Tree Genetics & Genomes (2009) 5:211–223 DOI 10.1007/s11295-008-0176-7

ORIGINAL PAPER

# Development and test of 21 multiplex PCRs composed of SSRs spanning most of the apple genome

- A. Patocchi · F. Fernández-Fernández · K. Evans ·
- D. Gobbin · F. Rezzonico · A. Boudichevskaia ·
- F. Dunemann · M. Stankiewicz-Kosyl ·
- F. Mathis-Jeanneteau · C. E. Durel · L. Gianfranceschi ·
- F. Costa · C. Toller · V. Cova · D. Mott · M. Komjanc ·
- E. Barbaro · L. Kodde · E. Rikkerink · C. Gessler ·
- W. E. van de Weg

Received: 29 February 2008 / Revised: 29 May 2008 / Accepted: 11 June 2008 / Published online: 29 August 2008 © Springer-Verlag 2008

**Abstract** A series of 21 multiplex (MP) polymerase chain reactions containing simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers spanning most of the apple genome has been developed. Eighty-eight SSR markers, well distributed over all 17 linkage groups (LGs), have been selected. Eighty-four of them were included in 21 different MPs while four could not be included in any MPs. The 21 MPs were then used to

genotype approximately 2,000 DNA samples from the European High-quality Disease-Resistant Apples for a Sustainable agriculture project. Two SSRs (CH01d03 and NZAL08) were discarded at an early stage as they did not produce stable amplifications in the MPs, while the scoring of the multilocus (ML) SSR Hi07d11 and CN44794 was too complex for large-scale genotyping. The testing of the

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11295-008-0176-7) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

# Communicated by E. Dirlewanger

A. Patocchi (⊠) · D. Gobbin · F. Rezzonico · C. Gessler Plant Pathology, Institute of Integrative Biology (IBZ), ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland e-mail: andrea.patocchi@acw.admin.ch

F. Fernández-Fernández · K. Evans East Malling Research, New Road, East Malling, Kent ME19 6BJ England, UK

A. Boudichevskaia · F. Dunemann Bundesforschungsinstitut für Kulturpflanzen, Julius Kühn-Institut (JKI), Pillnitzer Platz 3a, 01326 Dresden, Germany

M. Stankiewicz-Kosyl Laboratory of Basic Research in Horticulture, Faculty of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture, Warsaw Agricultural University (WAU), ul. Nowoursynowska 166, 02-787 Warsaw, Poland F. Mathis-Jeanneteau · C. E. Durel UMR1259 Genetics and Horticulture (GenHort), Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), BP 60057, 49071 Beaucouzé, France

L. Gianfranceschi
Department of Biomolecular Sciences and Biotechnology,
University of Milan,
Via Celoria 26,
20133 Milan, Italy

F. Costa
Department of Fruit Tree and Woody Plant Sciences,
University of Bologna,
40127 Bologna, Italy

C. Toller · V. Cova · D. Mott · M. Komjanc · E. Barbaro Istituto Agrario di San Michele all'Adige, 38010 San Michele all'Adige, Trento, Italy

L. Kodde · W. E. van de Weg Department of Biodiversity and Breeding, Plant Research International, P.O. Box 16, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands



remaining 80 SSRs over a large number of different genotypes allowed: (1) a better estimation of their level of polymorphism; as well as of (2) the size range of the alleles amplified; (3) the identification of additional unmapped loci of some ML SSRs; (4) the development of methods to assign alleles to the different loci of ML SSRs and (5) conditions at which an SSR previously described as ML would amplify alleles of a single locus to be determined. These data resulted in the selection of 75 SSRs out of the 80 that are well suited and recommended for large genotyping projects.

**Keywords** SSR · Multiplex PCR · Genotyping · Malus

#### Introduction

Recently, the interest in developing a set of well-scattered highly polymorphic molecular markers spanning the whole apple genome has increased. Such a set of markers are necessary for the generation of genetic maps to be used for the accurate identification of genes and quantitative trait loci (QTLs) by association studies as well as for "fast breeding" strategies which identify progeny plants with the lowest proportion of undesired genome inherited from a wild apple (Volz et al. 2007). A subset of these selected markers can also be used for the genome scanning approach (GSA; Patocchi and Gessler 2003) or used to fingerprint cultivars and selections.

The development of such a set of markers was necessitated by the European Project High-quality Disease-Resistant Apples for a Sustainable agriculture (HiDRAS), established in 2002 (Gianfranceschi and Soglio 2004), which aimed to identify genetic loci controlling apple fruit quality with the objective of increasing the fruit quality of disease-resistant apples and therefore their acceptability and dissemination, leading to a reduction in the use of fungicides. HiDRAS is based on an innovative approach of association of genotype with phenotype called pedigree-based analysis (PBA). PBA includes the analysis of the

E. Rikkerink

The Horticulture and Food Research Institute of New Zealand Ltd, Mt Albert Research Center, Private Bag, 92169 Auckland, New Zealand

Present address:

A. Patocchi · F. Rezzonico
Agroscope Changins-Wädenswil Research station,
Plant protection, Phytopathology,
Schloss, B. O. 185,
8820 Wädenswil, Switzerland



segregation of specific chromosomal regions in genetically related cultivars, breeding selections, and small progenies through highly polymorphic codominant markers. This "identity by descent" approach allows the detection of QTLs (Bink et al. 2002; van de Weg et al. 2004).

To increase the efficiency and reduce the cost of this type of genetic study, this set of markers must be polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based and suitable for organization into multiplexes (MPs). Simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers were our markers of choice as they meet these requirements and because they are also generally highly polymorphic, ideal for map alignments, and highly transferable between laboratories.

More than 300 SSRs have been developed in apple (Guilford et al. 1997; Gianfranceschi et al. 1998; Hokanson et al. 1998; Liebhard et al. 2002; Hemmat et al. 2003; Vinatzer et al. 2004, Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. 2006, Khan et al. 2007, Igarashi et al. 2008; Van Dyk 2008). In addition, the use of pear SSRs can be explored as it has been demonstrated that they can generally be transferred to the apple and vice versa (Yamamoto et al. 2002; Pierantoni et al. 2004; Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. 2006) due to the high level of synteny between the two species. Nearly all apple SSRs have been mapped; the majority of them being mapped in the "reference map of the apple" (Liebhard et al. 2003; Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. 2006). The position within the apple genome of the few that were not mapped in the reference map can be inferred from map alignments using SSR markers in common as references.

Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. (2006) estimated that approximately 100 single-locus markers are required to span the apple genome with an intramarker distance of approximately 15-20 cM and a maximal 10-cM distance from the linkage group ends. The known distribution of the SSRs in the apple genome (reference map) as well as small-scale studies of their level of polymorphism (Liebhard et al. 2002; Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. 2006) allowed the selection of a set of 84 SSRs that covers about 85% of the apple genome (Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. 2006). This set of SSRs was composed of: 75 single-locus or presumed single-locus SSRs, seven multilocus SSRs (CH01d03, CH03g12, CH04c06, CH04g09, CH04h02 Hi07d11, and HB03-SSR) where the position of all the loci is known, and two multilocus SSRs (CN493139 and Hi23g12) where the position of only one locus was known. For one out of the seven multilocus SSRs (CH03g12), both loci (LG1 and LG3) are in interesting positions (i.e., located at extremities of a linkage group). For the other six SSRs, only one of the loci was of main interest as their second locus maps close to a single-locus SSR, which was chosen in preference to the multilocus SSRs to represent their genomic region. For 16 regions of the genome, no SSRs were identified by Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. (2006).

