

Biogeochemistry (2007) 82:321–326  
DOI 10.1007/s10533-006-9066-y

SHORT COMMUNICATION

## Do increasingly depleted $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of atmospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ indicate a decline in soil $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ reduction?

Franz Conen · Albrecht Neftel

Received: 27 March 2006 / Accepted: 8 November 2006 / Published online: 13 December 2006  
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2006

**Abstract** Growing concentrations of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  within the atmosphere have been accompanied by decreasing  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values, provoking the hypothesis of a global decline in the rate of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  reduction relative to its production in soil. We estimate that the ratio of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  produced to  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  reduced within the soil profile has declined by about 10–25% relative to its pre-industrial value. To a smaller extent, a reduction in the uptake of atmospheric  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  at the soil surface relative to its emission could also have contributed to the reported isotopic signal. This calls for a greater consideration of the process of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  reduction in soil and its role in the global turnover of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ .

**Keywords** Atmospheric isotope signal ·  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  reduction · Soil

### Background and motivation

Increase in atmospheric  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentrations since industrialisation have been accompanied by a decrease in heavy isotope content (Röckmann and Levin 2005; Bernard et al. 2006). Concentrations increased as a result of anthropogenic activities (Kroeze et al. 1999; IPCC 2001) and the anthropogenic source has been estimated to be depleted in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  by about 6‰ against the pre-industrial source (Röckmann et al. 2003). This isotopic trend has been explained by the growing importance of agricultural soils as a source of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and its relatively depleted isotopic signature (Perez et al. 2001). Globally, soils constitute about half of the total  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  source with large uncertainties still remaining (Mosier et al. 1998; Olivier et al. 1998). Rates of industrial N fixation have reached those of terrestrial biological N-fixation (Galloway et al. 2004), accelerating global N cycling and stimulating the production of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  by the two main processes of nitrification and denitrification (Stein and Yung 2003). Both processes produce  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  depleted in  $^{15}\text{N}$  relative to the respective substrates  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , whereby nitrification leads to greater depletion (Robinson 2001). Conversely, reduction of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  to  $\text{N}_2$  through further denitrification leads to an enrichment of the remaining  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  between 1‰ and 24‰ (Wada and Ueda 1996).

---

F. Conen (✉)  
Environmental Geosciences, University of Basel,  
Bernoullistrasse 30, 4056 Basel, Switzerland  
e-mail: franz.conen@unibas.ch

A. Neftel  
Research Station ART, Reckenholzstrasse 191,  
8046 Zurich, Switzerland  
e-mail: albrecht.neftel@fal.admin.ch

With this short paper we would like to bring forward the hypothesis of the observed isotopic trend in the atmosphere being an indication of a global decline in the rate of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  reduction relative to its production in soil. Hereby, we distinguish two types of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  reduction. First, a proportion of the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  produced within a soil is reduced on its way to the atmosphere (Neftel et al. 2000; Clough et al. 1999, 2005; Van Groenigen et al. 2005). Second, atmospheric  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  diffuses back into the soil and part of it is reduced (Ryden 1981; Verchot et al. 1999; Donoso et al. 1993; Papen et al. 2001; Flechard et al. 2005). We will indicate possible reasons for the decline in soil  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  sink activity in relation to its source activity, the impact this might have had on the anthropogenic  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  signal and implications for further research.

### Reduction of $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ on its way to the atmosphere

Substantial fractions of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  produced at depth within the profile have been found to be consumed while diffusing to the soil surface. Clough et al. (1999) found 67% of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  to become reduced to  $\text{N}_2$  while diffusing from 90 to 15 cm below surface. Neftel et al. (2000) determined uptake rates of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  within the profile of a fine textured soil in the order of  $10^{-4}$  to  $10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , resulting in scale lengths of only a few centimetres. Van Groenigen et al. (2005) observed a decrease in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentrations at 90 cm depth from 100.4 to 1.7 ppmv without significant fluxes at the soil surface but accompanied by an enrichment in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of about 50‰. Smaller proportions of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  reduced before emission, or in other words larger  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  to  $\text{N}_2$  ratios, were found to result in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  signals more depleted in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  (Perez et al. 2000).

Why should reduction of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  within the soil profile have changed since pre-industrial times?

