

J. Modestin · B. Matutat · O. Würmle

Two types of classification for male opioid dependence: Identification of an opioid addict with depressive features

Received: 10 December 2004 / Accepted: 1 March 2005 / Published online: 29 April 2005

■ **Abstract** *Objective* There are similarities between alcoholics and opioid addicts and an overlap between both diagnostic groups. We tested the hypothesis that the type I and II classification, well established in male alcoholism, could also be relevant in a population of male opioid addicts. *Methods* A sample of 100 hospitalized adult opioid dependent men were studied with the help of an extended semi-structured clinical interview, considering four classification criteria sets devised by Cloninger et al. (1981, 1982), von Knorring et al. (1985, 1987), Buydens-Branchey et al. (1989) and Babor et al. (1992). *Results* The two types of classification could be confirmed with all four criteria sets. In at least three of four analyses, 52 patients were allocated to the same larger cluster C1, and 25 patients to a smaller cluster C2. These two groups were compared with each other with the help of the stepwise discriminant analysis. Seven variables were identified which excellently discriminate between the groups: The C2 patient is younger, has a history of therapy because of depression and a history of severe suicide attempts, also abuses benzodiazepines and becomes violent while intoxicated. His father suffers from alcoholism and received treatment because of depression. The C1 patient lacks these characteristics. *Conclusions* The hypothesis was confirmed, showing that the two types of classification for male opioid addicts is feasible. A depressive type of male opioid dependent pa-

tient was identified. Early identification of patients of this type is clinically important.

■ **Key words** opioid dependence · classification · depressive type

Introduction

For more than a century there have been numerous attempts to classify substance use disorders. The majority of these classifications concerned male alcoholism; Babor and Lauerma (1986) reviewed 39 male alcoholism typologies, some of them having achieved a certain reputation (Jellinek 1960). Nevertheless, none has been generally accepted. In recent years, a classification proposed by Cloninger et al. (1981) has received more attention than others. Based on a large sample of Swedish adoptees the authors proposed two types of alcoholism: milieu-limited type I alcoholism with biological parents presenting mild alcohol abuse and minimal criminality, and male-limited type II alcoholism with biological fathers presenting severe alcoholism requiring extensive treatment, aggressive behavior while intoxicated, and serious criminality. Type II alcoholism should be highly heritable and have a three times lower prevalence rate.

Other authors, testing these two types of alcoholism classification, extended and elaborated the classification criteria set by adding further clinical characteristics. Von Knorring et al. (1985, 1985a) stressed the younger age at the onset of drinking and at the first treatment contact and negative social consequences of drinking in type II. Buydens-Branchey (1989) pointed to the frequent suicidal and aggressive behavior in type II alcoholics. Finally, Babor et al. (1992) described type B alcoholics, corresponding in many respects to type II alcoholics, and they characterized this type by a high rate of childhood disorders, serious medical and social consequences of drinking, polydrug use and a higher degree of psychopathology in terms of depression, anxiety and antisocial personality. There is a substantial

J. Modestin, M.D. (✉) · B. Matutat, M.D.
University of Zurich
Department of Psychiatry
Burghölzli Hospital
Lenggstrasse 31
8029 Zurich, Switzerland
Tel.: +41-1/384-2111
Fax: +41-1/384-2718
E-Mail: modestin@bli.unizh.ch

O. Würmle, Ph.D.
University of Berne
Department of Psychiatry
Waldau Hospital
Berne, Switzerland

overlap among some of these typologies and it has been argued that type I/type II typology may in fact reflect a simple early/late onset distinction, whereas severity of substance use may be driving the type A/type B distinction (Epstein et al. 2002). Nevertheless, there appear to be two distinct broad types of alcoholism: type II, characterized by early onset of drinking with a series of medical, psychiatric, behavioral, and social complications, and type I, characterized by late onset of drinking and a low prevalence of these complications, and these types have subsequently been confirmed (Schuckit et al. 1995; Modestin and Würmle 1997).

The situation is less clear regarding typology of patients with other substance use disorders, first of all typology of opiate dependence. As Blatt and Berman (1990) stated, opiate addicts have traditionally been viewed as a relatively homogeneous population and a great deal of research has been devoted to the identification of psychopathology characteristics of the typical addict. Nevertheless, analyzing the literature, three different types of opiate abusers emerged (Blatt et al. 1984), briefly characterized as a group with a borderline level of pathology, a group with narcissistic pathology and a depressed group. On the basis of the results of psychological tests, Blatt and Berman (1990) themselves succeeded in identifying three somewhat different opiate addicted personality types, characterized as character disordered, borderline psychotic, and depressed. Three types of male opiate addiction had also been proposed by Simpson and Savage (1981–1982), mainly restricted to variations in criminal history and legal involvement, and several diagnostic types were delineated by Steer and Schut (1979), considering Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale psychopathology: both typologies used a narrow range of criteria. Finally, based on the study of family history, Rounsaville et al. (1991) suggested subtyping opiate addicts by the presence or absence of major depression.

