

Serveur Académique Lausannois SERVAL serval.unil.ch

## **Author Manuscript**

## **Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication**

This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Published in final edited form as:

Title: Root-colonizing bacteria enhance the levels of (E)-β-caryophyllene produced by maize roots in response to rootworm feeding. Authors: Chiriboga M X, Guo H, Campos-Herrera R, Röder G, Imperiali N, Keel C, Maurhofer M, Turlings TCJ Journal: Oecologia Year: 2018 Jun Issue: 187 Volume: 2 Pages: 459-468 DOI: 10.1007/s00442-017-4055-5

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.



UNIL | Université de Lausanne Faculty of Biology and Medicine

### Oecologia

# Root-colonizing bacteria enhance the levels of (E)-β-caryophyllene produced by maize roots in response to rootworm feeding --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:			
Full Title:	Root-colonizing bacteria enhance the levels of (E)- $\beta$ -caryophyllene produced by maize roots in response to rootworm feeding		
Article Type:	Special Topic		
Corresponding Author:	Ted Turlings, PhD Universite de Neuchatel Neuchâtel , Neuchâtel SWITZERLAND		
Order of Authors:	Xavier M Chiriboga		
	Huijuan Guo, PhD		
	Raquel Campos-Herrera, PhD		
	Gregory Röder, PhD		
	Nicola Imperiali, PhD		
	Christoph Keel, PhD		
	Monika Maurhofer, PhD		
	Ted Turlings, PhD		
Suggested Reviewers:	Li Chen, PhD Associate Professor, State Key Lab of Integrated Management of Pest Insects and Rodents, Institute of Biology chenli@ioz.ac.cn chemically mediated insect-plant relationships		
	Nicole van Dam, PhD Professor, German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research nicole.vandam@idiv.de chemically mediated insect-plant relationships		
	Jared G Ali, PhD Assistant Professor of Entomology, Pennsylvania State University jga8@psu.edu chemically mediated insect-plant relationships		
Opposed Reviewers:			
Funding Information:	Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung (406840_161904 (NRP 68))	Prof. Ted Turlings	
	Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung (406840_143141)	Dr. Monika Maurhofer	
Abstract:	When larvae of rootworms feed on maize roots they induce the emission of the sesquiterpene (E)- $\beta$ -caryophyllene (E $\beta$ C). E $\beta$ C is attractive to entomopathogenic nematodes, which parasitize and rapidly kill the larvae, thereby protecting the roots from further damage. Certain root-colonizing bacteria of the genus Pseudomonas also benefit plants by promoting growth, suppressing pathogens or inducing systemic resistance (ISR), and some strains also have insecticidal activity. It remains unknown how these bacteria influence the emissions of root volatiles. In this study, we evaluated how colonization by the growth-promoting and insecticidal bacteria Pseudomonas protegens CHA0 and Pseudomonas chlororaphis PCL1391 affects the production of E $\beta$ C upon feeding by larvae of the banded cucumber beetle, Diabrotica balteata Le Conte (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Using a combination of chemical analysis and		

gene expression measurements, we found that E $\beta$ C emission and the expression of the E $\beta$ C synthase gene (TPS23) was enhanced in Pseudomonas-colonized roots after 72 hours of D. balteata feeding. Undamaged roots colonized by Pseudomonas spp. showed no measurable increase in E $\beta$ C production, but a slight increase in TPS23 expression. Pseudomonas colonization did not affect root biomass, but larvae that fed on roots colonized by P. protegens CHA0 tended to gain more weight than larvae that fed on roots colonized by P. chlororaphis PCL1391. Larvae mortality on Pseudomonas spp. colonized roots was slightly, but not significantly higher. The observed enhanced production of E $\beta$ C upon Pseudomonas spp. colonization may enhance the protective role of entomopathogenic nematodes and other soil beneficial organisms.

