Pediatr Cardiol (2013) 34:530–535 DOI 10.1007/s00246-012-0488-0

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

brought to you by **CORE**

Femoral Arterial Thrombosis After Cardiac Catheterization In Infancy: Impact of Doppler Ultrasound for Diagnosis

Walter Knirsch · Christian Kellenberger · Sven Dittrich · Peter Ewert · Martin Lewin · Reinald Motz · Jan Nürnberg · Oliver Kretschmar

Received: 11 June 2012/Accepted: 7 August 2012/Published online: 9 September 2012 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2012

Abstract Femoral arterial thrombosis (FAT) is a nonnegligible complication after cardiac catheterization (CC) in infancy. The aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of Doppler ultrasound (US) for diagnostic work-up after catheterization. We compared standard follow-up (FU) without Doppler US by relying on clinical signs of FAT with advanced FU using Doppler US of the femoral vessels. Between January and December 2009, we evaluated the rate of FAT in infants <12 months of age using a multicenter, prospective observational survey. We analysed 171 patients [mean age 4.1 \pm 3.3 (SD) months; mean body weight 5.3 ± 1.8 kg] from 6 participating centres. The mean duration of catheter studies was 57.7 ± 38.0 min. The overall rate of FAT based on clinical diagnosis was 4.7 % and was comparable in both groups [3.4 % undergoing standard FU vs. 7.4 % undergoing advanced FU

This study was conducted on behalf of the Working Group Interventional Cardiology of the German Association of Pediatric Cardiology.

W. Knirsch (⊠) · O. Kretschmar Division of Pediatric Cardiology, University Children's Hospital, Steinwiesstrasse 75, 8032 Zurich, Switzerland e-mail: walter.knirsch@kispi.uzh.ch

C. Kellenberger

Division of Diagnostic Imaging, University Children's Hospital, 8032 Zurich, Switzerland

S. Dittrich Department of Pediatric Cardiology, University Hospital, 91052 Erlangen, Germany

P. Ewert

Department of Congenital Heart Disease and Pediatric Cardiology, German Heart Institute Berlin, 13353 Berlin, Germany (p = 0.15)]. However, the overall rate of thrombosis as screened by Doppler US was greater at 7.1 %, especially in patients after advanced FU [18.5 % advanced vs. standard FU 1.7 % (p < 0.01)]. In conclusion, FAT remains a relevant and underestimated complication after catheterization in young infants when relying only on clinical signs of FAT. Therefore, to start effective treatment as soon as possible, we recommend Doppler US to be performed the day after CC.

Keywords Pediatric catheter intervention · Complication · Arterial thrombosis

Introduction

The most frequent and relevant complications after cardiac catheterization (CC) using femoral vascular access in early childhood are arterial and venous thrombosis [11, 12, 20]. Femoral artery thrombosis (FAT) has been reported to occur

M. Lewin Department of Pediatric Cardiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Klinikum Stuttgart Olgahospital, 70176 Stuttgart, Germany

R. MotzPediatric Cardiology, Klinikum Oldenburg,26133 Oldenburg, Germany

J. Nürnberg Department of Congenital Heart Disease/Pediatric Cardiology, Klinikum Links der Weser, 28277 Bremen, Germany in 8–39 % of young infants [7, 8, 19]. Premature babies with birth weight <1,500 g, term newborns, and young infants <1 year of age are at particular risk of thrombosis among the pediatric population [2, 16]. Cardiac disease has been reported to be a risk factor for thrombosis in childhood [1]. Patency of vascular access is mandatory in children undergoing subsequent procedures during their lifetime.

The estimated number of unknown cases of asymptomatic forms of FAT in pediatric patients is probably high, and these cases are often missed due to the immediate recruitment of the collateral femoral artery vessels. Nevertheless, during long-term FU, FAT may lead to compromised arterial supply of the distal leg with claudicatio intermittens and significant leg length discrepancies at later age. Postthrombotic syndrome may occur in cases of femoral venous thrombosis [18]. FAT can be diagnosed clinically with apparent different findings at the lower extremities, including skin colour and temperature, capillary refilling time, blood pressure, and palpable pulse. Nevertheless, the clinical presentation of FAT in infancy may be subtle, and therefore the diagnosis of FAT can be missed. Doppler ultrasound (US) of the femoral artery, including Doppler flow pattern and colour Doppler modality, may detect partial or complete obstruction of the femoral artery even in clinically inconspicuous cases. The aim of the study was to determine the impact of Doppler US as an additional diagnostic tool in making the diagnosis of FAT after CC in infants.

