TECHNICAL NOTE

Characterization of eighteen novel microsatellite markers and multiplex PCR protocol for *Fagus sylvatica*

Andrea R. Pluess · Kirsti Määttänen

Abstract Eighteen novel microsatellite markers for European Beech, *Fagus sylvatica*, were developed using next-generation sequencing technique. Subsequently, four multiplex PCRs were established for the fast and cost-effective use of the primers. In 60 individuals, we found 3–12 alleles per locus, an expected and observed heterozygosity of 0.445–0.821 and 0.250–0.867, respectively. Fixation index was significant in three loci. Yet, if these measures were jointly estimated with the probability of null alleles, these loci and an additional two indicated low occurrence of null alleles while the overall fixation index was non-significant. The loci were not in linkage disequilibrium. Overall, these markers will be useful for population genetic research to support management decisions for the preservation of this species in changing environmental conditions.

Keywords European beech · Fagaceae · nSSR · Population genetics

Introduction

The distribution range of *Fagus sylvatica* (Fagaceae), a nowadays widespread forest tree, is expected to shrink drastically due to climate change (Meier et al. 2011) as this species is vulnerable to long drought periods, a forecasted weather change (European Environmental Agency 2004). Recent studies showed adaptive variation to water availability (Rose et al. 2009; Pluess and Weber 2012) suggesting that pre-adaptive genetic variation might be available for a continuation of beech stands. To assess its micro-evolutionary potential, a thorough understanding of its gene exchange across landscapes is needed. Therefore, we established 18 novel microsatellite loci while other authors recently re-evaluated loci of the literature and also developed some new ones (Lefevre et al. 2012).

Fagus sylvatica is a diploid, wind-pollinated, mainly outcrossing (Merzeau et al. 1994) tree with primarily gravity dispersed nutlets. The generation time is >40 year (Hess et al. 1967) limiting its evolutionary potential to rapid changes. For its conservation, there is a call for the development of seed transfer guidelines and gene reserves (von Wuehlisch 2008).

Leaf genomic DNA was extracted from 60 individuals originating from three regions of Switzerland with two stands per region (Table 1) using DNeasy-Plant Mini and -96 Kits (Qiagen, Venlo, The Netherlands). A microsatellite enrichment library was created by "ecogenics" (Zürich, Switzerland) on a Roche 454 Genome Sequencer FLX using the Titanium Sequencing Kit (Roche Life Sciences, Branford, CT, USA). A total of 842 reads with microsatellite inserts were found with a tailor-made software (property of ecogenics) of which 161 were suitable for primer design. A total of 36 primer pairs were screened using ≥7 individuals per region. Amplifications were

A. R. Pluess (✉) · K. Määttänen
Department of Environmental Systems Science,
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH Zurich),
Universitaetstrasse 16, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland
e-mail: andrea.pluess@env.ethz.ch

Table 1 Origins of the *Fagus sylvatica* individuals for the development of the nSSR protocol including the numbers of individuals used (*N*)

Region	Stand	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation m a.s.l.	N
BAE	Bfl	47°23′31.30″N	7°27′45.06″E	700	10
BAE	Bti	47°23′29.40″N	7°27′03.77″E	670	10
NEU	NeN	47°41′10.09″N	8°31′52.22″E	570	10
NEU	NeS	47°40′56.22″N	8°31′37.43″E	530	10
VET	Vfl	46°15′06.23″N	7°17′01.36″E	1280	10
VET	Vti	46°15′02.68″N	7°17′07.30″E	1200	10

carried out in 10 μl reactions with Promega reagents (Madison, WI, USA) containing 3 ng template DNA, 1 × Go Taq Flexi Buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.04 μM forward Primer with a M13 tail, 0.16 μM FAM labelled M13 primer, 0.16 μM reverse Primer and 0.25 U Go Taq Polymerase using a Dyad Cycler (Bio-Rad GmbH, Vienna, Austria). Cycling conditions were: 5 min at 95 °C, 30 × (45 s at 95 °C, 60 s at 55 °C, 60 s at 72 °C), 8 × (45 s at 95 °C, 60 s at 53 °C, 60 s at 72 °C) and 30 min at 72 °C. Fragment lengths were read on an ABI3730xl and scored with GeneMapper (both Applied-BioSystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

The primer screening detected 18 well readable, polymorphic loci (Table 2). Four multiplex PCR reactions (M1–M4) were developed and screened using the 60 individuals. PCR conditions were the same as above despite of a MgCl$_2$ concentration of 2.5 mM, a total volume of 12 μl in M1, 0.4 and 0.48 U of Go Taq in M1 and M4, respectively and dNTP's of 0.3 mM in M1 and M4. See Table 2 for primer concentrations and labels. Touchdown annealing temperatures were used with seven cycles starting at 60 °C and decreasing by 1 °C/cycle followed by 30 cycles at 53 °C. For the fragment analyses, 1 μl of 1:5 diluted M1 and M2 products, 1:10 diluted M3 products and undiluted M4 products were used. Fingerprinting repeats (*N* = 12, triple repeats) were performed in single PCR reactions.