1	Root-colonizing bacte	ria enhance the levels of ( <i>E</i> )-β-caryophyllene	
2	produced by maize roo	ts in response to rootworm feeding	
3			
4	Xavier Chiriboga M. <sup>1</sup> , Huijuan Guo <sup>1,2</sup> , Raquel Campos-Herrera <sup>1,3</sup> , Gregory Röder <sup>1</sup> ,		
5	Nicola Imperiali <sup>4</sup> , Christoph Keel <sup>4</sup> , Monika Maurhofer <sup>5</sup> , Ted C.J. Turlings <sup>1</sup>		
6	<sup>1</sup> Fundamental and Applied Research in Chemical Ecology Laboratory, University of		
7	Neuchâtel, Emile-Argand 11, Neuchâtel CH 2000 (Switzerland)		
8	<sup>2</sup> State Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Insect Pests and Rodents, Institute		
9	of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101 (China)		
10	<sup>3</sup> Current address: Centro para os Recursos Biológicos e Alimentos Mediterrânicos		
11	(MeditBio), Campus Gambelas, Edf. 8, FCT, Universidade do Algarve, 8005-139, Faro		
12	(Portugal)		
13	<sup>4</sup> Department of Fundamental Microbiology, University of Lausanne (Switzerland)		
14	<sup>5</sup> Plant Pathology, Institute of Integrative Biology, Swiss Federal Institute of		
15	Technology, Zurich (Switzerland)		
16	Authors:		
17	Xavier Chiriboga M.	email address: xavier.chiriboga@unine.ch	
18	Huijuan Guo	email address: guohj@ioz.ac.cn	
19	Raquel Campos-Herrera	email address: <u>rcherrera@ualg.pt</u>	
20	Gregory Röder	email address: gregory.roeder@unine.ch	
21	Nicola Imperiali	email address: nicola.imperiali@unil.ch	
22	Christoph Keel	email address: christoph.keel@unil.ch	
23	Monika Maurhofer	email address: monika.maurhofer@usys.eth.ch	
24	Corresponding author:		
25	Ted C. J. Turlings	email address: ted.turlings@unine.ch	

- 26 Fundamental and Applied Research in Chemical Ecology (FARCE lab)
- 27 Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Emile-Argand 11, Neuchâtel CH-2000,
- 28 Switzerland
- 29 Phone: 0041327183000; Fax: 0041327183001
- 30
- 31

#### 32 Author contribution statement

33 XCM, HG, TCJT and RC-H conceived the experiments, XCM and RC-H analyzed the

34 data and wrote the paper, NI and GR provide technical assistance for microbiology

- 35 techniques and GC-MS analyses and, respectively. CK, MM and TCJT edited the text
- 36 and approve the paper for publication.

37

#### 38 Abstract

When larvae of rootworms feed on maize roots they induce the emission of the 39 sesquiterpene (E)- $\beta$ -caryophyllene (E $\beta$ C). E $\beta$ C is attractive to entomopathogenic 40 nematodes, which parasitize and rapidly kill the larvae, thereby protecting the roots 41 from further damage. Certain root-colonizing bacteria of the genus Pseudomonas also 42 benefit plants by promoting growth, suppressing pathogens or inducing systemic 43 44 resistance (ISR), and some strains also have insecticidal activity. It remains unknown 45 how these bacteria influence the emissions of root volatiles. In this study, we evaluated how colonization by the growth-promoting and insecticidal bacteria Pseudomonas 46 protegens CHA0 and Pseudomonas chlororaphis PCL1391 affects the production of 47 *E*βC upon feeding by larvae of the banded cucumber beetle, *Diabrotica balteata* Le 48 49 Conte (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Using a combination of chemical analysis and gene expression measurements, we found that  $E\beta C$  emission and the expression of the  $E\beta C$ 50 synthase gene (TPS23) was enhanced in *Pseudomonas*-colonized roots after 72 hours of 51 52 D. balteata feeding. Undamaged roots colonized by Pseudomonas spp. showed no measurable increase in  $E\beta C$  production, but a slight increase in TPS23 expression. 53 Pseudomonas colonization did not affect root biomass, but larvae that fed on roots 54 55 colonized by P. protegens CHA0 tended to gain more weight than larvae that fed on roots colonized by P. chlororaphis PCL1391. Larvae mortality on Pseudomonas spp. 56 57 colonized roots was slightly, but not significantly higher. The observed enhanced production of EBC upon Pseudomonas spp. colonization may enhance the protective 58 role of entomopathogenic nematodes and other soil beneficial organisms. 59

60

Key words: Root-colonizing bacteria, *Diabrotica balteata*, (E)-β-caryophyllene,
terpene synthase, maize

63

#### 64 Introduction

65 During the past decade it has been found that insect-damaged roots emit volatile compounds that may serve as attractants for the natural enemies of the damaging insects 66 (Rasmann et al. 2005; Ali et al. 2010; Tonelli et al. 2016). The first such attractant was 67 identified for maize roots, which respond to feeding by larvae of the beetle Diabrotica 68 virgifera virgifera Le Conte (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) with the release of the 69 70 sesquiterpene (E)- $\beta$ -caryophyllene (E $\beta$ C). This herbivore-induced volatile (HIPV) attracts entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) and, thereby, helps to protect maize roots 71 72 against herbivore damage (Rasmann et al. 2005; Degenhardt et al. 2009). Although similar root-produced EPN attractants have been identified for several other plants (Boff 73 et al. 2001; Ali et al. 2011), it is still poorly understood how other soil organisms affect 74 75 the production or may respond to these signals.