Methods

Study Design

The study was planned and designed as a prospective, multicenter, clinical observational survey to evaluate the rate of FAT in infants <1 year of age after CC. The survey was performed on behalf of the Working Group Interventional Cardiology of the German Association of Pediatric Cardiology. During 1 year, all consecutive patients were included undergoing CC for diagnostic purposes or therapeutic interventions.

The primary end point of the trial was the rate of FAT on the day after CC as documented by clinical diagnosis. Criteria of clinical diagnosis of FAT were defined as cold and pale lower extremities with decreased or absent palpable pedal pulses and low blood pressure (systolic blood pressure difference >20 mmHg between both legs). The second end point was the rate of FAT as determined by Doppler US, which was primarily based on obstructed pulsatile flow pattern on Doppler US and colour Doppler using a linear 12-MHz transducer on high-end US equipment. Furthermore, we analysed risk factors contributing to FAT. To analyse the impact of Doppler US on the diagnosis of FAT, we defined two study groups: (1) the group called *standard FU* included all patients whose diagnosis of FAT was made clinically and secondarily confirmed by Doppler US; and (2) the called *advanced FU* included those patients who underwent Doppler US as a routine procedure the day after CC independent of clinical signs of FAT.

All data were collected by a questionnaire, which was sent to participating centres performing pediatric CC, including therapeutic interventions, in Switzerland and Germany. The following data were analysed: age; sex; weight; length; cardiac diagnosis; cyanosis [defined as transcutaneous oxygen saturation (tcSo₂) with moderate (80-90 %) and severe cyanosis (<80 %)]; anticoagulation before and after CC; congestive heart failure (defined as need for inotropics, such as adrenaline); haemoglobin; hematocrit; and femoral artery puncture before CC. Additional periprocedural data including cumulative frequency of vessel puncture, type and size of sheath used, need for sheath exchange during CC, procedure time, pedal pulses palpable before leaving CC laboratory, and mode of anticoagulation during CC were analysed. Postprocedural data included clinical signs of FAT during the first 24 h after CC according to our previously described criteria. FAT determined by Doppler US was defined as an abnormal obstructed flow pattern with partial or complete arterial obstruction on Doppler and colour Doppler.

Doppler US was performed the day after CC after pressure dressings were removed. Doppler US was performed by an experienced pediatric radiologist using a 12-MHz linear US probe in vascular mode and included two-dimensional colour and pulsed-wave Doppler flow. Ethical approval for the study and data collection was obtained according to the guidelines of the local ethics committees.

Statistics

For descriptive statistics, values are shown as mean \pm SD. Statistical comparison between the patients of the two defined study groups, *standard FU* and *advanced FU*, was performed using Mann–Whitney test for comparison of metric data, and Chi-square test was used for comparison of frequencies; p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patients

Between January and December 2009, the survey identified 249 consecutive patients from 6 participating centres in Germany and Switzerland. Data sets of femoral arterial access were available for 171 patients (92 female) for

statistical analysis. CC was performed at (mean \pm SD) a patient age of 4.1 \pm 3.3 months and a body weight of 5.3 \pm 1.8 kg; the procedure time was 57.7 \pm 38.0 min. Cardiac diagnoses were acyanotic congenital heart disease (tcSo₂ > 90 %) in 61.8 % and cyanotic congenital heart disease (tcSo₂ \leq 90 %) in 39.2 % of patients, with 23.4 % of patients having moderate (tcSo₂ 80–90 %) and 15.8 % having severe cyanosis (tcSo₂ \leq 80 %). A minority of patients (11.9 %) undergoing CC were treated for congestive heart failure.

Complications

On the day after CC, 18 of 171 patients (10.5 %) had clinical complications or pathologic findings on Doppler US. Clinical complications included signs of arterial thrombosis in 8 (4.7 %) and groin hematoma in 5 (2.9 %) patients. Pathologic findings on Doppler US occurred in 12 patients (7.1 %), including complete in 9 and partial arterial thrombosis in 3 patients.