First, application of mineral fertiliser leads to high concentrations of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  at the soil surface (Jarvis and Barraclough 1991). This results in large  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  to  $\text{N}_2$  ratios in the emitted products of

denitrification (Swerts et al. 1996; Stevens and Laughlin 1998; Bol et al. 2003). In other words,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is to a lesser extent reduced to  $\text{N}_2$  and therefore its  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  more depleted than under conditions of lower  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations as found in undisturbed ecosystems (Perez et al. 2001).

Second, in natural and unfertilised systems, reactive N is produced by biological N-fixation and mineralisation. Both processes take place within the soil profile. Contrastingly, mineral fertiliser N is in general applied to the soil surface. This may shift the location of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production closer to the soil surface, reducing its pathway to the atmosphere and with it the chance for complete reduction. This proposition is supported by the study of Liu et al. (2006), who found decreasing placement depth of reactive N from 10 to 5 cm below the soil surface to result in more than a doubling of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions.

How much would  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  consumption need to have declined to explain the observed signal of  $-6\text{‰}$  in the anthropogenic source of  $\delta^{15}\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ?

The decline necessary to explain the observed signal depends on the absolute value of the reduced fraction and the fractionation factor ( $\varepsilon$ ) for the reduction of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  to  $\text{N}_2$ . For  $\varepsilon$  we may assume a mean value of  $-13\text{‰}$  (Barford et al. 1999). If we further assume that today between 50% and 80% of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  produced within the soil profile is reduced before it would have reached the atmosphere, reduction rates must have declined between about 25% and 10% relative to their pre-industrial values (Table 1). In Table 1, values for the shift in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  from pre-industrial to contemporary values ( $\delta'$ ) were calculated as  $\delta' = \varepsilon(\ln(1 - f_c) - \varepsilon(\ln(1 - f_p))$ , where  $f_c$  is the contemporary fraction, and  $f_p$  is the pre-industrial fraction of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  reduced.

### Reduction of atmospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ diffusing into the soil profile

Concentrations well below atmospheric background have been found within the soil profile over prolonged periods (Schmid et al. 2001). Net consumption of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in dry conditions has been

**Table 1** Calculated change in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  (‰) in the soil  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  source from pre-industrial to contemporary times ( $\delta'$ ) resulting from a reduced fraction of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  consumed within the soil profile

Reduction in % of pre-industrial fraction	Contemporary fraction of $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ consumed before potential emission (%)						
	50	55	60	65	70	75	80
10	-1.5	-1.9	-2.4	-3.0	-3.9	-5.3	-7.6
15	-2.5	-3.2	-4.0	-5.2	-6.9	-9.8	-15.9
20	-3.7	-4.7	-6.1	-8.1	-11.4	-18.0	
25	-5.3	-6.8	-9.0	-12.5	-19.6		

Assumed fractionation factor in the reduction of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  to  $\text{N}_2$  is  $-13\text{‰}$

reported (Donoso et al. 1993; Flechard et al. 2005). Consumption is by reduction to  $\text{N}_2$ . This has long been assumed to be limited to water-logged soils. However, activity of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  reductase under aerobic conditions has been found in cultures of *Thiosphaera pantotropha* (Bell and Ferguson 1991). When concentrations of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  within the soil profile are similar to those in the atmosphere,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  diffuses from the atmosphere into the soil and is reduced the same way as discussed above. Current atmospheric  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentration does not seem to be below a critical threshold for microbial reduction processes to be effective. Flux measurements at the soil surface determine net exchange rates, which are composed of gross emission and gross uptake rates. At times, gross uptake exceeds gross emission and net uptake of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is observed. Studies reporting such activity are summarised in Table 2.

During these studies, uptake activity was observed on average over half the observation period. Mean uptake rates ranged from 0.02 to

0.11  $\text{nmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . Global annual  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission from all soils is estimated between 8.5 and 10.2 Tg (Olivier et al. 1998; Kroeze et al. 1999). The global vegetated surface area is about  $12 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^2$ . Hence, mean emissions are equivalent to about 0.09  $\text{nmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . Most field studies so far were done on systems subject to agricultural N deposition or land use change, constituting major and growing sources of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ . Thus, it is not surprising that reports of net  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  uptake by soil are rare. It is difficult to estimate the global importance of this phenomenon. As a starting point, we might speculate that half of the total vegetated area is half of the year taking up  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ . Taking the range of values shown in Table 2, this would be equivalent to 6% to 30% of the total current soil  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  source.

