Exp Brain Res (2008) 184:83–94 DOI 10.1007/s00221-007-1077-y

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Neural activation associated with corrective saccades during tasks with fixation, pursuit and saccades

Sven Haller · David Fasler · Sabine Ohlendorf · Ernst W. Radue · Mark W. Greenlee

Received: 29 May 2006 / Accepted: 21 July 2007 / Published online: 24 August 2007 © Springer-Verlag 2007

Abstract Corrective saccades are small eye movements that redirect gaze whenever the actual eye position differs from the desired eye position. In contrast to various forms of saccades including pro-saccades, recentering-saccades or memory guided saccades, corrective saccades have been widely neglected so far. The fMRI correlates of corrective saccades were studied that spontaneously occurred during fixation, pursuit or saccadic tasks. Eyetracking was performed during the fMRI data acquisition with a fiber-optic device. Using a combined block and event-related design, we isolated the cortical activations associated with visually guided fixation, pursuit or saccadic tasks and compared these to the activation associated with the occurrence of corrective saccades. Neuronal activations in anterior inferior cingulate, bilateral middle and inferior frontal gyri, bilateral insula and cerebellum are most likely specifically associated with corrective saccades. Additionally, overlapping activations with the established pro-saccade and, to a

S. Haller (⊠) · D. Fasler · E. W. Radue Institute of Radiology, Department of Neuroradiology, University Hospital Basel, CH 4031 Basel, Switzerland e-mail: shaller@uhbs.ch

S. Haller

Institute of Radiology, Department of Diagnostic Radiology, University Hospital Basel, CH 4031 Basel, Switzerland

S. Ohlendorf

Department of Neurology, University Hospital Freiburg, 79106 Freiburg, Germany

M. W. Greenlee

Institute for Experimental Psychology, University of Regensburg, 93053 Regensburg, Germany lesser extent, pursuit network were present. The presented results imply that corrective saccades represent a potential systematic confound in eye-movement studies, in particular because the frequency of spontaneously occurring corrective saccades significantly differed between fixation, pursuit and pro-saccades.

Keywords Pro-saccades · Corrective saccades · Pursuit eye movement · fMRI · BOLD

Abbreviations

ACG	Anterior cingulate cortex
BOLD	Blood oxygenation level dependent
CEF	Cingulate eye field
DLPFC	Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex
FEF	Frontal eye fields
fMRI	Functional magnetic resonance imaging
PEF	Parietal eye fields
PCG	Posterior cingulate cortex
SEF	Supplementary eye field
S	Experimental condition pro-saccades
Р	Experimental condition pursuit eye movement
CS	Corrective saccades during pro-saccades
СР	Corrective saccades during pursuit eye movement
CE	

CF Corrective saccades during fixation

Introduction

The human and non-human primate visual system is characterized by the fovea, which contains a tightly packed mosaic of cone photoreceptors. The fovea being the center of highest visual acuity, is directed by conjugate eye movements to targets of interest, thereby achieving the best possible visual performance (Dodge 1903). There are two fundamental modes of conjugate eye movement. Saccades rapidly direct eye position from one target to the next. Saccades occur involuntarily, e.g., not only during reading (Huey 1900) or while scrutinizing a human face (Yarbus 1967) but also may be evoked voluntarily, when attention is directed to an object in a cluttered scene. Pursuit eye movement allows for the continuous tracking of a steadily moving target, and can only occur in the presence of a moving visual target (Pola and Wyatt 1991; Bennett and Barnes 2006). Fixation refers to a constant eye position with respect to a given target and may be considered as the baseline condition for the study of the oculomotor system. Neuronal activations associated with these fundamental types of eye movement differ significantly (Pierrot-Deseilligny et al. 2004).

Corrective saccades are small eye movements that may occur during saccades, pursuit and fixation. Corrective saccades are necessary whenever the actual eye position differs from the desired eye position. In order to perform corrective saccades, a neuronal circuitry first needs to detect the difference between actual and desired eye position and then compute an appropriate corrective eye movement. This process presumably differs depending on the on-going eye movement mode executed by the observer. During fixation, the visual target is stationary and it is sufficient to compute the difference between actual and desired eye position. During pro-saccades, the visual target is also stationary but the mismatch between actual and desired eye position must be taken into account when computing the next pro-saccade. During pursuit, the motion of the target must be anticipated and the corrective saccades, often referred to as catch-up saccades during pursuit, must be adapted to the speed and direction of the moving target accordingly.

Previous investigations assessed various forms of saccades including intentional saccades (internally triggered saccades towards a target already present), predictive saccades (target not yet present), memory guided saccades (target no longer visible), anti-saccades (saccade in the opposite direction of a visual target) or reflexive (visually guided) saccades often called 'pro-saccades' (for a review, Pierrot-Deseilligny et al. 2004). Corrective saccades, in contrast, have been widely neglected so far.

In the present investigation, we simultaneously acquired fMRI data (Ogawa et al. 1990; Belliveau et al. 1992; Friston et al. 1995) to assess neuronal activation, and used an MRI compatible eye tracker to assess eye movements (Kimmig et al. 1999). We tested the hypothesis that a specific neuronal circuitry mediates the control of corrective saccades. We expect that the pattern of activation evoked by corrective saccades will partially overlap yet will differ from the networks subserving pro-saccades, pursuit and fixation.

Materials and methods

Subjects

The study was approved by the local ethics committee. Fourteen subjects (7 females, mean age 28.9 years, ± 11.8 years standard deviation) gave their written informed consent prior to inclusion in the study and were non-smokers, had normal vision and no history of medical, neurological or psychiatric disorders.