76 Besides root herbivores, numerous other organisms that live in the rhizosphere 77 may form associations with a plant. Their effects may be beneficial (e.g. mycorrhizal fungi, N-fixing bacteria) or detrimental (e.g. pathogenic fungi or bacteria) to plant 78 performance (Brussaard 1998; Rasmann and Turlings, 2016). There is increasing 79 80 interest in some strains of root-associated bacteria of the genus *Pseudomonas* that have plant-beneficial properties. They can promote plant growth, suppress pathogens and/or 81 induce systemic plant defenses (Kupferschmied et al. 2013; Lugtenberg and Kamilova 82 2009; Van Oosten et al. 2008). Recent studies have also revealed that specific 83 Pseudomonas strains possess insecticidal activity against several insect herbivore 84 species (Ruffner et al. 2013). It has become increasingly evident that natural isolates of 85 Pseudomonas Pseudomonas fluorescens and chlororaphis  $(\gamma$ -Proteobacteria: 86 Pseudomonaceae) have a high potential to be applied as plant protection products 87 against various insect pests (Kupferschmied et al. 2013). Since many strains of the P. 88

*fluorescens* group are adapted to live on plant roots, show environmental persistence and are competitive and strong root colonizers, they may be ideal not only to enhance plant growth, but also to control insects pests (Lugtenberg & Kamilova 2009; Kupferschmied et al. 2013). The current study is part of an interdisciplinary effort to explore potential synergies in applying combinations of plant beneficial soil organisms (http://www.nrp68.ch/en).

Studies measuring the effects of root-associated bacteria on volatiles organic 95 compounds have been largely limited to aboveground volatiles (Ballhorn et al. 2013; 96 Pineda et al., 2013; Pangesti et al., 2015a) and the reported effects are greatly 97 98 contrasting. Pineda et al. (2013) and Pangesti et al. (2015a) both used the bacterium P. fluorescens WCS417r to colonize Arabidopsis thaliana roots, but they employed 99 aboveground herbivores of different feeding guilds to induce the leaves. It was found 100 101 that Myzus persicae (Homoptera: Aphididae), a phloem feeder, induced increased levels 102 of volatiles in colonized plants (Pineda et al., 2013), whereas colonized-plants that were 103 damaged by leaf chewing caterpillars of *Mamestra brassicae* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) had reduced levels of HIPVs (Pangesti et al., 2015a). These differences can be 104 explained by the different hormonal pathways that are activated by different plant 105 106 antagonists. Chewing insects and necrotrophic pathogens typically induced the jasmonic 107 acid pathway, whereas phloem-feeding insects and biotrophic pathogens usually upregulate the salicylic acid pathway (Zarate et al. 2006; Thaler et al., 2012; Jacobs et 108 al. 2011; Pieterse et al. 2012). Thus, crosstalk between the two pathways may result in 109 110 their mutual suppression (Zhang et al., 2009; Thaler et al., 2012). This is also a possible explanation for the results found by Ballhorn et al. (2013), who compared volatile 111 112 emissions by rhizobia-colonized lime bean plants after experimental induction with jasmonic acid. Colonized plants produced higher amounts of shikimic acid-derived 113

114 compounds than non-colonized plants, whereas the emission of compounds produced115 via the octadecanoid, mevalonate and non-mevalonate pathways was reduced.

We are aware of only one study that looked at the effects of root-colonizing 116 bacteria on root-produced HIPVs. Santos et al. (2014) found that maize root 117 colonization by Azospirillum brasilense (α-Proteobacteria: Rhodospirillaceae) produced 118 higher amounts of EBC compared to non-colonized maize roots, in this case without 119 120 insect damage. They further found that larvae of the generalist root feeder Diabrotica speciosa (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) oriented preferentially towards non-inoculated 121 122 maize roots versus inoculated roots and gained less weight when feeding on inoculated roots. Interestingly, larvae of the maize specialist D. virgifera virgifera, which were 123 initially studied in the context of inducible  $E\beta C$  (Rasmann et al., 2005), are attracted to 124 125  $E\beta C$  and perform better on already infested root systems (Robert et al., 2012a).

It remains unknown how root-associated bacteria affect the induction of 126 belowground volatiles in response to root herbivory. This prompted the current study in 127 which we studied these effects in maize roots damaged by larvae of another generalist 128 Diabrotica beetle, the banded cucumber beetle Diabrotica balteata Le Conte 129 130 (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). D. balteata larvae induce lesser amounts of  $E\beta C$  in maize roots than D. virgifera larvae, but this still results in some attraction of EPN (Rasmann 131 and Turlings 2008). D. balteata is an important agricultural pest in Central and North 132 133 America (Capinera 2011), attacking a broad spectrum of crops, including cucumber, squash, beet, bean, soybean, pea, sweet potato, okra, maize, lettuce, onion, and various 134 cabbages (Saba, 1970; Chittenden, 1992; Capinera, 2011). It may damage all parts of a 135 plant, but the most serious injury caused by D. balteata is to the roots (Capinera 2011). 136 Enhancing  $E\beta C$  emissions in maize roots damaged by D. balteata might render EPN 137 more effective in finding and killing the larvae of this important generalist root pest. 138

139 This pest is therefore a good model to test the possible effects of plant-beneficial root 140 colonizing bacteria on  $E\beta C$  emissions.

