cellulose	87

	standard	
Figure 4.9	FTIR spectra of glucose after enzymatic hydrolysis process (after spray dried)	90
Figure 4.10	FTIR spectra of glucose standard	90
Figure 4.11	The profile growth of <i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	93
Figure 4.12	Effect of fermentation time in the SSF process	94
Figure 4.13	Effect of moisture content in SSF process	96
Figure 4.14	Effect of temperature in the SSF process	98
Figure 4.15	Normal probability plot of residuals for sorbitol production	103
Figure 4.16	The plot of residuals against predicted response of sorbitol production	104
Figure 4.17	The interaction graph of sorbitol production from the model equation: effect of fermentation time (hours) and moisture content %	105
Figure 4.18	The interaction graph of sorbitol production from the model equation: effect of fermentation time (hours) and temperature (°C).	106
Figure 4.19	The interaction graph of sorbitol production from the model equation: effect of moisture content % and temperature (°C).	106
Figure 4.20	The three dimensional graph (3D) of sorbitol production from model equation: effect of fermentation time and moisture content	107

Figure 4.21	The three dimensional graph (3D) of sorbitol production from model equation: effect of fermentation time and temperature	108
Figure 4.22	The three dimensional graph (3D) of sorbitol production from model equation: effect of moisture content and temperature	109



## LIST OF SYMBOLS

SSF	- Solid State Fermentamin
SmF	- Submerged Fermentationb
OFAT	- One Factor At a Time
RSM	- Response Surface Methodology
CCD	- Central Composite Design
G	- Gram
g/L	- Gram per Litre
ml	- Mililiter
Mm	- Milimeter
Mg	- Miligram
Nm	- Nanometer
$\mu$ l	- Microliter
mol/L	- Mol per Liter
FRIM	- Forest Research Institute of Malaysia
w/dw	- Weight per Dry Weight
w/v	- Weight per Volume
LAB	- Lactic Acid Bacteria
GRAS	- Generally Recognised As Safe
ATCC	- America Type Culture Collection

NaOH	- Sodium Hydroxide
PAA	- Peracetic Acid
CH <sub>3</sub> COOOH	- Peracetic Acid
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	- Hydrogen Peroxide
H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	- Sulfuric Acid
N <sub>2</sub>	- Nitrogen Gas
O <sub>2</sub>	- Oxygen Gas
OD	- Optical Density
DNS	- Dinitrosalicylic
MC	- Moisture Content
RH	- Relative Humidity
a <sub>w</sub>	- Water Activity
HPLC	- High Performance Liquid Chromatography
FTIR	- Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy
XRD	- X-ray Diffraction

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Calculations of Pretreatment Process	126-127
B	Preparation of Buffer Solution	128
C	Experimental Pictures	129-135
D	HPLC Result	136-138



## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 BACKGROUND STUDY

Malaysia generates an abundance of agricultural wastes such as sawdust, sugar cane baggage, rice husk, rice straw, rubber wood dust, palm kernel cake and many other waste materials. The volume of the agricultural wastes' produced is approximately 5 million tons per year. To avoid this environmental problem, the management of agricultural wastes in this country must be given priority to ascertain the reduction of environment pollution (Pang *et al.*, 2006). The production of new chemicals and biochemical's today must be produced by utilizing minimum energy requirements and zero environmental pollution in order to achieve the environmental friendly status. Production of waste materials is actually an undeniable part of human society. Nowadays many products are produced from these wastes materials and one such product is sorbitol *from meranti (Philippine mahogany) wood sawdust*. *Meranti* tree sawdust is an inexpensive raw material and is currently being investigated as an absorbent (Anees *et al.*, 2009).

Production of sorbitol is important as an industrial chemical. It can be produced by the fermentation of glucose using a number bacteria such as *Lactobacillus sp.*, *Zymomonas mobilis*, *E. Coli* as well as several others microorganisms. However, only a few microorganisms have been suggested as a potential sorbitol producer. Sorbitol or also known as glucitol, is a six-carbon sugar polyol and it has a variety of applications in the pharmaceutical industry, in the food industry as a low-calorie sweetener, humectants, texturing agent and also as a softener (Reinout *et al.*, 2010). Moreover, a polyol like sorbitol is generally used extensively in its liquid form as in in oral care products and it is

also expensive in its crystalline form. The world-wide production of sorbitol is estimated to be approximately 500,000 tons annually and the market for the product is continuously increasing (Ladero *et al.*, 2007).