How could this have change since pre-industrial times?

Uptake of atmospheric  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in the soil profile is limited by diffusion. The increase in atmospheric

**Table 2** Reported activities of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  sink in studies where at least part of the observed sink was statistically significant

Location (reference)	Ecosystem and management	Duration of study (years)	Duration of net sink activity (fraction of study)	Mean $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ sink during sink period ( $\text{nmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )
Berkshire, UK (Ryden 1981)	Grassland, no fertiliser N	0.3	1.00	0.05
	Grassland, 250 kg N year <sup>-1</sup>	0.7	0.46	0.11
Bolívar State, Venezuela (Donoso et al. 1993)	Undisturbed savannah, dry season	0.1		0.04
Eastern Amazonia, Brazil (Verchot et al. 1999)	Pasture, active	1.3	0.13	0.06
	Pasture, degraded	1.3	0.19	0.02
Black Forest, Germany (Papen et al. 2001)	Spruce forest, control	2.4	0.56	0.02
	Spruce forest, N-fertilised	2.4	0.33	0.02
Swiss Plateau (Flechard et al. 2005)	Extensive grassland, no fertiliser N	2.5	0.7	0.11

**Table 3** Calculated changes in the depletion of the integrated soil N<sub>2</sub>O signal (net surface exchange) resulting from the relatively smaller increase in uptake of atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O (1.17 times) compared to the increase in emission of N<sub>2</sub>O (1.5 times)

Uptake/emission (contemporary ratio)	0.060	0.120	0.180	0.240	0.300
Uptake/emission (pre-industrial ratio)	0.073	0.146	0.220	0.293	0.366
Change in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (contemporary – pre-industrial) (‰)	-0.18	-0.40	-0.64	-0.94	-1.29

Assumed fractionation factor for N<sub>2</sub>O reduction is -13‰

N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations from around 270 to 317 ppbv today (extrapolated from IPCC 2001) will have reduced diffusion limitation by a factor of 1.17 since pre-industrial times. At the same time, global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from soil have increased by a factor of about 1.5 (Nevison et al. 1996). Thus, uptake will have decreased relative to emission by 22%, regardless of the absolute value of assumed global N<sub>2</sub>O uptake. Suppose, a realistic value for the global uptake of atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O at the soil surface is between 6% and 30% of the current N<sub>2</sub>O soil surface emission. Then, changes in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  resulting from the decline of N<sub>2</sub>O uptake at the soil surface relative to surface emission would be a depletion between -0.18‰ and -1.29‰ (Table 3).

### Conclusion and outlook

A decrease between 10% and 25% since pre-industrial times in the proportion of N<sub>2</sub>O reduced on its way to the atmosphere could explain the observed depletion of atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O in the heavy N isotope of the current anthropogenic source. Probably to a smaller extent, decreasing uptake to emission ratios at the soil–atmosphere interface could also have contributed to this phenomenon. There is undeniably large uncertainty in the presented estimates. Still, they indicate a possibly serious decline in N<sub>2</sub>O consumption within the soil profile relative to N<sub>2</sub>O production. Major questions regarding N<sub>2</sub>O reduction in soil are still to be investigated in more detail before the global role of soil N<sub>2</sub>O sink activity and its dynamics can be properly evaluated. Particular deficits in our understanding relate to the ecological significance of N<sub>2</sub>O reduction, its kinetics, such as maximum rates,

$k_m$  values, temperature sensitivity and sensitivity to other parameters subject to global change. Further, there are still very few studies on microbial diversity in terms of N<sub>2</sub>O reducers and how they might be affected by anthropogenic activity (Chèneby et al. 1998; Holtan-Hartwig et al. 2000; Cavigelli and Robertson 2001; Rich and Myrold 2004; Mei et al. 2004). From a purely N<sub>2</sub>O accounting point of view, it is also necessary to search more certainty of the sink terms discussed. A recent discussion paper suggests the oceanic N<sub>2</sub>O source has been under-estimated by a factor of 2 (Bange 2006). If this is confirmed, there will be a need to re-evaluate our current understanding of global N<sub>2</sub>O sink terms. Thus, splitting net fluxes from soil surfaces into gross sink and gross source activity within the soil profile would help to better understand global N<sub>2</sub>O turnover and, especially, interpret isotopic trends in atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O. It might also open new perspectives on the mitigation of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from soil.

