Trees (2012) 26:1683–1702 DOI 10.1007/s00468-012-0737-0

ORIGINAL PAPER

Long-term tracing of whole catchment ¹⁵N additions in a mountain spruce forest: measurements and simulations with the TRACE model

Kim Krause · Isabelle Providoli · William S. Currie · Harald Bugmann · Patrick Schleppi

Received: 15 July 2011/Revised: 3 May 2012/Accepted: 11 May 2012/Published online: 4 June 2012 © Springer-Verlag 2012

Abstract Despite numerous studies on nitrogen (N) cycling in forest ecosystems, many uncertainties remain, particularly regarding long-term N accumulation in the soil. Models validated against tracer isotopic data from field labeling experiments provide a potential tool to better understand and simulate C and N interactions over multiple decades. In this study, we describe the adaptation of the dynamic process-based model TRACE to a new site, Alptal, where long-term N-addition and ¹⁵N-tracer experiments provide unique datasets for testing the model. We describe model parameterization for this spruce forest, and then test the model with 9- and 14-year time series of ¹⁵Ntracer recovery from control and N-amended catchments, respectively. Finally, we use the model to project the fate of ecosystem N accumulation over the next 70 years. Field ¹⁵N recovery data show that the major sink for N deposition is the soil. On the control plot, tracer recovery in the

Communicated by R. Matyssek.	
Special topic: Integrating Modeling and Experiment.	

K. Krause (⊠) · P. Schleppi Swiss Fed. Inst. for Forest Snow and Landscape Research (WSL), 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland e-mail: kim.krause@wsl.ch

K. Krause · H. Bugmann Forest Ecology, Swiss Fed. Inst. of Technology (ETH), 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

I. Providoli Centre for Development and Environment, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

W. S. Currie School of Natural Resources and Environment, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA soil increased from 32 % in the second year to 60 % in the ninth year following tracer addition, whereas on the N-saturated plot, soil recovery stayed almost constant from 63 % in the third year to 61 % in the twelfth year. Recovery in tree biomass increased over the decadal time scale in both treatments, to ca. 10 % over 9 years on the control plot and ca. 13 % over 14 years on the N-amended plot. We then used these time series to validate TRACE, showing that the adaptation and calibration procedure for the Alptal site was successful. Model-data comparison identified that the spreading method of ¹⁵N tracers needs to be considered when interpreting recovery results from labeling studies. Furthermore, the ground vegetation layer was recognized to play an important role in controlling the rate at which deposited N enters soil pools. Our 70-year model simulation into the future underpinned by a Monte-Carlo sensitivity analysis, suggests that the soil is able to immobilize a constant fraction of 70 and 77 % of deposited N for the treated and the control plot, respectively. Further, the model showed that the simulated increased N deposition resulted in a relatively small elevated C sequestration in aggrading wood with an N use efficiency of approximately 7 kg C per kg N added.

Keywords N cycling \cdot ¹⁵N tracer \cdot Simulation model \cdot Mountain forest \cdot Norway spruce \cdot N deposition

Introduction

Deposition of oxidized (NO_y) and reduced (NH_x) forms of reactive nitrogen (N) to terrestrial ecosystems has risen sharply since the industrial revolution; in some parts of the globe N deposition is even projected to continue to increase (Galloway et al. 2003; Gruber and Galloway 2008). This human-induced high input can shift the N status of forest ecosystems from naturally N limited to N saturated (Aber et al. 1989, 1998; Dise and Wright 1995; Fenn et al. 1998). Such a change in N status can have serious environmental consequences by changing soil and water chemistry, changing vegetation composition and productivity, and by altering the fluxes of radiatively active trace gases (N₂O, CO_2 , CH_4) exchanged between forests and the atmosphere (Aber 1992; Butterbach-Bahl et al. 1997; Priha and Smolander 1995). N additions to forest soils have been shown to reduce C/N ratios in leaves and litter (Dise and Wright 1995; Gundersen et al. 1998; Magill et al. 1997) and to stimulate tree growth and productivity (De Vries et al. 2006; Högberg et al. 2006; Hyvonen et al. 2008). High N deposition rates increase NO₃⁻ leaching from forest soils to groundwater (Borken and Matzner 2004; Dise and Wright 1995; Gundersen et al. 2006; Jussy et al. 2004; Schleppi et al. 2004), and accelerate soil acidification due to increased nitrification, ammonium uptake (Högberg et al. 2006; Likens et al. 1979) and base cation leaching (Currie et al. 1999a). High rates of N deposition can also change the ground vegetation (Fangmeier et al. 1994; Hulber et al. 2008) and thus lead to a decline in biodiversity (Phoenix et al. 2006). Although the impact of N on terrestrial ecosystems is an intensively studied research field, many uncertainties remain on how ecosystems will respond, especially in the longer term (i.e. over decades to centuries).

Dynamic biogeochemical process-based models can improve our understanding of long-term N effects on ecosystems. They provide an interconnected set of hypotheses about how fine-scale processes interact to produce whole-system N cycling and C-N interactions. However, modeling complex C-N interactions in a biogeochemical process model could produce whole-system C and N cycling that appear correct but do not necessarily capture the correct fine-scale interactions that cause ecosystem-level patterns. Most simulation analyses of N cycling and C-N interactions have limited ability to determine if the process interactions are captured correctly (Larocque et al. 2008). A powerful tool for testing model formulations of C-N interactions is to compare predicted movement of the stable isotope ¹⁵N between ecosystem pools with the redistribution of field-applied ¹⁵N tracers. With models that consider constraints from stable isotopes, we can achieve a deeper understanding than can be achieved with non-isotopic models (Currie et al. 2004).

In this study, the process-based model TRACE, with the ability to simulate ¹⁵N redistribution in forest ecosystems, was applied for its first time to a different temperate forest type (subalpine, open-canopy spruce forest at Alptal, Switzerland) than where the model was developed and validated, i.e. closed-canopy temperate oak and pine forests

at the Harvard Forest, MA, USA (Currie and Nadelhoffer 1999; Currie et al. 1999b, 2004).

Our aim was to test TRACE's representation of wholesystem C and N dynamics by applying it to a new site, Alptal, which is an open spruce forest with ground vegetation and low permeability soil. The Alptal experimental site hosts a long-term low-dose N-addition (NH₄NO₃) experiment initiated in 1995. The site consists of two small catchments, one receiving +25 kg N/ha/a and the second is the control. On the catchment scale, regular pulses of a ¹⁵N tracer were applied for 1 year starting in 1995 on the fertilized plot and in 2000 on the control plot.

Thus, our objectives were (1) to evaluate N partitioning in forest ecosystem compartments with field ¹⁵N-tracer recoveries; (2) to adapt TRACE to a different type of temperate forest (Alptal); and (3) to compare model results at Alptal against field-observed ¹⁵N recoveries. Finally, we used model-based projections to project the expected fate of elevated atmospheric N deposition on ecosystem N status over the time scale of several decades.

Field methods

Site description

The experimental site is located in the Alptal valley, on the northern edge of the European Alps in central Switzerland $(47^{\circ}02'N, 8^{\circ}43'E)$ at 1200 m a.s.l. It lies within the Erlenbach headwater catchment, which covers 0.7 km² and consist of 40 % naturally regenerating forest and 60 % bedding meadow, neither ever fertilized. The climate is cool and wet with a mean annual temperature of 6 °C and a mean annual precipitation of 2,300 mm (30 % as snow) reaching a maximum in June (270 mm) and a minimum in October (135 mm). The growing season lasts from May to September. Atmospheric inorganic N deposition is moderate with 12 kg N/ha/a bulk deposition and 17 kg N/ha/a in throughfall, equally divided between NO_3^- and NH_4^+ (Schleppi et al. 1999a). Parent rock material is Flysch, and major soil types are clay-rich Gleysols with an average 48 % clay, 46 % silt and 6 % sand. This leads to low permeability with a water table close to the surface throughout the year (Hagedorn et al. 1999). The two major soil types are determined by the distinct microtopography: (1) umbric gleysol with mor (raw humus), Ah and oxidised or partly oxidised Bg or Br horizons on mounds; and (2) mollic gleysols, with an anmoor (muck humus) topsoil, a thin Lf horizon and an almost permanently reduced Bg or Br horizon in depressions where the water table can reach the surface (Hagedorn et al. 2001). On average, soils are covered with snow from mid-November to April. The slope is ca. 20 % with a west-facing aspect. The stand consists of Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) (~85 % of basal area), along with silver fir (*Abies alba*). The stand regenerated naturally after selective logging and includes trees up to 260 years old. The stand's relatively low leaf area index (LAI) of 3.8 allows a well-developed layer of ground vegetation, which forms patches of botanical associations that vary by humus type and light conditions (Schleppi et al. 1999b). Ground vegetation is dominated by *Caltha palustris* (L.), *Petasites alba* ((L.) Gaertner), *Poa trivialis* (L.) and *Carex ferruginea* (Scop.) (Schleppi et al. 1999b). The moss layer is well developed with *Hylocomium splendens* ((*HeDw.*)*B.S.G.*) and *Sphagnum quinquefarium* ((*LINDB. EX BRAITHW.*) *WARNST.*) as the dominant species.

