

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF THE FRICTION STIR WELDED DISSIMILAR ALUMINIUM ALLOY JOINTS

¹RAMARAJU RAMGOPAL VARMA, ²ABDULLAH BIN IBRAHIM, ³MOHAMMED, ⁴ARIFPIN BIN MANSOR

^{1,2,3}Department of Manufacturing Technology, Faculty of Technology, University Malaysia Pahang
E-mail: ramgopal@ump.edu.my, ²abi@ump.edu.my, ³arriffin@ump.edu.my

Abstract- The present investigation aims in assessment of the mechanical properties of friction stir welded dissimilar metal alloy joints. The optimized process parameters have been predicted for obtaining the strength of the joints in comparable to the base metal. Aluminum 5083 and aluminum 6061 sheets of 5mm thick have been considered for fabricating the dissimilar joints due to their range of usage in most of the engineering applications. Dissimilar FS welded joints were fabricated by varying the process parameters like rotational speed, traverse speed and axial force fixing the Al 5083 on the advancing side and Al 6061 on the retreating side. Two levels of these parameters have been chosen at 1000 rpm and 1600 rpm, 40mm/min and 160 mm/min and 2.5kN and 3.5kN for rotational speed, traverse speed and axial force respectively. Taguchi technique was used to optimize the process parameters by selecting an L-8 orthogonal array consisting of 8 experimental runs. The mechanical properties like the yield strength, elongation, tensile strength and micro hardness of these joints fabricated has been evaluated at all these process parameters.

Keywords- Dissimilar alloy Joints, Friction Stir Welding, Tensile Strength, Hardness, Optimization of Process Parameters, Taguchi Technique.

I. INTRODUCTION

Friction stir welding, since its invention has been extensively used in studied in many engineering applications. FSW on joining of metals can be seen in . Joining of dissimilar metals by conventional methods results in large plastic deformations, unwanted thermal stresses and poor mechanical properties. FSW offers an efficient solution to this challenging task since the joining takes place much below the melting temperatures and results in less distortion, lower residual stresses and fewer defects.

Joining of dissimilar aluminium alloys is gaining research importance by FSW. FSW on aluminium copper, aluminium steel, Ti-6Al-4V has been studied in. Since the metal is deformed by the frictional heat generated by rotating the tool The stirring action of the pin plasticizes the material and the joint is produced by plastic deformation of the material.

The pin not only rotates but also traverses along the length of the weld, enabling to weld the two plates.

The tool rotation and weld direction are similar on one side called as Advancing Side (AS) and opposite on the other called as Retreating Side(RS).

Due to this in an FSW joint there exists an asymmetry which is the unique characteristic of the joint.

By observing the physical phenomenon of the process one can easily understand the important process parameters that influence the strength of the joint.

Out of those influencing parameters are considered as tool rotational speed, traverse speed and axial force. One of the considerations in producing effective joints by FSW is choosing the process parameters.

Hence in the present investigation these parameters have been identified and selected at two levels and their influence on the mechanical properties has been investigated. Studies on the effect of process parameters on the tensile behavior and micro structural studies and developing mathematical models for the same can be seen in.

In all the studies reported earlier on FSW method the effect of the process parameters on the joints has been studied; however there is a necessity to study the effect of the same on producing dissimilar alloy joints of AL5083 and Al6061 due to their heavy usage in marine, aerospace, mechanical and nuclear applications. With this motive the research has been carried out to study the effect of process parameters on producing high strength dissimilar alloy joints.

II. EXPERIMENTATION

The friction stir welded joints of dissimilar aluminium 5083 and aluminium 6061 were fabricated using a FSW machine. A total of 8 joints were fabricated as per the design plan from the Taguchi technique.

The chemical compositions and the mechanical properties of the metals are given in Table 1 and 2. The process parameters selected for the present investigation are given in Table 3.