There is an overlap as well as similarities between different groups of patients with substance use disorders. Many opiate dependent patients also abuse alcohol (Weller et al. 1980; Cadoret et al. 1984; Herd 1993), and a considerable proportion of them are even alcohol dependent (Maddux 1989). Patients with substance abuse of a different kind frequently experienced stressful early environment. Further, the abuse leads to similar social consequences and also, alcohol dependent and opiate dependent patients share a series of characteristics such as increased level of sensation seeking (Zuckerman 1994), aggression, depression and lack of impulse control (Craig 1979). Therefore, we decided to test the hypothesis that the two types of classification, established as type I and II in male alcoholism, could also be relevant in male opioid dependence. In a few studies, the type A/type B classification has also been tested in abusers of drugs other than alcohol (Ball et al. 1995; Feingold et al. 1996; Basu et al. 2004).

Methods

■ Study subjects

The participants were 100 adult men suffering from opioid dependence and admitted for inpatient treatment in three different psychiatric hospitals in the Canton of Zurich, all of them having a specialized unit for patients with substance disorders. All patients, consecutively admitted and hospitalized during the study period between August and December, 1998, were addressed and included in the study, provided they had received the ICD-10 (WHO 1991) main diagnosis F11.21 (opioid dependence, at present abstinent in a protective milieu); were 18 to 65 years old; were not given any diagnosis of comorbid psychotic (including bipolar) disorder according to ICD-10 (WHO 1991) by their treating psychiatrists; and were able to speak German well enough to complete a self-report questionnaire and to participate in an interview. At the time of the assessment, the patients no longer suffered from withdrawal symptoms. Only a few eligible patients refused to participate.

■ Instruments

A clinical criteria inventory was compiled by the authors to be completed by the interviewer during an extended semi-structured clinical interview. In order to develop the inventory and to devise the interview, four relevant criteria sets have been identified in the literature and considered; criteria sets devised by Cloninger (1987) and Cloninger et al. (1981, 1982), by von Knorring et al. (1985, 1987), by Buydens-Branchey et al. (1989) and by Babor et al. (1992). Some criteria were encountered in all four sets; e. g., substance abuse by the parents, age of the patient at the first substance abuse. Other criteria were differently weighted by different authors or were even encountered in only a single set. Altogether, 20 to 30 criteria were considered for each set: a total of 23 criteria in the set proposed by Cloninger (1987) and Cloninger et al. (1981, 1982), 29 criteria in the set proposed by von Knorring et al. (1985, 1987), 23 criteria in the set proposed by Buydens-Branchey et al. (1989) and 26 criteria in the set proposed by Babor et al. (1992). As opiate dependent and not alcohol dependent patients were studied, some item-formulations were modified accordingly; e. g., substance abuse instead of alcohol abuse was enquired about. Attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, conduct disorder, depressive episode and generalized anxiety disorder were explored with the help of DSM-IV (APA 1994) criteria. The severity of suicide attempts was evaluated according to the recommendation by Motto (1965).

Instead of personality characteristics, the presence of personality disorders (PDs) was studied; higher prevalences of personality disorder were found among type B substance abusers (Ball et al. 1998). For this purpose, the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV personality disorders SCID-II (First et al. 1996) was used in its German version (Wittchen et al. 1997), covering all 10 DSM-IV PDs as well as negativistic and depressive PD. A SCID-II self-report personality questionnaire (SCID-II PQ) was used as a screening tool, allowing the clinician to inquire in the subsequent interview only about items screened positive and to check individual negative responses when deemed necessary. False negative diagnoses in PD self-reports are extremely rare (Modestin et al. 1998). SCID-II PQ scales are relatively stable over time, median 2 to 3 months test-retest correlation was 0.69 (Ouimette and Kleine 1995). Regarding SCID-II, 1 to 14 days test-retest reliability study yielded an overall weighted κ of 0.53 (First et al. 1996) indicating a fair agreement. Although these reliability studies were carried out with DSM-III-R SCID-II versions, there are only minimal differences between the DSM-III-R and DSM-IV SCID-II versions (Wittchen et al. 1997).

Some validity checks of the data indicated by the patients were carried out: We expected information on criminal record and on history of verbal aggression while abstaining to be more frequent in the patients with antisocial PD diagnosed with the help of SCID-II, and also suicide attempts and serious suicide attempts to be more frequent in the patients with a history of depression. These associations

were confirmed, all of them being statistically significant. Incidentally, “dual diagnosis” patients had a higher rate of suicide attempts (Soyka et al. 1993) and the correlation between suicide attempts and depressive disorders has recently been confirmed in alcoholics (Koller et al. 2002).

■ Procedure

The study design had been approved by the relevant local ethics committee. After a written informed consent had been obtained from all participants, the patients completed the self-report questionnaire (SCID-II PQ; Wittchen et al. 1997) and were interviewed by one of the authors (BM), a psychiatrist with over 6 years’ professional experience, to complete the above mentioned clinical inventory. Following this, the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Personality Disorders (SCID-II; Wittchen et al. 1997) was carried out. In some participants the interview required two sessions. The interviewer was also given some additional data by the psychiatrists treating the patients, such as ICD-10 (WHO 1991) clinical diagnoses of all comorbid conditions, and the results of HIV and hepatitis tests.

■ Statistical evaluation

First, all data were summarized to provide the frequencies of the individual characteristics in the whole sample. Second, we selected those variables which corresponded to the individual criteria sets delineated by Cloninger (1987) and Cloninger et al. (1981, 1982), von

Knorrning et al. (1985, 1987), Buydens-Branchey et al. (1989) and Babor et al. (1992) as differentiating between the two types of alcoholism and as potentially differentiating between two types of opioid dependence. The number of selected variables differed from 23 to 30 in the four sets. By means of four individual cluster analyses (k-means type) the two cluster solution with a larger cluster C1 and a smaller cluster C2 could be reproduced in all four runs. The number of variables decisive for the cluster formation was 6 to 21 considering only variables with higher F-values ($p < 0.1$).

