

## Changing PET/CT manifestation of neurolymphomatosis

Klaus Strobel<sup>1</sup>, Bernhard Pestalozzi<sup>2</sup>, Ilja Ciernik<sup>3</sup>, Niklaus G. Schaefer<sup>1</sup>, Abbas Yousefi Koma<sup>1</sup>, Thomas F. Hany<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Zurich, Rämistrasse 100, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup> Department of Oncology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup> Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Received: 6 February 2006 / Accepted: 19 February 2006 / Published online: 6 July 2006

© Springer-Verlag 2006

Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging (2006) 33:1244

DOI 10.1007/s00259-006-0112-8

Neurolymphomatosis (NL) is a rare manifestation of lymphoma [1]. CT and MRI have been used to detect NL, to stage the extent of the nerve involvement and to guide biopsy. Recent reports have demonstrated the value of FDG-PET/CT in patients with NL [2–4]. Here we present the FDG-PET/CT imaging follow-up of a 56-year-old patient with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma initially presenting as stage IE involving the urinary bladder and presacral area. After six cycles of chemotherapy and pelvic radiation therapy, a complete response was documented. However, there was relapse of the lymphoma, restricted to neural structures. In a–c the PET/CT images demonstrate increased FDG uptake in the cranial nerves, delineated on fused axial images [b, gasserian ganglion of the trigeminal nerve (arrows) and c the cervical and lumbar nerve roots (arrows)]. The patient was treated with high-dose methotrexate and subsequent radiation therapy. Six weeks after the end of radiation therapy, progressive NL (d–f) in the brachial (e, arrows) and lumbosacral plexus (f, arrows) was observed.

Because in NL nerve biopsies may fail and MRI may not be sufficiently sensitive to show the entire extent of nerve involvement, FDG-PET/CT with whole-body imaging and exact anatomical correlation is useful in staging, biopsy guidance, treatment planning and therapy assessment [5].

### References

1. Baehring JM, Damek D, Martin EC, Betensky RA, Hochberg FH. Neurolymphomatosis. Neuro-oncol 2003;5:104–15
2. Bokstein F, Goor O, Shihman B, Rockkind S, Even-Sapir E, Metser U, et al. Assessment of neurolymphomatosis by brachial plexus biopsy and PET/CT. Report of a case. J Neuro-oncol 2005;72:163–7
3. Kanter P, Zeidman A, Streifler J, Marmelstein V, Even-Sapir E, Metser U, et al. PET-CT imaging of combined brachial and lumbosacral neurolymphomatosis. Eur J Haematol 2005;74:66–9
4. Trojan A, Jermann M, Taverna C, Hany TF. Fusion PET-CT imaging of neurolymphomatosis. Ann Oncol 2002;13:802–5
5. van den Bent MJ, de Bruin HG, Bos GM, Brutel de la Riviere G, Sillevits Smitt PA. Negative sural nerve biopsy in neurolymphomatosis. J Neurol 1999;246:1159–63

Klaus Strobel (✉)

Division of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Zurich,  
Rämistrasse 100, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland  
e-mail: klaus.strobel@usz.ch  
Tel.: +41-44-2552850; Fax: +41-44-2554414