**Acknowledgments** This study was supported by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education and Research through grant Nr. C04.0254 to COST Action 856.

### References

- Bange HW (2006) New directions: the importance of oceanic nitrous oxide emissions. *Atmos Environ* 40:198–199
- Barford CC, Montoya JP, Altabet MA, Mitchell R (1999) Steady-state nitrogen isotope effects of N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O production in *Paracoccus denitrificans*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 65:989–994
- Bell LC, Ferguson SJ (1991) Nitric and nitrous oxide reductases are active under aerobic conditions in cells of *Thiosphaera pantotropha*. *Biochem J* 273:423–427
- Bernard S, Röckmann TR, Kaiser J, Barnola JM, Fischer H, Blunier T, Chappellaz J (2006) Constraints on N<sub>2</sub>O

- budget changes since pre-industrial time from new firn air and ice core isotope measurements. *Atmos Chem Phys* 6:493–503
- Bol R, Toyoda S, Yamulki S, Hawkins JMB, Cardenas LM, Yoshida N (2003) Dual isotope and isotopomer ratios of  $N_2O$  emitted from a temperate grassland soil after fertiliser application. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 17:2550–2556
- Cavigelli MA, Robertson GP (2001) Role of denitrifier diversity in rates of nitrous oxide consumption in a terrestrial ecosystem. *Soil Biol Biochem* 33:297–310
- Clough TJ, Jarvis SC, Dixon ER, Stevens RJ, Laughlin RJ, Hatch DJ (1999) Carbon induced subsoil denitrification of  $^{15}N$ -labelled nitrate in 1 m deep soil columns. *Soil Biol Biochem* 31:31–41
- Clough TJ, Sherlock RR, Rolston DE (2005) A review of the movement and fate of  $N_2O$  in the subsoil. *Nutrient Cycling Agroecosyst* 72:3–11
- Donoso L, Santana R, Sanhueza E (1993) Seasonal variation of  $N_2O$  fluxes at a tropical savannah site: soil consumption of  $N_2O$  during the dry season. *Geophys Res Lett* 20:1379–1382
- Flechar CR, Neftel A, Jocher M, Ammann C, Fuhrer J (2005) Bi-directional soil/atmosphere  $N_2O$  exchange over two mown grassland systems with contrasting management practices. *Global Change Biol* 11:2114–2127
- Galloway JN, Dentener FJ, Capone DG, Boyer EW, Howarth RW, Seitzinger SP, Asner GP, Cleveland CC, Green PA, Holland EA, Karl DM, Michaels AF, Porter JH, Townsend AR, Vorosmarty CJ (2004) Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future. *Biogeochemistry* 70:153–226
- Chêneby D, Hartmann A, Henault C, Topp E, Germon JC (1998) Diversity of denitrifying microflora and ability to reduce  $N_2O$  in two soils. *Biol Fertil Soils* 28:19–26
- Holtan-Hartwig L, Dorsch P, Bakken LR (2000) Comparison of denitrifying communities in organic soils: kinetics of  $NO_3^-$  and  $N_2O$  reduction. *Soil Biol Biochem* 32:833–843
- IPCC (2001) *Climate change 2001: the scientific basis*. Cambridge, UK, Cambridge University Press
- Jarvis SC, Barraclough D (1991) Variation in mineral nitrogen under grazed grassland swards. *Plant Soil* 138:177–188
- Kroeze C, Mosier A, Bouwman L (1999) Closing the global  $N_2O$  budget: a retrospective analysis 1500–1994. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 1:1–8
- Liu XJ, Mosier AR, Halvorson AD, Zhang FS (2006) The impact of nitrogen placement and tillage on  $NO$ ,  $N_2O$ ,  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  fluxes from a clay loam soil. *Plant Soil* 280:177–188
- Mei LJ, Yang LZ, Wang DJ, Yin B, Hu H, Yin SX (2004) Nitrous oxide production and consumption in serially diluted soil suspensions as related to in situ  $N_2O$  emission in submerged soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* 36:1057–1066