The fermentation process to produce sorbitol can be divided in two types, namely solid state fermentation (SSF) which is still under intensive research and submerged fermentation (SmF), which is well established. Most of the industries, especially in Malaysia, rely on submerged fermentation where the bacteria or microorganisms are grown in liquid media and yet some industries also use the solid state fermentation process. Some authors such as Manpreet, (2005) have mentioned that SSF has a good option compared to the SmF process because less chances of contamination due to low water activity, better product recovery, low waste water output and others benefits. Besides that, the process using SSF has been increasing nowadays because it is an important process and has applications in bio-pesticides, production of enzymes and aroma compounds, biopharmaceutical and the production of organic acids. The development of the SSF process was achieved sometime around 1950 to 1960 when steroid transformation was reported using fungal culture followed by mycotoxin production using the SSF process (Manpreet *et al.*, 2005).

The present study on sorbitol fermentation is focused primarily on the effect of parameters (fermentation time, moisture content and temperature) on the solid state fermentation (SSF) process using the *Lactobacillus plantarum* (BAA793;NCIMB 8826) strain on *meranti* wood sawdust as substrate.

## **1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Malaysia is a large country that produces many types of waste materials and one such waste material is wood sawdust. Malaysian sawmills were produced 3.4 million m<sup>3</sup> annually of wood wastes. This waste production can result in a significant environmental problem if not disposed of in proper manner. The agro-industry likes sawdust as it has great potential as a substrate for sorbitol fermentation because it contains cellulose that can be

converted to glucose and then utilized by *Lactobacillus sp.* Such utilization would further increase profitability for the sorbitol industry and consequently solving an environmental problem.

Sorbitol can be produced by the fermentation of glucose by using either the solid state fermentation (SSF) process or the submerged fermentation (SmF) process. Solid state fermentation has gained renewed attention in the recent years. To produce a high yield of sorbitol, the solid state fermentation is used. SSF has the potential for the economical production of sorbitol. SSF also has many advantages over submerged fermentation including economy of space needed for fermentation, superior yield, less energy demand, low capital and recurring expenditure. The submerged fermentation (SmF) process on the other hand, has many drawbacks including lower production quantity compared to the solid state fermentation (SSF). In addition, submerged fermentation requires processed ingredients that are expensive and the media concentration is very much lower as compared to the water content. Submerged fermentation also uses a large amount of water in the process and therefore it becomes a major cause of contamination besides making the downstream process difficult and very expensive. In the SmF process, the level of liquid waste produced is very high and it will cause difficulties in dumping later on (Manpreet *et al.*, 2005).

### **1.3 RESEARCH OBJECTIVE**

The main objective of this project is to produce a high yield of sorbitol by solid state fermentation (SSF) using the *meranti* wood sawdust. To achieve this objective, the following steps has been carried out:

- To identify the effect of fermentation time, moisture content and temperature on the sorbitol concentration during solid state fermentation (SSF).
- To determine the optimum condition of solid state fermentation (SSF) which can produce high yield of sorbitol.

- To compare the conversion yield of sawdust and yield of sorbitol between solid state fermentation (SSF) and submerged fermentation (SmF), thereafter to compare the yield of sorbitol for commercial glucose between glucose pretreated from *meranti* wood sawdust using solid state fermentation (SSF).

#### 1.4 SCOPE OF THE STUDY

There are mainly four scopes in this research:

- The characterization of *meranti* wood sawdust (raw material), cellulose (after pretreated *meranti* wood sawdust) and glucose (after enzymatic hydrolysis) was done before the reaction and separation was carried out. The *meranti* wood sawdust was characterized based on the Forest Research Institute of Malaysia (FRIM) laboratory by using in house (FRIM) methods and functional groups (FTIR), while the cellulose and glucose characterized by its functional group (FTIR) and quantitative analysis (HPLC).
- The glucose produced after the enzymatic hydrolysis process was used in this study in order to investigate the parameters (fermentation time, moisture content and temperature) controlling the sorbitol production for the (OFAT) study. The amount of sorbitol was analyzed using the HPLC method.
- Prior to its application and in order to determine the optimum condition for sorbitol production (fermentation time, moisture content and temperature), the response surface methodology (RSM) was performed. This phase is very important in order to determine the optimum yield of sorbitol production.
- Commercial glucose was used in this study to produce sorbitol in order to compare the concentration of sorbitol and/between glucose from pretreated *meranti* wood sawdust using the optimum condition of parameters (fermentation time, moisture content and temperature).