In this paper, we present an extension of this core set of SSRs spanning most of the apple genome, the development of multiplex PCRs for high throughput application of these SSRs, and finally an evaluation of their performance carried out by screening them over about approximately 2,000 DNA samples of the HiDRAS project.

#### Materials and methods

#### Plant material

The HiDRAS plant material genotyped with the selected SSRs is composed of 27 genetically related progenies of variable sizes (on average, 51 individuals with a range of 26 to 98), for a total of 1,373 progeny plants. In addition, another 359 cultivars and breeding lines were analyzed, many of which were represented by replicated DNA samples from different countries (Table S1). These genotypes were part of the pedigrees of the populations analyzed or were so-called common progenitors (genotypes present at different partner sites) or new founders (e.g., heritage cultivars). Including internal controls and repeated samples, 1,997 samples were genotyped.

## DNA extraction, quantification, and standardization

DNA was extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) kit by the owner of the plant material and sent to the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zürich. DNA concentration was determined with the Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Basel, Switzerland), on a SPECTRAFluor Plus microplate reader (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). DNA concentration of each sample was adjusted to 5 ng/ $\mu$ l. DNA samples were reorganized into 96-well plates using a Genesis RSP Liquid-Handling robot (Tecan, Switzerland). On each plate, samples of the genotypes "Prima" and "Fiesta" were added in reference positions H6 and H12. Aliquots were then prepared using a Tecan Genesis RSP Liquid-Handling robot (Tecan, Switzerland) and shipped to the six partners responsible for the genotyping, defined in this paper as "genotypers".

## SSRs spanning the whole apple genome

The set of genome-spanning SSRs examined is composed of 88 SSRs developed by different groups: Liebhard et al (2002, 54 SSRs) Silfverberg- Dilworth et al. (2006, 24 SSRs), Yamamoto et al. (2002; NH009 on LG13; NH029 on LG9), Hokanson et al. (1998; GD103 on LG5; GD147 on LG13) Guilford et al. (1997; NZ02b01 on LG15), Vinatzer et al. (2004; CH-Vf1 on LG1), Broggini et al. (submitted manuscript; HB11-SSR on LG1 and HB03-SSR on LG6),

Rikkerink (unpublished; NZAL08 on LG3, NZEST67774 on LG4). The primer sequences of the latter two SSRs are: NZAL08-F GGC ACA AGC ACA AGG AAA CA, NZAL08-R GTT TGA GCC AGT CCA TTT TTC CCT AT for SSR NZAL08 and NZEST67774-F CCC GAC TGA CTG AAC CTT TT, NZEST67774-R GTT TCC GTG GAA GTG GAG TGA AG for SSR NZEST67774 (Tm of 60°C).

# Assembly of multiplex PCRs for ABI sequencers

The assembly of MP PCRs of the 61 SSRs for genotyping on ABI sequencers was performed at Plant Research International (PRI) on an ABI PRISM® 377 DNA Sequencer (Applied Biosystems). As far as possible, SSRs that mapped to the same LG were assigned to the same MP. Different combinations of primer concentrations were tested until all SSRs of a MP produced similar quantities of amplicons. SSR markers giving unsatisfactory results were moved to other MP PCRs. SSR markers with primers labeled with the same fluorochromes were assigned to the same MP only if the ranges of their allele length were well separated. Further optimization for local conditions was performed at the site of each genotyper, who all used a capillary system. Protocols of the MPs at each genotyping site are presented in Table 1 and Table S1 (full information).

# Assembly of multiplex PCRs for LI-COR sequencer

Twenty-seven SSRs were assigned to Julius Kuehn-Institute, the only partner working with an automatic dual-laser DNA sequencer LI-COR 4200 with two different wavelengths (IRD 700–IRD 800). As with the SSRs used on ABI sequencers, the SSRs analyzed on LI-COR were first pooled by linkage group. Protocols for each MP were obtained using the Qiagen Multiplex PCR kit. Due to different intensities of the alleles of different loci as well as to differences in the labeling intensity of the IRD-labeled primers, the primer concentrations had to be specifically adapted for each MP PCR. Protocols for the MP reactions are presented in Table 1.

## Nomenclature of the multiplex PCR

The names of the MP PCRs were generated as follows. The first two letters of each MP are "Hi" indicating that the MPs have been developed within the frame of the HiDRAS project. The next number indicates the linkage group represented by the highest number of SSRs in the MP. If two linkage groups are represented by an identical number of SSRs, the number of both linkage groups is indicated separated by a dash. If the resulting name was identical to that of another MP, the letters "a" and "b" were added at the end of the name.