Stimuli and task

A block-design fMRI paradigm was implemented with conditions fixation (F), saccades (S) and pursuit (P). Each condition lasted 20 s. One cycle consisted of a trial sequence: fixation, saccades, fixation, pursuit (FSFP). Each run consisted of five cycles and each subject performed two runs. The stimuli were back-projected onto a translucent screen mounted to the table of the MR imager and mirrors were attached to the head coil. The video projector (PLUS Vision, Tokyo, Japan) with a resolution of $1,024 \times 768$ pixels was placed in the control room. The horizontal angle subtended by the entire screen was approximately 23°. The imaging room was darkened during the experiment. The subject's head was immobilized, and noise protection was provided.

In the fixation condition (F), a stationary dot of 0.5° diameter was presented in the center of the visual field. In the saccade condition (S), saccades were performed on average every 500 ms jittered \pm 100 ms. Saccades were randomly performed to targets presented to the far right (equivalent to 11.5°), to the intermediate right (5.75°), to the intermediate left or to the far left. In the pursuit condition (P), the dot performed a smooth, sinusoidal movement to the far left to the far right, starting at the center with a frequency of 0.3 Hz. The speed of the pursuit target was maximal at the central location with a peak velocity of 21.7 per second.

Data acquisition

MR imaging was performed with a 1.5-Tesla scanner (Sonata; Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany), and transverse functional T2*-weighted MR images were obtained with an echo-planar single-shot pulse sequence. The matrix size was 64×64 (field of view, 192×192 mm). Twenty-five sections were acquired (4.0-mm section thickness, 1-mm gap), which covered the whole brain. The resulting resolution was $3 \times 3 \times 5$ -mm voxels. Repetition time was 2.5 s, flip angle was 90° , and echo time was 50 ms. After functional MR imaging, high-spatial-resolution data were acquired (1-mm iso-voxel T1-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo;

matrix, 256×256 ; 176 sections; 1,900 ms repetition time and 3.68 ms echo time) for cortex normalization and cortex surface reconstruction. The head coil used was a standard SIEMENS single-channel birdcage, because this head coil had sufficient space to mount the eye tracker.

Horizontal eye position was recorded using a fiber-optic, MR compatible, IRlight limbus reflection eye tracker (Cambridge Research Systems, http://www.crsltd.com) at a sample rate of 500 Hz. We could resolve saccades that had an amplitude of >0.8° in all subjects.

Data analysis

Anatomical and functional images were analyzed using BrainVoyager QX (Brain Innovation, http://www.brainvoyager.com). Anatomical scans were segmented for identification of the white-gray matter boundary used for cortical surface reconstruction and cortex-based statistics. Concerning the functional time series, the first three of 160 volumes were discarded from further analysis to avoid non-steady state saturation effects. Pre-processing consisted of three-dimensional motion correction, slice scan time correction, Gaussian spatial filtering (full width half maximum 4 mm), high-pass temporal filtering (three cycles per time course) and transformation into standard space (Talairach and Tournoux 1988). Because we assume that corrective saccades depend on the on-going eye movement mode, as discussed in the "Introduction", we did not generate a single corrective saccades predictor/regressor. Instead, we consider it more appropriate to separate corrective saccades occurring during fixation, pro-saccades and pursuit into CF, CS and CP, respectively. Consequently, we must take into account smaller expected individual effects for CF, CS and CP compared to a general linear model with a single corrective saccades predictor/regressor. Therefore, we performed fixed-effects GLM analyses. The presented results thus refer to the investigated sample. This GLM included five predictors/regressors. Three event-related predictors CF, CS and CP were defined for each run of each subject by the individual onset of corrective saccades during fixation, pro-saccades and pursuit, respectively, analyzed from the eye-tracker recordings in MATLAB (The MathWorks, http://www.mathworks.com). Additionally, we defined block-design predictors that represent the eyemovement tasks fixation (F), pro-saccades (S) and pursuit (P). In order not to over-determine the GLM, we defined only two block-design predictors P and S based on the known sequence of experimental conditions. Condition F was not modeled separately because there are only three conditions F, S and P. Consequently, condition F is immanent in the model as non-S and non-P. F might be regarded as baseline condition. All predictors/regressors were convolved with a hemodynamic reference function consisting of two superimposed gamma functions (Boynton et al. 1996). Statistical thresholding was corrected for multiple comparisons based on the false discovery rate (FDR) (Genovese et al. 2002) at a false-positive probability of q(FDR) < 0.05. This corresponded to t > 2.27 for pro-saccades, t > 2.63 for pursuit, t > 2.75 for pro-saccades versus pursuit, t > 2.71 for CS and t > 3.11 for CF. The spatial extent threshold was 200 mm³.

Statistical analysis of the eye traces was performed using PRISM (GraphPad, http://www.graphpad.com). Individual frequencies of corrective saccades were determined. Because the frequency of CF, CS and CP of the 14 subjects exhibited a non-normal distribution according to the Kolmogorov–Smirnov Test (P < 0.01), we used non-parametric, repeated-measures Friedman test to test for differences between conditions with respect to saccade frequencies. Post-hoc pair-wise comparison was performed using Dunn's Multiple Comparison Test.

Blinks, drifts and other artifacts were detected and eliminated from the oculomotor data. We only classified saccades as corrective saccades if their amplitude fell within 0.8° and 8°. Usually these occurred as single events and led to central fixation of the target stimulus.

To validate the presented analysis and to control for the possible confounding effects of the activation evoked by the primary (pro-saccades, pursuit) task, we reanalyzed the data but varied the onset of corrective saccades thereby creating new event-related predictors pseudo-CF, pseudo-CS and pseudo-CP. This was achieved by randomly assigning individual event files to 'wrong' subjects, for example, events (corrective saccades) of subject 1 were assigned to subject 2, etc. This very simple approach has the advantage that when considering the GLM group analysis, the eventrelated properties such as frequency, temporal distribution, between-subject variation of events, etc. are identical to the 'correct' analysis. The block-design regressors S and P were unchanged. We analyzed four randomly chosen variants of the numerous possibilities.