N addition and ¹⁵N-tracer experimental design

A low-dose N-addition experiment has been conducted since April 1995 in a paired-catchment design. Within the spruce forest, two small catchments (each ca. $1,500 \text{ m}^2$) were delimited by trenches. Due to the impermeable gleyic subsoil, the water is assumed not to infiltrate below the depth of the trenches (80 cm) and the yearly water budget of each catchment is approximately balanced (Schleppi et al. 1998). One catchment is subjected to an increased deposition of 25 kg N/ha/a as NH₄NO₃ added to rain water and sprinkled 1.5 m above ground, i.e. under the tree canopy but above the ground vegetation (Schleppi et al. 1998). The other catchment acts as a control, receiving only rainwater with ambient N deposition.

Several ¹⁵N labeling experiments have taken place in this paired-catchment design. On the N-treated plot, the nitrogen added during the first year of the experiment (between April 1995 and March 1996) was labeled with ¹⁵NH₄¹⁵NO₃ (219 mmol/m²; 0.88 atom %) and distributed by sprinklers (Schleppi et al. 1999a). On the control plot, two ¹⁵N labeling experiments took place (described in Providoli et al. 2005). First, K¹⁵NO₃ (0.17 mmol/m²; 99 atom %) was applied between July 2000 and June 2001. After a 1-year gap, ¹⁵NH₄Cl (0.7 mmol/m²; 99 atom %) was applied from July 2002 to June 2003. On the control plot, the tracers were applied on a weekly basis in summer and every 2 weeks in winter with a backpack sprayer directly above the ground vegetation. A timeline of tracer addition and sampling can be found in Figs. 4, 5 and 6.

Field ¹⁵N-tracer recovery

We compared simulated ¹⁵N-tracer recoveries with the 9and 14-year data of field ¹⁵N recoveries from the control and N-addition catchments, respectively. We considered ¹⁵N recovery in the following pools: wood, branches, foliage, ground vegetation, coarse and fine roots, soil litter layer, soil organic horizon and mineral soil horizon. In addition, the cumulative fluxes of ¹⁵N tracers in foliar litterfall and nitrate leaching were considered. All recoveries were calculated in proportion to the applied tracer (Providoli et al. 2005). For the N-treated plot, ¹⁵N recovery data for the year 1997 are from Schleppi et al. (1999a) following 1 year of N addition and labeling. For this year, separate data for fine roots and litter are not available as they were bulked for the analysis. For the years 2002 and 2009, we present new ¹⁵N recovery data. Sampling and analyses for soil and ground vegetation pools for these new data were conducted according to Providoli et al. (2005), and wood sampling and analyses were conducted according to Schleppi et al. (1999a). For the control plot, ¹⁵N recovery rates for the years 2001 and 2003 are from Providoli et al. (2005); for 2009, we provide new data.

For ¹⁵N recoveries in foliage, needle cohorts were taken each year from the seventh whorl of five dominant trees per plot. Annual cohorts were analyzed separately and later combined to obtain ¹⁵N percent recovery per year to compare with model results. We did this because the model considers only the youngest cohort and the sum of older ones as distinct pools. For the yearly recovery of ¹⁵N in litterfall, the content of four litter collectors per plot were bulked, analyzed quarterly and combined. To account for the cumulative tracer flux in nitrate leaching, weekly bulked runoff-proportional water samples were collected at the discharge weirs at both catchments since 1995 (Schleppi et al. 2004).

TRACE model

Model overview

Tracer Redistribution Among Compartments in Ecosystems (TRACE) is a biogeochemical process model of C, N and water fluxes in forest ecosystems. The crucial feature of TRACE is the prediction of the redistribution of ¹⁵N and ¹⁴N isotopes through time by simulating ¹⁵N:¹⁴N ratios of individual N pools and of the N transferred between pools, incorporating the principles of pool dilution and mass balance (Wessel and Tietema 1992). TRACE calculates the mixing and redistribution of ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N as NH₄⁺ and NO_3^{-} and organic N in the soluble and solid phases, while linking the fluxes of C, N and water in forest vegetation and soil (Fig. 1). Thus, TRACE allows for comparisons with large-scale ¹⁵N-labeling studies, as it simulates the timing, isotopic abundance and forms of ¹⁵N across the major pools in the ecosystem added to large pools. TRACE runs on a monthly time step and can be used to project forest change over decades to centuries, including the effect of climate change and altered N deposition. TRACE combines the vegetation processes of PnET-CN (Aber and



Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of pools and fluxes of N in TRACE. Plant N uptake, detrital N dynamics and N transformations are calculated separately in each soil layer. Pools of available N are separated by soil layer: O, organic horizon; M, mineral horizon; DON, PON, dissolved and particulate organic nitrogen; CWD, FWD, coarse and fine woody debris; Min./imm., mineralization/assimilation. Inputs: NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in atmospheric deposition, fertilizer, and isotopic tracer additions. For clarity, not all fluxes are shown in detail (adapted from Currie et al. 2004)

Driscoll 1997) with the soil processes of DOCMod (Currie and Aber 1997). PnET-CN emphasizes the links between vegetation physiology, biogeochemistry, and hydrology. PnET-CN incorporates photosynthesis, stomatal conductance and transpiration as a function of foliar N content, radiation, temperature, and soil water availability (Aber and Federer 1992). DOCMod is a model of litter decomposition, humification and production of dissolved organic C and N in the forest floor. Detailed information about the fundamental vegetation and soil processes in TRACE and PnET-CN can be found in Aber et al. (1997), Currie and Aber (1997), Currie and Nadelhoffer (1999) and Currie et al. (1999b).

Model adaptation to the Alptal site

Our analysis is based on TRACE v4.5. Since TRACE was initially developed for well-drained soils like Inceptisols on coarse-loamy texture at Harvard Forest (Aber et al. 1993), we made some structural changes to the model to account for known site-specific features at Alptal. Our changes incorporate (1) the gleyic soil conditions with low permeability and high water tables and (2) the preferential water flow (Schleppi et al. 2004).

First, during their inverse modeling analyses, Currie et al. (2004) had changed tree N uptake to be preferentially from the mineral soil pools. To account for the low permeability and high water tables resulting in a low rooting depth at Alptal, we changed this to the organic soil horizon. Second, in the original model, the NO₃⁻ concentration in preferential water flow was zero. In the Alptal model version, NO₃⁻ concentration from wet deposition and/or from snowmelt in the preferential water flow was created. By doing so, a fraction of NO₃⁻ is leached directly out of the system instead of going into the soil, i.e. a fraction of NO₃⁻ bypasses the soil pools without interactions. Equation (1) for *NO3fastflow* was added to the water balance procedure (monthly time step):

FastFlowFrac	fast flow fraction, i.e. proportion of water that flows preferentially through the soil;				
NO3WetDep	parameter wet NO_3^- deposition (g N/m ² /month); input				
SnowFrac	proportion of precipitation as snow (dimensionless):				
NO3SnowPack	NO_3^- amount present in snow pack (g N m ⁻²): state variable				
Snowmelt	proportion of the snow pack that melts and releases NO_3^- within a month (dimensionless).				

Preliminary simulation runs showed a non-negligible accumulation of NH_4^+ in the organic soil N pool. The original model nitrified only the fraction of NH_4^+ mineralized in the current month rather than the whole NH_4^+ pool of the organic soil. We solved this conceptual problem by applying the existing sub-procedure *GrossNitrif* to the whole NH_4^+ pool rather than only to the newly mineralized NH_4^+ .

Current climate data for the Alptal site were derived using local measurements from a nearby open-field meteorological station and represent 25-year means (1982–2007); these data were used to represent 20th century conditions (Table 1). To characterize the strong increase in N deposition over the 20th century, we estimated a total deposition of inorganic N that increased
 Table 1
 Long-term mean

 climate data for the Alptal site

Month Daily min. temperature (°		Daily max. temperature (°C)	Photosynthetically active radiation $(\mu mol/m^2/s)$	Precipitation (cm)	
1	-3.2	2.7	224	15	
2	-4.1	2.9	304	16.1	
3	-3.2	4.9	414	19.3	
4	-0.1	8.6	485	17.7	
5	4.5	13.9	494	20.9	
6	7.5	16.6	510	25.2	
7	9.6	19.0	542	25.5	
8	9.6	18.4	492	25.1	
9	6.6	15.1	427	19.6	
10	3.7	11.9	324	13	
11	-1.6	5.6	227	17	
12	-3.7	3.4	191	16	

Data represent 25-year means (1982–2007) from a nearby open-field meteorological station

Fig. 2 Scenarios of CO_2 concentration, bulk N deposition and N addition for the control and the N-treated plot for the years 1920–2080. Varying values for N addition from 1995 to 2008 are due to real data, for the following years values are a mean of the 1995–2008 data



linearly from 5 kg N/m²/a in 1900 to 7.6 kg N/m²/a in 1950; from there, deposition increased more steeply to 18 kg N/m²/a in 1975 and onward had constant values to reflect the effect of legal measures taken to control N deposition (Fig. 2). Historical CO_2 data from 1900 to 1958 were taken from the Law Dome ice core (Meure et al. 2006). Data between 1958 and 2010 are from Mauna Loa (Dr. Pieter Tans, NOAA/ESRL; http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/ gmd/ccgg/trends/). For future projections, the IPCC WRE Stabilization Profile 450 (IPCC 2001) was chosen. This optimistic scenario assumes an emission reduction that stabilizes atmospheric CO₂ concentration in the year 2090 at 450 ppm and is tied to a change in maximum/minimum temperature of 2 °C relative to 1960–1990. After all of the changes described above, we checked model integrity to ensure that TRACE maintained C and N mass balance throughout all simulations.