Table 1 Chemical Composition of AL5083 and AL6061 alloys

AL5083		AL6061	
Component	Wt. %	Component	Wt. %
Al	92.4 - 95.6	Al	95.8 - 98.6
Cr	0.05 - 0.25	Cr	0.04 - 0.35
Cu	Max 0.1	Cu	0.15 - 0.4
Fe	Max 0.4	Fe	Max 0.7
Mg	4 - 4.9	Mg	0.8 - 1.2
Mn	0.4 - 1	Mn	Max 0.15
Other, each	Max 0.05	Other, each	Max 0.05
Other, total	Max 0.15	Other, total	Max 0.15
Si	Max 0.4	Si	0.4 - 0.8
Ti	Max 0.15	Ti	Max 0.15
Zn	Max 0.25	Zn	Max 0.25

Table 2 Mechanical Properties of AL5083 and AL6061 alloys[2]

AL5083		AL6061	
Yield Strength	228 Mpa	Yield Strength	276 Mpa
Ultimate Tensile Strength	317 Mpa	Ultimate Tensile Strength	310 Mpa
Elongation	16 %	Elongation	12%
Hardness(Vickers)	96	Hardness(Vickers)	107

Table 3 Process parameters[19]

Parameter	Level 1	Level 2
Tool Rotational Speed (rpm)	1000	16000
Traverse Speed (mm/min)	40	160
Axial Force (kN)	2.5	3.5

The tool used for fabricating the joints is made by high carbon steel of diameter 20 mm with shoulder diameter as 12mm and with a cylindrical pin of diameter 5mm. With the identified process parameters the joints are fabricated the schematic diagram of the joint and one of the fabricated joint is shown in Figure 1a and 1b.




Figure 1a Schematic Diagram FSW Joint



Figure 1b Joint Fabricated by FSW

The diagram of the plates from which the samples are cut is given in Figure 2a and the tensile test specimen is given in Figure 2b.




Figure 2a Schematic Diagram Samples of FSW Joint




Figure 2b Tensile Test Specimen of FSW Joint

A set of 24 specimens for determining the mechanical properties were prepared by using a wire cut EDM machine by Mitsubishi as shown in Figure 3a and 3b.



Figure 3a Samples Cut from EDM




Figure 4b Indentation sample of the Diamond Indenter




Figure 3b Samples for Tensile Test

The failure of the tensile specimens occurred either on the retreating side or the advancing side of the fabricated joint and is shown in Figure 5. The graphs indicating the tensile strength and micro hardness are given in Figure 6a and 6b.

Tensile test and hardness tests have been carried out using an Instron testing machine and Wilson Computerized Vickers hardness testers. For each fabricated plate a set of 3 samples for tensile test and 2 samples for micro harness were cut. A row of indentation tests have been conducted at each location of the sample along the longitudinal direction of the weld and the figures are given in Figure 4a, and 4b.



Figure 5 Fractured specimens from Tensile Testing




Figure 4a Samples for Micro Hardness




Figure 6a Yield Strength, Tensile Strength and elongation of the specimens from Tensile Test




Figure 6b Micro hardness perpendicular to the weld line from Hardness Test

III. OPTIMIZATION

The optimization of process parameters has been performed by using Taguchi technique and Qualitek4 Software. The process parameters identified at two levels are rotational speed, traverse speed and axial force. Two levels of these parameters chosen are 1000 rpm, 1600rpm, 40mm/min, 160mm/min, 2.5kN and 3.5kN for rotational speed, traverse speed and axial force respectively. An L8-Orthogonal array has been selected. The trail runs for the experiments is given in the Table 4 below.

Table 4 Indicating the Trail Runs by varying the process Parameters

Exp .no	Rotatio nal speed rpm (A)	Travers c Speed mm/min (B)	AxB =C	AxD =E	Axial Forc e(D)	BxL =F	BxD =G
1	1000	40	1	1	2.5	1	1
2	1000	40	1	2	3.5	2	2
3	1000	160	2	1	2.5	2	2
4	1000	160	2	2	3.5	1	1
5	1600	40	2	1	3.5	1	1
6	1600	40	2	2	2.5	2	2
7	1600	160	1	1	3.5	2	2
8	1600	160	1	2	2.5	1	1

The results given as input for each sample are given in Table 5.