Results

Behavioral data

The frequency of corrective saccades during fixation (CF) was 0.152 ± 0.155 /s (mean of individual frequency \pm standard deviation), 0.552 ± 0.337 during pro-saccades (CS) and 1.416 ± 0.309 during pursuit (CP). These differences are significant (P < 0.0001). Post-hoc comparison revealed significant differences between all pairs: CF versus CS P < 0.05, CF versus CP P < 0.001 and CS versus CP P < 0.05. The majority of corrective saccades during pursuit were catch-up saccades. During fixation, the majority

of corrective saccades were a sequence of corrective saccade away from the center of gaze, followed by a re-centering saccade that is also known as square-wave jerk. Figure 1 illustrates an example of eye-tracker recording and corrective saccades during fixation (A), pro-saccades (B) and pursuit (C).

Pro-saccades and pursuit

Activations associated with pro-saccades were present in a distributed network including bilateral frontal eye fields (FEF), supplementary eye fields (SEF), parietal eye fields (PEF) and primary visual area (Fig. 2a, Table 1). Pursuit eye movement was associated with a distributed network, which also included FEF, SEF and PEF and the cerebellum (Fig. 2a, Table 1).

The direct comparison of pro-saccades and pursuit (Fig. 2b, Table 2) revealed stronger activations during prosaccades compared to pursuit within the known pro-saccade-associated network including bilateral FEF, SEF and PEF. Stronger activations for pursuit compared to pro-saccades were present in the known pursuit-associated net-



Fig. 1 Depiction of an example of eye-tracker recordings of one volunteer during fixation (a), pro-saccades (b) and pursuit (c). Corrective saccades occur during all conditions and are marked with *red circles*. Note that pro-saccade targets were randomly presented to left or right,

half or full amplitude. The example **b** displays two consecutive prosaccades in the same direction with half amplitude. X-axis indicates time (in ms), Y-axis indicates raw eye position (arbitrary units)



Fig. 2 Pro-saccades (S, *green*) and pursuit eye movement (P, *blue*) evoke activations in a distributed and largely overlapping network including *FEF*, *SEF*, *PEF* and the human homologue of *MT/MST*, which is in general more prominently activated for S except *MT/MST*. The direct statistical comparison (Table 1) confirms this observation and reveals additional activations for S compared to P in bilateral middle frontal gyri, left inferior frontal gyrus and left anterior insula.

Conversely, P compared to S (*blue*) evoked stronger activations in bilateral cerebellum. Activations are superimposed on the inflated MNI reference brain in Talairach space; activations are based on a fixed-effects GLM corrected for multiple comparisons at q(FDR) < 0.05. Essential anatomical regions are labelled: *FEF* frontal eye fields, *PEF* posterior eye fields, *SEF* supplementary eye fields, *SMA* supplementary motor area

A pro-saccades and pursuit							
Size	t max	TAL X	TAL Y	TAL Z	Side	Anatomic region	BA
Pro-sacca	des						
188,899	25.3	0.89 ± 26	-64 ± 14	11 ± 22	Left/right	Superior parietal lobule including PEF	7
						Precuneus	7
						Inferior parietal lobule	40
						Cuneus	17 18 19
						Middle occipital gyrus	18 19
						Inferior occipital gyrus	18
						Lingual gyrus	17 18 19
						Superior temporal gyrus	22
						Middle temporal gyrus	21
						Inferior temporal gyrus	37
						Cerebellum	NA
	25.3	-8	-70	5	Left	Lingual gyrus	18
	20.8	11	-77	5	Right	Lingual gyrus	18
	15.1	-28	-56	48	Left	Superior parietal lobule	7
	11.8	-42	-67	2	Left	Middle temporal gyrus	37
	11.7	21	-58	51	Right	Superior parietal lobule	7
	11.5	42	-61	3	Right	Middle temporal gyrus	37
	11.5	-26	-75	21	Left	Cuneus	18
	10.3	23	-79	23	Right	Cuneus	18
38,716	12.9	-0.41 ± 30	-6.7 ± 6.6	47 ± 9.6	Left/right	Superior, middle frontal gyrus including FEF	6946
						Medial frontal gyrus including SMA, SEF	6
						Anterior cingulate	24 32
						Inferior frontal gyrus	44
	12.9	26	-10	52	Right	Middle frontal gyrus	6
	11.8	-28	-9	47	Left	Middle frontal gyrus	6
	10.0	-2	-4	54	Left/right	Medial frontal gyrus	6
790	3.1	-4.2 ± 5.8	15 ± 4.6	3.1 ± 3	Left/right	Caput of caudate nucleus	NA
273 Pursuit	3.2	-38 ± 2.1	9.9 ± 1.7	22 ± 1.7	Left	Middle frontal gyrus	9 46
73,364	17.5	2.1 ± 13	-69 ± 12	5.5 ± 13	Left/right	Cuneus	17 18 19
						Posterior cingulate gyrus	23 29 30 31
						Lingual gyrus	17 18 19
						Parahippocampal gyrus	27 30
						Cerebellum	NA
	17.5	-9	-71	6	Left	Lingual gyrus	18
	17.2	10	-68	8	Right	Lingual gyrus	18
2,500	6.8	-42 ± 3.8	-66 ± 3.9	3.5 ± 4	Left	Middle temporal gyrus	37
						Middle occipital gyrus	19
1,234	5.7	41 ± 2.3	-62 ± 3.2	3.5 ± 4.1	Right	Middle temporal gyrus	37
						Middle occipital gyrus	19
249	3.7	37 ± 1.9	-11 ± 1.6	49 ± 2	Right	Precentral gyrus	4
						Middle frontal gyrus	6

