ISRAEL JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS **175** (2010), 221–224 DOI: 10.1007/s11856-010-0010-4

HOMOGENEOUS QUASIMORPHISMS ON THE SYMPLECTIC LINEAR GROUP

BY

GABI BEN SIMON AND DIETMAR A. SALAMON

Department Mathematik, ETH, HG G 37.1, Ramistrasse 101, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland e-mail: gabi.ben.simon@math.ethz.ch and salamon@math.ethz.ch

ABSTRACT

We prove a uniqueness theorem for homogeneous quasimorphisms on the universal cover of the symplectic linear group.

Let G be a group. A **quasimorphism** on G is a map $\rho : G \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|\rho(gh) - \rho(g) - \rho(h)| \le C$$

for all $g, h \in \mathbf{G}$ and a suitable constant C. It is called **homogeneous** if $\rho(g^k) = k\rho(g)$ for every $g \in \mathbf{G}$ and every integer $k \ge 0$. Let

$$\operatorname{Sp}(2n) := \left\{ \Psi \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n} \, | \, \Psi J_0 \Psi^T = J_0 \right\}, \quad J_0 := \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

denote the group of symplectic matrices and $\widetilde{\operatorname{Sp}}(2n)$ its universal cover. Think of an element of $\widetilde{\operatorname{Sp}}(2n)$ as a homotopy class $[\Psi]$ (with fixed endpoints) of a smooth path $\Psi : [0, 1] \to \operatorname{Sp}(2n)$ satisfying $\Psi(0) = \mathbb{1}$.

THEOREM 1: There is a unique homogeneous quasimorphism μ on Sp(2n) that descends to the determinant homomorphism on U(n) in the sense that

$$\det(X+iY) = \exp\left(2\pi i\mu([\Psi])\right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{cc} X & -Y\\ Y & X \end{array}\right) := \Psi(1),$$

for every $[\Psi] \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2n)$ with $\Psi(1) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n) \cap \mathrm{O}(2n) \cong \mathrm{U}(n)$.

Received December 20, 2007 and in revised form May 14, 2008

The quasimomorphism of Theorem 1 plays a central role in [3] and this motivated the present note. Two explicit constructions of the quasimorphism can be found in [1] and [5]. The construction in [1] uses the unitary part in a polar decomposition and homogenization. The construction in [5] uses the eigenvalue decomposition of a symplectic matrix (but does not mention the term *quasimorphism*).

LEMMA 1: If $\rho : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a homogeneous quasimorphism, then ρ is invariant under conjugation and $\rho(g^{-1}) = -\rho(g)$ for every $g \in \mathbf{G}$.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let C be the constant in the definition of quasimorphism. By homegneity, we have $\rho(1) = 0$. Hence $|\rho(g^k) + \rho(g^{-k})| \leq C$ for every $g \in G$ and every integer $k \geq 0$. By homogeneity, we obtain $|\rho(g) + \rho(g^{-1})| \leq C/k$ for every k and so $\rho(g^{-1}) = -\rho(g)$. Hence

$$\left|\rho(ghg^{-1}) - \rho(h)\right| = \left|\rho(ghg^{-1}) - \rho(g) - \rho(h) - \rho(g^{-1})\right| \le 2C.$$

Using homogeneity again we obtain $\rho(ghg^{-1}) = \rho(h)$ for all $g, h \in \mathbf{G}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \operatorname{Sp}(2n)$ denote the set of symmetric positive definite symplectic matrices. This space is contractible and hence there is a natural injection $\iota : \mathcal{P} \to \widetilde{\operatorname{Sp}}(2n)$. Explicitly, the map ι assigns to a matrix $P \in \mathcal{P}$ the unique homotopy class of paths $\Phi : [0,1] \to \mathcal{P}$ with endpoints $\Phi(0) = 1$ and $\Phi(1) = P$.