Femoral Artery Thrombosis

Therefore, the overall rate of FAT made by clinical diagnosis was 4.7 %. Rates of FAT were comparable (p = 0.15) in both study groups: 3.4 % of patients with *standard FU* (clinical diagnosis) compared with 7.4 % in patients with *advanced FU* (including additional Doppler US).

The overall rate of FAT detected by Doppler US screening was greater at 7.1 % [18.5 % in patients with advanced FU vs. 1.7 % in patients with clinical FU (p < 0.01)]. Table 1 lists different parameters of the two FU modalities. Table 2 lists the patients with a complicated

course after CC and gives a detailed overview and relationship to different risk factors for FAT.

Vascular Access

Regarding the modalities of femoral artery puncture, the majority of patients (71.4 %) had not been punctured before CC. However, femoral artery access had been established before CC at least one time in 23.1 % of patients and more than one time in 5.5 % of patients, including preceding CC or arterial lines placed during intensive care treatment.

The right femoral artery was preferred (82.5 %) for vascular access compared with the left femoral artery (10.5 %) and both arteries (7 %). Only one successful puncture was needed in 62.2 % of patients; two to five attempts were needed in 34.5 % of patients; and more than five attempts were needed in 3.3 % of patients. Exchange of the arterial sheath during CC was necessary in 7 % of patients.

Anticoagulation

Anticoagulation before CC [including acetylsalicylic acid (18.9 %) and heparin (7.5 %)] was given in 26.4 % of all patients, but no patient was placed under phenprocoumon medication (0 %). Anticoagulation during CC was performed in 83.6 % of patients using heparin (intravenous dose 100 IU/kg after puncture) and after CC at least for the first 24 h in 86 % of patients using heparin or low molecular weight heparin in therapeutic dosages. One patient was treated with thrombolytic therapy after CC and was excluded from further analysis.

 Table 1
 Comparison of infants (<12 months) undergoing CC with standard FU (clinical signs, differences of pulse, blood pressure by Doppler US) with those undergoing advanced FU (including additional Doppler US) screening for FAT</th>

	e 11			
Characteristics	All $(n = 171)$	Standard FU ($n = 117$)	Advanced FU $(n = 54)$	р
Age (months)	4.1 ± 3.3	4.0 ± 3.3	4.4 ± 3.4	0.42
Body weight (kg)	5.3 ± 1.8	5.3 ± 1.9	5.2 ± 1.6	0.72
Body length (cm)	59.3 ± 8.4	59.5 ± 8.3	58.8 ± 8.5	0.53
Arterial sheath size (F)	4.01 ± 0.25	4.05 ± 0.26	3.94 ± 0.23	0.14
Study time (min)	57.7 ± 38.0	55.6 ± 40.9	60.2 ± 34.6	0.20
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	13.4 ± 2.4	13.5 ± 2.8	13.3 ± 2.4	0.52
Hematocrit	40.4 ± 8.0	40.5 ± 7.8	40.3 ± 8.3	0.67
Cyanosis (tcSo ₂ <90 %) (%)	39.2	35.9	46.3	0.34
Anticoagulation during CC (%)	83.6	83.8	92.6	0.62
Anticoagulation after CC (%)	86.0	84.6	88.8	0.53
No. (%) with clinical signs of thrombosis	8 (4.7)	4 (3.4)	4 (7.4)	0.15
No. (%) with thrombosis by Doppler US	12 (7.1)	2 (1.7)	10 (18.5)	0.01

Data are given as mean \pm SD. For statistical analysis, Mann–Whitney test was used for comparison of metric data, and χ^2 test was used for comparison of frequencies