Finally, it should be noted that the OiOe horizon in TRACE corresponds to the LF horizon at Alptal, the Oa

horizon corresponds to the O humus horizon at Alptal and the M (for mineral soil) horizon in TRACE corresponds to the sum of the A and B horizons at Alptal (Providoli et al. 2005).

Model parameterization and calibration

TRACE is a complex model based on more than 100 parameters and initial conditions for state variables. As a basis for our parameterization procedure we used the input data for the red pine (*Pinus resinosa*) stand of Harvard Forest because it is the only conifer stand for which the model has been parameterized to date (Currie et al. 2009). The changes we made compared to the red pine parameterization are summarized in the Appendix. The simulation was initialized for the year 1900. Since for the initial values of state variables no historical records were available, we estimated these based on circumstantial evidence as follows. At the Alptal site, the *Picea abies* forest was similar

to today, i.e. a relatively open-canopy stand at least since 1925. Due to the proximity to a road, selective harvests (single trees) took place regularly (Gimmi et al. 2009). The most striking changes in the model parameterization based on empirical data from the Alptal site were the following (cf. "Appendix"): average foliar retention time (*FolReten*) is longer for Picea than for Pinus and was changed to 6 years, based on branch dissections (variable names in the model code, e.g. FolReten, are listed here in parentheses). Specific leaf weight, i.e. leaf mass per area, at the canopy top (SLWmax) was changed to 440 g m⁻² based on annually collected needle samples at the canopy top (Schleppi et al. 1999b). The change in specific leaf weight with canopy depth (SLWdel) was increased to 0.21 g m⁻² g, based on branch and needle harvests (Schleppi et al. 1999a, b). Initial maximum foliar mass [variable FolMass(2)] was increased to 1090 g OM m⁻², based on LAI measurements and yearly needle samples at the canopy top (Schleppi et al. 1999b). Water holding capacity (WHC) was reduced to 4 cm based on estimations from hydrographs in combination with measured rooting depth. The leaching fraction of available NO_3^{-} (*MNitleach*) was set to 0.3 (Hagedorn et al. 2001). According to ¹⁵N recovery data from a microsite labeling experiment by Providoli et al. (2006), the fraction of inorganic N leaching from the organic to the mineral horizon (ONH4leachProp and ONO3leachProp) were set to 0.12 and 0.23, respectively. Because of the lack of soil permeability at Alptal, we did not include diffusion of isotopes between available inorganic N pools in the organic and mineral soil horizons as used by Currie et al. (2004) for different soils.

Having incorporated climate and N deposition scenarios as well as the changes to parameters and initial conditions based on empirical data, we calibrated the following key

variables (independently of ¹⁵N recovery) before making model-data comparisons: foliar and woody production, tissue, fine root and wood N concentrations, O horizon mass and C/N ratio, net nitrification and leaching of NO_3^{-1} . We chose those variables because (1) they are central fluxes and pools in the model that are highly indicative of model behavior (Currie et al. 2009) and (2) they can be measured in the field. The resulting most striking parameter changes were the following (cf. "Appendix"): the minimum ratio of wood production to foliar production (Min-WoodFolRatio) was reduced to 0.75. To adjust LAI for light extinction in clumped canopies, the parameter LAIadj was set to 1.11. LAIadj corresponds to $1/\Omega$, Ω being the canopy clumping factor (cf. Nilson 1971). The parameters NImmobA and NimmobB were set to 160 and -24, respectively. These parameters adjust the relationship between soil N concentration and re-immobilization in organic matter and serve to calibrate the C/N ratio in the different soil horizons. Model-data comparison for the key variables is shown in Table 2. Results for the entire simulation period after the model calibration for the key variables and for both plots are shown in Fig. 3.

To evaluate model sensitivity to uncertainty in the parameter estimates, a multivariate Monte-Carlo analysis was conducted. We stochastically altered 63 parameters to test model behavior. The parameters that were altered were those that were not initially calculated, whose values are not well known, or did not belong to the initial conditions or climatic data. A detailed list of the altered parameters can be found in the TRACE user guide (Currie et al. 2009). Each of the chosen parameters was altered stochastically assuming a normal distribution around the default value of the parameter and a standard deviation of 10 %. After 100 model runs, with each considering a different altered

Table 2 Model-data comparison after calibration to assess model accuracy prior to the analysis of ¹⁵N redistribution

	Model results (2000)	Field data, control plot	Reference for field data
Foliar production (g OM/m/a)	231	300	Calculated using LAI, spec. leaf weight, needle life time
Wood production (g OM/m/a)	567	410	From dendrometers and height growth
N concentration in green foliage (%)	1.15	1.14	Needle sampling (2000)
N concentration in fine roots (%)	0.98	0.74	Soil sampling (2009)
N concentration in wood (%)	0.07	0.08	From tree-rings (2009)
Soil O horizon OM mass (g OM/m ²)	3,715	2,730	Soil sampling (1997)
Soil O horizon C/N ratio (g/g)	20.9	19.7	Soil sampling (2009)
Soil O horizon net nitrification (g N/m ² /a)	0.38	0.12 ± 0.24	Hagedorn et al. (1999)
NO_3^- leaching from M horizon (g N/m ² /a)	0.30	0.31	Runoff sampling, Schleppi et al. (2004)

OM organic matter (ash-free, oven-dry weight)





Fig. 3 Simulation of the main fluxes in the calibrated model for the years 1920–2080 for the control (*solid line*) and for the N-treated plot (*dashed line*). *Shaded areas* represent ±standard deviation (SD) from 100 Monte-Carlo runs with randomly altered parameters. Only the SD of the control plot is shown where it overlaps that of the N-treated plot

and does not differ from it by more than 1 %. The spike in foliage production and tree N uptake in 2047 is a discretization effect corresponding to the warming-induced advancement of a phenological stage (end of needle growth) by one time step, i.e. 1 month

parameter set, means and standard deviations within the ensemble of the model runs were calculated for each simulated year and selected model result.

Results

Recovery of ¹⁵N tracer in field samples

Total recovery of the applied 15 N tracer for the new data presented here (2002 on the N-treated plot and 2009 for both plots) varied between 60 and 75 % (Tables 3, 4, 5). In

all cases, the soil was the dominant sink for the ¹⁵N. On the control plot, tracer recovery in the soil increased almost linearly from 8 % in 2001 to 60 % in 2009 (Fig. 4). Recovery in the ground vegetation first increased from 4 % in 2001 to 32 % in 2003, and then decreased to 4 % in 2009. Trees showed a slight increase in the ¹⁵N tracer from 0.1 % in 2001 over 6 % in 2003 to 10 % in 2009.

On the N-treated plot, tracer recovery in the soil decreased from 63 % in 1997 to 47 % in 2002 (also Fig. 4) and then increased to 61 % in 2009. In the ground vegetation, ¹⁵N-tracer recovery decreased from 5 % in 1997 to 1.5 % in 2002 and less than 1 % in 2009. Trees showed a

Table 3 Partitioning of the added 15 N labeled nitrogen for 2002, 6 years after tracer addition on the N-treated plot (+25 kg/ha/a) in major ecosystem pools, as means

N-treated plot 2002						
Pool	Pool size	N conc. (%)	N pool	Tracer		
	(kg/m ²)		(g/m²)	Fraction (mmol/mol)	Recovery (%)	
Trees						
Bark, wood, branches, r	needles				9.3 ^a	
Ground vegetation						
Mosses	0.9	2.1	1.9	12	0.6	
Monocotyledons	0.4	2.0	0.8	8	0.2	
Dicotyledon herbs	0.6	2.1	1.3	8	0.3	
Dicotyledon shrubs	0.4	1.3	0.5	19	0.3	
Others species	0.2	1.9	0.4	2	0.1	
Roots						
LF fine roots	0.3	0.9	0.2	20	1.6	
A + B fine roots	0.5	0.8	0.4	12	1.0	
LF medium roots	0.3	0.4	0.1	9	0.4	
A + B medium roots	1.0	0.4	0.4	6	1.0	
Soil						
Litter	0.5	1.4	0.7	12	2.6	
LF	6.9	1.2	8.3	10	26.7	
А	28.5	0.7	20.3	2	15.4	
В	75.8	0.3	200	0	0	
Total recovery					59.5	

Pool sizes as dry matter, N concentrations, N pools, tracer fractions and tracer recoveries. ¹⁵N-tracer recoveries are estimated according to "Field methods"

^a Recovery rates for trees (including bark, wood branches and needles) were estimated by a linear interpolation between recovery rates from 1997 and 2009

slight increase in the 15 N tracer from 8 % in 1997 to 13 % in 2009.

Model results compared against ¹⁵N field data

After calibration, the model was compared against the results of the ¹⁵N-tracer study conducted in both experimental catchments. Because total N pools and fluxes had been adjusted in the parameterization and calibration phase, the main criteria to judge model performance in this new forest type were the tracer recoveries. We considered the following pools: foliage (most recent cohort and sum of the older ones), fine roots (<2 mm diameter), living wood, soil litter layer, organic soil horizon and mineral soil horizon. The cumulative fluxes of tracer in litterfall as well as in nitrate leaching were also considered.

On the control plot TRACE calculated a sharp increase in recovery of the ¹⁵N tracer in current-year foliage (Fig. 5) with a peak after 2 years once 100 % of the tracer had been applied. Field data (also Fig. 5) for this variable on the control plot showed much lower values and no clear peak 8 years after the last tracer dose was applied. Fine root ¹⁵N recovery was slightly overestimated by TRACE and field data did not show the same clear peak simulated 3 years after the first tracer application. The peak 4 years after the application of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ in the litter layer was captured well by the model. Recovery rates in the organic horizon were not well captured (Fig. 6). In the first years after the beginning of tracer application, TRACE over-predicted ¹⁵N recovery rates, whereas 9 years later, TRACE underpredicted them.