Table 1 PCR protocols of the multiplexes used to screen the HiDRAS germplasm

MP name	SSRs	TG	Fragment analysis	For primer conc (µM)	Rev primer conc (μM)	Labeling	DNA (ng)	Cycling conditions	Vol (µl)
Hi1/6	Hi02c07	1	ABI 3100	0.08	0.08	PET	10	94°C 5′, 10 cycles: 94°C 30″,	10
	CH05a05	9		0.08	0.08	VIC		58–53°C 45" (dropping 0.5°C per	
	CH-Vfl	_		0.08	80.0	NED		cycle) and 72°C 1', 25 cycles: 94°C 30",	
	CH03d12	9		0.08	80.0	6FAM		53°C 45" and 72°C 1'; 72°C 15"	
Hi2 <sup>a</sup>	CN493139SSR	2/2/5	ABI 3100	0.033	0.033	NED	10	94°C 2.5′; 33 cycles: 94°C 30″,	10
	CH03d01	2		0.042	0.042	HEX		55°C 30" and 72°C 1'; 72°C 5'	
	CH02f06	2		0.045	0.045	6-FAM			
	CH05e03	2		0.068	0.068	HEX			
Hi3	CH03g07	3	LI-COR 4200 (dual laser)	0.065	0.175	IRD 800	10	94°C 5′, 38 cycles: 94°C 30″, 60°C 30″	10
	Hi03d06	3		0.05	0.15	IRD 700		and 72°C 1', 72°C 10'	
	AU223657SSR	3		0.03	0.1	IRD 800			
Hi4a <sup>b</sup>	CH02c02b	4	ABI 310	0.19	0.19	NED	10	94°C 2.5′; 5 cycles: 94°C 30″, 65–61°C	15
	CH04e03	5		0.13	0.13	NED		for 1' (dropping 1°C per cycle) and 72°C	
	CH04e02	4		0.17	0.17	6-FAM		1', 30 cycles: 94°C 30", 60°C 1', 72°C 1'; 72°C 10'	
Hi4b	CH02g01	13	ABI 3100	0.12	0.28	NED	10	Identical to Hi1/6	10
	Hi23g02	4		0.12	0.28	PET			
	NZ02b01	15		0.12	0.28	6FAM			
	CH05d02	4		0.12	0.28	VIC			
Hi4/5	Hi22f12	5	ABI 3100	1.25	1.25	HEX	10	94°C 5′, 10 cycles: 94°C 30″, 65–55°C	20
	NZEST67774	4		1	_	NED		30" (dropping 1°C per cycle) and 72°C	
								1', 25 cycles: 94°C 30", 55°C 30" and 72°C 1'; 72°C 5'	
Hi5	Hi04a08	5	LI-COR 4200 (dual laser)	0.02	0.1	IRD 700	10	Identical to Hi3	10
	CH03a09	5		0.015	0.075	IRD 700			
	Hi04d02	5/3		0.03	0.125	IRD 800			
Hi5/10	CH05e06	5	ABI 3100	0.040	0.040	VIC	10	94°C 2.5′, 33 cycles: 94°C 30″, 56°C 30″	10
	CH02b12	5		0.090	0.090	PET		and 72°C 1', 72°C 5'	
	Hi03a10	7		0.090	0.090	NED			
	MS06g03	10		0.018	0.018	6-FAM			
	CH02b03b	10		0.065	0.065	6-FAM			
Hi7/16	CH04e05	2//5	LI-COR 4200 (dual laser)	0.02	0.125	IRD 800	10	Identical to Hi3	10
	CN444794SSR	L/L		0.15	0.325	IRD 700			
	CH05c06	16		0.035	0.125	IRD 700			
	CH05a04	16		0.4	0.125	IRD 700			
Hi8	CH01c06	∞	LI-COR 4200 (dual laser)	0.015	0.1	IRD 800	10	Identical to Hi3	10
	CH01f09	~		0.1	0.225	IRD 700			
	CH01h10	∞		0.03	0.125	IRD 800			
	Hi23g12	8/15		0.015	0.1	IRD 700			



10	20	10	10	10	15	10	10	20	10	
Identical to Hi3	Identical to Hi5/10	95°C 15', 30 cycles: 94°C 30", 60°C 1'30" and 72°C 1', 60°C 15', 72°C 15'	94°C 5'; 37 cycles: 94°C 30", 60°C 30" and 72°C 45"; 72°C 8'	Identical to Hi3	Identical to Hi1/6	Identical to Hi5/10 Identical to Hi1/6	Identical to Hi3	94°C 2.5′, 33 cycles: 94°C 30″, 65°C 30″, 72°C 1′; 72°C 5′	Identical to Hi3 Identical to Hi11	
10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Ś	10	
IRD 700 IRD 800 IRD 700 IRD 800	NED 6-FAM HEX	HEA TAMRA HEX FLUO FLUO HEY	NED HEX HEX NED 6FAM	IRD 800 IRD 700	VIC NED 6FAM PET	6FAM NED VIC 6FAM	IRD 800 IRD 800	HEX 6-FAM NED NFD	IRD 700 IRD 700 IRD 800 FLUO HEX	FLUO
0.1 0.075 0.1 0.075	0.875 0.875 1.75	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	0.093 0.093 0.240 0.200 0.067 0.187	0.125	0.123 0.17 0.17 0.17	0.05 0.28 0.28 0.28	0.1 0.075 0.075	0.15 0.15 0.12 0.12	0.1 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.2	0.2
0.02 0.015 0.03	0.875 0.875 1.75	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	0.2 0.093 0.240 0.200 0.067 0.187	0.03	0.02 0.17 0.17 0.17	0.05 0.12 0.12 0.12	0.04	0.15 0.15 0.12 0.12	0.015 0.02 0.04 0.2 0.2	0.2
LI-COR 4200 (dual laser)	ABI 3100	ABI 3100	ABI 3100	LI-COR 4200 (dual laser)	ABI 3100	ABI 3100	LI-COR 4200 (dual laser)	ABI 3100	LI-COR 4200 (dual laser) ABI 3100	
6666	, 10 10 10,	11 11/2	11 10/17 12 4/12 12 12	2 2 2 2	12 13 13 13	6 13 5 13	4 4 4	15 15 15 15	16 16 17 17	17
CH01f03b Hi05e07 CH01h02 CN4445428SR	CH03d11 CH02b07 CH02c11	CH04809 CH04h02 Hi07d11 CH02d08 CH04a12 Hi16d02	CH04c06 CH05d04 CH01d03 CH04d02 CH04g04	CH01101 CH01g12 CH03c02	Hi04g05 CH03e03 CH05h05 GD147	HB03-SSR <sup>2</sup> CH05f04 GD103 NH009b CH05 <sub>6</sub> 08	CH01g05 CH04c07 MDA1761SSR	CH02c09 CH01d08 CH02d11 Hi03c06	CH02a03 Hi04e04 CH04f10 AT000174SSR Hi03c05	Hi02f12
Hi9	Hi10°	Hill	Hi12a	Hi12b	Hi13a	Hi13b	Hi14	Hi15	Hi16 Hi17	



Fable 1 (continued)

Vol (µl)				-
Cycling conditions				
Cyclir				
Labeling DNA (ng)				
Labeling	HEX	FLUO	TAMRA	
Rev primer conc (µM)	0.2		0.2	
For primer conc (µM)	0.2	0.2	0.2	
Fragment analysis				
TG	9/17	17	11	
name SSRs	CH05d08	Hi07h02	CH04g07	
name				

CH05d02 and Hi23g02 worked in this multiplex at PRI; both moved to MP Hi4b for germplasm screen CH02g01 worked in this multiplex at PRI, CH02g01 moved to MP Hi4b for germplasm screen

Two microliters HB03AT loaded with 1 µl MPHi13 PCRs performed separately PCRs performed separately.

Unknown map position of the second locus of the presumed multilocus SSR, MP name name of the multiplex PCR, SSRs SSR markers present in the multiplex, LG linkage group on which the Respondence in mapped, Fragment analysis indicates the instrument used to separate the amplicons, For and Rev primer conc (μM) concentration of the different primers in the multiplex PCRs, the primer, DNA (ng) amount of DNA used in the PCR reaction, Cycling conditions PCR profile used for the specific multiplex, Vol final volume of the PCR Labeling fluorochrome used to label

## Division of multiplexes

Multiplexes were divided over the six genotyper sites, so that each multiplex was applied at a single site. In this way, we avoided inconsistencies in allele sizing that easily occur when data for the same SSR come from different laboratories. ABI capillary platforms were used at five of the six sites, while a LI-COR was used at the sixth site.

# Fragment analysis

For fragment analysis performed on the ABI PRISM® 3100 or 310 DNA capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems), 1 μl of PCR product was mixed with 10 μl deionized formamide and 0.2-ul 500-LIZ or 500-ROX ladder (Applied Biosystems). Chromatographs were generated using Genescan 3.7 software and SSR fragment lengths were scored with Genotyper 3.6 (Applied Biosystems).