Let $\mu : \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2n) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a homogeneous quasimorphism that descends to the determinant homomorphism on $\mathrm{U}(n)$. It suffices to prove that the restriction of μ to $\iota(\mathcal{P})$ is bounded. (If μ' is another quasimorphism satisfying the requirements of Theorem 1 and μ, μ' are bounded on $\iota(\mathcal{P})$ then, by polar decomposition and the determinant assumption, their difference is bounded and so, by homogeneity, they are equal.) We prove that μ vanishes on $\iota(\mathcal{P})$. For every unitary matrix $Q \in \mathrm{U}(n) \subset \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ and every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

(1)
$$\mu(\iota(QPQ^T)) = \mu(\iota(P)).$$

To see this, choose two paths $\Phi : [0,1] \to \mathcal{P}$ and $\Psi : [0,1] \to U(n)$ such that $\Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 1$ and $\Phi(1) = P$, $\Psi(1) = Q$. Then $\mu([\Phi]) = \mu([\Psi \Phi \Psi^{-1}])$, by Lemma 1, and so (1) follows from the fact that $\Psi^{-1} = \Psi^T$. Now let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Since P is a symmetric symplectic matrix we have $PJ_0P = J_0$ and hence

$$\mu(\iota(P)) = \mu(\iota(J_0P^{-1}J_0^{-1})) = \mu(\iota(P^{-1})) = \mu(\iota(P)^{-1}) = -\mu(\iota(P))$$

Here the second equation follows from (1) and the last from Lemma 1. This shows that $\mu(\iota(P)) = 0$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

Remark 1: Lemma 1 is well known to the experts [2]. We included a proof to give a self-contained exposition.

Remark 2: Related results, obtained with different methods, are contained in [1] and [4]. Our main theorem can in fact be deduced from these results.

Remark 3: The determinant homomorphism det : $U(n) \to S^1$ is uniquely determined by the condition that it induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups. Hence it follows from Theorem 1 that the homogeneous quasimorphism $\mu: \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2n) \to \mathbb{R}$ is uniquely determined by the condition that it restricts to an isomorphism of the fundamental group of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ to the integers.

Remark 4: The referee pointed out to us the following generalization.

Let G be a uniformly perfect group and $Z \to \widetilde{G} \to G$ be a central extension. If ρ is a homogeneous quasimorphism on \widetilde{G} that vanishes on Z then $\rho \equiv 0$.

To see this we first observe that, since ρ vanishes on Z, we have

$$\rho(zg) = \lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-1} \rho(z^k g^k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-1} \rho(g^k) = \rho(g)$$

for all $z \in Z$ and $g \in \tilde{G}$. Hence ρ descends to G. Now let c > 0 be the constant in the definition of quasimorphism. Then, by Lemma 1, we have $|\rho(ghg^{-1}h^{-1})| =$ $|\rho(ghg^{-1}h^{-1}) - \rho(g) - \rho(hg^{-1}h^{-1})| \leq c$ for all $g, h \in G$. Since every element of G can be expressed as a product of at most N commutators we have $|\rho(g)| \leq (2N-1)c$ for all $g \in G$. Thus the quasimorphism is bounded and hence vanishes identically.

Theorem 1 follows from this generalization because $\operatorname{Sp}(2n)$ is uniformly perfect and $\widetilde{\operatorname{Sp}}(2n)$ is a central extension of $\operatorname{Sp}(2n)$. However, the geometric properties of the Maslov quasimorphism $\mu : \widetilde{\operatorname{Sp}}(2n) \to \mathbb{R}$ derived in the proof of Theorem 1 do not follow from the above algebraic argument.

References

- J. Barge and E. Ghys, Cocycle D'Euler et de Maslov, Mathematische Annalen 294 (1992), 235–265.
- [2] C. Bavard, Longueur stable des commutateurs, Enseignement des Mathématiques 37 (1991), 109–150.

- [3] G. Ben Simon, The geometry of partial order on contact transformations of prequantization manifolds, in Arithmetic and Geometry Around Quantization, (O. Ceyhan, Y. I. Manin and M. Marcolli, eds.), Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Basel,
- M. Burger, A. Iozzi and A. Wienhard, Surface group representations with maximal Toledo invariant, Annals of Mathematics, to appear.
- [5] D. A. Salamon and E. Zehnder, Floer homology, the Maslov index, and periodic solution of Hamiltonian equations, in Analysis et cetera, (P. H. Rabinowitz and E. Zehnder, eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1990, pp. 573–600.