In the next step, 52 patients and 25 patients, who in at least three of four cluster analyses had been equally classified as belonging to the same cluster C1 and C2, respectively, were compared with each other. The stepwise discriminant analysis was used, which allows, from a larger pool of variables, those most strongly contributing to the group formation to be identified. In this analysis all variables were considered which in the univariate comparisons between both groups (carried out with χ^2 -test and t-test) differentiated between both groups at the alpha level of 0.2. A total of 43 variables (including all 39 variables indicated in Table 4) were considered, and $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.2$ were chosen for whether a variable should be entered into, or removed from the model respectively.

Results

In Table 1 sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the sample are shown. The patients were 30 years old

Table 1 Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of 100 opioid dependent men

Age [years]: MN \pm SD	29.7 \pm 6.2
Marital status:	
single	82
Professional qualification	68
No regular employment in the last 3 months	87
Age at 1 st drug intake [years]: MN \pm SD	14.9 \pm 4.0
Age at 1 st opioid intake [years]: MN \pm SD	19.6 \pm 4.7
Age at regular opioid intake (≥ 3 x/week) [years]: MN \pm SD	21.1 \pm 5.7
Age at 1 st outpatient therapy for opioid related disorder [years]: MN \pm SD	23.5 \pm 6.1
Age at 1 st inpatient therapy for opioid related disorder [years]: MN \pm SD	25.4 \pm 6.3
No. of inpatient treatments for opioid related disorder	5.7 \pm 5.6
Additional substance (ab)use:	
Cocaine	75
Alcohol	24
Cannabis	40
Benzodiazepines	32
Violent behavior while intoxicated	19
Guilty feelings regarding substance abuse	60
Absences at work due to substance abuse	74
Job losses due to substance abuse	67
Criminal record:	79
crimes against property	42
violations of traffic law	29
violations of drug law	70
Arrests while intoxicated	79
Arrests following driving while intoxicated	37
Conflict with parents due to substance abuse	77
Loss of partner due to substance abuse	68
History of verbal aggression while abstaining	43
History of verbal aggression while intoxicated	24
Accidents while intoxicated	44

Indicated are frequencies; in case of continuous variables means (MN) and standard deviations (SD)

on average, mostly single, with a professional qualification, but not regularly employed recently. Typically, they started their first drug consumption aged 15 years and opioid consumption aged 19–20 years. They frequently also consumed other drugs, mostly cocaine, and their regular drug intake led to serious psychosocial complications. As Table 2 indicates, they presented a considerable psychiatric comorbidity and a frequent history of suicidal behavior. Family history revealed frequent alcohol/drug abuse/dependence among fathers, abuse of benzodiazepines among mothers. Fathers were frequently violent, mothers depressed. In Table 3, prevalences of interview-based diagnoses of DSM-IV personality disorders in the sample are given.

As mentioned, the whole data pool was analyzed with the help of four cluster analyses. Two cluster solutions could be confirmed with all four individual criteria sets. All four analyses resulted in a larger cluster C1 of 53 to 70 members (mean 62) and a smaller cluster C2 of 30 to 47 members (mean 38). Variables contributing to cluster solutions in all four cluster analyses are shown in Table 4. Cluster 2 is characterized by a higher frequency or degree

Table 2 Comorbidity and family history data of 100 opioid dependent men

Present generalized anxiety disorder	21	
Present depressive disorder	31	
Past therapy for depressive disorder	41	
Suicide attempt(s)	42	
Serious suicide attempt(s)	32	
Repeated suicide attempts	22	
Child disorders:		
Brain damage	10	
Attention deficit	27	
Hyperactivity	21	
ADHD	11	
Conduct disorder	54	
Hepatitis B	27	
Hepatitis C	27	
HIV positivity	9	
Family history*	Father	Mother
Alcohol abuse/dependence	35/98 (36)	11/99 (11)
Opioid abuse/dependence	3/98 (3)	1/99 (1)
Abuse of other illegal drugs	3/98 (3)	2/99 (2)
Use/abuse of other psychotropics	53/98 (54)	38/99 (38)
Benzodiazepines	4/53 (8)	15/38 (39)
Pain killers	13/53 (25)	9/38 (24)
Outpatient therapy for substance use disorder	12/78 (15)	14/44 (32)
Inpatient therapy for substance use disorder	10/77 (13)	6/44 (14)
Criminal record	7/97 (7)	2/97 (2)
Violent behavior	37/98 (38)	17/98 (17)
Outpatient therapy for depressive disorder	9/96 (9)	22/96 (23)
Inpatient therapy for depressive disorder	0/96 (0)	9/96 (9)

Indicated are frequencies; in case of continuous variables means (MN) and standard deviations (SD)

* Complete data set not available for all patients. Percentages are given in parentheses