For fragment analysis performed on the LI-COR sequencer, 2 µl of the multiplex PCR reactions was diluted with 15-µl formamide loading buffer and denatured for 3 min at 95°C. A 0.5-ul diluted reaction was loaded on the gel. As a sizing standard, a 50-350 DNA ladder composed of 14 IRD-labeled fragments (LI-COR) was loaded separately in every ninth lane (five lanes per gel total). The detection of alleles of SSRs analyzed on LI-COR 4200 was performed using the fragment analysis software SAGA (LI-COR). Analysis was initially performed automatically; however, for a large part of the data, a manual scoring was necessary.

# Verification of the consistencies of allele sizing

Following verification of nonsignificant shift of the allele sizes of the genotypes of reference "Prima" and "Fiesta" between plates, the allele sizes were rounded to the most appropriate integer according to the length of the repeated motif (2 or 3nt repeat). Rounded values were verified for consistency of the data within and among pedigrees as described in Patocchi et al. (2007). In brief, the procedure consisted of firstly checking if a progeny of a cross inherited an allowed combination of alleles from its two parents using an excel macro called "Gob validator" (D. Gobbin, unpublished). Then, consistency among all the connected HiDRAS pedigrees was verified using the statistical software FlexQTL<sup>TM</sup> (www.flexqtl.nl). Then, the parts of the pedigrees generating inconsistencies were visualized using the software Pedimap (Voorrips 2007). Finally, the electropherograms of the plants involved in the inconsistencies were checked, and, if justified, the data scores were corrected. If scores were changed, another check with the software FlexQTL<sup>TM</sup> was performed. This cycle of the validation procedure was performed until no improvement of data was possible.




#### Results

Modification of the set of SSRs spanning the apple genome

A core set of 84 SSRs spanning about 85% of the apple genome was already proposed by Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. (2006). One SSR in this set (CH03b06, LG15) showed difficulties in multiplexing. As NZ02b01 (SSR of the core set of Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. 2006) mapped at only 3 cM from CH03b06, this SSR was not replaced. Five SSRs were

added as they mapped on unrepresented regions: CH02b12 (LG5, Liebhard et al. 2002), GD103 (LG5) and GD147 (LG13; Hokanson et al. 1998), and NZAL08 (LG3) and NZEST67774 (LG4; Rikkerink, unpublished).

The current core set is now composed of 88 SSRs representing 89 regions (Fig. 1). For 13 regions, no SSR has yet been identified. As 101 single-locus SSRs are necessary to span the whole apple genome (to meet the criteria explained in the "Introduction"), the present coverage of the genome is approximately 89%.



**Fig. 1** Coverage of the apple genome with the selected set of SSRs. Five SSRs (in *bold*) have been added to the set selected by Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. (2006); one SSR (CH03b06, LG15) has been removed. Regions for which no SSR is currently available are indicated by *question marks*. SSRs of the set which could not be used to screen the germplasm set (see text) are indicated in *brackets*. Loci of the five SSRs for which scoring was judged too complex for large-scale applications (CN493139, CH04g09, CH04h02, Hi07d11, and

CN444794) or loci judged not sufficiently polymorphic (CH02c02b and Hi23g12) are *underlined*. These SSRs need to be replaced as soon as alternatives are available. Additional loci of the SSRs CN493139 (LG2 and 5) and Hi23g12 (LG15) identified in this study are indicated with *italics*. *Asterisks*, alleles of locus z (LG9) of multilocus SSR CH05d08 (LG9/17) were not amplified under the conditions used in this study (see Table 2)



#### Multiplex PCRs

Twenty-one MP PCRs containing in total 82 out of the 88 selected SSR markers have been developed. Thirteen MP PCRs, containing in total 56 SSR markers, were developed and tested on ABI sequencers (ABI-MPs), while eight MP PCRs, containing 27 SSRs, were developed and tested with a LI-COR sequencer (Li-MPs; Table 1 and Table S2). Four SSRs, HB11-SSR, CH03g12, CH03d07, and NH029 did not perform well in any MP and have not been further tested. SSR NZAL08 performed well under the conditions at PRI but failed under the conditions at the site of the genotyper (therefore, also considered not included in a MP). In addition, SSR CH01d03 (MP Hi12a) did not give stable amplifications and has not been further considered.

The highest number of SSR markers (six) placed in MPs was achieved by MPs Hi12a and Hi17. In two MPs, Hi10 and Hi13a, one SSR could not be placed directly in the MP (CH04g09 in Hi10 and HB06-SSR in Hi13); however, following an independent PCR, the products could be added to the MP for fragment analysis.

MPs Hi13b and Hi4b (four SSRs each) allowed the highest efficiency as their amplicons could frequently be combined for fragment analysis. Their SSRs generally amplified alleles in well-separated allele size ranges. For the screening of the segregating populations with these two MPs, it was first ascertained whether the alleles of the parents overlapped and, if this was not the case, the PCR products of both MPs were mixed together before

performing fragment analysis. Therefore, within a single run, alleles of eight loci could be separated.

Three out of the eight LI-COR-MPs contained four SSRs, while the other five MPs each contained three SSRs. Using the Qiagen Multiplex PCR kit, it was possible to establish a robust protocol for each multiplex. None of the LI-COR SSR markers had to be amplified on its own.

Multilocus SSRs and assignment of alleles to the different loci

Although single-locus SSRs were preferred to multilocus SSRs (ML) in the selection of the set, for some genomic regions, the only available SSRs were multilocus (CH04c06, CN493139, CH04g09, HB03-SSR, GD103, CH04h02 Hi07d11, and Hi23g12) or were presumed to be multilocus (CH02b12, CH05d08, Hi04d02, CH04e05, CN444794). Therefore, it was necessary to assign the alleles to the different loci in order for these SSRs to be used for the "identity by descent" approach.

SSRs CH02b12, CH04e05, Hi04d02 (all presumed ML), and CH05d08 (previously proven to be ML) only amplified one locus in the conditions used in this study and therefore no splitting of the data was necessary for these SSRs. For the other SSRs, CH04c06, HB03-SSR, GD103, and Hi23g12, a simple procedure was developed to assign the alleles to the different loci (Table 2) and this was applicable also for large-scale genotyping efforts. For SSRs CN493139, CH04g09, CH04h02, CN444794, and Hi07d11, adequate

Table 2 Description of the procedure applied to identify the alleles of the different loci amplified by multilocus and presumed multilocus SSRs

