

S. A. Pfister
A. Deckart
S. Laschke
S. Dellas
U. Otto
C. Buitrago
J. Roth
W. Wiesner
G. Bongartz
T. C. Gasser

Unenhanced helical computed tomography vs intravenous urography in patients with acute flank pain: accuracy and economic impact in a randomized prospective trial

Published online: 21 June 2007
© Springer-Verlag 2007

“In the article entitled “Unenhanced helical computed tomography vs intravenous urography in patients with acute flank pain: accuracy and economic impact in a randomized prospective trial” by Dr. Pfister unfortunately a

formal error in the numbers listed in table 1 has occurred: the study has not detected stones in 159 percent of patients as published but in 159 patients out of 228 yielding a detection percentage of 70%.”

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00330-003-1937-1>.

S. A. Pfister · S. Dellas · U. Otto ·
C. Buitrago · W. Wiesner · G. Bongartz
Department of Radiology,
University Hospital,
Petersgraben 4,
4031 Basel, Switzerland

A. Deckart · S. Laschke · T. C. Gasser
Department of Urology,
University Hospital,
Petersgraben 4,
4031 Basel, Switzerland

J. Roth
Department of Radiologic Physics,
University Hospital,
Petersgraben 4,
4031 Basel, Switzerland

S. A. Pfister (✉)
Medical Imaging Lucerne,
Theaterstrasse 7,
6003 Lucerne, Switzerland
e-mail: pfister@centralnet.ch
Tel.: +41-41-2272030
Fax: +41-41-2272031