Table 3 Prevalence of personality disorders in 100 opioid dependent men

Paranoid PD	14
Schizoid PD	12
Schizotypal PD	4
Any PD Cluster A	23
Histrionic PD	12
Narcissistic PD	2
Borderline PD	51
Antisocial PD	23
Any PD Cluster B	59
Avoidant PD	7
Dependent PD	3
Obsessive-compulsive PD	7
Any PD Cluster C	15
Negativistic PD	7
Depressive PD	7
Any PD	67

of deviation, cluster C1 by an absence or lesser degree of pathology in all variables. Cluster C1 represents the opposite of cluster C2. As Table 4 shows, using the criteria set by Cloninger et al. (1981, 1982), cluster C2 was most prominently characterized by parental substance abuse and antisocial behavior and personality disorder in the patient. Using criteria set by von Knorring et al. (1985, 1987), it was most prominently characterized by early and multiple substance use by the patient and ensuing negative social consequences, and parental alcohol abuse and depression. Considering the criteria set by Buydens-Branchey et al. (1989), it was most prominently characterized by depression and suicidal behavior in the patient. Finally, regarding the criteria set by Babor et al. (1992), cluster C2 was most prominently characterized by comorbid mental disorders in the patient including depressive, generalized anxiety, and attention deficit and hyperactivity disorders.

A total of 77 of 100 patients could in at least three of four cluster analyses be equally classified: i. e., they appeared in the same cluster; 52 patients in cluster C1, 25 patients in cluster C2. The results of the stepwise discriminant analysis, comparing these 52 patients from cluster C1 and 25 patients from cluster C2, are indicated in Table 5. The analysis yielded a model comprising 7 variables enabling the optimal group allocation. The discriminant function of the 7 variables proved to be very good: the Eigenvalue was 3.96, which indicates a good discriminant function, the canonical correlation coefficient was 0.89, which indicates a good separation between the groups. The Wilks' Lambda value, indicating the degree of separation of the mean values of the discriminant function in both groups, was 0.201 ($\chi^2 = 114.56$, $df = 7$, $p < 0.0001$). Altogether, 73 of 75 patients (98%) could be correctly allocated to their appropriate groups.

Table 4 Cluster characteristics based on four criteria sets

Criteria set	Cloninger et al. (1981, 1982)	v. Knorrington et al. (1985, 1987)	Buydens-Branchey et al. (1989)	Babor et al. (1992)
n Cluster C2/n Cluster C1	30/70	39/61	35/65	47/53
Father violent (%)	83/17			
Father alcohol abuse/dependence (%)	70/20	64/16		45/26
Patient PD Cluster A (%)	53/10			
Mother abuse legal psychotropics (%)	57/16	41/20		43/15
Mother violent (%)	36/9			
Father abuse legal psychotropics (%)	7/1			
Patient low age at 1 st drug intake (MN, y)	14/15	13/16	14/15	
Patient PD Cluster C (%)	70/54			
Patient able to control opioid intake (%)	13/34			
Mother therapy for substance use (%)	30/7			
Patient violent while intoxicated (%)	30/14			
Father nicotine abuse (%)	41/23	54/25		
Patient additional benzodiazepine abuse (%)		62/13		49/17
Patient low age at 1 st outpatient therapy for opioid related disorder (MN, y)		20/26		
Patient low age at 1 st inpatient therapy for opioid related disorder (MN, y)		22/27		
Patient job losses due to substance abuse (%)		90/52		
Patient low age at 1 st acknowledging drug problem (MN, y)		20/24		
Mother inpatient therapy for depression (%)		21/2		
Patient low age at 1 st opioid intake (MN, y)		18/21		
Patient low age (MN, y)		27/31		
Patient additional alcohol abuse (%)		41/13		
Father outpatient therapy for depression (%)		21/2		
Mother alcohol abuse/dependence (%)		23/3		
Patient low age at 1 st regular opioid intake (MN, y)		19/22		
Mother outpatient therapy for depression (%)		36/13		
Patient taking methadone (%)		41/16		
Patient absences at work due to substance abuse (%)		90/64		
Mother nicotine abuse (%)		23/8		
Patient additional cocaine abuse (%)		85/69		
Patient suicide attempts (%)			100/11	
Patient repeated suicide attempts (%)			63/0	
Patient serious suicide attempts (%)			91/0	
Patient longer abstinent after last therapy (MN, w)			2/1	
Patient previous therapy for depression (%)			63/29	87/0
Patient present depression (%)				28/4
Patient accidents while intoxicated (%)				57/32
Patient generalized anxiety disorder (%)				32/11
Patient ADHD/childhood (%)				19/4
Patient higher No. of inpatient treatments for opioid related disorders (MN)				7/5

Presented are data (mostly percentages) for Cluster C2/Cluster C1
 MN mean; y years; w weeks

Discussion

We studied a sample of 100 opioid addicts seeking treatment. Comparing their characteristics with the data presented in the literature, many similarities appear, confirming the representativity of our sample. These similarities concern, among other factors, comorbidity, co-abuse, criminal involvement, suicidal behavior and

somatic condition: An important comorbidity of opioid dependence indeed exists with depressive, anxiety and personality disorders (Ahmad et al. 2001; Strain 2002; Frei and Rehm 2002a). A meta-analysis (Frei and Rehm 2002a), comprising almost 4000 opioid addicts yielded lifetime prevalence of 42 % for personality, 32 % for mood, and 8 % for anxiety disorders. Frei and Rehm (2002) found personality disorder in their own study in 58 %, mood disorder in 55 % and anxiety disorder in

Table 5 Result of the discriminant analysis

Step	variable	Wilks' Lambda	Exact F	Significance
1	Patient therapy for depression	0.494	76.70	0.000
2	Father alcoholic	0.381	60.15	0.000
3	Patient severe SA	0.303	55.99	0.000
4	Patient additional BD abuse	0.260	51.27	0.000
5	Father outpatient therapy for depression	0.232	47.06	0.000
6	Patient younger age	0.215	42.51	0.000
7	Patient violent under drugs	0.201	39.07	0.000