On the N-treated plot, calculated ¹⁵N recovery in foliage fits well with field observations. Recovery data for fine roots showed good agreement between simulation and measurements 7 years after tracer application, but 14 years after tracer application, field recovery was underestimated in the model by a factor two. For the litter layer simulated recovery agreed well with field results. For the organic horizon, TRACE under-predicted recovery rates throughout the simulation run with nearly constant rates, whereas field recovery rates were more variable.

On both the control and the N-treated plot, recovery of the cumulated litterfall flux was over-predicted by TRACE, which suggested a peak in litterfall fluxes of ¹⁵N about 3 years after tracer addition. In the field data, litterfall fluxes of ¹⁵N showed no clear peak or decline even after 14 years. In addition, simulated wood ¹⁵N recovery rates on both plots were consistent with field data, and they lay within the calculated sensitivity range.

Simulated recoveries of 15 N tracer in the litter layer on both plots showed good agreement with field data (Fig. 5). The simulated cumulative 15 N recovery of the NO₃⁻

Table 4Partitioning of theadded ¹⁵N labeled nitrogen for2009, 9 years after traceraddition on the control plot (noN addition) in mayor ecosystempools, as means

Control plot 2009						
Pool	Pool size	N conc. (%)	N pool	Tracer		
	(kg/m ²)		(g/m²)	Fraction (µmol/mol)	Recovery (%)	
Trees						
Wood	20	0.1	16	30	0.7	
Bark	2	0.3	6.3	38	2.3	
Branches	3	0.4	12	19	1.9	
Needles	1.1	1.2	14	34	3.7	
Ground vegetation						
Mosses	0.3	2	6.5	103	2.1	
Monocotyledons	0.04	2	0.7	37	0.1	
Dicotyledon herbs	0.07	1.9	1.4	61	0.4	
Dicotyledon shrubs	0.1	1.2	1.7	79	0.5	
Others species	0.03	1.6	1.7	120	0.7	
Roots						
LF fine roots	0.4	1	0.02	79	2.5	
A + B fine roots	0.4	0.8	0.02	21	0.6	
LF medium roots	0.2	0.6	0.01	48	0.5	
A + B medium roots	0.8	0.5	0.02	13	0.6	
Soil						
Litter	0.6	1.3	8.4	53	3.7	
LF	7	1.4	104	48	38.9	
А	23	0.9	178	6	13.3	
В	64	0.3	145	0	0	
Total recovery					72.5	

Pool sizes as dry matter, N concentrations, N pools, tracer fractions and tracer recoveries. ¹⁵N-tracer recoveries are estimated according to "Field methods"

leaching flux for both catchments was consistent with field measurements, showing that the majority of the tracer leaching occurs within the first 2–3 years (Schleppi et al. 2004). The only difference in ¹⁵N–NO₃ leaching between treatments is that twice as much tracer was leached on the N-treated catchment than on the control.

On both plots, TRACE predicted the mineral soil horizon as the major sink for the applied tracer with nearly 40 % recovery of the applied tracer after ca. 3–4 years. Field recovery showed a much smaller N sink in the mineral horizon. On the control plot, field recovery of ¹⁵N in the mineral soil was below 5 % in the first 3 years, and reached a maximum of about 14 % 9 years after the beginning of the tracer application. On the N-treated plot, ¹⁵N recovery was ca. 20 % at both 2 and 14 years after application.

After the successful calibration and validation with 15 N field data, the model run for 70 years into the future showed that the soil has the ability to immobilize an ongoing constant rate of 77 % (15 kg/ha/a) of the N load on the control plot and 70 % (18 kg/ha/a) of the N load on the treated plot (Fig. 3).

Modeled N impact on C sequestration

Model results showed that the additional N clearly increased forest net primary production (NPP). Before the start of N addition in 1995, both experimental plots showed similar values for NPP of about 550 g $OM/m^2/a$ (where OM is organic matter). Followed by the start of N addition in 1995, simulated wood NPP increased characterized by a sigmoid curve for approximately 13 years by almost 10 %, stayed constant on that level for another 60 years and returned linearly almost to the control level at the end of our simulation period in 2080. In contrast to wood NPP, foliar NPP did not change due to the additional N input in our simulations.

Model results for the ecosystem C pools indicated an increased C sequestration especially in standing wood (living plus standing dead) and, to a lesser extent, in the soil O horizon. The increase in standing wood peaked in 2055 with 1,080 g C/m² or 6.4 % over the control. Soil C storage on the N-treated plot started to increase after a time lag of approximately 15 years, increased by approximately 6.5 g C/m²/a and peaked after 60 years before returning

Table 5Partitioning of theadded ¹⁵N labeled nitrogen for2009, 14 years after traceraddition on the N-treated plot inmayor ecosystem pools, asmeans

N-treated plot 2009						
Pool	Pool size	N conc.	N pool	Tracer		
	(kg/m ²)	(%)	(g/m^2)	Fraction (mmol/mol)	Recovery (%)	
Trees						
Wood	11.6	0.08	9.3	11	1.1	
Bark	1.4	0.3	4.6	9	2.0	
Branches	2.5	0.4	10.3	10	3.3	
Needles	1.3	1.2	16	9	5.0	
Ground vegetation						
Mosses	0.06	2.5	1.4	2	0.1	
Monocotyledons	0.1	1.8	2.3	5	0.3	
Dicotyledon herbs	0.1	2	2.1	4	0.2	
Dicotyledon shrubs	0.1	1.4	2.1	4	0.2	
Others species	0.06	1.9	1.0	1	0.1	
Roots						
LF fine roots	0.4	1.2	0.02	10	1.7	
A + B fine roots	0.4	0.8	0.02	7	1.0	
LF medium roots	0.2	0.6	0.005	8	0.3	
A + B medium roots	0.7	0.5	0.02	6	0.9	
Soil						
Litter	0.8	1.4	12.4	7	2.9	
LF	7.8	1.5	126	9	36.7	
А	23.3	0.8	163	3	16.8	
В	75.8	0.3	200	1	2.1	
Total recovery					74.7	

Pool sizes as dry matter, N concentrations, N pools, tracer fractions and tracer recoveries. ¹⁵N-tracer recoveries are estimated according to "Field methods" section

almost to control levels in 2080. While modeled NPP converged between N-treated and the control plot until the end of our model simulation in 2080, differences in C pool sizes remained.

Discussion

In model-data comparisons using the TRACE model, if C, N, and ¹⁵N results for ecosystem compartments are simulated correctly, we can gain increased confidence in our understanding of how fine-scale processes combine to produce whole-system C and N cycling. Applying TRACE at a new site is worthwhile, particularly where field data for ¹⁵N recoveries are available at multiple points in time, because this allows us to assess our understanding from monthly to decadal timescales and across a greater range of forest types. Compared to other models, TRACE has the advantage that it is able to simulate the redistribution of ¹⁵N tracers in major ecosystem compartments. This provides investigators with the ability to discover where disagreements arise between field measured and modeled ¹⁵N redistributions.

However, before evaluating the simulation results in more detail (below) the following has to be taken into

account. While model results are constrained by a mathematical mass balance of the isotope such that tracer percent recovery after accounting for system losses always total 100 %, field observations typically scale-up recovery rates from fine-scale samples and do not necessarily recover the entire amount of tracer in the study system; thus, tracer recovery rates from field studies typically do not total 100 %, but usually lie between 60 and 90 % (Buchmann et al. 1996; Lamontagne et al. 2000; Nadelhoffer et al. 2004; Providoli et al. 2005; Templer et al. 2012). In both the field and the model study, gaseous N losses through denitrification for example are not taken into account, which may be a reason why field recoveries do not total 100 %. For our study site Alptal, Mohn et al. (2000) showed that the total gaseous N loss through denitrification is of minor importance (1.7 kg N/ha/a on the control and 2.9 kg N/ha/a for the treated plot) and thus represents a small part of the ¹⁵N not recovered.

Field recovery of the ¹⁵N tracer

The results of ¹⁵N-tracer recovery for the 9- and 14-year resampling are consistent with earlier results from the same site, that the soil pool was the most important sink for all

three applied tracer forms and for all sampling times. This agrees well with a meta-analysis by Templer et al. (2012), which compares ecosystem-level ¹⁵N-tracer studies across vegetation biomes, soil types, and plant functional types. As a general pattern, in the short-term (<1 week) as well as in the longer term (3-18 month) the soil is the largest sink for ¹⁵N tracers in terrestrial ecosystems. Our 14- and 9-year time series of ¹⁵N-tracer recovery for all major ecosystem N pools are unique because most of the ¹⁵N studies in forest ecosystems either have sampling periods no longer than 3 years or do not account for all major N pools (Buchmann et al. 1996; Lamontagne et al. 2000; Morier et al. 2008; Nadelhoffer et al. 1995; Perakis et al. 2005; Providoli et al. 2005; Templer et al. 2005; Tietema et al. 1998). The only study we are aware of with longer sampling periods in all major N pools is a 7-year resampling at Harvard Forest (Nadelhoffer et al. 2004). Their long-term study and our results provide evidence that the immobilization of inorganic N input to forest soils is not a shortterm response (1-3 years); in actual fact, after entering soil pools, deposited N has mean residence times greater than a decade.