SSR	MP	LGs	Procedure
CH02b12	Hi5/10	5/?	Under the conditions used only LG5 alleles amplified
CH04e05	Hi7/16	7/?	Under the conditions used only LG7 alleles amplified
CH05d08	Hi17	9/17	Under the conditions used only LG17 alleles amplified
Hi04d02	Hi5	5/?	Under the conditions used only LG5 alleles amplified
GD103	Hi13b	5/10	Simple, alleles >90 bp belong to locus z (LG5), alleles <90 bp to locus y (LG10)
HB03-SSR	Hi13a	6/16	Simple, alleles >350 bp belong to locus y (LG6), alleles <350 bp to locus x (LG16)
Hi23g12	Hi8	8/15	Simple, the alleles 221 and 224 bp belong to locus x (LG 8), alleles >233 bp belong to locus y (LG15)
CH04c06	Hi12	10/17	Simple, intensity difference, only two alleles found for locus z on LG10 (157 and 171 bp); however, allele ranges overlap; therefore, this SSR is not fully adequate for high throughput genotyping
CH04g09	Hi10	10/5	Complicated, overlapping size ranges; only the z locus can be scored and distinguished from the y locus due to great differences in amplification efficiency
CH04h02	Hi11	11/11	Allele assignment is relatively easy, but adequate scoring is difficult due to a combination of overlapping size ranges and large differences in amplification efficiency; use possible only in some segregating populations and using flanking markers (mapping)
CN444794	Hi7/16	7/7	Complicated, use possible only in some segregating populations
CN493139	Hi2	2/2/5	Complicated, use possible only in some segregating populations
Hi07d11	Hi11	11/?	Complicated, too many unspecific amplicons for use

<sup>?</sup> Presumed multilocus SSR (position of the second locus unknown), MP name of the multiplex, LGs linkage groups carrying the loci amplified by the multilocus SSR, Procedure method used to assign the alleles to the different loci



scoring and assignment of alleles to the corresponding loci was too complex for large-scale genotyping efforts due to a combination of overlapping allele ranges and differences in amplification efficiency between the loci. For the first three SSRs, the splitting of the data was only possible in certain crosses and circumstances, and this was considered too time-consuming for CN444794 and Hi07d11 and therefore not undertaken in this study. Data could therefore be produced for 80 SSRs (85 loci).

The assignment of alleles to the different loci allowed the mapping of additional loci from three ML SSRs (CN493139, Hi23g12, and CN444794) that were not identified by Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. (2006; Fig. 1). SSR CN493139 amplified three loci; the first additional locus (y) is on LG2 at 10 cM proximal from the known locus x of CN493139, while the second additional locus (z) was identified on LG5 between SSR markers Hi22f12 and Hi04a08. The second locus of SSR Hi23g12 has been mapped at the distal end of LG15 at approximately 6 cM from marker CH02c09. The second locus of CN444794 is a copy of the first locus at exactly the same position (top of LG7; Fig. 1).

Degree of polymorphism and size ranges of alleles of the tested SSRs

Screening the 80 SSRs over a much wider germplasm collection than the eight to nine cultivars tested during the development of the SSRs allowed a better estimation of their degree of polymorphism (Table 3). The average number of alleles per locus (85 loci) was 13.3. The most polymorphic single-locus SSRs were CH03d12 (24 alleles), CH04f10 (22 alleles), and Hi05e07 (20 alleles). The single-locus SSR with the lowest level of polymorphism was CH02c02b (four alleles). The ML SSR Hi23g12 was selected for its position on LG8. Regrettably, this locus proved to have a low level of polymorphism (three alleles), which was not evident in Silfververg-Dilworth et al. (2006).

The large-scale testing of the selected SSRs also allowed a better estimation of the allele size range that can be expected from each specific SSR (or each locus in case of ML SSR; Table 3). Direct comparisons between our results and those of Liebhard et al. (2002) and Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. (2006) are feasible, as all their reference cultivars except for "Nova Easygro" were tested by us. Such comparisons showed various differences in relative allele sizes, e.g., a difference of 10 nt between two alleles observed by us was previously reported as a 12-nt difference. As such differences can easily occur due to different fragment analysis and sizing methods and as they have little substantial impact on the estimation of the degree of polymorphism and size ranges of alleles, we did not elaborate on this.

#### Discussion

Set of SSRs

Out of the initial 88 SSRs that had been chosen for the core set of genome-covering SSR, 82 were extensively analyzed. This analysis allowed the identification of the 75 SSR markers that are well suited for large genotyping projects. These SSRs are well distributed within the apple genome, give robust amplifications in the MPs, and are sufficiently polymorphic and, for the few ML SSRs, the identification of the alleles belonging to the different loci is simple. We suggest that seven of the 82 tested SSRs need to be replaced as soon as better options become available (CH02c02b, Hi23g12, CN493139, CH04g09, CH04h02, Hi07d11, and CN444794). SSRs Hi23g12 (locus on LG8) and CH02c02b were discovered to have an extremely low level of polymorphism. Hi23g12 was included in the set because of its strategic position at the distal part of LG8 where no other SSRs were available, even though the presumed ML SSR Hi23g12 was already suspected to have low polymorphism (five alleles in total for two loci identified in nine genotypes, Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. 2006). The fact that the single-locus SSR CH02c02b amplifies only four different alleles in this study is somewhat surprising because the same SSR was reported to amplify five different alleles from as few as eight cultivars (Liebhard et al. 2002). This difference is probably caused by a combination of suboptimal PCR conditions and underloading of the capillaries in the current study, resulting in inability to detect the larger alleles.

SSRs CN493139, CN444794, CH04g09, CH04h02, and Hi07d11 are all ML SSRs with alleles of the different loci having similar sizes, making the assignment of alleles to the loci labor-intensive and, moreover, making the scoring of individual alleles prone to errors. All these SSRs were chosen because of their strategic position and lack of better SSRs in terms of quality of the amplifications and expected degree of polymorphism. The use of these five ML SSRs in large genotyping projects is not advised.

For full coverage of the apple genome, around 101 single-locus SSRs are required. Currently, no SSRs are available for 13 loci (Fig. 1). Including the five ML SSRs found unsuitable within this project, new SSRs for 18 loci have to be found (Fig. 1). A new series of apple SSRs have been recently developed and mapped (Igarashi et al. 2008; Van Dyk 2008) some of which are located in the current gaps (e.g., LG15). New pear SSRs have also been developed (Fernández-Fernández et al. 2006; Inoue et al. 2007) and others are in development (Yamamoto T. personal communication). Once these SSRs have been mapped, making use of the synteny between apple and pear, it should be ascertained whether any of these SSRs could fill the gaps.