26% of their patients – percentages comparable with ours. Psychiatric comorbidity in opiate dependence is important; generally it is associated with worse psychosocial and medical status and poorer outcome (Cacciola et al. 2001; Krausz et al. 1999). The prevalences of personality disorders in our sample are quite comparable with those found elsewhere (Malow et al. 1989), even though the rates of borderline personality disorder differ considerably from sample to sample (Verheul et al. 1995; DeJong et al. 1993). Co-abuse of other substances in opioid dependence is frequent and it concerns cocaine (Brooner et al. 1997), cannabis and amphetamine (Caetano and Shaffer 1996), alcohol (Herd 1993; Rittmannsberger et al. 2002) and benzodiazepines (Rooney et al. 1999). Many opiate addicts have a criminal record (Kokkevi et al. 1993), especially when there is comorbid antisocial personality disorder (Bovasso et al. 2002). As in our sample, property and drug offences are the most frequent (Hall et al. 1993). Opioid addicts represent a risk group for suicide attempts (Kokkevi and Stefanis 1995; Krausz et al. 1996; Franke et al. 2003); they are about 10 times more likely to attempt and 14 times more likely to complete suicide than community controls (Darke and Ross 2002). Finally, a comparable HIV-positivity rate of 12% was reported (Gombas et al. 2000).

Viewing the overlap between abuse of alcohol and opiates that has been addressed in the Introduction, we assumed that the two types of classification, repeatedly demonstrated in patients with alcoholism, could also be found in patients with opiate dependence. We tested four criteria sets defining these two types, described by Cloninger (1987) and Cloninger et al. (1981, 1982), von Knorring et al. (1985, 1987), Buydens-Branchey et al. (1989) and Babor et al. (1992). Ball et al. (1995) confirmed the type A/type B classification in cocaine abusers and Feingold et al. (1996) found the type A/type B distinction to be largely generalizable across different drugs, even though it appeared less valid for marijuana and opiates than for alcohol and cocaine. The sets we tested are similar but not identical, as they are partially composed of different criteria. The results confirmed our assumption: Two types of opioid addicts could be identified with the help of all four (slightly modified) individual criteria sets. Correspondingly to the differences between the four original criteria sets however, the types were – at least to some degree – differently defined. Nev-

ertheless, the majority of our probands (77%) appeared in the same cluster in at least three of four analyses, i. e., they were found to belong to the same type. In other words, basically two identical groups of patients could be identified, applying four – to some degree different – criteria sets. Altogether, the cluster C2, smaller in all four analyses, was positively defined by younger age of the patients and their earlier substance use, higher degree of ensuing social consequences and abuse of multiple substances. The patients in cluster C2 more frequently suffered from comorbid mental disorders including depression, generalized anxiety disorder, personality disorder and childhood disorders, more frequently presented suicidal behavior, and were characterized by parental pathology in terms of substance abuse including alcohol, antisocial behavior and depression. In the patients in cluster C1 all these characteristics were encountered much less frequently.

Multivariate stepwise discriminant analysis was carried out to determine variables best discriminating between the clusters, i. e., best defining the two types. The analysis yielded seven variables including patients' younger age, lifetime depression requiring therapy, history of severe suicide attempts and paternal pathology in terms of alcohol abuse and depression necessitating treatment. Two further variables contributing independently to the type differentiation were additional benzodiazepine abuse by the patient and violent behavior while intoxicated.

The younger age of the C2 patients is not surprising; it is one of the most quoted characteristics of type II alcoholics. The patients had received therapy because of depression and they had a history of severe suicide attempts. Depression belongs to the most frequent comorbid disorders of opioid dependence (Frei and Rehm 2002) and its degree was found to correlate with the degree of opiate abuse (Maddux et al. 1987). Suicidal behavior is mostly a manifestation of a depressive condition and indeed opioid dependent patients who had attempted suicide or had been suicidal presented a higher level of depression and scored higher on hostility (Chatham et al. 1995; Roy 2002). Roy (2003) identified suicide attempts in 43% of his sample, comparable with our 42%; his suicide attempters were significantly younger than suicide non-attempters.

In agreement with our findings, higher rates of sub-

stance use disorder including alcoholism and higher rates of depression were identified in first-degree relatives of opioid addicts than in first-degree relatives of normal controls; and first-degree relatives of depressed opiate addicts had elevated rates of depression (Rounsaville et al. 1991). Among parents of male opioid addicts, alcoholism was found in 37%, and drug abuse was found in the same proportion among their siblings (Luthar et al. 1993). Addicts with parental alcoholism suffered more frequently from concurrent depression (Kosten et al. 1985). Comorbidity of alcoholism and depression, found in fathers of our C2 patients, is frequent (Pottenger et al. 1978; Preuss et al. 2002) and the combination of both disorders tends to run in families. Genes on chromosome 1 may predispose some people to alcoholism and others to depression (Nurnberger et al. 2002).