Table 5 Results of the Micro Hardness

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
Trial 1	92.34	94.17	98.28	98.28
Trial 2	85.07	90.56	84.17	85.53
Trial 3	110	89.08	91.83	88.11
Trial 4	97.16	112.7	92.09	96.05
Trial 5	97.16	112.7	92.09	96.05
Trial 6	101.5	107	89.82	103.3
Trial 7	107.7	96.61	100	84.17
Trial 8	120.8	96.33	106.1	103.1

The effect of each process parameters on the micro hardness is shown in the Figure 7a and 7b. The S/N analysis has been selected since the input data from the

experiments is more than one set for each trial. The optimized parameters have been obtained as rotational speed as 1000rpm, traverse speed 40mm/min and axial force of 3.5kN.





Figure 7a and 7b Influence of each process parameter on the micro hardness




Figure 7c. Graph indicating the current condition and Improved Conditions

4. DISCUSSION

The tensile behavior and hardness values from the experiments show that there is an increase in the same when the rotational speed and axial force is increased keeping the weld speed constant. There is a decrease in the same when the weld speed is increased keeping the other parameters constant. However, when the axial force is increased the tensile strength is increased. This behavior is due to the increased frictional heat and insufficient frictional heat. Higher weld speeds results

in poor heat generation and plastic flow of the material. This may have resulted in a weak interface at the joint. Higher the weld speeds lower the heat generation which results in faster cooling of the welded joints. It can also be inferred that when the welding speeds are higher there exists lower metallurgical transformations and lower strengths.

However, in the present investigation the tensile strength and the hardness are observed to be more due to the ageing of the welded joints. From the optimization technique the optimized process parameters are obtained the results from the current condition and improved condition are given in Figure 7.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the Ministry of Higher Education (MoHE), Malaysia for the financial support provided under RDU 130103 under FRGS. The authors are also thankful to the Dr Zularism, Dean Faculty of Technology, Dean Faculty of Manufacturing and Dr Prasad Rao for sharing their laboratories.