In our results, a main difference between catchment responses for ¹⁵N recovery in soil shortly after ¹⁵N additions was that the N-treated plot had high recovery in the soil (63 %) in the year 1997, whereas the control plot had much lower recovery in 2003 (33 %) (Figs. 4, 6). One potential cause of this difference might be the different ¹⁵N-tracer forms that were used. In a meta-analysis of ¹⁵N field tracer studies across a broad range of terrestrial ecosystems, Templer et al. (2012) showed that the form of the added tracer has a significant influence on total ecosystem ¹⁵N-tracer recovery. Studies in which the tracer was added as ¹⁵NH₄ had significantly lower total ecosystem ¹⁵N

recovery (53.4 %, n = 23) compared to those where ¹⁵N was added as ${}^{15}NH_4{}^{15}NO_3$ (85.3 %, n = 15) or ${}^{15}NO_3$ (80.2 %, n = 10). Another, and in our opinion more likely cause of the difference we observed may be the differences in tracer application methods. On both catchments the ¹⁵N tracer was applied directly on the ground or ground vegetation where present, but on the N-treated plot this was done during rain events with an automatic irrigation system (Schleppi et al. 1999a). As a result, the precipitation along with the irrigation water allowed the tracer to rapidly infiltrate into the soil, and contact time with plant tissues remained short. However, on the control plot, the tracer was applied independently of rain events using a backpack sprayer (Providoli et al. 2005) leaving the tracer on plant and soil surfaces for an extended period of time. Recovery rates from the ground vegetation support this hypothesis: On the control plot, where the tracer had longer retention time on plant tissues, tracer recovery in ground vegetation was almost 20 % while on the N-treated plot only 5 % of the tracer was recovered. An N saturation of the ground vegetation within the first year of (labeled) N treatment can be ruled out since the N concentration in plant tissues did increase during this time (Schleppi et al. 1999a). Another argument supporting the fact that the different application methods influence the retention time of the applied tracer in the soil is nitrate leaching during the first year of tracer addition: In the fertilized catchment, Schleppi et al. (1999a) recovered 10 % of the tracer in runoff, whereas in the control catchment Providoli et al. (2005) recovered only ca. 1 % of the tracer in runoff. One might argue that the N fertilization has caused this increase in nitrate leaching due to N saturation (Tietema et al. 1998) but Schleppi et al. (1999a) showed that the leached nitrate was hydrologically driven and mainly coming directly from the additional N

Fig. 4 Recoveries of the ¹⁵N tracer in major ecosystem pools in proportion to the total amount of applied tracer (soil, trees and ground vegetation) on the N-treated and the control plot. Trees comprise coarse roots and soil comprises fine roots. Total recovery for the year 2001 on the control plot is low because only 24 % of the total tracer has been applied since then. Vertical bars indicate timing, form and amount of the applied tracers. N addition began in 1995 and continued throughout the course of measurements. Detailed recovery data for all ecosystem pools can be found in the Tables 3, 4 and 5





Fig. 5 Simulation results compared to field data for the recovery of ¹⁵N tracers in plant N pools and foliar litterfall flux. Field study results are shown as *triangles* with *error bars* as means \pm standard deviation (SD). Some SD values are too low to be visible. Simulated mean values are shown as *solid lines*. The *shaded area* represents

 \pm SD based on a set of 100 Monte-Carlo runs with altered model parameters (see text). 'Foliage' results are sums of all yearly cohorts in living foliage. Wood refers to living wood. *Vertical bars* show form, amount and timing of the ¹⁵N-tracer application. Note that after the year 2010 the scaling of the *x* axis changes

fertilization even during single rainfall events (Schleppi et al. 2004). At Alptal, >80 % of the total N deposition occurs as wet deposition (Schleppi et al. 1998). Given all of these factors, we expect that the application of the tracer on the N-treated plot with sprinklers during rain events, as well as recovery rates obtained in N-treated plot, were more realistic.

In tree biomass, tracer recoveries on both plots were small relative to recoveries in the soil (Fig. 4). On both plots, trees accumulated about 10 % of the tracer by 14 or

9 years after ¹⁵N application which is consistent with findings by Nadelhoffer et al. (2004) where trees (red pine) accumulated 6.8–15.5 % of the tracer by 7 years after application. Their long-term tracer study as well as our results showed that after approximately a decade, trees were still taking up some of the ¹⁵N tracer. Considering the fact that a part of the atmospheric N deposition to forests can be taken up directly by the canopy, our ¹⁵N recovery rates for trees might be slightly underestimated (Sievering 1999; Sievering et al. 2000; Nadelhoffer et al. 1999).



Fig. 6 Simulation results compared to field data for recovery of 15 N tracer in soil N pools and cumulative nitrate leaching. Field study results are shown as *triangles* with *error bars* as means \pm standard deviation (SD). Some SD values are too low to be visible. Simulated

Comparison of model simulations against field data

Soil

Model simulation correctly showed the dominance of soil pools over vegetation pools as N sinks for ¹⁵N tracers at the Alptal site in both control and N-treated plots. Simulated tracer recovery rates in the litter layer on the control plot matched well with our field results throughout the time series (Fig. 6). Model results slightly overestimated the

mean values are shown as *solid lines*. The *shaded areas* represent \pm SD based on a set of 100 Monte-Carlo with altered model parameter (see text). *Vertical bars* show form, amount and timing of the ¹⁵N tracer. Note that after the year 2010 the scaling of the *x* axis changes

organic horizon in 2001 and 2003 but underestimated it in 2009. This underestimation by the model in 2009 can be explained as follows: The model was originally adapted to closed-canopy forests without a significant layer of ground vegetation, or an herbaceous layer, in which N could be retained. The current version of TRACE has no mechanism for N (including ¹⁵N) uptake into the ground vegetation. However, at Alptal the open-canopy spruce forest has a well-developed ground layer, and, in particular, a wide-spread mosaic-like moss layer with more than 30 moss

species (Schleppi et al. 1998). It is well known that mosses use atmospherically deposited inorganic N as a major N source (Bates 1992; Woodin et al. 1985) and therefore modify the chemistry of the percolating water prior to its infiltration into the soil. In the Netherlands, where high ambient N deposition levels prevail, Heijmans et al. (2002) retrieved 63 % of the tracer in the living *Sphagnum* layer 15 months after ¹⁵N addition. For the Alptal experimental site Providoli et al. (2005) found that mosses contain roughly 15 % of the added ¹⁵N after 1 year. This observation indicates that a large part of the ¹⁵N tracer is retained in the moss layer first; only later is it passed to the organic soil as plant litter, with a delay equal to the lifespan of moss tissue.

On the N-treated plot, as on the control plot, simulated recovery rates for the litter layer fit well with field results. Model results for ¹⁵N recovery in the organic horizon overestimated field recoveries for the control plot in the first few years, while underestimating field recoveries on the N-treated plot throughout the sampling period. This difference could be explained by the above-mentioned difference in the method of tracer application. Overestimation of modeled O horizon recovery of ¹⁵N on the control plot may also be due to the absence of a ground vegetation layer in the model. On both plots, recovery rates for the mineral horizon were overestimated in model simulations compared to field data. In their simulation study with TRACE, Currie et al. (2004) thought that the field sampling depth could be a factor that leads to an underestimation in field recovery because any tracer leached below sampling depth is missed. This is not the case in our study because recovery in the B horizon (sampling depth 25 cm) was always low (max. 2 %). The reason for this is certainly because soils at Alptal are waterlogged for much or most of the year, and the infiltration of the tracers into mineral soil is much less important than in permeable soils. This difference highlights how important infiltration into mineral soils is for vertical transport and retention of N.

Despite all discrepancies between model and field recovery rates in the different soil compartments, the comparison of simulation and field results show a rather good match when the soil is considered as a single pool.

Modeled N uptake by plants first takes place in the upper soil horizon but can also take place, if depleted, from the lower horizon. Hence, for simulations of ecosystem-scale C and N cycling, the model-field data discrepancies in the soil that we found are not of key importance. The sensitivity analysis, with its 63 stochastically altered parameters, showed that the model is relatively robust against uncertainties in parameter estimates. This applies to the main N fluxes as well as to ¹⁵N recoveries. Total N content in the soil on the N-treated plot in 2080 varies only by ca. ± 10 % within the set of Monte-Carlo simulation undertaken to assess uncertainty (Fig. 3). This shows the relative precision of our simulation-based prediction that forest soils will continue to immobilize approximately 3/4 of the N load for the next 70 years under the assumed climate change and N deposition scenarios. However, like with every model of this kind, predictions are only realistic as long as basic ecosystem functions are retained. While N is known to make ecosystems more sensitive to abiotic and biotic stresses like drought, frost, pests, diseases or combinations of these (Magill et al. 2004; McNulty and Boggs 2010; Throop and Lerdau 2004), the model does not make predictions about such disturbances. Model results show that N addition results in a significantly lower ratio of C/N in soil (Fig. 3). This could alter N availability and potentially alter the outcome of plant competition over long time scales. The lower C/N ratio can also be expected to promote nitrate leaching and loss to surface waters (Fig. 3; Gundersen et al. 1998).