- Mosier A, Kroeze C, Nevison C, Oenema O, Seitzinger S, van Cleemput O (1998) Closing the global  $N_2O$  budget: nitrous oxide emissions through the agricultural nitrogen cycle - OECD/IPCC/IEA phase II development of IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventory methodology. *Nutr Cycling Agroecosyst* 52:225–248
- Neftel A, Blatter A, Schmid M, Lehmann B, Tarakanov SV (2000) An experimental determination of the scale length of  $N_2O$  in the soil of a grassland. *J Geophys Res Atmos* 105:12095–12103
- Nevison CD, Esser G, Holland EA (1996) A global model of changing  $N_2O$  emissions from natural and perturbed soils. *Clim Change* 32:327–378
- Olivier JGJ, Bouwman AF, Van der Hoek KW, Berdowski JJM (1998) Global air emission inventories for anthropogenic sources of  $NO_x$ ,  $NH_3$  and  $N_2O$  in 1990. *Environ Pollut* 102(1):135–148
- Papen H, Daum M, Steinkamp R, Butterbach-Bahl K, (2001)  $N_2O$ - and  $CH_4$  fluxes from soils of an N-limited and N-fertilised spruce forest ecosystem in the temperate Zone. *J Appl Bot Zeitschrift für Angewandte Botanik* 75:159–163
- Perez T, Trumbore SE, Tyler SC, Davidson EA, Keller M, de Camargo PB (2000) Isotopic variability of  $N_2O$  emissions from tropical forest soils. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 14:525–535
- Perez T, Trumbore SE, Tyler SC, Matson PA, Ortiz-Monasterio I, Rahn T, Griffith DWT (2001) Identifying the agricultural imprint on the global  $N_2O$  budget using stable isotopes. *J Geophys Res Atmos* 106:9869–9878
- Robinson D (2001)  $\delta^{15}N$  as an integrator of the nitrogen cycle. *Trends Ecol Evol* 16:153–162
- Rich JJ, Myrold DD (2004) Community composition and activities of denitrifying bacteria from adjacent agricultural soil, riparian soil, and creek sediment in Oregon, USA. *Soil Biol Biochem* 36:1431–1441
- Röckmann T, Kaiser J, Brenninkmeijer CAM (2003) The isotopic fingerprint of the pre-industrial and the anthropogenic  $N_2O$  source. *Atmos Chem Phys* 3:315–323
- Röckmann T, Levin I (2005) High-precision determination of the changing isotopic composition of atmospheric  $N_2O$  from 1990 to 2002. *J Geophys Res Atmos* 110, Art. No. D21304
- Ryden JC (1981)  $N_2O$  exchange between a grassland soil and the atmosphere. *Nature* 292:235–237
- Schmid M, Fuhrer J, Neftel A (2001) Nitrous oxide concentrations in the soil of a mown grassland: comparison of model results with soil profile measurements. *Water Air Soil Pollut Focus* 1:437–446
- Stein LY, Yung YL (2003) Production, isotopic composition, and atmospheric fate of biologically produced nitrous oxide. *Ann Rev Earth Planet Sci* 31: 329–356
- Stevens RJ, Laughlin RJ (1998) Measurement of nitrous oxide and di-nitrogen emissions from agricultural soils. *Nutr Cycling Agroecosyst* 52:131–139
- Swerts M, Merckx R, Vlassak K (1996) Denitrification,  $N_2$ -fixation and fermentation during anaerobic incuba-

- tion of soils amended with glucose and nitrate. *Biol Fertil Soils* 23:229–235
- Van Groenigen JW, Zwart KB, Harris D, van Kessel C (2005) Vertical gradients of  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  in soil atmospheric  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  – temporal dynamics in a sandy soil. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 19:1289–1295
- Verchot LV, Davidson EA, Cattânio JH, Ackermann IL, Erickson HE, Keller M (1999) Land use change and biogeochemical controls of nitrogen oxide emissions from soils in eastern Amazonia. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 13:31–46
- Wada E, Ueda S (1996) In: Boutton TW, Yamasaki S (eds) *Mass spectrometry of soils*. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp 177–204