brought to you by T CORE

Werner Zimmerli

How to differentiate bacterial from viral meningitis

Received: 15 September 2005 Accepted: 30 September 2005 Published online: 22 October 2005

© Springer-Verlag 2005

This editorial refers to the article available at: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s00134-005-2811-1

W. Zimmerli (X)

University Medical Clinic, Kantonsspital Liestal,

Basel University,

Rheinstrasse 26, 4410 Liestal, Switzerland e-mail: werner.zimmerli@unibas.ch

Tel.: +41-61-9252180 Fax: +41-61-9252804

Bacterial meningitis is a rapidly progressive infection whose prognosis depends on prompt initiation of adequate antimicrobial therapy [1, 2]. In case of suspicion of bacterial meningitis blood cultures are needed. Since diagnosis of meningitis depends on signs of inflammation in the cerebrospinal fluid, early lumbar puncture is crucial [3]. However, if there is suspicion of increased intracranial pressure, brain computed tomography must be performed to recognize those patients in whom lumbar puncture is contraindicated [2]. In these cases antibiotic therapy should be initiated prior to CT in order not to loose time until definite diagnosis, since delay in initial administration of antibiotic therapy deteriorates prognosis [3, 4]. In view of this emergency situation antibiotics are frequently given even in patients with suspected viral meningitis. In general this does not harm the individual patient with viral disease. However, it may have an impact on the local frequency of antibiotic resistance, which depends significantly on the amount of antibiotic consumption [5]. Thus, it is not only important to recognize patients who immediately need antibiotics but also those who do not need antimicrobial therapy at all.

The clinical differentiation of bacterial from viral meningitis is difficult and has been studied mainly in children [6]. The classical signs and symptoms of meningitis such as fever, headache, photophobia, nausea, vomiting, and neck and back pain do not allow discrimination between the two origins. Therefore the characteristics of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) have been used to differentiate between bacterial and viral meningitis [7, 8]. In most studies CSF lactate (>4 mmol/l) is a better predictor of bacterial origin than glucose ratio (less than 0.4), the number of white blood cells in CFS ($>1000\times10^6/1$), and the percentage of polymorphonuclear leukocytes in CSF (>50%) [9, 10, 11].

Since no single CSF or blood parameter has been able to discriminate between bacterial and viral meningitis, a model for the differential diagnosis has been introduced by Spanos et al. [7] and validated in more recent series [12, 13, 14]. Four independent variables are used here to calculate the probability of bacterial (pABM, i.e., odds of bacterial relative to viral meningitis) vs. viral meningitis, namely CSF protein level, total CSF polymorphonuclear count, blood glucose level, and leukocyte count. Setting the cutoff point of pABM is set at 0.1 has been shown to be optimal for the discrimination (Table 1). This is true both for children [13] and for adults [12].

Despite this validated, sophisticated model, it would be an advantage to have clinical criteria to distinguish bacterial from viral meningitis for the following reasons: (a) CSF characteristics are sometimes misleading, especially regarding leukocyte counts and percentage of polymorphonuclear leukocytes [15], (b) in case of suspicion of

Table 1 Performance of the model for a cutoff point of the probability of bacterial meningitis (pABM) of 0.1: pABM= $[1/(1+e^{-L})]$, where L= $32.13 \times 10^{-4} \times CSF$ PMN count $(10^6/1)$, + 2.365 ×CSF protein (g/l), +0.6143 x blood glucose (mmol/l), +0.2086 ×blood leukocyte count (10⁹/l)–11

	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
Jaeger et al. [13]	97.9%	94.4%	95.9%	97.1%
Hoen et al. [12]	97%	93%	85%	99%

increased intracranial pressure antibiotics must be started before CSF puncture, and (c) dexamethasone treatment should be given before starting antibiotics, thus often even before lumbar puncture.

As mentioned above, the initial signs of meningitis are similar regardless of origin. However, bacterial but not viral meningitis rapidly leads to cortical necrosis caused by decreased cerebral blood flow due to oxidative damage and inadequate vasoconstriction. In addition, hippocampal apoptosis occurs via bacterial products directly and through granulocyte products [16, 17]. Thus the bacterial origin of meningitis may be recognized as soon as the first neurological damage clinically appears. Such clinical signs are altered mental status, seizures, and focal neurological deficits. Two large studies by Durand et al. [18] and Aronin et al. [4] found, respectively, that 78% and 83% of the patients with bacterial meningitis had an altered mental status, and that 23% and 13% had seizures. In the study by Durand et al. [18] 28% had focal neurological deficits. In view of these frequent signs of bacterial origin in patients with meningitis, Brivet et al. [19] analyzed the clinical value of signs of severity to differentiate bacterial from viral meningitis in a retrospective cohort study involving 140 adults with different causes of meningitis. They analyzed the role of the initial clinical presentation and the relative diagnositic value of CSF parameters. This study focused especially on signs of severity at referral, including an impaired mental status (Glasgow Coma Scale score less than 14), seizure before or at presentation, focal neurological deficit, and shock. Clinical features were similar in bacterial and viral meningitis, except for headache (63% vs. 94%, p less than 0.01) and signs of severity (99% vs. 7.4%, p less than 0.01). The presence of at least one sign of severity was the only clinical, and CSF neutrophil counts higher than 1000×10^6 /l the only laboratory predictor of bacterial origin. In the study by Brivet et al. [19] the hospital mortality decreased from 46% to 24%