REFERENCES

- [1] Thomas WM, Nicholas ED, Needham JC, Murch MG, Templesmith P, Dawes CJ. GB Patent Application No. 9125978.8, December; 1991
- [2] Metals Handbook, Vol.2 - Properties and Selection: Nonferrous Alloys and Special-Purpose Materials, ASM International 10th Ed. 1990.
- [3] Dawes CJ. An introduction to friction stir welding and its development. *Weld Metal Fabric* 1995:13–16.
- [4] Mishra RS, Ma ZY. Friction stir welding and processing. *Mater Sci Eng R* 50:1–78, 2005
- [5] R. Nandan, T. DebRoy and H. K. D. H. Bhadeshia. Recent Advances in Friction Stir Welding– Process, Weldment Structure and Properties, *Progress in Materials Science* 53 pp.980-1023,2008.
- [6] Cam G. Friction stir welded structural materials beyond Al-alloys. *Int Mater Rev* 56(1):1–48, 2011.
- [7] D Rao, K Huber, J Heerens, J F dos Santos, N Huber, Asymmetric mechanical properties and tensile behavior prediction of aluminium alloy 5083 friction stir welded joints, *Materials Science and Engineering A* 565, pp.44–50, 2013.
- [8] S Rajakumar, V Balasubramanian, Correlation between weld nugget grain size, weld nugget hardness and tensile strength of friction stir welded commercial grade aluminium alloy joints, *Materials and Design* 34, pp.242–251, 2012.
- [9] HJ Liu, JC Hou, H Guo, Effect of welding speed on microstructure and mechanical properties of self-reacting friction stir welded 6061-T6 aluminium alloy, *Materials and Design* 50, pp.872–878, 2013.
- [10] N Shanmuga, N Muragan, Tensile Behavior of Dissimilar friction stir welded joints of aluminium alloys, *Materials and Design* 31, pp.4184–4193, 2010
- [11] R Palanivel, P Koshy Mathews, The tensile behavior of friction stir welded dissimilar aluminum alloys, *Materials and Technology*, 45(6), pp.623-626, 2011.
- [12] P. Cavaliere, E. Cerri, A. Squillace, Mechanical response of 2024-7075 aluminium alloys joined by friction stir welding. *Journal of Material Science*, 40, 14, 3669–765, 2005.
- [13] T. Saeid, A. Abdollah-zadeh, B. Szgari, Weldability and mechanical properties of dissimilar aluminum–copper lap joints made by friction stir welding. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 490 1–2,652–655, 2010.
- [14] Bo Li, Zhenhua Zhang, Yifu Shen, Weiye Hu, Lei Luo, Dissimilar friction stir welding of Ti–6Al–4V alloy and aluminium alloy employing a modified butt joint configuration: Influences of process variables on the weld interfaces and tensile properties, *Materials and Design* 53, pp.838–848, 2014.
- [15] S Kundu, D Roy, R Bhola, D Bhattacharjee, B Mishra, S Chatterjee, Microstructural and tensile strength of friction stir welded joints between interstitial free steel and commercially pure aluminium, *Materials and Design* 50, pp.370–375, 2013.
- [16] K. Elangovan, V. Balasubramanian, S. Babu, Predicting tensile strength of friction stir welded 6061 aluminium alloy joints by mathematical model. *Material and Design*, 30 1, 88–1, 2009.
- [17] Rajakumar S, Muralidharan C, Balasubramanian V. Establishing empirical relationships to predict grain size and tensile strength of friction stir welded AA 6061-T6 aluminium alloy joints. *Trans Nonferrous Met Soc China* 20:1863–72, 2010.
- [18] Rajakumar S, Muralidharan C, Balasubramanian V. Predicting tensile strength, hardness and corrosion rate of friction stir welded AA6061-T6 aluminium alloy joints. *Mater Des* 32:2878–90, 2011.
- [19] A K Lakshminarayana, V Balasubramanian, Process parameters optimization for friction stir welding of RDE-40 aluminium alloy using Taguchi technique, *Trans. Nonferrous Met.Soc.China* 18, pp.548-554, 2008
- [20] M Jayaraman, V Balasubramanian, Effect of process parameters on tensile strength of friction stir weld cast A356 aluminium alloy joints, *Trans. Nonferrous Met.Soc.China* 23, pp.605-615, 2013
- [21] Elangovan K, Balasubramanian V, Babu S. Predicting tensile strength of friction stir welded AA6061 aluminium alloy joints by a mathematical model. *Materials and Design*, 30, pp. 188–93, 2009.
- [22] S. Rajakumar C. Muralidharan, V. Balasubramanian, Establishing empirical relationships to predict grain size and tensile strength of friction stir welded AA 6061-T6 aluminium alloy joints, *Trans Nonferrous Met Soc China* Volume 20, Issue 10, October, pp. 1863–1872, 2010.
- [23] S Rajakumar, C Muralidharan, V Balasubramanian. Optimization of the friction-stir-welding process and the tool parameters to attain a maximum tensile strength of AA7075-T6 aluminium alloy [J], *Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, 224, pp. 1175–1191, 2010.
- [24] ASTM E8M-09. American society for testing and materials (ASTM). In :Standard test methods for tension testing of metallic materials, vol. 03.01. West Conshohocken (PA): Annual book of ASTM standards; 2009.
- [25] Taguchi's Quality Engineering Handbook, Genichi Taguchi, Subir Chowdhury, Yun Wu, Wiley, 2005.