Table 3 SSR allele number and size identified within HiDRAS plant material, separated by locus

-SSR		258 alleles <sup>b</sup> 14 14 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18				185 221 154 248 141 121 120 120 230 100 136 79	187 223 156 250 250 143 112 112 112 232 102 102 110	189 189 158 225 225 254 254 145 1145 1132 1132 1134 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 12	191 1 229 2 160 1 256 2 151 1		195 <b>197</b> 233 164 168					211									
-SSR		4 8 8 5 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1	181–211 219–233 150–190 244–299 137–193 113–162 138–186 106–186 110–145 226–254 92–221 100–170 75–107 98–140 118–146 118–146 118–146	null 150 244 137 113 113 110 110 110 100 100 100 100 100	181 219 152 246 139 115 118 108 118 226 98 98	185 221 154 154 141 121 140 1100 1100	187 223 156 250 250 143 112 112 112 1140 81 1104									211									
-SSR		8 5 6 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	219–233 150–190 244–299 137–193 113–162 138–186 110–145 226–254 92–221 100–170 75–107 98–140 118–146 1118–146	150 150 244 137 113 113 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110	219 152 246 139 115 118 108 118 226 98 98 75	221 154 248 141 140 140 120 230 230 100 190	223 156 250 143 123 123 112 122 232 140 81 130		.,,																
		5	150–190 244–299 137–193 113–162 138–186 110–145 226–254 92–221 100–170 75–107 98–140 118–146 1118–146	150 244 137 113 null 106 110 92 100 100	152 246 139 115 115 108 108 118 226 98 126 75	154 248 141 121 140 110 120 230 100 136 79	156 250 143 123 142 112 122 232 102 81 <b>104</b>	, , ,	_ ``																
		5 7 7 9 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	244-299 137-193 113-162 138-186 106-186 110-145 226-254 92-221 100-170 75-107 98-140 118-146 1118-146	244 137 113 null 106 110 null 92	246 139 115 118 108 118 226 98 126 136	248 141 121 140 1100 230 136 79 102	250 143 123 112 112 112 102 100 81	.,	,	•						188	190								
		71	137–193 113–162 138–186 106–186 110–145 226–254 92–221 100–170 75–107 98–140 118–146 1118–146	1137 1137 1138 1106 1110 1107 1100 1100	139 115 118 138 108 118 226 98 98 126	141 121 140 110 120 230 230 100 136 79	143 123 142 112 122 232 102 140 81				261 26	5 273	3 277	7 279	285	287	295	299							
		91	113–162 138–186 106–186 110–145 226–254 92–221 100–170 75–107 98–140 118–146 1118–146	113 null 106 110 null 92 100	115 138 108 118 226 98 98 126	121 140 110 120 230 100 136 79 102	123 142 112 122 232 102 140 81 130				159 161	1 163	91 8	171	177	179	181	183	193						
		91 82 83 84 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	138–186 106–186 110–145 226–254 92–221 100–170 75–107 98–140 118–146 112–122 238–262	null 106 110 null 92 100	138 108 118 226 98 126 75	140 110 120 230 100 136 79 102	142 112 122 232 102 140 81 <b>130</b>			129 1	131 13	3 135		7 141	143	153	160	162							
		18 13 13 14 14 14 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	106-186 110-145 226-254 92-221 100-170 75-107 98-140 118-146 1118-122 238-262	106 110 null 92 100 null	108 118 226 98 126 75	110 120 230 100 136 79 102	112 122 232 102 140 81 <b>130</b>			150 1:	152 15	4 156	5 158		164	166	168	172	174	184	186				
		13 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	110–145 226–254 92–221 100–170 75–107 98–140 118–146 112–122 238–262	110 null 92 100 null	118 226 98 126 75	120 230 100 136 79 102	122 232 102 140 81 <b>104</b>									156	178	182	184	186					
		13 15 15 15 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	226-254 92-221 100-170 75-107 98-140 118-146 112-122 238-262	92 100 null	226 98 126 75	230 100 136 79 102	232 102 140 81 <b>104</b>			128 1.	130 132	2 134		5 138											
		15 13 13 11 11	92–221 100–170 75–107 98–140 118–146 112–122 238–262	92 100 null	98 126 75	100 136 79 102 128	102 140 81 <b>104</b>	236	238 2	242 2,	244 24	6 248	3 250	) 252	254										
		15 13 15 11 7	100–170 75–107 98–140 118–146 112–122 238–262	100 null	126	136 79 102 128	140 81 <b>104</b> <b>130</b>				112 114		5 118	3 120		194	221								
CH02b03b CH02b07		13 15 11 7	75–107 98–140 118–146 112–122 238–262	null	75	79 102 128	81 104 130	44		148 I.	150 152	2 154	156	5 164	166	168	170								
CH02b07		15 11 4	98–140 118–146 112–122 238–262	0	100	102	104	68	16	93	95 97	66 4	101	103	107										
		11 4	118–146 112–122 238–262	86	100	128	130	901	108 1	110 1	112 116	6 118	3 120	) 122	124	126	140								
CH02b12y		4 L	112–122	llnu	118	711		132	134 1	138 1	140 142	2 144	<i>f</i> 146	5											
CH02c02b		7	238–262	llnu	112	977	122																		
CH02c09			1	238	244	246	248	250		097															
CH02c11		14	202-233	202	208	210	212				222 22	3 225				233									
CH02d08		15	217–269	llnu	217	221	223	225			231 233		5 253			261	269								
CH02d11	15 7	14	118-156	118	122	124	126									156									
CH02f06	2 7	13	137–164	llnu	137	139	143	145	149 1	152	154 156	6 158	3 160	) 162	164										
CH02g01	13 5	15	184–236	llnu	184	194	196	- 1			- 1				•	230	236								
CH03a09	5 6	12	121–145	121	125	127	129	131			137 139	9 141	143												
CH03c02	12 5	12	106-162	106	114	120	122	124	126 1	128	132 134	4 138	3 142	2 162											
CH03d01	2 7	11	94–118	llnu	94	86	104	106		110 1	112 114	4 116	5 118	~											
CH03d11	9 01	12	108-176	llnu	108	110	114	911			122 124	4 128	3 174	4 176											
CH03d12	2 9	24	96–171	llnu	96	102	104				112 114	4 116	5 118		126	128	130	138	140	142	146	148	150 1:	152	154 171
CH03e03	3 6	11	188-218	llnu	I88	191	198				206 <b>208</b>	8 214		~											
CH03g07	3 5	14	119–179	null	119	121	123		127 1		137 165		171		177	179									
CH04a12	11 8	13	165-197	null	165	171	173		177 1					9 195											
	17 8	12	167–194	167	175	177	621	181	184 1	186	187 188	<b>8</b> 190	) 192												
z	10	2	158-171	158	171																				
CH04c07		16	96–134	llnu	96	86	100	901		110 1	112 114	4 116	5 118	3 120	122	130	132	134							
CH04d02	12 3	7	123–151	null	123	125	131																		
CH04e02	4 6	6	141–168	null	141	143	145					8													
CH04e03	5 11	19	182–236	llnu	182	187	189	191	193 1	195						209	210	212	214	224	236				
CH04e05	7 8	17	175–227	175	189	197	199				207 209	9 211	1 215		219	221	223	225	227						
CH04f10	6 91	22	166-316	llnu	166	172	174				224 22			4 236		240	242	244	246	248	250	252 2	264 3	316	
CH04g04	12 5	14	155-211	155	161	163	173			179 1	181 18			1 193		211									
CH04g07	11 9	15	153-214	153	155	164	166	168	170 1		74 176	6 178	3 180		185	197	214								
CH04g09y	10 11	9	138-154	null	138	143	145		154																
CH04g09z	5	16	135–178	null	135	137	144	146	148 1	150 1	152 155	5 161	167	691 _ 1	172	174	176	178							