Table 1 continued

A pro-saccades and pursuit							
Size	t max	TAL X	TAL Y	TAL Z	Side	Anatomic region	BA
Pro-sacc	ades						
B pro-sa	ccades vers	sus pursuit					
Size	t max	TAL X	TAL Y	TAL Z	Side	Anatomic region	BA
Pro-sacc	ades > purs	suit					
18,501	5.4	16 ± 17	-54 ± 11	44 ± 5.8	Right	Inferior parietal lobule	40
					Left/right	Superior parietal lobule including PEF	7
					Left/right	Precuneus	7
	5.4	30	-50	44	Right	Superior parietal lobule	7
7,359	5.6	-31 ± 6.3	-49 ± 10	39 ± 7	Left	Inferior parietal lobule	40
						Superior parietal lobule including PEF	7
7,348	5.9	-0.74 ± 22	-6.6 ± 6.7	51 ± 4.8	Left/right	Medial frontal gyrus including SEF	6
					Left/right	Anterior cingulate	24 32
					Left	Superior, middle frontal gyrus including FEF	69
	5.9	26	-10	53	Right	Middle frontal gyrus	6
	4.6	-2	-3	54	Left/right	Medial frontal gyrus	6
	4.2	-24	-13	53	Left	Middle frontal gyrus	6
2,659	5.1	46 ± 5.1	-42 ± 3.5	12 ± 4.2	Right	Superior temporal gyrus	22
						Middle temporal gyrus	22
1,216	4.0	-49 ± 2.7	-44 ± 5	9.4 ± 3	Left	Superior temporal gyrus	22
						Middle temporal gyrus	21
623	3.4	-29 ± 3.6	-78 ± 5.4	-5.3 ± 2.2	Left	Lingual gyrus	18 19
614	3.6	38 ± 4.6	-2.7 ± 2.3	34 ± 3.6	Right	Precentral gyrus	6
535	4.2	34 ± 2.4	-45 ± 3.5	-18 ± 1.6	Right	Fusiforme gyrus	20
479	4.6	-23 ± 1.9	-79 ± 2.9	-23 ± 2.3	Left	Cerebellum	NA
290	3.8	-37 ± 2.1	39 ± 1.7	24 ± 1.9	Left	Middle frontal gyrus	10
244	3.2	35 ± 2.2	-67 ± 2.1	15 ± 1.7	Right	Middle temporal gyrus	39
234	3.3	16 ± 2.4	33 ± 2	30 ± 1.7	Right	Medial frontal gyrus	9
219	3.3	-40 ± 2.5	8.7 ± 1.5	31 ± 1.8	Left	Middle frontal gyrus	9
208	3.6	42 ± 1.9	-31 ± 1.7	0.62 ± 1.5	Right	Superior temporal gyrus	41
Pursuit >	pro-sacca	des					
24,577	-7.0	-0.87 ± 8.8	-68 ± 10	9.7 ± 9.4	Left/right	Cuneus	17 18 19 31
					Left/right	Precuneus	31
					Left/right	Posterior Cingulate	23 30
					Left/right	Lingual gyrus	18 19
					Left/right	Cerebellum	NA
	-6.9	0	-78	28	Left/right	Cuneus	19
	-6.8	-8	-62	8	Left	Cuneus	31
	-6.6	10	-69	8	Right	Cuneus	31

Size of activation cluster in mm^3 , max t value, center of gravity in Talairach space \pm standard deviation, anatomic region and Brodmann Area (BA). Local maxima are provided for large activation clusters if applicable in italics

work including bilateral cuneus, precuneus, posterior cingulate and lingual gyrus. Additional activations were present in bilateral posterior cerebellum. These patterns of activation are in close agreement with published results (Petit et al. 1997; Kimmig et al. 1999).

Corrective saccades during fixation, pro-saccades and pursuit

Activations associated with corrective saccades are illustrated in Fig. 3 and Table 2.

Exp Brain R	es (2008)	184:83–94
-------------	-----------	-----------

Table 2 Activation clusters for CS, CF and CP (otherwise as in Table 1)