between the first and the second halves of the study period. Because the data were analyzed only for the whole period, it is not clear whether signs of severity were predictive in each period separately. One could argue that only cases with a long delay before treatment could be clinically recognized as meningitis of bacterial origin. However, since patient characteristics did not differ between the two groups, the delay before antibiotic treatment was probably similar in both groups. According to the receiver operating characteristic curve, the presence of at least one sign of severity at presentation had an excellent accuracy in detecting the bacterial origin of meningitis. With a sensitivity of 0.989, specificity of 0.981, positive predictive value of 0.989, and negative predictive value of 0.981, one would be tempted to withhold antibiotics from patients with meningitis not presenting any sign of severity. The authors were right not to draw this conclusion. As long as their data are not prospectively confirmed in patients with early presentation and a better clinical outcome (less than 20% mortality), most patients with meningitis should still receive antibiotics in the emergency room. In the meantime it is still important to recognize patients in whom antibiotics can be stopped after excluding bacterial origin of meningitis. Rapid and reliable culture techniques for bacteria and polymerase chain reaction techniques for the most important viral agents are important tools for this purpose. In the future the sensitive assay for procalcitonin may allow differentiating patients with bacterial from those with viral meningitis [20].

In conclusion, for the time being, the data of Brivet et al. [19] allow us to recognize patients who rapidly need antibiotics and supportive care in an intensive care unit. However, before antibiotics can be withhold in every patient without signs of severity, this study must be confirmed in patients with very early presentation of bacterial meningitis who take most advantage of early antibiotic therapy.

References

- Talan DA, Zibulewsky J (1993) Relationship of clinical presentation to time to antibiotics for the emergency department management of suspected bacterial meningitis. Ann Emerg Med 22:1733–1738
- Tunkel AR, Hartmann BJ, Kaplan SL, Kaufmann BA, Roos KL, Scheld MW, Whitley RJ (2004) Practice guidelines for the management of bacterial meningitis. Clin Infect Dis 39:1267–1281
- Talan DA, Hoffman JR, Yoshikawa TT, Overturf GD (1988) Role of empiric parenteral antibiotics prior to lumbar puncture in suspected bacterial meningitis: state of the art. Rev Infect Dis 10:365–376
- Aronin SI, Peduzzi P, Quagliarello VJ (1998) Community-acquired bacterial meningitis: risk stratification for adverse clinical outcome and effect of antibiotic timing. Ann Intern Med 129:862–869
- Wise R, Hart T, Cars O, Streulens M, Helmuth R, Huovinen P, Sprenger M (1998) Antimicrobial resistance. BMJ 317:609–610
- Walsh-Kelly C, Nelson DB, Smith DS, Losek JD, Melzer-Lange M, Hennes HM, Glaeser PW (1992) Clinical predictors of bacterial versus aseptic meningitis in childhood. Ann Emerg Med 21:910–914
- Spanos A, Harrell FE Jr, Durack DT (1989) Differential diagnosis of acute meningitis. An analysis of the predictive value of initial observations. JAMA 262:2700–2707
- McKinney WP, Heudebert GR, Harper SA, Young MJ, McIntire DD (1994) Validation of a clinical prediction rule for the differential diagnosis of acute meningitis. J Gen Intern Med 9:8–12
- Genton B, Berger JP (1990) Cerebrospinal fluid lactate in 78 cases of adult meningitis. Intensive Care Med 16:196– 200

- Pavese P, Francois P, Lafond JL, Kayemba Kay'S S, Bosson JL (1997) Assay of lactic acid in the cerebrospinal fluid for the diagnosis of bacterial meningitis. Strategies for the choice of discriminatory threshold. Presse Med 26:551–554
- Leib SL, Boscacci R, Gratzl O, Zimmerli W (1999) Predictive value of cerebrospinal fluid (CFS) lactate level versus CSF/blood glucose ratio for the diagnosis of bacterial meningitis following neurosurgery. Clin Infect Dis 26:69–74
- Hoen B, Viel JF, Paquot C, Gérard A, Canton P (1995) Multivariate approach to differential diagnosis of acute meningitis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 14:267–274
- 13. Jaeger F, Leroy J, Duchêne F, Baty V, Baillet S, Estavoyer JM, Hoen B (2000) Validation of a diagnosis model for differentiating bacterial from viral meningitis in infants and children under 3.5 years of age. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 19:418–421
- 14. Bonsu BK, Harper MB (2004) Differentiating acute bacterial meningitis from acute viral meningitis among children with cerebrospinal fluid pleocytosis. A multivariable regression model. Pediatr Infect Dis J 23:511–517
- Negrini B, Kelleher KJ, Wald ER (2000) Cerebrospinal fluid findings in aseptic versus bacterial meningitis. Pediatrics 105:316–319
- Meli DN, Christen S, Leib SL, Täuber MG (2002) Current concepts in the pathogenesis of meningitis caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae. Curr Opin Infect Dis 15:253–257

- 17. Bifrare YD, Kummer J, Joss P, Tauber MG, Leib SL (2005) Brain-derived neurotrophic factor protects against multiple forms of brain injury in bacterial meningitis. J Infect Dis 191:40–45
- Durand ML, Calderwood SB, Weber DJ, Miller SI, Southwick FS, Caviness VS, Swartz MN (1993) Acute bacterial meningitis in adults. N Engl J Med 328:21–28
- 19. Brivet FG, Ducuing S, Jacobs F, Chary I, Pompier R, Prat D, Grigoriu B, Normann P (2005) Accuracy of clinical presentation for differentiating bacterial from viral meningitis in adults. A multivariate approach. Intensive Care Med (DOI: 10.1007/s00134-005-2811-1)
- 20. Viallon A, Pouzet V, Zeni F, Tardy B, Guyomarc'h S, Lambert C, Page Y, Bertrand JC (2000) Rapid diagnosis of the type of meningitis (bacterial or viral) by the assay of serum procalcitonin. Presse Med 29:584–588