

A Note on Moments of Dividends

Hansjörg Albrecher^{1,*}, Hans U. Gerber²

¹Professor of Actuarial Science, Faculty of Business and Economics, University of Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland and Faculty Member of the Swiss Finance Institute (E-mail: hansjoerg.albrecher@unil.ch)

²Distinguished Visiting Professor at the University of Hong Kong, and Honorary Professor of Actuarial Science, Faculty of Business and Economics, University of Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
(E-mail: hgerber@unil.ch)

Abstract We reconsider a formula for arbitrary moments of expected discounted dividend payments in a spectrally negative Lévy risk model that was obtained in Renaud and Zhou (2007, [4]) and in Kyprianou and Palmowski (2007, [3]) and extend the result to stationary Markov processes that are skip-free upwards.

Keywords dividends, barrier strategies, stationary Markov process, scale function

2000 MR Subject Classification 91B30; 60G51; 62P05

In two recent papers, Renaud and Zhou^[4] and Kyprianou and Palmowski^[3] independently showed that the k th moment of the expected discounted dividend payments in a spectrally negative Lévy risk model with initial surplus u and horizontal dividend barrier $b \geq u$ is given by

$$V_k(u; b) = k! \frac{W_{k\delta}(u)}{W_{k\delta}(b)} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{W_{i\delta}(b)}{W'_{i\delta}(b)}. \quad (1)$$

Here $\delta \geq 0$ is a constant discount rate and $W_q(x)$ is the scale function of the underlying Lévy process (with Laplace exponent ψ) defined through its Laplace transform $\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} W_q(x) dx = 1/(\psi(\lambda) - q)$.

In this short note we show that formula (1) can be established in a more general framework by direct probabilistic reasoning. Concretely, assume that the surplus process $U(t)$ is a stationary Markov process that has no jumps upwards and has the strong Markov property. Let $T_{(0,a)} = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid U(t) \notin (0, a)\}$ and define for $0 \leq u_1 \leq u_2$ the function $C_\delta(u_1, u_2) = \mathbb{E}_{u_1}[e^{-\delta T_{(0,u_2)}}; U(T_{(0,u_2)}) = u_2]$, which is the Laplace transform of the upper exit time out of the interval $(0, u_2)$ when starting in u_1 , i.e. $U(0) = u_1$. As discussed in [1], one immediately deduces from the absence of upward jumps and the strong Markov property that

$$C_\delta(u_1, u_3) = C_\delta(u_1, u_2) C_\delta(u_2, u_3), \quad 0 \leq u_1 \leq u_2 \leq u_3.$$

Thus, there exists a positive increasing function $h_\delta(x)$ such that

$$C_\delta(u_1, u_2) = \frac{h_\delta(u_1)}{h_\delta(u_2)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq u_1 \leq u_2$$

(note that in the particular situation where $U(t)$ is a spectrally negative Lévy process, $h_\delta(x)$ can be identified with the scale function $W_\delta(x)$). Since the function $h_\delta(x)$ is unique only up to

a constant factor, we can choose u_0 and set $h_\delta(u_0) = 1$, giving

$$h_\delta(u) = \begin{cases} C_\delta(u, u_0), & u < u_0, \\ 1/C_\delta(u_0, u), & u > u_0. \end{cases}$$

Dividends are paid according to the barrier strategy with horizontal barrier b , that is, any potential excess of the surplus beyond b is paid as dividends. Let $D_u(t)$ denote the aggregate dividends paid up to time t , and let τ be the time of ruin. Then the present value of all dividends up to ruin is

$$D_u = \int_0^\tau e^{-\delta t} dD_u(t).$$

The k th moment of D_u is denoted by $V_k(u; b) = \mathbb{E}_u(D_u^k)$.

Analogously to Proposition 2 of Renaud and Zhou^[4], it immediately follows from $(e^{-\delta t} D_u)^k = e^{-k\delta t} D_u^k$ and the strong Markov property of $U(t)$ applied at the upper exit time of the interval $(0, b)$ that

$$V_k(u; b) = C_{k\delta}(u; b) V_k(b; b) = \frac{h_{k\delta}(u)}{h_{k\delta}(b)} V_k(b; b), \quad 0 \leq u \leq b. \quad (2)$$

Related to an idea of Gerber and Shiu^[2], consider next the difference between the total discounted dividends when starting in $U(0) = b$ and $U(0) = b - \epsilon$, respectively, for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. If $U(0) = b - \epsilon$, then the dividend barrier will be reached “shortly”. At that time, the process that starts at b has led to a total dividend of ϵ , and after this time the trajectories of the two processes are identical. Hence we have the approximate relationship $D_b - D_{b-\epsilon} \approx \epsilon$ and subsequently $D_b^k - D_{b-\epsilon}^k \approx D_b^k - (D_b - \epsilon)^k = \epsilon k D_b^{k-1} + o(\epsilon)$. Taking expectations and the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we arrive at

$$\left. \frac{dV_k(u; b)}{du} \right|_{u=b^-} = k V_{k-1}(b; b). \quad (3)$$

From (2) and (3) we obtain the recursive formula

$$V_k(b; b) = k \frac{h_{k\delta}(b)}{h'_{k\delta}(b)} V_{k-1}(b; b).$$

From this and $V_0(u; b) = 1$ we obtain

$$V_k(b; b) = k! \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{h_{i\delta}(b)}{h'_{i\delta}(b)}.$$

Substitution in (2) yields

$$V_k(u; b) = k! \frac{h_{k\delta}(u)}{h_{k\delta}(b)} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{h_{i\delta}(b)}{h'_{i\delta}(b)}, \quad 0 \leq u \leq b,$$

which extends (1) to stationary Markov processes that are skip-free upwards.

References

- [1] Gerber, H.U., Lin, S., Yang, H. A note on the dividends-penalty identity and the optimal dividend barrier. *Astin Bulletin*, 36(2): 489–503 (2006)
- [2] Gerber, H.U., Shiu, E.S.W. Reply to Discussions on “Optimal Dividends: Analysis with Brownian Motion”. *North American Actuarial Journal*, 8(2): 113–115 (2004)
- [3] Kyprianou, A., Palmowski, Z. Distributional study of De Finetti’s dividend problem for a general Lévy insurance risk process. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 44: 428–443 (2007)
- [4] Renaud, J., Zhou, X. Distribution of the present value of dividend payments in a Lévy risk model. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 44: 420–427 (2007)