Correctiv	Corrective saccades							
Size	t max	TAL X	TAL Y	TAL Z	Side	Anatomic region	BA	
A correc	tive sacca	des during pro-sa	accades—CS					
27,041	6.2	5.5 ± 11	-71 ± 9.3	-0.38 ± 12	Left/right	Cuneus	17 18	
					-	Lingual gyrus	17 18 19	
						Parahippocampal gyrus	30	
						Cerebellum	NA	
	6.2	3	-76	14	Right	Cuneus	18	
	5.3	-9	-67	-15	Left	Cerebellum	NA	
	4.9	3	-77	27	Right	Cuneus	18	
	4.9	28	-74	-15	Right	Cerebellum	NA	
	4.8	9	-70	-11	Right	Cerebellum	NA	
15,782	5.0	36 ± 17	-47 ± 12	32 ± 19	Right	Precuneus	7	
						Superior parietal lobule including PEF	7	
						Inferior parietal lobule	40	
						Superior temporal gyrus	22	
						Middle temporal gyrus	21 22	
	5.0	36	-42	40	Right	Inferior parietal lobule	40	
	4.8	51	-36	22	Right	Superior temporal gyrus	22	
	4.6	50	-42	3	Right	Middle temporal gyrus	21	
10,933	4.7	41 ± 7.8	16 ± 14	19 ± 17	Right	Superior, middle frontal gyrus including FEF	68910	
,					U	Precentral gyrus	46	
						Inferior frontal gyrus	44 45	
						Insula	13	
						Superior temporal gyrus	22	
	4.7	45	.5	36	Right	Middle frontal gyrus	9	
	4.2	33	19	6	Right	Anterior insula	13	
	4.1	33	27	37	Right	Middle frontal gyrus	9	
	3.9	28	<u>-</u> / 44	-4	Right	Middle frontal gyrus	10	
8.774	4.8	-47 + 7.9	-47 + 8	26 ± 14	Left	Superior parietal lobule including PEF	7	
0,771	1.0	17 ± 7.9	17 ± 0	20 1 11	Lon	Inferior parietal lobule	40	
						Superior temporal gyrus	22	
						Middle temporal gyrus	21	
	4.8	-51	-40	35	Left	Inferior parietal lobule	40	
	4.3	-37	-53	39	Left	Inferior parietal lobule	40	
	4.1	52	-40	21	Left	Superior temporal gyrus	22	
6.337	4.9	0.53 ± 3.8	0.85 ± 9.9	50 + 8.4	Left/right	Medial frontal gyrus including SEF	6	
0,007		0.00 ± 010	0.00 ± 7.07	00 ± 011	Derangia	Cingulate gyrus	24 32	
2 011	49	-46 ± 4	75 + 38	24 + 34	Left	Inferior frontal ovrus	44 45	
2,011	1.9	10 ± 1	7.5 ± 5.6	2.1 ± 3.1	Lon	Insula	13	
						Superior temporal gyrus	22	
1 676	42	-29 + 3.9	-62 ± 5.9	-20 ± 2.9	Left	Cerebellum	0	
752	3.6	-36 + 3	32 ± 3.7 48 ± 3	2.3 ± 2.3	Left	Middle frontal gyrus	10	
586	3.6	30 ± 21	44 + 10	13 ± 4.5	Right	Middle frontal gyrus	10	
495	4.0	30 ± 2.1 37 ± 2.2	-5 ± 28	13 ± 4.3 -75 ± 2.1	Right	Incula	13	
403	+.0 3 3	-30 ± 3.4	5 ± 2.0 15 ± 2.3	7.5 ± 2.1 34 ± 2.8	Left	Middle frontal gyrus	0	
1 05	5.5	-39 ± 3.4	1.5 ± 2.5	JH 1 2.0	Len	Dracentral gyrus	, 16	
						r recentrar gyrus	- U	

Table 2 continued

Corrective saccades							
Size	t max	TAL X	TAL Y	TAL Z	Side	Anatomic region	BA
A correc	ctive saccad	les during pro-sa	accades—CS				
357	3.4	-34 ± 2.6	-11 ± 2	49 ± 2.3	Left	Precentral gyrus	4
					Left	Middle frontal gyrus	6
338	3.9	-59 ± 1.8	-20 ± 2.1	14 ± 2.2	Left	Transverse temporal gyrus	41
						Superior Temporal gyrus	42
300	3.4	-41 ± 2.2	-30 ± 1.8	12 ± 1.8	Left	Transverse temporal gyrus	41
278	3.7	-30 ± 1.7	-27 ± 2.1	13 ± 2.4	Left	Insula	13
						Transverse temporal gyrus	41
269	3.3	1.8 ± 1.5	29 ± 2.6	29 ± 2.1	Right	Cingulate gyrus	32
B correc	tive saccad	es during fixatio	on—CF				
5,542	5.9	0.28 ± 7.3	-75 ± 6.5	4.7 ± 3.9	Left/right	Lingual gyrus	17 18
1,310	4.4	13 ± 3.7	-56 ± 3	3.2 ± 4.5	Right	Lingual gyrus	19
1,289	5.3	-48 ± 4.4	-54 ± 4.9	11 ± 2.7	Left	Middle temporal gyrus	39
702	4.7	-48 ± 3.7	10 ± 3.3	-1 ± 2.1	Left	Insula	13
476	4.1	-51 ± 1.5	-38 ± 2.5	27 ± 4.8	Left	Inferior parietal lobule	40
250	3.6	-44 ± 1.4	5.5 ± 3.4	37 ± 3.9	Left	Middle frontal gyrus	89
213	4.0	3 ± 1.4	-9.2 ± 1.5	53 ± 2.3	Right	Medial frontal gyrus	6
203	4.0	-21 ± 1.9	-11 ± 1.5	59 ± 1.7	Left	Middle frontal gyrus including FEF	6
C correc	tive saccad	es during pursui	it—CP				

No suprathreshold activations

Size of activation cluster in mm^3 , max t value, center of gravity in Talairach space \pm standard deviation, anatomic region and Brodmann Area (BA). Local maxima are provided for large activation clusters if applicable in italics



Fig. 3 illustrates activations associated with corrective saccades during pro-saccades (CS, *red*) and fixation (CF, *orange-yellow*). The activations of pro-saccades are superimposed for means comparison in green. CS evoked activations in the prosaccades network and additional activations in anterior inferior cingulate and bilateral middle and inferior frontal gyri and bilateral insula. CF yielded activations dominantly within the pursuit network and additionally in left insula. No supra-threshold activations were present for corrective saccades during pursuit (CP). Essential anatomic regions are labelled: *MFG* middle frontal gyrus, *IFG* inferior frontal gyrus, *STG* superior temporal gyrus, *MTG* middle temporal gyrus, *aACG* anterior aspect of anterior cingulate gyrus, *pACG* posterior aspect of anterior cingulate gyrus Corrective saccades occurring during pro-saccades (CS) were associated with a distributed network of activations, which largely overlaps with the pro-saccade network. Activations in additional areas were present in anterior–inferior cingulate and bilateral middle and inferior frontal gyri and bilateral anterior insula (Table 2).

Corrective saccades during fixation (CF) yielded activations within the pro-saccades network (notably bilateral lingual gyrus, left middle frontal gyrus including FEF and left middle temporal gyrus). Additional activations were found in left insula.

Corrections saccades during pursuit (CP) did not evoke any supra-threshold activations. The reasons for this lack of CP activation are discussed below.