One of the microsatellite primer pair resulted in two reads of separated lengths, thus a total of 19 loci were analyzed. The loci revealed 3–12 alleles each with an average of 6.74 (SE = 0.556; Table 2). A hierarchical AMOVA outlined in GenALex (Peakall and Smouse 2006) indicated that populations and regions are rarely differentiated with 1 % of variation explained each by differences among regions and between sites within regions (*P* = 0.055 and 0.052, respectively). Overall expected (*H*$_e$) and observed (*H*$_o$) heterozygosity ranged from 0.445 to 0.821 and 0.250 to 0.867, respectively (Table 2). The fixation index (*F*$_{is}$) was significant in three loci based on 9′999 permutations. Yet, if *F*$_{is}$ and null allele frequencies were estimated jointly in INEST (Chybicki and Burczyk 2009), these and two additional loci had low frequencies of null allele while the overall *F*$_{is}$ was non-significant (*F*$_{is}$ = 0.005, SE = 0.004). No linkage disequilibrium among pair-wise markers was found. Successful replication frequency was 0.998. If not otherwise stated, the estimates were calculated with FSTAT (Goudet 2001).

These novel microsatellite markers proved to be polymorphic and resulted in highly repeatable finger prints. Of the 19 microsatellite positions, 14 showed no indications for *F*$_{is}$ or null alleles. The remaining five might nevertheless prove to be useful in analyses independent of null alleles such as randomization tests. Overall, the development of these microsatellite markers in a multiplex PCR design will contribute to a cost-effective evaluation of genetic patterns in *Fagus sylvatica*.

Table 2 Characterization of 18 nSSR in *Fagus sylvatica* and its combinations in the multiplex PCR (Mix), concentration of each primer [C] in μM, the total numbers of alleles (nA), the allelic richness (A), the observed and expected heterozygosity (H_o and H_e respectively), fixation index (F_{is}) and null allele frequency (*Null*)