Regarding comorbidity with benzodiazepine dependence in opioid dependent patients, prevalences of 31% (Schmidt et al. 1987), 38% (Browne et al. 1998) and 54% (Rooney et al. 1999) were indicated. Patients who also abuse benzodiazepines were found to be psychologically more vulnerable and to have suffered significantly more episodes of depression and deliberate self-harm (Rooney et al. 1999); they also abused a higher amount of heroin (Glyngdal and Hansen 1997). It can not be ruled out that benzodiazepine co-abuse, leading to behavioral disinhibition, contributed to the violent behavior of the patients while intoxicated. Nevertheless, heroin use was also found to be related to a greater risk of violence (Tardiff et al. 1997) and there is a relationship between aggressiveness and depression (Bacaner et al. 2002).

Thus, the data from the literature confirm the existence of paternal alcoholism and depression in a proportion of opioid dependent patients and demonstrate the possibility of coexistence of opioid dependence with early onset and depressive disorder, suicidal behavior, benzodiazepine abuse and violent behavior. There are certainly complex multiple relationships between all these individual variables. As is the case in the two types of classification of alcoholism, our C2 patients are in a minority and the C2 type is positively defined; patients of C1 type represent a rest category, being characterized by the absence of the defining features.

Choosing a quite different procedure, a depressed type of opiate addict could be identified in our study, thus confirming earlier attempts at opiate abuser typology (Blatt et al. 1984; Blatt and Berman 1990; Rounsaville et al. 1991). The early identification of the patients of this type appears mandatory: In spite of their younger age they have a history of severe suicide attempts. Considering the high frequency of completed suicide in this population (Darke and Ross 2002) and the close relationship between depression and suicidal behavior, multimodal therapeutic interventions, also considering depressive disorder, could be life saving. Mood disorder was reported to follow the onset of substance use disorder (Haehesly et al. 2002) and to improve frequently without

drugs due to its transient nature (Eiber et al. 1999). Our data do not allow us to comment on these statements directly; however, viewing the younger age of our patients and their additional benzodiazepine abuse – benzodiazepines can be helpful in depression (Petty et al. 1995) – a different sequence in these patients appears possible, the more so as their depression appears to be a family disorder.

In conclusion, the evidence could be presented to support the existence of two subgroups of opioid dependent men, consistent with previous findings of similar subgroups in a male alcoholic population. The classification could have clinical utility: it can identify a depressed type of opiate addict and could potentially help prevent addiction-related morbidity and mortality among young men. Nevertheless, we must be cautious to generalize our findings due to the limitations of the study: The sample size was relatively small and selective, being restricted to male inpatients from one type of medical setting – psychiatric hospitals. All participants were treatment seekers and represented one particular geographic area. Diagnosing comorbid psychiatric disorders such as depression in substance use disorders is difficult, but possible (Mann et al. 2004; Kidorf et al. 2004). The information was collected with an extended structured clinical interview; nevertheless, a possible recall bias (forgetfulness or falsification) might exist, concerning, e.g., information on childhood disorders. As the next step, it would be desirable to validate our results on an independent sample from another setting.

References

1. Ahmad B, Mufti KA, Farooq S (2001) Psychiatric comorbidity in substance abuse (opioid). *J Pak Med Assoc* 51:183–186
2. American Psychiatric Association (1994) Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 4th edition. American Psychiatric Association Press, Washington DC
3. Babor F, Lauerma RJ (1986) Classification and forms of inebriety. Historical antecedents of alcohol typologies. In: Galanter M (ed) Recent developments in alcoholism. Plenum Press, New York, London, pp 113–144
4. Babor TF, Hofmann M, DelBoca FK, Hesselbrock V, Meyer RE, Dolinsky ZS, Rounsaville B (1992) Types of Alcoholics, I. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 49:599–608
5. Bacaner N, Kinney TA, Biros M, Bochert S, Casuto N (2002) The relationship among depressive and alcoholic symptoms and aggressive behavior in adult male emergency department patients. *Acad Emerg Med* 9:120–129
6. Ball SA, Carroll KM, Babor TF, Rounsaville BJ (1995) Subtypes of cocaine abusers: support for a Type A-Type B distinction. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 63:115–124
7. Ball SA, Kranzler HR, Tennen H, Poling JC, Rounsaville BJ (1998) Personality disorders and dimension differences between Type A and Type B substance abusers. *J Personal Disord* 12:1–12
8. Basu D, Ball SA, Feinn R, Gelernter J, Kranzler HR (2004) Typologies of drug dependence: comparative validity of a multivariate and four univariate models. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 73: 289–300
9. Blatt SJ, Berman WH (1990) Differentiation of personality types among opiate addicts. *J Pers Assess* 54:87–104
10. Blatt SJ, McDonald C, Sugarman A, Wilber C (1984) Psychodynamic theories of opiate addiction: New directions for research. *Clin Psychol Rev* 4:159–189