Parter Point Point BoyAge weight (kg)Diagnosis (months)Cyanosis r patter puncture puncture puncture punctureNo.No.No.No.No.Cimation catheterization1402ReCoANoNoNoNo14NoYesNomal2784.1AP windowNoNoNoNo14NoYesNomal33.90Antic valve stenosisNoNoNo14NoYesNomal44.02.3ReCoANoNoNo14NoYesNomal54.50.9ReCoANoNoNo14NoYesNomal63.01.0Tricuspid attesiaSevereYesNo14NoYesNomal76.24.8RoNo14NoYesYesNomal76.24.8RoNo14NoYesNomal76.24.8RoNo14NoYesNomal76.24.8RoNoNo14NoYesNomal76.24.8RoNoNo14NoYesNomal76.24.8NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo82.4 </th <th>-</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>4</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	-					4								
40 2 ReCoA No Yes 1 4 No Yes Yes Yes Yes 7.8 4.1 AP window No No No No Yes Yes 3.9 0 Aortic valve stenosis No Yes No Yes Yes 4.5 0.9 ReCoA No No Yes 2.5 4 No Yes Yes 3.0 1.0 Tricuspid attesia Severe Yes No Yes Yes Yes 6.2 4.8 ReCoA No No Yes Yes Yes 6.2 4.8 No No Yes No Yes Yes 6.2 4.8 No No Yes No Yes Yes 3.0 1.0 Tot No No Yes No Yes Yes 3.1 1.7 TGA after arrerial switch	Patient no.	Body weight (kg)	Age (months)	Diagnosis	Cyanosis"	CHF		No. of punctures	Sheath size (F)	Sheath change	Anticos during/s catheter	ıgulatıon after ization	Clinical outcome	Doppler US
78 4.1 AP window No No No 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.9 0 Aortic valve stenosis No Yes No Yes No Yes Yes 4.0 2.3 ReCoA No No Yes No Yes Yes 3.0 1.0 Tricuspid attesia Severe Yes No 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.0 1.0 Tricuspid attesia Severe Yes No No Yes Yes Yes 2.2 4.8 ReCoA No No No 1 4 No Yes Yes 2.2 2.4 Pulmonary valve stenosis No No 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.4 1.7 TGA after arterial switch No No Yes Yes Yes 3.4 4.7 4.0 No Yes No Yes Yes 3.4 4.7 4.0 No Yes No <t< th=""><th>-</th><th>4.0</th><th>2</th><th>ReCoA</th><th>No</th><th>Yes</th><th>Yes</th><th>1</th><th>4</th><th>No</th><th>Yes</th><th>Yes</th><th>Normal</th><th>Complete thrombosis</th></t<>	-	4.0	2	ReCoA	No	Yes	Yes	1	4	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	Complete thrombosis
3.9 0 Antic value stenosis No Yes No Yes Yes Yes 4.0 2.3 ReCoA No No Yes 2.5 4 No Yes Yes 4.5 0.9 ReCoA No No Yes 7 No Yes Yes 3.0 1.0 Tricuspid attesia Severe Yes No 2.5 4 No Yes Yes 6.2 4.8 ReCoA No No Yes No 1.7 4.0 Yes Yes Yes 2.2 2.4 Pulmonary valve stenosis No No Yes 1.7 4.7 4.7 No Yes Yes 3.4 1.7 TGA after arterial switch No No Yes 1.7 4.4 Yes Yes 3.6 0.5 CoA No No Yes 1.7 4.4 No Yes Yes 3.6 0.5 CoA No No Yes <t< td=""><td>2</td><td>7.8</td><td>4.1</td><td>AP window</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>No</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>NIBP difference</td><td>Complete thrombosis</td></t<>	2	7.8	4.1	AP window	No	No	No	1	4	No	Yes	Yes	NIBP difference	Complete thrombosis