Plant pools and litterfall fluxes

In their modeling study with TRACE using field data from Harvard Forest (Nadelhoffer et al. 2004), Currie et al. (2004) found a general overestimation of simulated recovery rates from vegetation pools for the pine forest (foliage, wood, roots). Comparing our model results with theirs, we found that all vegetation pools except wood and fine roots on the N-treated plot were slightly overestimated at Alptal. For wood and fine roots, the Alptal version of TRACE shows an even closer match with field data than the original model application. The disagreement of simulated ¹⁵N recovery rates for fine roots may be due to an oversimplified N storage function in the model. TRACE assumes that one-third of the plant internal storage (VascN) is recovered in fine roots; Currie and Nadelhoffer (1999) previously pointed out that this is a simplifying assumption and that correctly capturing ¹⁵N-tracer recovery in fine roots requires additional research. Before looking at results for foliage we have to consider that modeling temporal patterns of ¹⁵N recovery rates for foliage with processbased models is also demanding as numerous processes are involved: soil N mineralization and nitrification, uptake of inorganic nitrogen forms of N from the organic and mineral horizons, physiological assimilation, transport, storage and allocation, foliar phenology and N leaching from the canopy. As a result, a certain degree of divergence between measured and simulated recovery is to be expected. Taking this into account, simulated recovery of the ¹⁵N tracer generally agrees well with field recovery. Upon further examination, recovery in foliage on the N-treated plot fits better to field recovery than on the control plot where model results slightly overestimate the field study results and show a stronger peak. Again, this may be explained by

the different application methods of the tracer as mentioned above. When comparing results from tracer studies, not only the application method of the tracer has to be considered but also its form. Several N uptake studies have shown that *Picea abies* prefers $\rm NH_4^+$ to $\rm NO_3^-$ (Buchmann et al. 1995, Ilari 1994, Kronzucker et al. 1997). This might explain the low recovery on the control plot in the first two years after the addition of the K¹⁵NO₃ tracer followed by a sharp increase in recovery directly after the addition of the ¹⁵NH₄Cl tracer, compared to the immediate increase after the addition of the ¹⁵NH₄¹⁵NO₃ tracer on the N-treated plot.

Because the tracer signal in litterfall is controlled by the signal in foliage, model discrepancies in recovery rates in litterfall fluxes can be partially explained by discrepancies in foliage. However, the mismatch of modeled litterfall ¹⁵N recovery is also related to the number of foliage cohorts considered in the model. In TRACE, foliage is separated into two cohorts only. The first cohort is defined as currentyear foliage, while the second cohort includes foliage from all prior years. Modeled foliar litterfall in conifers then takes place from the second cohort, thus leading rapidly to an increased signal of ¹⁵N in litterfall from this cohort. In contrast, field observations at the Alptal site show that the foliage of Picea abies builds up to 11 cohorts with an average longevity of about 6-7 years. Subsequently, the ¹⁵N-tracer signal in litterfall is expected to be significantly delayed in field results. Approximately 10 years after tracer addition when all foliage cohorts in the field have taken up some of the ¹⁵N tracer, model and field recovery rates on both plots match well. Therefore, simulation results for litterfall tracer recovery in the longer term showed realistic results, while only short-term patterns disagree and do so for an easily understood reason.

Increased modeled C sequestration by N addition

Beside modeling the fate of added N with TRACE, it is possible to answer the question of the effects of additional N on ecosystem C pools and fluxes. Our simulation showed that the additional N resulted in an increased wood NPP and, thus enhanced forest C sequestration. The simulated continuing N addition of 25 kg N/ha/a on the treated plot (Fig. 2) resulted in a cumulative N input of 1,500 kg N/ha over 60 years, the time at which the differential C sequestration peaked. The ratio of cumulative C sequestration to the cumulative N addition resulted in an N use efficiency (NUE) for aggrading wood of 7.2 kg C per kg N added. A very similar modeling study with TRACE for the Harvard forest hardwood stand by Currie et al. (2004) found a NUE of 5 kg C per kg N of the added N. In a meta-analysis of the above-ground C sequestration in forests induced by enhanced N deposition De Vries et al. (2009) suggest a most possible range of 15–40 kg C per kg N added. Compared to that, the results from Currie et al. (2004) and our results show a relatively low response of the additional N on the ecosystem C sequestration.

Conclusions

Our time series of ¹⁵N-tracer recoveries from two plots with different N status, unique in their long-term duration, allowed us to track the partitioning of N inputs among forest ecosystem compartments through time. These data show that the combined organic and mineral soil was the major sink for N deposition (60 %), whereas trees (needles, branches, wood, bark) stored about 10 % of the applied tracer 14 and 9 years after tracer addition, respectively. The comparison of field ¹⁵N recoveries with results from the TRACE model showed some discrepancies, but in general the simulation results for the ¹⁵N recovery from the various ecosystem compartments were satisfactory. In many respects, discrepancies between model results and field observations provide insight into the particularities of more open subalpine forests with ground vegetation growing on poorly permeable soils (Alptal) compared to more closed temperate North American forests stocking on permeable soils (Harvard Forest) for which TRACE was initially developed. During the model adaptation process we included preferential water flow for nitrate and fixed an ammonium accumulation problem in the organic soil. TRACE is based on more than 100 parameters which make the adaptation process to new sites very much dependent on the availability of data. However, the conducted sensitivity analyses revealed that for the Alptal site, the uncertainty in parameter estimates plays a minor role in causing uncertainty in model results. The inclusion of additional site-specific conditions, i.e. the ground vegetation layer and more than two foliar cohorts in the model should be given much more attention. Beside these potential improvements we conclude that the adapted and calibrated version of TRACE is able to capture N and C cycling well in a very different type of forest than it was first developed for. Consequently, using the model to evaluate the long-term fate of N deposition, our 70-year simulation in the future suggests a constant ongoing ability of the forest soil to immobilize 70 to 77 % of the N load and resulted in an NUE of approximately 7 kg C per kg N added.

Acknowledgments This study was funded by the European COST Action FP 0601, Forest Management and the Water cycle (FOR-MAN). Support for W. S. Currie was provided by the McIntire-Stennis program through the USDA Forest Service. We thank Christine Goodale for valuable comments and revisions that improved the manuscript.

Appendix

See Table 6.

Table 6	Changes made to	the default parameter	set for the	initialization year	r 1900 separated	into parameters an	nd initialization data
---------	-----------------	-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	------------------	--------------------	------------------------

		Units	Harvard forest (pine) ^a	Alptal ^b (spruce)	References
(a) Parameters					
Phenology and physi	ology				
PsnTMin	Minimum daily temperature for photosynthesis	°C	4	0	R. Häsler (WSL, pers. comm.)
PsnTOpt	Optimum daily temperature for photosynthesis	°C	24	18	R. Häsler (WSL, pers. comm.)
AmaxFrac	Daily Amax as fraction of instantaneous	Decimal fraction	0.8	0.58	
FolReten	Foliar retention time	Year	2.25	6	Schleppi et al.(1999a)
SLWMax	Specific leaf weight at top of canopy	g/m ²	200	440	Schleppi et al. (1999b)
SLWdel	Change in specific leaf weight with canopy depth	g/m²/g	0	0.21	Schleppi et al. (1999a, b)
GDDFolStart	Growing-degree days for foliar expansion to start	°C day	900	570	Hallenbacher PhD thesis (2002)
GDDFolEnd	Growing-degree days for foliar expansion to end	°C day	1,600	1,400	Hallenbacher PhD thesis (2002)
GDDWoodStart	Growing-degree days for wood growth to start	°C day	900	300	Schleppi (unpublished data)
GDDWoodEnd	Growing-degree days for wood growth to end	°C day	1,600	1,400	Schleppi (unpublished data)
FolRelGrowMax	Max relative growth rate of canopy		0.3	0.5	
FolNRetrans	Maximum N resorbed (retranslocated) from foliage	Decimal fraction	0.48	0.123	
FolNConRange	Max. relative increase in green foliar N under increased N avail.	g N/g OM mass	0.7	0.1	
MinWoodFolRatio	Minimum ratio of wood production to foliar prod.	Decimal fraction	0.85	0.75	
VascNWprop,	Proportion of VascN that will be counted in wood		0.5	0.2	
LAIadj	Adjustment to LAI for light extinction in clumped canopies		1.5	1.11	
Foliar litter					
FLPctN	N concentration in foliar litter	g N/g OM	0.0055	0.01	Schleppi et al. (1999a, b)
Fine root litter					
RpctACI	Acid insoluble fraction, fine root litter	$100 \times (g/g \text{ OM})$	47.3	24.5	
RpctACS	Acid soluble fraction, fine root litter	$100 \times (g/g \text{ OM})$	30.7	29	
RpctTEX	Total extractives fraction, fine root litter	$100 \times (g/g \text{ OM})$	22	46.5	
RLPctN	In fine roots, N concentration as permanent N that will reside in tissue	g N/g OM	0.001	0.0001	
WLPctN	In wood, N concentration as permanent N that will reside in tissue	g N/g OM	0.0016	0.00065	
Decomposition relate	ed parameters				
WoodLitCLoss	Ratio of C loss to C transfer		0	0.25	