11. Bovasso GB, Alterman AI, Cacciola JS, Rutherford MJ (2002) The prediction of violent and nonviolent criminal behavior in a methadone maintenance population. *J Personal Dis* 16:360–373
12. Brooner RK, King VL, Kidorf M, Schmidt CW Jr, Bigelow GE (1997) Psychiatric and substance use comorbidity among treatment-seeking opioid abusers. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 54:71–80
13. Browne R, Fahy SM, Moran C, Sloan D, Keating S, O'Connor J (1998) Detection of benzodiazepine abuse in opiate addicts. *Ir Med J* 91:18–19
14. Buydens-Branchey L, Branchey MH, Noumair D (1989) Age of alcoholism onset. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 46:225–230
15. Cacciola JS, Alterman AI, Rutherford MJ, McKay JR, Mulvaney FD (2001) The relationship of psychiatric comorbidity to treatment outcomes in methadone maintained patients. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 61:271–280
16. Cadoret R, Troughton E, Widmer R (1984) Clinical differences between antisocial and primary alcoholics. *Compr Psychiatry* 25:1–8
17. Caetano R, Schafer J (1996) DSM-IV alcohol dependence and drug abuse/dependence in a treatment sample of whites, blacks and Mexican Americans. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 43:93–101
18. Chatham LR, Knight K, Joe GW, Simpson DD (1995) Suicidality in a sample of methadone maintenance clients. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* 21:345–361
19. Cloninger CR (1987) Neurogenetic adaptive mechanisms in alcoholism. *Science* 236:410–416
20. Cloninger CR, Bohman M, Sigvardsson S (1981) Inheritance of alcohol abuse. Cross-fostering analysis of adopted men. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 38:861–868
21. Cloninger CR, Sigvardsson S, Bohman M, von Knorring AL (1982) Predisposition to petty criminality in Swedish adoptees. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 39:1242–1247
22. Craig RJ (1979) Personality characteristics of heroin addicts: a review of the empirical literature with critique – part II. *Int J Addict* 14:607–626
23. Darke S, Ross J (2002) Suicide among heroin users: rates, risk factors and methods. *Addiction* 97:1383–1394
24. DeJong CAJ, van den Brink W, Harteveld FM, van der Wielen GM (1993) Personality disorders in alcoholics and drug addicts. *Compr Psychiatry* 34:87–94
25. Eiber R, Puel M, Schmitt L (1999) Heroin abuse, autobiographical memory and depression. *Encephale* 25:549–557
26. Epstein EE, Labouvie E, McCrady BS, Jensen NK, Hayaki J (2002) A multi-site study of alcohol subtypes: classification and overlap of unidimensional and multidimensional typologies. *Addiction* 97:1041–1053
27. Feingold A, Ball SA, Kranzler HR, Rounsaville BJ (1996) Generalizability of the Type A/Type B distinction across different psychoactive substances. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* 22:449–462
28. First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams JBW (1996) User's guide for the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Personality Disorders (SCID-II). American Psychiatric Press, Washington DC
29. Franke P, Neef D, Weiffenbach O, Gansicke M, Hautzinger M, Maier W (2003) Psychiatric comorbidity in risk groups of opioid addiction: a comparison between opioid dependent and non-opioid dependent prisoners. *Fortschr Neurol Psychiatr* 71:37–44
30. Frei A, Rehm J (2002) Co-morbidity: psychiatric disorder of opiate addicts at entry into heroin-assisted treatment. *Psychiatr Prax* 29:251–257
31. Frei A, Rehm J (2002a) The prevalence of psychiatric co-morbidity among opioid addicts. *Psychiatr Prax* 29:258–262
32. Glyngdal P, Hansen K (1997) Abuse of benzodiazepines among heroin addicts in Copenhagen. *Ugeskr Laeger* 159:6523–6527
33. Gombas W, Fischer G, Jagsch R, Eder H, Okamoto I, Schindler S, Muller C, Ferenci P, Kasper S (2000) Prevalence and distribution of hepatitis C subtypes in patients with opioid dependence. *Eur Addict Res* 6:198–204
34. Haahes AL, Wilens TE, Biederman H, Van Patten SL, Spencer T (2002) Temporal association between childhood psychopathology and substance use disorders: findings from a sample of adults with opioid or alcohol dependency. *Psychiatry Res* 109:245–253
35. Hall W, Bell J, Carless J (1993) Crime and drug use among applicants for methadone maintenance. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 3:123–129
36. Herd D (1993) Correlates of heavy drinking and alcohol related problems among men and women in drug treatment programs. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 32:25–35
37. Jellinek EM (1960) The disease concept of alcoholism. College and University Press, New Haven
38. Kidorf M, Disney ER, King VL, Neufeld K, Beilenson PL, Brooner RK (2004) Prevalence of psychiatric and substance use disorders in opioid abusers in a community syringe exchange program. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 74:115–122
39. Kokkevi A, Liappas J, Boukouvala V, Alevizou V, Anastassopoulou E, Stefanis C (1993) Criminality in a sample of drug abusers in Greece. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 31:111–121
40. Kokkevi A, Stefanis C (1995) Drug abuse and psychiatric comorbidity. *Compr Psychiatry* 36:329–337
41. Koller G, Preuss UW, Bottlender M, Wenzel K, Soyka M (2002) Impulsivity and aggression as predictors of suicide attempts in alcoholics. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 252:155–160
42. Kosten TR, Rounsaville BJ, Kleber HD (1985) Parental alcoholism in opioid addicts. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 173:461–469
43. Krausz M, Degkwitz P, Haasen C, Verthein U (1996) Opioid addiction and suicidality. *Crisis* 17:175–181
44. Krausz M, Verthein U, Degkwitz P (1999) Psychiatric comorbidity in opiate addicts. *Eur Addict Res* 5:55–62
45. Luthar SS, Merikangas KR, Rounsaville BJ (1993) Parental psychopathology and disorders in offspring. A study of relatives of drug abusers. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 181:251–357
46. Maddux JF, Desmond DP (1989) Family and environment in the choice of opioid dependence or alcoholism. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* 15:117–134
47. Maddux JF, Desmond DP, Costello R (1987) Depression in opioid users varies with substance use status. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* 13:375–385
48. Malow RM, West JA, Williams SL, Sutker PB (1989) Personality disorders classification and symptoms in cocaine and opioid addicts. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 57:765–767
49. Mann K, Hintz T, Jung M (2004) Does psychiatric comorbidity in alcohol-dependent patients affect treatment outcome? *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 254:172–181
50. Modestin J, Erni T, Oberson B (1998) A comparison of self-report and interview diagnoses of DSM-III-R personality disorders. *Eur J Personality* 12:445–455
51. Modestin J, Würmle O (1997) Two types classification of male alcoholism confirmed. *Eur Psychiatry* 12:335–341
52. Motto JA (1965) Suicide attempts. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 13:516–520
53. Nurnberger JI Jr, Foroud T, Flury L, Meyer ET, Wiegand R (2002) Is there a genetic relationship between alcoholism and depression? *Alcohol Res Health* 26:233–240
54. Ouimette PC, Klein DN (1995) Test-retest stability, mood-state dependence, and informant-subject concordance of the SCID-Axis II questionnaire in a nonclinical sample. *J Personal Disord* 9:105–111
55. Petty F, Trivedi MH, Fulton M, Rush AJ (1995) Benzodiazepines as antidepressants: does GABA play a role in depression? *Biol Psychiatry* 38:578–591
56. Pottenger M, McKernon J, Patrie LE, Weissman MM, Ruben HL, Newberry P (1978) The frequency and persistence of depressive symptoms in the alcohol abuser. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 166:562–570
57. Preuss UW, Schuckit MA, Smith TL, Danko GR, Dasher AC, Hesselbrock MN, Hesselbrock VM, Nurnberger JI jr (2002) A comparison of alcohol-induced and independent depression in alcoholics with histories of suicide attempts. *J Stud Alcohol* 63:498–502
58. Rittmannsberger H, Silberbauer C, Lehner R, Ruschak M (2000) Alcohol consumption during methadone maintenance treatment. *Eur Addict Res* 6:2–7
59. Rooney S, Kelly G, Bamford L, Sloan D, O'Connor JJ (1999) Co-abuse of opiates and benzodiazepines. *Ir J Med Sci* 168:36–41