4.0 2.3 ReCoA No No Yes 2-5 4 No Yes Yes 3.0 1.0 Tricuspid attesia Severe Yes No No Yes Yes Yes 3.0 1.0 Tricuspid attesia Severe Yes No Yes No Yes Yes 6.2 4.8 ReCoA No No Yes No Yes Yes Yes 5.2 2.4 Pulmonary valve stenosis No No No 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.4 1.7 TGA after arterial switch No No Yes 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.4 1.7 TGA after arterial switch No No Yes 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.4 4.7 0.3 CoA Moderate Yes No Yes Yes 3.5 7.1 DORV, TGA No No No Yes Yes 3.3 0.2	3	3.9	0	Aortic valve stenosis	No	Yes	No	1	4	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	Complete thrombosis
45 09 ReCoA No No No 1 4 No Yes Yes 30 10 Tricuspid attesia Severe Yes No $2-5$ 4 Yes Yes Yes 62 4.8 ReCoA No Yes No $2-5$ 4 Yes Yes Yes 2.2 2.4 Pulmonary valve stenosis No No Yes No Yes Yes Yes 3.4 1.7 TGA after arterial switch No No Yes 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.4 1.7 TGA after arterial switch No No Yes 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.4 1.7 TGA after arterial switch No No Yes 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.5 0.3 0.5 CoA No No Yes No Yes Yes 3.6 0.3 0.3 0.3 No No No Yes Yes	4	4.0	2.3	ReCoA	No	No	Yes	2-5	4	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	Complete thrombosis
30 1.0 Tricuspid atresia Severe Yes No $2-5$ 4 Yes Yes Yes Yes 62 4.8 ReCoA No Yes No 1 4 No Yes Yes Yes 2.2 2.4 Pulmonary valve stenosis No No Yes No Yes Yes Yes 3.4 1.7 TGA after arterial switch No No Yes 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.4 1.7 TGA after arterial switch No No Yes 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.4 1.7 TGA after arterial switch No No Yes 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.4 4.7 0.3 CoA Moderate Yes No Yes Yes 3.5 7.1 $DORV, TGA$ Moderate Yes No Yes Yes 5 3.5 0.3 CoA No No Yes <td>5</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>ReCoA</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> <td>No</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Normal</td> <td>Complete thrombosis</td>	5	4.5	0.9	ReCoA	No	No	No	1	4	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	Complete thrombosis
62 4.8 ReCoA No Yes No 1 4 No Yes Yes 22 2.4 Pulmonary valve stenosis No No No 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.4 1.7 TGA after arterial switch No No Yes 1 4 No Yes Yes 3.6 0.5 CoA Moderate Yes No $2-5$ 4 No Yes Yes 3.6 0.3 CoA No No No 1 4 7 4 8 N $2-5$ 4 N N 3.6 0.3 CoA No No N 1 4 N N N 3.3 0.3 CoA No No N 1 4 N N N 5.3 0.2 1.0 No N 1 4 N N N 5.3 0.2 1.0 <	9	3.0	1.0	Tricuspid atresia	Severe	Yes	No	2-5	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Normal	Complete thrombosis
$ 2.2 2.4 \text{Pulmonary valve stenosis} \text{No} \text{No} \text{No} \text{No} \text{I} 4 \text{No} \text{Yes} \text{Yes} \\ 3.4 1.7 \text{TGA after arterial switch} \text{No} \text{No} \text{Yes} \text{I} 4 \text{No} \text{Yes} \text{Yes} \\ $	7	6.2	4.8	ReCoA	No	Yes	No	1	4	No	Yes	Yes	Hematoma	Normal