Discussion

The combination of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and MR compatible eye tracking was implemented to assess the neuronal circuitry mediating the execution of corrective saccades. More specifically, neuronal activations associated with corrective saccades were related to the modification of the neuronal activations associated with pro-saccades and pursuit eye movement. In accordance to our hypothesis, we demonstrated specific neuronal activations associated with corrective saccades in addition to common neuronal activations evoked by pro-saccades and, to a lesser extent, by pursuit eye movements.

Pro-saccades and pursuit eye movement

In a first step, we assessed neuronal activations associated with the execution of pro-saccades (S) and pursuit eye movement (P) in a block-design, which served as the basis for the later comparison with corrective saccades. In line with previous investigations, S and P yielded activations in largely overlapping networks including SEF, FEF and PEF (Berman et al. 1999; Petit and Haxby 1999) and the occipito-temporal junction (Petit and Haxby 1999), which is considered as human homologue of monkey MT/MST (Zeki et al. 1991; Watson et al. 1993).

S compared to P evoked stronger activations in several areas defined in the analysis of S, notably SEF, FEF and PEF, in line with a previous study (Petit and Haxby 1999). In another study, these areas had a trend to greater activations during S compared to P, which was significant only in FEF (Berman et al. 1999). In contrast to these investigations, we compared the magnitude of activations in the S and P conditions using statistical parametric mapping. This revealed additional activations for S versus P in bilateral middle frontal gyri, left inferior frontal gyrus and left ante-

rior insula. It was shown recently that these areas are active in visually and memory guided saccade tasks (Brown et al. 2004; Ozyurt et al. 2006).

The inverse comparison P versus S yielded stronger activations in cuneus, precuneus (Berman et al. 1999; Petit and Haxby 1999), lingual gyrus and posterior cingulate (Berman et al. 1999). Correspondingly, the direct comparison of P versus S yielded additional activations in bilateral cerebellum. This region has been shown to be involved in the control of closed-loop, oculo-motor control of pursuit (O'Driscoll et al. 2000).

Corrective saccades

In contrast to previous investigations (Berman et al. 1999; Petit and Haxby 1999), we assessed eye movements during the fMRI experiments using an MR-compatible eye tracker (Kimmig et al. 1999). This allows for an event-related analysis of neuronal activations associated with corrective saccades.

The frequency of spontaneously occurring corrective saccades differed significantly depending on the performed oculomotor task. The frequency of spontaneous corrective saccades increased from fixation across pro-saccades to pursuit eye movement.

The most pronounced activations associated with corrective saccades were present during pro-saccades (CS). The resulting activation network largely overlapped with the activation network defined for pro-saccades, yet revealed additional activations in anterior inferior cingulate and bilateral middle and inferior frontal gyri and bilateral-anterior insula. This implies a specific role of these above-mentioned areas in the execution of corrective saccades. These areas have not been reported in previous investigations, which assessed various aspects of the neural control of saccades including intentional saccades, predictive saccades, memory guided saccades, anti-saccades or pro-saccades (for a review, Pierrot-Deseilligny et al. 2004) and were accordingly not active in the pro-saccades condition of the presented study. It should be mentioned however that some previous studies have focused on the established neural circuitry that controls the oculomotor system (e.g., SEF, FEF and PEF) and did not investigate the whole brain. In particular, middle and inferior frontal gyri were not covered in the investigated volume in some studies (Berman et al. 1999; Kimmig et al. 2001).

Activations in the posterior aspect of the anterior cingulate gyrus (pACG), which has also been called the 'cingulate eye field' (Pierrot-Deseilligny et al. 2004), and activations in posterior cingulate gyrus (PCG) are known to be associated with saccade control (Paus et al. 1993; Nobre et al. 1997; Gaymard and Pierrot-Deseilligny 1999; Pierrot-Deseilligny et al. 2004). In agreement with these investigations, pACG and PCG were active during S and CS in the present study. The anterior–inferior aspect of the anterior cingulate cortex (aACG), in contrast, was active only during CS. The activations in aACG and bilateral inferior frontal gyri/bilateral anterior insula are very similar to those reported in an fMRI study, which investigated recentering-saccades (Raemaekers et al. 2005). Recentering-saccades direct the gaze back to the center of the display, following e.g., pro-saccades and anti-saccades. We suggest that these recentering-saccades because both forms of saccades redirect the gaze. During the central fixation periods between two consecutive pro-saccades, i.e., for approximately 50% of the time in the S condition, corrective saccades actually redirect gaze to the central visual target and hence are very similar to the above-mentioned recentering-saccades.

Corrective saccades during fixation (CF) evoked similar yet less pronounced activations. This can be attributed to the lower frequency of corrective saccades during fixations as compared to during pro-saccades. Activations were predominantly evident within the pro-saccade network. Additional activation was present in left insula, in agreement with CS.

Corrective saccades during pursuit (CP) yielded no significant activations. The fact that CP yielded no suprathreshold activation in contrast to CS and CF appears contra-intuitive at first glance because corrective saccades occurred almost three times more frequently during pursuit (P) than during pro-saccades (S). If the occurrence of corrective saccades increases, the linear dependence between the block-design regressor, e.g., P and the event-related regressor CP increases and it becomes progressively less possible to differentiate neuronal activations associated with P and CP. Note that the hemodynamic response function (HRF) (Friston et al. 1998) introduces an additional temporal filter, that causes similar regressors for P and CP given the high number of events. Under the assumption of this effect in particular in CP, we suggest that part of the activations in the established pro-saccade network during P may be related to corrective saccades rather than to pursuit per se. This consideration is supported by the observations that the amplitude of the BOLD response, in particular in FEF, increases almost linearly with increasing frequency of the pro-saccades while the amplitude of pro-saccades is irrelevant (Kimmig et al. 2001). If this observation can be transferred to corrective saccades, this implies that only the frequency of corrective saccades is of importance while their (small) amplitude is irrelevant. This suggests that the high number of spontaneously occurring corrective saccades during P should evoke pronounced activations, for e.g., in FEF. In line with this argumentation, it was suggested that FEF activation might be related to corrective saccades, which has been shown to occur during erroneous anti-saccades in a randomized pro- and anti-saccade task (Cornelissen et al. 2002).