60. Rounsaville BJ, Kosten TR, Weissman MM, Prusoff B, Pauls D, Anton SF, Merikangas K (1991) Psychiatric disorders in relatives of probands with opiate addiction. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 48:33–42
61. Roy A (2002) Characteristics of opiate dependent patients who attempt suicide. *J Clin Psychiatry* 63:403–407
62. Roy A (2003) Characteristics of drug addicts who attempt suicide. *Psychiatry Res* 121:99–103
63. Schmidt LG, Muller-Oerlinghausen B, Schlunder M, Seidel M, Platz WE (1987) Benzodiazepines and barbiturates in chronic alcoholics and opiate addicts. An epidemiological study of hospitalized addicts. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 112:1849–1854
64. Schuckit MA, Tipp JE, Smith TL, Schapiro E, Hesselbrock VM, Bucholz KK, Reich T, Nurnberger JI jr (1995) An evaluation of Type A and B alcoholics. *Addiction* 90:1189–1203
65. Simpson DD, Savage LJ (1981–1982) Client types in different drug abuse treatments: comparisons of follow-up outcomes. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* 8:401–418
66. Soyka M, Albus M, Kathmann N, Finelli A, Hofstetter S, Holzbach R, Immler B, Sand P (1993) Prevalence of alcohol and drug abuse in schizophrenic inpatients. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 242:362–372
67. Steer RA, Schut J (1979) Types of psychopathology displayed by heroin addicts. *Am J Psychiatry* 136:1463–1465
68. Strain EC (2002) Assessment and treatment of comorbid psychiatric disorders in opioid-dependent patients. *Clin J Pain* 18: S14–S27
69. Tardiff K, Marzuk PM, Leon AC, Portera L, Weiner C (1997) Violence by patients admitted to a private psychiatric hospital. *Am J Psychiatry* 154:88–95
70. Verheul R, van den Brink W, Hartgers C (1995) Prevalence of personality disorders among alcoholics and drug addicts: An overview. *Eur Addict Res* 1:166–177
71. von Knorring L, Palm U, Andersson HE (1985) Relationship between treatment outcome and subtype of alcoholism in men. *J Stud Alcohol* 46:388–391
72. von Knorring AL, Bohman M, von Knorring L, Orelund L (1985a) Platelet MAO activity as a biological marker in subgroups of alcoholism. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 72:51–58
73. von Knorring L, von Knorring AL, Smigan L, Lindberg U, Edholm M (1987) Personality traits in subtypes of alcoholics. *J Stud Alcohol* 48:523–527
74. Weller RA, Halikas JA, Darvish HS (1980) Alcoholism in black male heroin addicts. *Br J Addict* 75:381–388
75. WHO (1991) International Classification of Diseases, ICD-10, Chapter V (F) Mental and Behavioural Disorders. WHO, Geneva
76. Wittchen HU, Zaudig M, Fydrich T (1997) SKID Strukturiertes Klinisches Interview für DSM-IV Achse I und II, Handanweisung. Hogrefe, Göttingen – Bern – Toronto – Seattle
77. Zuckerman M (1994) Behavioral expressions and biosocial bases of sensation seeking. University Press, Cambridge, pp 225–247