3.4 1.7 TGA after arterial switchNoYes14NoYesYes 0 3.6 0.5 CoA ModerateYesNo $2-5$ 4NoYesYes 2 3.6 0.3 CoA NoNoNo 1 4NoYesYes 2 3.6 0.3 CoA NoNoNo 1 4NoYesYes 3 5.8 7.1 $DORV, TGA$ NoNoNo 1 4NoYesYes 4 3.3 0.2 TOF NoNoYes $2-5$ 4NoYesYes 5 3.8 0.2 TOF NoNoYes $2-5$ 4NoYesYes 6 4.7 0.3 $DOMbe aortic archNoNoYes2-54NoYesYes75.33.0DOMbe aortic archNoNoNo2-54NoYesYes75.33.0DAVSDNoNoNo2-54NoYesYes75.33.0DAVSDNoNoNo14NoYesYes6.94.6A.6A.6A.6A.6A.6YesYesYes75.34.6NoNoNoYesYesYes76.9$	8	2.2	2.4	Pulmonary valve stenosis	No	No	No	1	4	No	Yes	Yes	NIBP and pulse differences	Complete thrombosis
3.6 0.5 CoA Moderate Yes No $2-5$ 4 No Yes Yes 4.7 4.4 VSD No No No No Yes Yes 3.6 0.3 CoA No No No 1 4 No No 3.8 7.1 $DORV, TGA$ $Moderate$ No No $2-5$ 4 No Yes 3.3 0.2 TOF No No Yes $2-5$ 4 No Yes Yes 3.8 0.2 TOF No No Yes Yes Yes 3.8 0.2 $Double aortic arch No No Yes Yes Yes 4.7 0.3 DCM No No 2-5 4 No Ye Ye 3.3 DCM No No 2-5 4 No Ye Ye 5.3 4.6 No63.41.7TGA after arterial switchNoNoYes14NoYesYesNIBP and pulsedifferencesComplete thrombosis$	6	3.4	1.7	TGA after arterial switch	No	No	Yes	1	4	No	Yes	Yes	NIBP and pulse differences	Complete thrombosis
4.7 4.4 VSD No Yes Yes <th< td=""><td>10</td><td>3.6</td><td>0.5</td><td>CoA</td><td>Moderate</td><td>Yes</td><td>No</td><td>2-5</td><td>4</td><td>No</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>Hematoma</td><td>Partial thrombosis</td></th<>	10	3.6	0.5	CoA	Moderate	Yes	No	2-5	4	No	Yes	Yes	Hematoma	Partial thrombosis
3.6 0.3 CoA NoNoNo1 4 NoYesYes 5.8 7.1 $DORV, TGA$ ModerateNoNo $2-5$ 4 NoYesYes 3.3 0.2 TOF NoNoYes $2-5$ 4 NoYesYes 3.8 0.2 $Double aortic archNoNoNoYes2-54NoYesYes4.70.3DCMNoNoNo2-54NoYesYes5.33.0PA/VSDModerateNoNo14NoYesYes6.94.6Aortic valve stenosisNoNoYes5.54NoYesYes$	11	4.7	4.4	VSD	No	No	No	1	4	No	No	No	Hematoma	Normal
5.8 7.1 DORV, TGAModerateNoNo $2-5$ 4NoYesYes 3.3 0.2 TOFNoNoNoYes $2-5$ 4NoYesYes 3.8 0.2 Double aortic archNoNoNoNo $2-5$ 4NoYesYes 4.7 0.3 DCMNoNoNoNo $2-5$ 4NoYesYes 5.3 3.0 PA/VSDModerateNoNo14NoYesYes 6.9 4.6 Aortic valve stenosisNoNoYes 5.5 4NoYesYes	12	3.6	0.3	CoA	No	No	No	1	4	No	Yes	Yes	Pulse difference	Partial thrombosis
3.3 0.2 TOFNoNoYes $2-5$ 4NoYesYes 3.8 0.2 Double aortic archNoNoNo $2-5$ 4NoYesNo 4.7 0.3 DCMNoNoNoNo $2-5$ 4NoYesYes 5.3 3.0 PA/VSDModerateNoNo14NoYesYes 6.9 4.6 Aortic valve stenosisNoNoYes 5 4NoYesYes	13	5.8	7.1	DORV, TGA	Moderate	No	No	2-5	4	No	Yes	Yes	Hematoma	Normal
3.8 0.2 Double aortic archNoNoNo $2-5$ 4NoYesNo 4.7 0.3 DCM NoNoNo $2-5$ 4NoYesYes 5.3 3.0 PA/VSD ModerateNoNo14NoYesYes 6.9 4.6 Aortic valve stenosisNoNoYesYesYesYes	14	3.3	0.2	TOF	No	No	Yes	2-5	4	No	Yes	Yes	Pulse difference	Normal
4.7 0.3 DCM No No No Yes Yes 5.3 3.0 PA/VSD Moderate No No 1 4 No Yes Yes 6.9 4.6 Aortic valve stenosis No No Yes Yes Yes	15	3.8	0.2	Double aortic arch	No	No	No	2-5	4	No	Yes	No	NIBP difference	Complete thrombosis
5.33.0PA/VSDModerateNoNoYesYes6.94.6Aortic valve stenosisNoNoYes>54NoYes	16	4.7	0.3	DCM	No	No	No	2-5	4	No	Yes	Yes	Pulse difference	Partial thrombosis
6.9 4.6 Aortic valve stenosis No No Yes >5 4 No Yes Yes	17	5.3	3.0	PA/VSD	Moderate	No	No	1	4	No	Yes	Yes	Pulse difference	Normal
	18	6.9	4.6	Aortic valve stenosis	No	No	Yes	>5 >5	4	No	Yes	Yes	Hematoma	Normal