The presented results imply that corrective saccades represent a potential systematic confound for the interpretation of the neuronal correlates of oculomotor control, in particular if eye movements are not measured and corrective saccades are not included in the GLM analysis. This concern is in particular supported by the fact that the spontaneous occurrence of corrective saccades depends on the oculomotor task.

Limitations

The major limitation of the present investigation resides in the nature of corrective saccades, which occur spontaneously and by definition only together with on-going eye movements. This complicates the separation of neuronal activations related to corrective saccades and the neuronal activations associated with, e.g., pursuit per se. The involuntary occurrence renders impossible standard fMRI ON-OFF designs and/or systematic parametric variation in task difficulty, which would represent one possibility to overcome this limitation (Amaro and Barker 2006). We therefore modeled the basic eye movement condition as nonexplanatory regressors. Ideally, variance in BOLD response associated with the primary eye movement condition should be represented in the corresponding regressor. The remaining variance in BOLD response, which is associated with the behavioral occurrence of corrective saccades, presumably reflects neuronal activations due to corrective saccades. The presented results regarding the neuronal correlates of corrective saccades should therefore be considered with caution given the intrinsic difficulty of separating the effects of corrective saccades from those of the on-going oculomotor task. Notwithstanding, we argue that corrective saccades are a fundamental component of eye movement control, which implies that some methodological constraints must be taken into account. Under the assumption that the occurrence of corrective saccades depends on the difficulty of the on-going eye-movement task, one possible amendment for future studies would reside in the titration of the eye movement task difficulty to evoke the frequency of corrective saccades, which is optimal for separation of eye movement-related and corrective saccades-related neuronal activations. For example, the occurrence of corrective saccades during smooth pursuit is lower for slower targets and for sinusoidal rather than constant velocity movement (Berman et al. 1999).

In addition to the frequency, the amplitude of corrective saccades might be of interest. In principle, it is possible to estimate the amplitude of eye movements with the used MR eye-tracker. The MR eye-tracker sensor is attached to the head coil. Consequently, head motion causes a shift of the center of gaze relative to this camera. We compared the measured eye position to the known stimulus position. We do not report the corrective saccades amplitude results because in some volunteers, even (inevitable) small head motion evoked substantial and non-linear mis-estimation of the eye-positions in relation to the relatively small amplitude of corrective saccades. It has, however, been demonstrated that the amplitude of pro-saccades is irrelevant for the BOLD activations while the frequency of pro-saccades almost linearly correlates with the BOLD response (Kimmig et al. 2001). Although this observation was made for pro-saccades and not for corrective saccades, this finding at least suggests that the amplitude of corrective saccades is less relevant than the frequency. The frequency could be determined with high accuracy with the MR eye-tracker.

Given these methodological constraints of the analysis of BOLD activations associated with corrective saccades, as discussed above, we cannot rule out that hypometria of the primary saccades and not necessarily the performance of corrective saccades per se might at least contribute to the observed activations of CS. One possibility to validate the presented results would be a parametric analysis of other ocular motor parameters such as saccade gain or saccade dysmetria, which might support a specific association of the reported activations with the performance of corrective saccades. At least in our experimental setup, the amplitude of the corrective saccades is however small in relation to the accuracy of the estimated eye position, as discussed above. This impedes a reliable parametric analysis of our data, but might be an option in a future setup. As discussed above, the temporal estimation of the onset of corrective saccades, in contrast, was possible at high accuracy.

We chose another approach to validate the presented results and reanalyzed the data with randomly varied onsets of corrective saccades, creating pseudo-corrective saccades event-related regressors. The block-design regressors for pro-saccades and pursuit were unchanged. As expected, the resulting activation patterns for the pseudo-corrective saccades differed between different variants of this random analysis, while the block-design regressors remained largely constant. This supports the assumption that the reported results are specifically related to the onset of corrective saccades, and illustrates the power of GLM analyses to separate the underlying task (here e.g., pro-saccades) from additional events (here e.g., corrective saccades during pro-saccades).

Perspectives

The present investigation provides functional MRI results concerning the neural control of corrective saccades. We demonstrate the feasibility of assessing eye movements and corrective saccades during fMRI and illustrate that corrective saccades represent a putative systematic confound in functional imaging of eye movements. At this stage, we could not directly compare BOLD activations of corrective saccades arising in the CS and CP conditions, owing to differences in the spontaneous frequency of the events. We found substantial and significant difference in the frequency of corrective saccades between these conditions, which would represent a systematic confound in the direct comparison. Consequently, the interpretation of these difference analyses is problematic. It is difficult to control the frequency of corrective saccades, as these are involuntary events. As discussed above, it might be possible to influence the frequency of corrective saccades by modifying the difficulty of the eye-movement task. Corrective saccades can be directly compared in future studies only if it is possible to achieve similar frequencies of corrective saccades in the different conditions. Additionally, we did not differentiate corrective saccades into sub-types. For example, corrective saccades during pursuit are also called catch-up saccades and it might physiologically be relevant to discriminate catch-up saccades in ON direction (i.e., the direction of pursuit) and OFF direction (i.e., in the opposite direction of pursuit). Likewise, during pro-saccades, it might be relevant to discriminate corrective saccades towards the center versus towards the periphery. Furthermore single corrective saccades might be differentiated from multiple corrective saccades. Subdivision of corrective saccades on the one hand reduces the number of events per condition and on the other hand requires additional predictors/regressors in the GLM analysis. Both modifications likely reduce the level of significance of the fMRI activations. Specific assessment of these sub-types of corrective saccades must be performed in future, specifically tailored investigations.