9 254						
.6 249						
233 246						
221 23					232	
214 2:					230 23	
210 2		223		169	228 2	
209 2		2111 <b>2</b>		172 153 <i>I</i>	226 2	
207 2	215	209 2 191 1	150	162 1 422 1 151 1 215	240 256 222 2 222 2 250 2	
206 2	213 2	207 2 187 1	185		250 238 254 220 279 279 246 2	
204	197 2	205 2 185 1 158 1	183 1		248 22 22 25 25 25 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	
203	195 257 122		<i>181</i> 144			164
199	190 235 120		205 179 188 142 164		244 222 240 245 245 216 216 269 269 240 240	162
197	185 228 118	199 180 152	199 177 174 <b>140</b>	121 145 408 148 141 141	240 220 230 236 241 208 208 207 257 257	160 260
195	183 224 116	197 146 179 144	197 175 168 134 157	119 143 382 136 295 219 139	230 218 232 235 235 204 210 265 25 <b>5</b> 25 <b>5</b>	158 236 <b>254</b>
191	181 222 114 213	195 142 178 142	184 173 164 132 <b>155</b>	117 142 378 124 149 291 217 133	224 230 228 202 202 208 263 263 252 252	234 252
189	169 220 1112	193 140 176 138	170 182 171 171 162 130	115 141 376 120 147 285 213 131	218 228 226 220 200 200 259 1167 247	154 232 250
185	167 216 110	189 138 174 136	168 179 169 160 128 151	113 139 367 118 143 207 127	216 208 226 224 198 204 204 255 164 164 170	152 228 248
183	166 214 108	187 136 173 134	166 177 167 154 126 126	111 137 365 116 139 227 203 123	214 206 224 222 196 202 247 162 213 237 268	226 226 246
181	165 212 106	185 132 170 128	164 170 165 142 124 142 149	109 135 361 114 137 219 199 121	212 202 222 220 194 200 245 152 210 235 245 210	222 240
179	161 210 104	183 126 169 126	162 164 158 140 122 140	107 133 357 112 133 <b>216</b> 197 119	211 188 220 191 192 198 243 144 207 231 239 239	143 220 238
175	159 206 <b>102</b>	181 124 168 124	160 160 155 138 120 138 138	105 131 355 110 131 205 194 117	210 182 218 218 190 190 239 229 229 229 237 229 200	141 216 236
171	151 202 90 194	173 122 166 120	158 148 149 128 118 136 134	103 129 353 106 129 201 176 115	206 176 176 182 183 184 184 223 126 197 220 220 233 233 266	214 234 234
161 258	mull 84 84 III		null null null null null null	null 123 null null null null null null	null 214 214 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180	133 212 220
161–298	151–215 202–257 84–130	173–223 122–146 166–197 120–162	158–170 148–205 149–185 128–188 112–150 136–164 134–149	103–153 123–172 353–422 106–150 129–149 201–295 176–219 115–169	206-250 176-240 180-256 180-256 180-218 180-218 180-218 223-279 197-213 220-263 231-245 221-224 115-192	133–164 212–236 220–260
161	202 84	173 122 166 166	158 148 128 128 112 136 137	103 123 353 106 129 201 176 115	206 176 176 180 180 180 180 180 197 197 220 221 220 231 251 261 251	212 220
31	16 13 16	18 10 17 15	8 112 112 113 113 114 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115	15 17 16 12 9 9 10 10	15 16 17 18 18 18	10 11
14	8 9 8 0	7 10 10 8	6 5 4 4 8 8 10	n.a 5 6 6 8 8 8	r & 0 8 r & 0 1 0 4 9 % 8 6 9	6 n.a n.a
11	16 6 16	12 17 2 2 5	13 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 5	5 13 6 17 17 17 17 18	2 2 5 1 1 1 2 4 5 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	13
CH04h02	CH05a04 CH05a05 CH05c06 CH05c402	CH05d04 CH05d08y CH05e03 CH05e06	CH05f04 CH05g08 CH05h05 CH-Vf1 CN444542-SSR CN493139-SSRx CN493139-SSRy	GD103 GD147 HB03-SSRy Hi02c07 Hi02f12 Hi03a10 Hi03c05 Hi03d06	Hi04a08 Hi04d02 Hi04e04 Hi04e04 Hi05e07 Hi07f01 Hi07f01 Hi27f12 Hi23g12y Hi23g12y Hi23g12x MDAJ761-SSR MOGG93	NH0096 NZ02b01 NZEST6774

<sup>a</sup>Liebhard et al (2002, 8 different genotypes tested) or Silfverberg- Dilworth et al. (2006, 9 different genotypes tested)

<sup>b</sup>Data of this study

<sup>c</sup> "Prima" alleles are in italics, "Fiesta" alleles in bold

n.a. Not available



#### Multiplex PCRs

Very high levels of efficiency in genotyping have been obtained by developing PCR multiplexes. As 1,997 DNA samples were genotyped with 82 SSRs organized in 21 MPs, 121,817 PCRs and fragment analyses were saved from a total of 163,754. This allowed a considerable (approximately 75%) saving on labor, time, and consumables. The efficiency of the current MP can still be further increased. Where the current ABI-MP were based on a three-dye system, now up to four different dyes can be used. The increased knowledge about size ranges also allows the number of SSRs within MPs to be increased, as SSRs with well-distinct allele ranges can be included using the same dye. This approach has already systematically successfully applied with the LI-COR-MPs where only two different dyes can be used, as well as with some ABI-MPs.

ABI-platforms proved to be much more useful for high through SSR-genotyping than the LI-COR system, both in terms of number of SSR per MP as in consistency in allele sizing and scoring. The information on compatibility of SSRs from the LI-COR system could be used to develop ABI-MPs for these SSRs, for laboratories with the ABI system only.

As far as possible, SSRs mapping on the same LG have been placed in the same or in a maximum of two MPs. This approach was generally successful, as only the SSRs mapping on LG4, LG5, and LG13 had to be placed in more than two MPs. SSRs organized in this way are very useful in view of data validation during the genotyping process as well as for some applications, e.g., to add an SSR backbone to a specific LG of a genetic map based on poorly transferable (AFLP) markers where a QTL has been identified or for genome scanning approaches aiming at the identification of markers associated with a specific trait.

#### Multilocus SSRs in the set

For more than half of the ML or presumed ML SSRs, either no second locus was amplified (four cases) or it was possible to find a simple way to distinguish the alleles belonging to the different loci (four cases, Table 2). This demonstrates that ML and presumed ML SSRs need not be excluded *a priori* from large genotyping projects; however, as large genotyping projects by definition require high throughput, the splitting of the data must be as robust as possible. If this is not the case, those SSRs should be substituted as soon as alternative single-locus markers are available.

## Degree of polymorphism of the tested SSRs

Coart et al. (2003) found a rough correlation between the number of alleles identified in a small group of accessions and the total number of alleles present in a wide germplasm collection. Liebhard et al. (2002) and Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. (2006) estimated the level of polymorphism of their SSRs on the basis of eight and nine quite unrelated cultivars, respectively. Enlarging the test to more than 350 genotypes showed that all the 34 single-locus SSRs amplifying at least seven different alleles in a small set of accessions amplified at least ten different alleles in the larger screen (Table 3). The average number of alleles amplified by these 34 SSRs is 15.5. The average number of alleles of the 36 single-locus SSRs amplifying less than seven alleles in the small set is 12.3, indicating that, among these SSRs, highly polymorphic SSRs (SSRs with at least ten alleles) are also present. Indeed, the probability of finding them would decrease only slightly, namely from 100% to about 72% (26 out of 36 SSRs). It may thus be wise to include such putatively low polymorphic SSRs in directed genotyping approaches if no alternative markers are available.