Mix	Locus	Primersequence (5'→3')	Motif	Length	Dye[a]	[C]	nA	A	H_o	H_e	F_{is}	*Null*
M1	Fagsyl_000846	F:GACCTGCTCCACGAACCTAC R:GTCGAGACGGCTTTACATCG	(TTG)	118–127	AT550	0.25 0.25	3	2.75	0.583	0.499	−0.169	0.030
M1	Fagsyl_000905	F:GATCATAGCGCCGGAATTGG R:GGTCCTCCTCCTGGTACAAC	(TGT)	149–171	YYE	0.25 0.25	5	4.75	0.433	0.493	0.120	0.063
M1	Fagsyl_001018	F:AATATCAGGGAGGCAGCACC R:CGAGATGGACTTCTAAGTTTTATTTGC	(CA)	107–126	AT565	0.8 0.8	8	7.38	0.767	0.681	−0.125	0.025
M1	Fagsyl_001217	F:GGATGGGTTTTTGGCTCAGG R:CGTTGTCATGCAGGAGTGTG	(CA)	133–156	FAM	0.5 0.5	6	5.75	0.417	0.647	*0.356*	*0.170*
M1	Fagsyl_002140	F:CGAAGCGACAAACCTCTCTG R:TGGGAGAATACGTCCAAGGC	(ACA)	113–133	AT565	0.08 0.08	4	3.99	0.750	0.665	−0.128	0.024
M2	Fagsyl_002929	F:GCGGCGACTGGAATAATAGC R:CAATCACACGCTGCACAAAC	(TTG)	152–204	AT550	0.3 0.3	8	7.63	0.733	0.733	0	0.031
M2	Fagsyl_004597	F:GGAGAAAACCTTCTGTCCTCAC TGTAGAGAAACCTAGAGCTTGAC	(CA)	96–121	FAM	0.25 0.25	8	7.92	0.283	0.562	*0.496*	*0.205*
M2	Fagsyl_003273	F:GGATCCACCTGGCACTTTTG R:TGCAATATTACCCTGGGCTG	(GT)	185–191	FAM	0.25 0.25	4	4.00	0.617	0.652	0.054	0.038
M2	Fagsyl_003994	F:ACAAAGGAATCGTGGAGCTG R:ACACATTCTGCCTCAAAGTACC	(GA)	110–136	YYE	0.4 0.4	10	9.44	0.683	0.693	0.013	0.042
M3	Fagsyl_003093	F:TCATCACCGAGACAAGGGAC ATGGTGGTGTGGAAGCTAGG	(CA)	148–205	AT565	0.4 0.4	10	9.04	0.655	0.696	0.059	0.061
M3	Fagsyl_003849	F:GCTTCGTTCTTCCGGCATCTC R:AATAGCACAAATAGCCCGCC	(CT)	98–128	YYE	0.25 0.25	12	11.84	0.867	0.821	−0.056	0.016
M3	Fagsyl_001563	F:TGATTTTGCCTATCAGGCTCC R:CTGGCTCAAGAGACAAGAGG	(TG)	113–125	AT550	0.25 0.25	6	5.79	0.250	0.445	*0.438*	*0.176*
M3	Fagsyl_004467	F:GACCCACTTCCTTTACTGCC R:TCATCATCACCACCGCTCAC	(TGA)	105–120	FAM	0.1 0.1	5	4.97	0.517	0.475	−0.088	0.044
M3	Fagsyl_007038	F:ACCAGCAAATCCACGTATTAAC R:GTGGGCTTGTGACTTGCTTC	(TG)	152–166	FAM	0.1 0.1	6	5.50	0.517	0.506	−0.022	0.039
M4	Fagsyl_003282	F:GTTAGCTTAACTCATCTGGGCG R:TTTCAGTTGCCTGAGAACCC	(CA)	204–215	AT565	0.5 0.5	6	5.69	0.733	0.666	−0.102	0.024
M4	Fagsyl_000909	F:TCACTTGATGCCACATGCAC R:CGCCCATCGCTTGAAGTAAG	(AC)	150–166	AT550	0.4 0.4	6	5.44	0.500	0.463	−0.08	0.034
M4	Fagsyl_006075	F:TGGATGCAATCCGTGAACTG R:TGGTCTCTTCCTCCGTCCAAG	(TG)	156–269	YYE	0.1 0.1	5	4.99	0.569	0.642	0.114	0.053
M4	Fagsyl_006608	F:TCGTTCTTTTTCAAATATGCAGGTG R:TGCACAAGAGCATGTGTACG	(TC)	99–127 223–240	FAM	0.4 0.4	10 6	10.0 5.61	0.289 0.491	0.742 0.703	*0.610* 0.302	*0.276* 0.120

[a] Microsynth, Balgach, Switzerland

Values in italic have a P level of <0.001, all others of >0.05

References

Chybicki IJ, Burczyk J (2009) Simultaneous estimation of null alleles and inbreeding coefficients. J Hered 100:106–113

European Environmental Agency (2004) Impacts of Europe's changing climate—an indicator-based assessemt, Luxembourg

Goudet J (2001) FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices (version 2.9.3). Available from www2.unil.ch/popgen/softwares/fstat.htm

Hess HE, Landolt E, Hirzel R (1967) Flora der Schweiz und angrenzende Gebiete, Band I. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel und Stuttgart

Lefevre S, Wagner S, Petit RJ, de Lafontaine G (2012) Multiplexed microsatellite markers for genetic studies of beech. Mol Ecol Resour 12:484–491

Meier ES, Edwards TC, Kienast F, Dobbertin M, Zimmermann NE (2011) Co-occurrence patterns of trees along macro-climatic gradients and their potential influence on the present and future distribution of *Fagus sylvatica* L. J Biogeogr 38:371–382

Merzeau D, Comps B, Thiebaut B, Letouzey J (1994) Estimation of *Fagus sylvatica* L. mating system parameters in natural populations. Ann Des Sci For 51:163–173

Peakall R, Smouse PE (2006) GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. Mol Ecol Notes 6:288–295

Pluess AR, Weber P (2012) Drought-adaptation potential in *Fagus sylvatica*: linking moisture availability with genetic diversity and dendrochonology. PLoS ONE 7:e33636

Rose L, Leuschner C, Kockemann B, Buschmann H (2009) Are marginal beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) provenances a source for drought tolerant ecotypes? Eur J For Res 128:335–343

von Wuehlisch G (2008) EUFROGEN Technical Guidlines for genetic conservation and use for European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*), 6. Bioversity International, Rome