Conclusion

We demonstrated a neuronal network that is most likely specifically associated with corrective saccades and partially overlaps with the established pro-saccade and pursuit eye movement networks. The presented results suggest that corrective saccades represent a potential systematic confound in eye-movement studies in particular because the frequency of spontaneously occurring corrective saccades depends on the underlying oculomotor task.

References

Amaro E Jr, Barker GJ (2006) Study design in fMRI: basic principles. Brain Cogn 60:220–232

Belliveau JW, Kwong KK, Kennedy DN, Baker JR, Stern CE, Benson R, Chesler DA, Weisskoff RM, Cohen MS, Tootell RB et al (1992) Magnetic resonance imaging mapping of brain function. Human visual cortex. Invest Radiol 27(Suppl 2):S59–S65

- Bennett SJ, Barnes GR (2006) Combined smooth and saccadic ocular pursuit during the transient occlusion of a moving visual object. Exp Brain Res 168:313–321
- Berman RA, Colby CL, Genovese CR, Voyvodic JT, Luna B, Thulborn KR, Sweeney JA (1999) Cortical networks subserving pursuit and saccadic eye movements in humans: an FMRI study. Hum Brain Mapp 8:209–225
- Boynton GM, Engel SA, Glover GH, Heeger DJ (1996) Linear systems analysis of functional magnetic resonance imaging in human V1. J Neurosci 16:4207–4221
- Brown MR, DeSouza JF, Goltz HC, Ford K, Menon RS, Goodale MA, Everling S (2004) Comparison of memory- and visually guided saccades using event-related fMRI. J Neurophysiol 91:873–889
- Cornelissen FW, Kimmig H, Schira M, Rutschmann RM, Maguire RP, Broerse A, Den Boer JA, Greenlee MW (2002) Event-related fMRI responses in the human frontal eye fields in a randomized pro- and antisaccade task. Exp Brain Res 145:270–274
- Dodge R (1903) Five types of eye movement in the horizontal meridian plane of the field of regard. Am J Physiol 8:307–329
- Friston KJ, Holmes AP, Worsley KJ, Poline JB, Frith CD, Frackowiak RSJ (1995) Statistical parametric maps in functional imaging: a general linear approach. Hum Brain Mapp:189–210
- Friston KJ, Fletcher P, Josephs O, Holmes A, Rugg MD, Turner R (1998) Event-related fMRI: characterizing differential responses. Neuroimage 7:30–40
- Gaymard B, Pierrot-Deseilligny C (1999) Neurology of saccades and smooth pursuit. Curr Opin Neurol 12:13–19
- Genovese CR, Lazar NA, Nichols T (2002) Thresholding of statistical maps in functional neuroimaging using the false discovery rate. Neuroimage 15:870–878
- Huey EB (1900) On the psychology and physiology of reading. Am J Psychol 11:283–302
- Kimmig H, Greenlee MW, Huethe F, Mergner T (1999) MR-eyetracker: a new method for eye movement recording in functional magnetic resonance imaging. Exp Brain Res 126:443–449
- Kimmig H, Greenlee MW, Gondan M, Schira M, Kassubek J, Mergner T (2001) Relationship between saccadic eye movements and cortical activity as measured by fMRI: quantitative and qualitative aspects. Exp Brain Res 141:184–194

- Nobre AC, Sebestyen GN, Gitelman DR, Mesulam MM, Frackowiak RS, Frith CD (1997) Functional localization of the system for visuospatial attention using positron emission tomography. Brain 120(Pt 3):515–533
- O'Driscoll GA, Wolff AL, Benkelfat C, Florencio PS, Lal S, Evans AC (2000) Functional neuroanatomy of smooth pursuit and predictive saccades. Neuroreport 11:1335–1340
- Ogawa S, Lee TM, Nayak AS, Glynn P (1990) Oxygenation-sensitive contrast in magnetic resonance image of rodent brain at high magnetic fields. Magn Reson Med 14:68–78
- Ozyurt J, Rutschmann RM, Greenlee MW (2006) Cortical activation during memory guided saccades. Neuroreport 17:1005–1009
- Paus T, Petrides M, Evans AC, Meyer E (1993) Role of the human anterior cingulate cortex in the control of oculomotor, manual, and speech responses: a positron emission tomography study. J Neurophysiol 70:453–469
- Petit L, Haxby JV (1999) Functional anatomy of pursuit eye movements in humans as revealed by fMRI. J Neurophysiol 82:463– 471
- Petit L, Clark VP, Ingeholm J, Haxby JV (1997) Dissociation of saccade-related and pursuit-related activation in human frontal eye fields as revealed by fMRI. J Neurophysiol 77:3386–3390
- Pierrot-Deseilligny C, Milea D, Muri RM (2004) Eye movement control by the cerebral cortex. Curr Opin Neurol 17:17–25
- Pola J, Wyatt HJ (1991) Smooth pursuit: response characteristics, stimuli and mechanisms. Macmillan, London
- Raemaekers M, Vink M, van den Heuvel MP, Kahn RS, Ramsey NF (2005) Brain activation related to retrosaccades in saccade experiments. Neuroreport 16:1043–1047
- Talairach J, Tournoux P (1988) Co-planar stereotaxic atlas of the human brain. Thieme, New York
- Watson JD, Myers R, Frackowiak RS, Hajnal JV, Woods RP, Mazziotta JC, Shipp S, Zeki S (1993) Area V5 of the human brain: evidence from a combined study using positron emission tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. Cereb Cortex 3:79–94
- Yarbus AL (1967) Eye movements during perception of complex objects. Plenum Press, New York
- Zeki S, Watson JD, Lueck CJ, Friston KJ, Kennard C, Frackowiak RS (1991) A direct demonstration of functional specialization in human visual cortex. J Neurosci 11:641–649