#### **Conclusions**

A large set of highly variable, easy-to-score SSRs has been identified that are well distributed over the whole apple genome. The SSRs have been organized in multiplex PCRs and used to generate, in a fast and cost-efficient way, solid genotyping data of around 2,000 apple accessions. These data will now be combined with different parameters of fruit quality (e.g., firmness, acidity) in a PBA to identify QTL for these traits.

Acknowledgments This publication was carried out with the financial support from the Commission of the European Communities (contract no. QLK5-CT-2002-01492), Directorate-General Research—Quality of Life and Management of Living Resources Program. It does not necessarily reflect the Commission's views and in no way anticipates its future policy in this area. Its content is the sole responsibility of the publishers.

#### References

Bink MCAM, Uimari P, Sillanpää MJ, Janss LLG, Jansen RC (2002) Multiple QTL mapping in related plant populations via a pedigree-analysis approach. Theor Appl Genet 104:751–762

Coart E, Vekemans X, Smulders MJM, Wagner I, Van Huylenbroeck J, Van Bockstaele E, Roldán-Ruiz I (2003) Genetic variation in the endangered Wild apple (*Malus sylvestris* (L.) Mill.) in Belgium as revealed by AFLP and microsatellite markers. Consequences for conservation. Mol Ecol 12:845–857

Fernández-Fernández F, Harvey NG, James CM (2006) Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite markers from European pear (*Pyrus communis* L.). Mol Ecol Notes 6:1039–1041

Gianfranceschi L, Seglias N, Tarchini R, Komjanc M, Gessler C (1998) Simple sequence repeats for the genetic analysis of apple. Theor Appl Genet 96:1069–1076



- Gianfranceschi L, Soglio V (2004) The European project HiDRAS: innovative multidisciplinary approaches to breeding high quality disease resistant apples. Acta Hort 663:327–330
- Guilford P, Prakash S, Zhu JM, Rikkerink E, Gardiner S, Bassett H, Forster R (1997) Microsatellites in *Malus x domestica* (apple): abundance, polymorphism and cultivar identification. Theor Appl Genet 94:249–254
- Hemmat M, Weeden NF, Brown SK (2003) Mapping and evaluation of *Malus* x *domestica* microsatellites in apple and pear. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 128:515–520
- Hokanson SC, Szewc-McFadden AK, Lamboy WF, McFerson JR (1998) Microsatellite (SSR) markers reveal genetic identities, genetic diversity and relationships in a *Malus x domestica* Borkh. core subset collection. Theor Appl Genet 97:671–683
- Igarashi M, Abe Y, Hatsuyama Y, Ueda T, Fukasawa-Akada T, Kon T, Kudo T, Sato T, Suzuki M (2008) Linkage maps of the apple (*Malus x domestica* Borkh.) cvs 'Ralls Janet' and 'Delicious' include newly development EST markers. Mol Breed (in press)
- Inoue E, Matsuki Y, Anzai H, Evans K (2007) Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers in Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai).. Mol Ecol Notes 7:445–447
- Khan MA, Durel CE, Duffy B, Drouet D, Kellerhals M, Gessler C, Patocchi A (2007) Development of Molecular markers linked to the 'Fiesta' linkage group 7 major QTL for fire blight resistance and their application for marker-assisted selection. Genome 50:568–577
- Liebhard R, Gianfranceschi L, Koller B, Ryder CD, Tarchini R, Van de Weg E, Gessler C (2002) Development and characterisation of 140 new microsatellites in apple (*Malus x domestica* Borkh.). Mol Breed 10:217–241
- Liebhard R, Koller B, Gianfranceschi L, Gessler C (2003) Creating a saturated reference map for the apple (*Malus* x *domestica* Borkh.) genome. Theor Appl Genet 106:1497–1508
- Patocchi A, Gessler C (2003) Genome scanning approach (GSA), a fast method for finding molecular markers associated to any trait. In: Proceedings of the plant and animal genomes XI conference (Abstract) San Diego, California, p 187
- Patocchi A, Fernandez-Fernandez F, Evans K, Silfverberg-Dilworth E, Matasci CL, Gobbin D, Rezzonico F, Boudichevskaia A,

- Dunemann F, Stankiewicz-Kosyl M, Matisse F, Soglio V, Gianfranceschi L, Durel CE, Toller C, Cova V, Mott D, Komjanc M, Barbaro E, Costa F, Voorrips RE, Yamamoto T, Gessler C, Van de Weg WE (2007) Development of a set of apple SSRs markers spanning the apple genome, genotyping of HiDRAS plant material and validation of genotypic data. Acta Hort (in press)
- Pierantoni L, Cho KH, Shin IS, Chiodin RI, Tartarini S, Dondini L, Kang SJ, Sansavini S (2004) Characterisation and transferability of apple SSRs to two European pear F<sub>1</sub> populations. Theor Appl Genet 109:1519–1524
- Silfverberg-Dilworth E, Matasci CL, Van de Weg WE, Van Kaauwen MPW, Walser M, Kodde LP, Soglio V, Gianfranceschi L, Durel CE, Costa F, Yamamoto T, Koller B, Gessler C, Patocchi A (2006) Microsatellite markers spanning the apple (*Malus x domestica* Borkh.) genome. Tree Genet Genomes 2:202–224
- Van de Weg WE, Voorrips RE, Finkers R, Kodde LP, Jansen J, Bink MCAM (2004) Pedigree genotyping: a new pedigree-based approach of QTL identification and allele mining. Acta Hort 663:45–50
- Van Dyk MM (2008) Identification of quantitative trait loci controlling the requirement for chilling in vegetative budbreak in apple (*Malus x domestica* Borkh.). Ph.D. Thesis University of the Western Cape, South Africa
- Vinatzer BA, Patocchi A, Tartarini S, Gianfranceschi L, Sansavini S, Gessler C (2004) Isolation of two microsatellite markers from BAC clones of the Vf scab resistance region and molecular characterization of scab-resistant accessions in Malus germplasm. Plant Breed 123:321–326
- Voorrips RE (2007) Pedimap: software for visualization of genetic and phenotypic data in pedigrees. Plant Research International, Wageningen
- Volz R, Rikkerink E, Sustin P, Lawrence T, De Silva N, Bus V (2007) "Fast-breeding" in apple: a strategy to accelerate introgression of new traits into elite germplasm. Acta Hort (in press)
- Yamamoto T, Kimura T, Shoda M, Imai T, Saito T, Sawamura Y, Kotobuki K, Hayashi T, Matsuta N (2002) Genetic linkage maps constructed by using an interspecific cross between Japanese and European pears. Theor Appl Genet 106:9–18