Table 6 continued

		Units	Harvard forest (pine) ^a	Alptal ^b (spruce)	References
WoodMRespB	Controls maintenance respiration of living wood		0.078	0.07	
Kho	Decay rate of humus	Per month	0.0008	0.00042	
Bioturb	Fraction of humus (Oa) mass bioturbated into mineral soil each month	Per month	0.017	0.001	
Klct	Humification of ACI and ACS in litter		0.6	0.01	
OGNitFrac	O horizon gross nitrification fraction		0.2	0.13	
MGNitFrac	M horizon gross nitrification fraction		0.3	0.0012	
LSOMdec,	Ratio of decay rate of SOM to Humus		1.8	0.9	
AETavg,	Used in calculation of humus decay rate		535	500	
NImmobA,	Parameters for the relationship		151	160	
NImmobB,	between soil %N and re- immobilization		-35	-24	
LSOMprop	Proportion of mineral SOM that is light-fraction	Decimal fraction	0.39	0.9	
BaseGrossNmin			28	23	
Water balance variab	les				
WHC	Soil water holding capacity	cm	12	4	Schleppi (unpublished data)
PrecIntFrac	Proportion of precip intercepted by canopy	Decimal fraction	0.2	0.125	Schleppi (unpublished data)
Leaching					
ACIleach	Fraction of ACI mass loss that is leached		0.2	0.31	
ACSleach	Fraction of ACS mass loss that is leached		0.37	0.56	
TEXleach	Fraction of TEX mass loss that is leached		0.05	0.077	
MNitLeach	Fraction of available NO ₃ in mineral soil that leaches from solum	Decimal fraction	0.05	0.3	Hagedorn et al. (2001)
Soil parameters					
ORootFrac	Fraction of fine roots in O horizon		0.48	0.75	
SoilMoistFact	Soil moisture factor		-1	0	
GRespFrac,	Carbon cost of synthesis	Decimal fraction	0.25	0.28	
Biomass					
FolMassMin	Initial minimum foliar mass	g OM/m ²	460	300	
WoodCJan	Wood C storage		150	250	
PlantC	Plant internal C storage pool for photosynthate	g C/m ²	800	4,000	
RootC	Pool that is used for root production	g C/m ²	100	800	
FolMass(1)	Initial minimum foliar mass	g OM/m ²	208	210	
FolMass(2)	Initial maximum foliar mass	g OM/m ²	52	1,090	Schleppi et al. (1999b)
RootMass	Initial fine root mass	g OM/m ²	200	300	
WoodMass		g OM/m ²	5,000	12,000	
Site parameters					
Lat	Latitude	deg	42.5	47.05	
Lon	Longitude	deg	72.2	8.72	
Elev	Elevation	m	350	1,185	

Table 6 continued

		Units	Harvard forest (pine) ^a	Alptal ^b (spruce)	References
(b) Initialisation d	lata				
SnowPack		cm	13	4.3	
Water	Average water equivalent stored in snowpack on Jan 1	cm		4	
SWD	Average water equivalent stored in soil column on Jan 1	g OM mass/m ²	12	1,000	
	Initial mass of standing dead wood (snags)		10		
ONH4(1)	Pool size: inorganic available N in O horizon		0.4	0.05	
ONO3(1)	Pool size: inorganic available N in O horizon		0.02	0.001	
MNH4(1)	Pool size: inorganic available N in M horizon		0.5	0.1	
MNO3(1)	Pool size: inorganic available N in M horizon		0.02	0.001	
BL	Mass of nonhumified, nonwoody litter buried in mineral soil	g OM mass/m ²	260	240	
BLN(1)	N pool size in nonhumified, nonwoody litter buried in mineral soil	g N/m ²	2.45	2.9	
OFWD	Pool size (mass) of fine woody debris <10 cm diameter in O horizon	g OM mass/m ²	100	1,500	
OFWDN(1)	N pool size in fine woody debris <10 cm diameter in O horizon	g N/m ²	0.42	2	
HOM	Standing pool size (mass) of humified material in O horizon	g OM mass/m ²	500	3,200	Schleppi et al. (2004)
HON(1)	N pool size in humified material in O horizon	g N/m ²	16	80	
SOM	Standing pool size (mass) of mineral soil organic matter	g OM mass/m ²	14,231	13,200	Schleppi et al. (2004)
SON (1)	N pool size in mineral soil organic matter	g N/m ²	370	380	
ACI	Standing pool size (mass) of acid insolubles in litter + Oe layer	g OM mass/m ²	335	1,055	
ACIN(1)	N pool size within acid insolubles in Litter + Oe layer	g N/m ²	4.5	28	
ACS	Standing pool size (mass) of acid solubles in Litter + Oe layer	g OM mass/m ²	409	1,288	
ACSN(1)	N pool size within acid solubles in litter + Oe layer	g N/m ²	4.7	34	
TEX	Standing pool size (mass) of total extractives in litter + Oe layer	g OM mass/m ²	427	1,345	
TEXN(1)	N pool size within total extractives in litter + Oe layer	g N/m ²	3.8	35	

^a Harvard Forest TRACE 4.5.0 Currie parameter set last modified 4.08.06

^b Alptal TRACE 4.5.0 Krause parameter set last modified 11.01.11

References

- Aber JD (1992) Nitrogen cycling and nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems. Trends Ecol Evol 7:220–224
- Aber JD, Driscoll CT (1997) Effects of land use, climate variation, and N deposition on N cycling and C storage in northern hardwood forests. Global Biogeochem Cycles 11:639–648
- Aber JD, Federer CA (1992) A generalized, lumped-parameter model of photosynthesis, evapotranspiration and net primary production in temperate and boreal forest ecosystems. Oecologia 92:463–474
- Aber JD, Nadelhoffer KJ, Steudler P, Melillo JM (1989) Nitrogen saturation in northern forest ecosystems. Bioscience 39:378–386
- Aber JD, Magill A, Boone R, Melillo JM, Steudler P, Bowden R (1993) Plant and soil responses to chronic nitrogen additions at the Harvard Forest, Massachusetts. Ecol Appl 3:156–166
- Aber JD, Ollinger SV, Driscoll CT (1997) Modeling nitrogen saturation in forest ecosystems in response to land use and atmospheric deposition. Ecol Model 101:61–78
- Aber JD, McDowell W, Nadelhoffer K, Magill A, Berntson G, Kamakea M, McNulty S, Currie W, Rustad L, Fernandez I (1998) Nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems hypotheses revisited. Bioscience 48:921–934
- Bates JW (1992) Mineral nutrient acquisition and retention by bryophytes. J Bryol 17:223–240
- Borken W, Matzner E (2004) Nitrate leaching in forest soils: an analysis of long-term monitoring sites in Germany. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 167:277–283
- Buchmann N, Schulze ED, Gebauer G (1995) ¹⁵N-ammonium and ¹⁵N-nitrate uptake of a 15-year-old *Picea abies* plantation. Oecologia 102:361–370
- Buchmann N, Gebauer G, Schulze ED (1996) Partitioning of ¹⁵Nlabeled ammonium and nitrate among soil, litter, below- and above-ground biomass of trees and understory in a 15-year-old *Picea abies* plantation. Biogeochemistry 33:1–23
- Butterbach-Bahl K, Gasche R, Breuer L, Papen H (1997) Fluxes of NO and N₂O from temperate forest soils: impact of forest type, N deposition and of liming on the NO and N₂O emissions. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst 48:79–90
- Currie WS, Aber JD (1997) Modeling leaching as a decomposition process in humid montane forests. Ecology 78:1844–1860
- Currie WS, Nadelhoffer KJ (1999) Dynamic redistribution of isotopically labeled cohorts of nitrogen inputs in two temperate forests. Ecosystems 2:4–18
- Currie WS, Aber JD, Driscoll CT (1999a) Leaching of nutrient cations from the forest floor: effects of nitrogen saturation in two long-term manipulations. Can J For Res 29:609–620
- Currie WS, Nadelhoffer KJ, Aber JD (1999b) Soil detrital processes controlling the movement of ¹⁵N tracers to forest vegetation. Ecol Appl 9:87–102
- Currie WS, Nadelhoffer KJ, Aber JD (2004) Redistributions of ¹⁵N highlight turnover and replenishment of mineral soil organic N as a long-term control on forest C balance. For Ecol Manag 196:109–127
- Currie W, Helmers D, Wessel WW (2009) A user guide for the TRACE model. Version 4.4.4, update 3. http://www-personal. umich.edu/~wcurrie/Publications/Publications.html
- De Vries W, Reinds GJ, Gundersen P, Sterba H (2006) The impact of nitrogen deposition on carbon sequestration in European forests and forest soils. Glob Change Biol 12:1151–1173
- De Vries W, Solberg S, Dobbertin M, Sterba H, Laubhann D, van Oijen M, Evans C, Gundersen P, Kros J, Wamelink GWW, Reinds GJ, Sutton MA (2009) The impact of nitrogen deposition on carbon sequestration by European forests and heathlands. For Ecol Manag 258:1814–1823