AP aortopulmonary, CHF congestive heart failure, CoA coarctation of aortic arch, DORV double outlet right ventricle, DCM dilated cardiomyopathy, NIBP noninvasive blood pressure, PA pulmonary atresia, TGA transposition of great arteries, TOF tetralogy of Fallot, VSD ventricular septal defect

^a Cyanosis was defined as moderate (80–90 %) or severe (<80 %) transcutaneous oxygen saturation

^b CHF was defined as need for inotropics

Discussion

The etiology of arterial thrombosis in childhood in most cases is secondary to vessel trauma, e.g., arterial catheterization, vessel sheaths, or arterial monitoring lines, whereas primary noncatheter related arterial thrombosis is rare [14]. Therefore, the most frequent type of catheter-related arterial thrombosis after CC requires peripheral and umbilical artery catheterization [14]. The frequency of catheter-related arterial thrombosis has increased due to the substantial progress made in pediatric intensive care, thus enabling better survival rates of patients with life-threatening diseases [9].

The main findings of this survey were that the clinical diagnosis of FAT occurring after CC in infancy was made in 4.7 % of all cases (3.4–7.4 %), whereas the rate of diagnosis made by routine Doppler US was exceedingly greater at 18.5 % (Table 1).

The clinical diagnosis of FAT on the first day after CC was made using the previously mentioned criteria. Using oscillometric blood pressure measurement, even when optimized by additional hand-held Doppler pencil examination, the blood pressure difference between legs may be difficult to detect due to movement artefacts of small, restless infants. Therefore, colour Doppler US has become a useful noninvasive diagnostic tool for diagnosing FAT, although similar limitations of patient agitation during the examination must be taken into account. Performed by experienced hands, Doppler US allows a reliable diagnosis or exclusion of FAT even in small children.

The rate of FAT in our survey is comparable with the results of published studies of the last few decades [5–8, 15, 19]. Our data confirm the risk profile for FAT in small children regarding rheology, including cyanosis, polycy-themia, and congestive heart failure with low cardiac output; technical aspects, such as previous vessel puncture, sheath size, and sheath change; and prophylactic factors, including anticoagulation during and after CC (Table 2). Specific thrombolytic medical therapy was believed to be necessary only in one patient, in whom it was successful and without adverse events, as described in the literature [3].

Although the overall incidence of thrombosis in childhood is very low (0.07/10.000) [2], risk populations include young infants (<12 months) with congenital heart disease undergoing CC and cardiac surgery requiring need for a femoral arterial line [1, 2, 10, 13].

The total number of asymptomatic forms of FAT seems to be underestimated. Although the predictive value of pediatric thrombosis diagnosis in a Danish National Patient Registry has been recently described as being rather low (53.7 %), more detailed FU, including routine Doppler US the day after CC, is recommended, [17]. Early diagnosis of

FAT is mandatory to avoid impaired limb growth and to establish life-long patency of arterial vessel access.

Limitations of the study include primarily technical factors regarding the clinical diagnosis of FAT, such as difficulties in blood pressure measurements due to movement artefacts as well as appropriate settings for Doppler US in small, restless infants to reach optimal imaging quality. Furthermore, we could not standardise the puncture techniques, type of sheaths used, and characteristics of bleeding control after CC, including the amount and type of pressure dressing, due to the local differences among the participating centres.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the rate of FAT in young infants after CC may be underestimated due to missed clinical signs. Therefore, the use of Doppler US is recommended in those cases in which FAT may be suspected. Future studies must determine the medium-term outcome of FAT, which was not part of this survey. A recent study has shown impressive efficacy of enoxaparin for the management of FAT in children after CC: for indwelling catheters during medium-term FU, the vessel patency rate was 91 % after 1 month [4]. These results emphasize that a timely diagnosis of FAT is mandatory for the early initiation of anticoagulatory therapy, which can result in excellent vessel-reopening rates in this patient group.

Acknowledgments The authors thank Friederike Prüfer for Doppler US studies, Karin Baumgartner for data management, and Beatrice Latal for support of statistical analysis.