- Dise NB, Wright RF (1995) Nitrogen leaching from European forests in relation to nitrogen deposition. For Ecol Manag 71:153–161
- Fangmeier A, Hadwiger-Fangmeier A, Vandereerden L, Jager HJ (1994) Effects of atmospheric ammonia on vegetation—a review. Environ Pollut 86:43–82
- Fenn ME, Poth MA, Aber JD, Baron JS, Bormann BT, Johnson DW, Lemly AD, McNulty SG, Ryan DE, Stottlemyer R (1998) Nitrogen excess in North American ecosystems: predisposing factors, ecosystem responses, and management strategies. Ecol Appl 8:706–733
- Galloway JN, Aber JD, Erisman JW, Seitzinger SP, Howarth RW, Cowling EB, Cosby BJ (2003) The nitrogen cascade. Bioscience 53:341–356
- Gimmi U, Wolf A, Bürgi M, Scherstjanoi M, Bugmann H (2009) Quantifying disturbance effects on vegetation carbon pools in mountain forests based on historical data. Reg Environ Change 9:121–130
- Templer PH, Mack MC, Chapin III FS, Christenson LM, Compton JE, Crook H, Currie W, Curtis C, Dail DB, Antonio CD, Emmett B, Epstein H, Goodale CL, P. G, Hobbie SE, Holland K, Hopper DU, Hungate BA, Kappel-Schmidt I, Lamontagne S, Nadelhoffer K, Osenberg CW, Perakis S, Schleppi P, Schimel JP, Sommerkorn M, Spoelstra J, Tietema A, Wessel WW, Zack DR (2012) Sinks for nitrogen inputs in terrestrial ecosystems: A meta-analyses of enriched ¹⁵N field tracer studies. Ecology (in press)
- Gruber N, Galloway JN (2008) An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle. Nature 451:293–296
- Gundersen P, Callesen I, de Vries W (1998) Nitrate leaching in forest ecosystems is related to forest floor C/N ratios. Environ Pollut 102:403–407
- Gundersen P, Schmidt IK, Raulund-Rasmussen K (2006) Leaching of nitrate from temperate forests—effects of air pollution and forest management. Environ Rev 14:1–57
- Hagedorn F, Mohn J, Schleppi P, Flühler H (1999) The role of rapid flow paths for nitrogen transformation in a forest soil: a field study with micro suction cups. Soil Sci Soc Am J 63:1915–1923
- Hagedorn F, Schleppi P, Bucher J, Flühler H (2001) Retention and leaching of elevated N deposition in a forest ecosystem with Gleysols. Water Air Soil Pollut 129:119–142
- Heijmans MMPD, Klees H, Visser Wd, Berendse F (2002) Effects of increased nitrogen deposition on the distribution of ¹⁵N-labeled nitrogen between sphagnum and vascular plants. Ecosystems 5:500–508
- Högberg P, Fan HB, Quist M, Binkley D, Tamm CO (2006) Tree growth and soil acidification in response to 30 years of experimental nitrogen loading on boreal forest. Glob Change Biol 12:489–499
- Hulber K, Dirnbock T, Kleinbauer I, Willner W, Dullinger S, Karrer G, Mirtl M (2008) Long-term impacts of nitrogen and sulphur deposition on forest floor vegetation in the northern limestone Alps, Austria. Appl Veg Sci 11:395–404
- Hyvonen R, Persson T, Andersson S, Olsson B, Ågren GI, Linder S (2008) Impact of long-term nitrogen addition on carbon stocks in trees and soils in northern Europe. Biogeochemistry 89:121–137
- Ilari L (1994) Nitrogen uptake of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* Karst.) seedlings from simulated wet deposition. For Ecol Manag 63:87–96
- IPCC (2001) Climate change 2001: synthesis report. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Jussy JH, Colin-Belgrand M, Dambrine E, Ranger J, Zeller B, Bienaime S (2004) N deposition, N transformation and N leaching in acid forest soils. Biogeochemistry 69:241–262
- Kronzucker HJ, Siddiqi MY, Glass ADM (1997) Conifer root discrimination against soil nitrate and the ecology of forest succession. Nature 385:59–61

- Lamontagne S, Schiff SL, Elgood RJ (2000) Recovery of ¹⁵N-labelled nitrate applied to a small upland boreal forest catchment. Can J For Res 30:1165–1177
- Larocque GR, Bhatti JS, Boutin R, Chertov O (2008) Uncertainty analysis in carbon cycle models of forest ecosystems: research needs and development of a theoretical framework to estimate error propagation. Ecol Model 219:400–412
- Likens GE, Wright RF, Galloway JN, Butler TJ (1979) Acid rain. Sci Am 241:43–51
- Magill AH, Aber JD, Hendricks JJ, Bowden RD, Melillo JM, Steudler PA (1997) Biogeochemical response of forest ecosystems to simulated chronic nitrogen deposition. Ecol Appl 7:402–415
- Magill AH, Aber JD, Currie WS, Nadelhoffer KJ, Martin ME, McDowell WH, Melillo JM, Steudler P (2004) Ecosystem response to 15 years of chronic nitrogen additions at the Harvard Forest LTER, Massachusetts, USA. For Ecol Manag 196:7–28
- McNulty SG, Boggs JL (2010) A conceptual framework: redefining forest soil's critical acid loads under a changing climate. Environ Pollut 158:2053–2058
- Meure CM, Etheridge D, Trudinger C, Steele P, Langenfelds R, van Ommen T, Smith A, Elkins J (2006) Law Dome CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O ice core records extended to 2000 years BP. Geophys Res Lett 33:4
- Mohn J, Schurmann A, Hagedorn F, Schleppi P, Bachofen R (2000) Increased rates of denitrification in nitrogen-treated forest soils. For Ecol Manag 137:113–119
- Morier I, Guenat C, Siegwolf R, Vedy JC, Schleppi P (2008) Dynamics of atmospheric nitrogen deposition in a temperate calcareous forest soil. J Environ Qual 37:2012–2021
- Nadelhoffer KJ, Downs MR, Fry B, Aber JD, Magill AH, Melillo JM (1995) The fate of ¹⁵N-labelled nitrate additions to a northern hardwood forest in eastern Maine, USA. Oecologia 103:292–301
- Nadelhoffer KJ, Emmett BA, Gundersen P, Kjonaas OJ, Koopmans CJ, Schleppi P, Tietema A, Wright RF (1999) Nitrogen deposition makes a minor contribution to carbon sequestration in temperate forests. Nature 398:145–148
- Nadelhoffer KJ, Colman BP, Currie WS, Magill A, Aber JD (2004) Decadal-scale fates of ¹⁵N tracers added to oak and pine stands under ambient and elevated N inputs at the Harvard Forest (USA). For Ecol Manag 196:89–107
- Nilson T (1971) A theoretical analysis of the frequency of gaps in plant stands. Agr Meteorol 8:25–38
- Perakis SS, Compton JE, Hedin LO (2005) Nitrogen retention across a gradient of ¹⁵N additions to an unpolluted temperate forest soil in Chile. Ecology 86:96–105
- Phoenix GK, Hicks WK, Cinderby S, Kuylenstierna JCI, Stock WD, Dentener FJ, Giller KE, Austin AT, Lefroy RDB, Gimeno BS, Ashmore MR, Ineson P (2006) Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in world biodiversity hotspots: the need for a greater global

perspective in assessing N deposition impacts. Glob Change Biol 12:470–476

- Priha O, Smolander A (1995) Nitrification, denitrification and microbial biomass N in soil from 2 N-fertilized and limed Norway spruce forests. Soil Biol Biochem 27:305–310
- Providoli I, Bugmann H, Siegwolf R, Buchmann N, Schleppi P (2005) Flow of deposited inorganic N in two Gleysol-dominated mountain catchments traced with ¹⁵NO₃ and ¹⁵NH₄. Biogeochemistry 76:453–475
- Providoli I, Bugmann H, Siegwolf R, Buchmann N, Schleppi P (2006) Pathways and dynamics of ¹⁵NO₃ and ¹⁵NH₄ applied in a mountain *Picea abies* forest and in a nearby meadow in central Switzerland. Soil Biol Biochem 38:1645–1657
- Schleppi P, Muller N, Feyen H, Papritz A, Bucher JB, Flühler H (1998) Nitrogen budgets of two small experimental forested catchments at Alptal, Switzerland. For Ecol Manag 101:177–185
- Schleppi P, Bucher-Wallin L, Siegwolf R, Saurer M, Muller N, Bucher JB (1999a) Simulation of increased nitrogen deposition to a montane forest ecosystem: Partitioning of the added ¹⁵N. Water Air Soil Pollut 116:129–134
- Schleppi P, Muller N, Edwards PJ, Bucher JB (1999b) Three years of increased nitrogen deposition do not affect the vegetation of a montane forest ecosystem. Phyton Ann Rei Bot A 39:197–204
- Schleppi P, Hagedorn F, Providoli I (2004) Nitrate leaching from a mountain forest ecosystem with gleysols subjected to experimentally increased N deposition. Water Air Soil Pollut Focus 4:453–467
- Sievering H (1999) Nitrogen deposition and carbon sequestration. Nature 400:629–630
- Sievering H, Fernandez I, Lee J, Hom J, Rustad L (2000) Forest canopy uptake of atmospheric nitrogen deposition at eastern U.S. conifer sites: carbon storage implications? Global Biogeochem Cycle 14:1153–1159
- Templer PH, Lovett G, Weathers K, Findlay S, Dawson TE (2005) Influence of tree species on forest nitrogen retention in the Catskill Mountains, New York, USA. Ecosystems 8:1–16
- Throop HL, Lerdau MT (2004) Effects of nitrogen deposition on insect herbivory: implications for community and ecosystem processes. Ecosystems 7:109–133
- Tietema A, Emmett BA, Gundersen P, Kjønaas OJ, Koopmans CJ (1998) The fate of ¹⁵N-labelled nitrogen deposition in coniferous forest ecosystems. For Ecol Manag 101:19–27
- Wessel WW, Tietema A (1992) Calculating gross N transformation rates of ¹⁵N pool dilution experiments with acid forest litter analytical and numerical approaches. Soil Biol Biochem 24:931–942
- Woodin S, Press MC, Lee JA (1985) Nitrate reductase-activity in *Sphagnum fuscum* in relation to wet deposition of nitrate from the atmosphere. New Phytol 99:381–388