References

- Alioglu B, Avci Z, Tokel K, Atac FB, Ozbek N (2008) Thrombosis in children with cardiac pathology: analysis of acquired and inherited risk factors. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis 19:294–304
- Andrew M, David M, Adams M, Ali K, Anderson R, Barnard D et al (1994) Venous thromboembolic complications (VTE) in children: first analyses of the Canadian Registry of VTE. Blood 83:1251–1257
- 3. Balaguru D, Dilawar M, Ruff P, Radtke WA (2003) Early and late results of thrombolytic therapy using tissue-type plasminogen activator to restore arterial pulse after cardiac catheterization in infants and small children. Am J Cardiol 91:908–910
- Bontadelli J, Moeller A, Schmugge M, Schraner T, Kretschmar O, Bauersfeld U et al (2007) Enoxaparin therapy for arterial thrombosis in infants with congenital heart disease. Intensive Care Med 33:1978–1984
- Brotschi B, Hug MI, Latal B, Neuhaus D, Buerki C, Kroiss S et al (2011) Incidence and predictors of indwelling arterial catheterrelated thrombosis in children. J Thromb Haemost 9:1157–1162
- 6. Bulbul ZR, Galal MO, Mahmoud E, Narden B, Solymar L, Chaudhary MA et al (2002) Arterial complications following

cardiac catheterization in children less than 10 kg. Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann 10:129-132

- Cohn HE, Freed MD, Hellenbrand WF, Fyler DC (1985) Complications and mortality associated with cardiac catheterization in infants under one year: a prospective study. Pediatr Cardiol 6: 123–131
- Fellows KE Jr (1984) Therapeutic catheter procedures in congenital heart disease: current status and future prospects. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 7:170–177
- King MA, Garrison MM, Vavilala MS, Zimmerman JJ, Rivara FP (2008) Complications associated with arterial catheterization in children. Pediatr Crit Care Med 9:367–371
- Monagle P, Newall F, Barnes C, Savoia H, Campbell J, Wallace T et al (2008) Arterial thromboembolic disease: a single-centre case series study. J Paediatr Child Health 44:28–32
- Mori Y, Nakazawa M, Yagihara T (2010) Complications of pediatric cardiac catheterization and system of catheterization laboratories minimizing complications—a Japanese multicenter survey. J Cardiol 56:183–188
- Mullins CE (ed) (2006) Complications of diagnostic and therapeutic cardiac catheterizations. Cardiac catheterization in congenital heart disease: pediatric and adult, 1st edn. Blackwell Publishing, MA
- Ozbek N, Alioglu B, Avci Z, Malbora B, Onay O, Ozyurek E et al (2009) Incidence of and risk factors for childhood thrombosis: a single-center experience in Ankara, Turkey. Pediatr Hematol Oncol 26:11–29

- Price VE, Chan AK (2008) Arterial thrombosis in children. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther 6:419–428
- Saxena A, Gupta R, Kumar RK, Kothari SS, Wasir HS (1997) Predictors of arterial thrombosis after diagnostic cardiac catheterization in infants and children randomized to two heparin dosages. Catheter Cardiovasc Diagn 41:400–403
- Sutton N, Lock JE, Geggel RL (2006) Cardiac catheterization in infants weighing less than 1,500 grams. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 68:948–956
- Tuckuviene R, Kristensen SR, Helgestad J, Christensen AL, Johnsen SP (2010) Predictive value of pediatric thrombosis diagnoses in the Danish National Patient Registry. Clin Epidemiol 2:107–122
- van Ommen CH, Ottenkamp J, Lam J, Brennickmeier M, Heijmans HS, Buller HR et al (2002) The risk of postthrombotic syndrome in children with congenital heart disease. J Pediatr 141:582–586
- Vitiello R, McCrindle BW, Nykanen D, Freedom RM, Benson LN (1998) Complications associated with pediatric cardiac catheterization. J Am Coll Cardiol 32:1433–1440
- Zeevi B, Berant M, Fogelman R, Galit BM, Blieden LC (1999) Acute complications in the current era of therapeutic cardiac catheterization for congenital heart disease. Cardiol Young 9: 266–272