In the present study, we used a chemical as well as a molecular approach to evaluate the effects of maize root colonization by the bacteria *P. chlororaphis* PCL1391 and *P. protegens* CHA0 on the emission of (*E*)- $\beta$ -caryophyllene. Roots were inoculated (or not) by one of the bacteria and infested or not by *D. balteata* larvae. We then collected and analyzed the volatiles emissions from the roots and we measured the expression of the maize *E* $\beta$ C synthase gene (TPS23) (Köllner et al. 2008).

The species P. protegens CHA0 is a root-associated bacterium that not only 147 produces antifungal metabolites, but also an insecticidal protein. This protein is very 148 similar to the potent insect toxin Mcf1 of the entomopathogen Photorhabdus 149 luminescens (y-Proteobacteria: Enterorbacteriaceae) (Péchy-Tarr et al., 2008). P. 150 protegens CHA0 causes insect toxicity in experimental infections of aboveground 151 feeding insect larvae (Péchy-Tarr et al., 2008) and also in feeding assays with artificial 152 diets or leaves treated with the bacteria (Ruffner et al., 2013). It is unknown how these 153 root-associated bacteria affect root feeding insect larvae. We therefore also studied the 154 155 effect of the bacteria on the performance and mortality of *D. balteata* larvae.

Hence, we studied if colonization by *P. protegens* CHA0 or *P. chlororaphis* PCL1391: i) induces a change in the production of  $E\beta C$  after *D. balteata* attack in maize roots, ii) changes the expression of the maize  $E\beta C$  synthase gene TPS23, iii) affects root growth in maize plants, and iv) affects the performance and mortality of *D. balteata* larvae. We discuss our results in terms of the physiological changes that may occur in plants upon *Pseudomonas* colonization and how these changes may influence HIPVs. We further address the possibility of applying the bacteria in combination with EPNs 163 for the effective control of diabroticine beetle larvae in maize and other cropping164 systems.

165

#### 166 Materials and methods

167

#### 168 Soil, plants and insect larvae

169

A substrate containing potting soil (Terreau semis Capito, Landi-Switzerland, pH = 5.8-6.8) and white sand (Migros, Switzerland) in proportion 1:1 was used to grow the plants. The substrate was autoclaved twice at 120 °C for 120 min. Plastic pots (11 cm, height x 4 cm, diameter) were autoclaved once at 120 °C for 120 min before each sowing.

Maize seeds (var. Delprim and var. F268) were surface sterilized by washing them with ethanol 70% for 2 min and sodium hypochlorite 3% for 2 minutes and rinsing them with sterile water. Plants were watered with 20 mL of sterile distilled water every 2-3 days. Plants were grown either in a greenhouse ( $30\pm5$  °C, 8:16 h dark:light photoperiod) in summer or in a phytotron ( $30\pm2$  °C, 8:16 h dark:light photoperiod, 300 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, CLF Plant Climatics, Germany) in winter.

181 Second instar larvae of *D. balteata* were reared from eggs provided by Syngenta 182 (Stein, Switzerland) and they were fed with maize germinate. Larvae were used to infest 183 11 days old maize plants (after a period of 6 days of roots colonization by bacteria), by 184 burying them in small holes in the soil. Each plant was infested with six *D. balteata* 185 larvae.

186

187

#### 188 Bacteria cultures and inoculation

189

The bacteria P. protegens CHA0 and P. chlororaphis PCL1391 (Department of 190 Fundamental Microbiology, University of Lausanne) were cultured in LB agar (Miller, 191 192 Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 100  $\mu$ g/mL of rifampicin ( $\geq$  97% powder, Sigma-Aldrich) for 48 hours in 9 cm diam. Petri dishes at 30 °C. Bacteria were scratched from 193 the plates under sterile conditions and transferred to 100 mL of sterile rifampicin 194 195 supplemented-LB broth. Both species were cultivated independently in an orbital agitator (IKA-KS 4000) at 30 °C and 190 rpm for 16 hours. Bacterial cultures were then 196 centrifuged at 6846 x g for 10 minutes to separate bacterial cells from the liquid culture 197 media. Resulting bacterial cell pellets were diluted again in sterile distilled water. 198 Standard bacteria concentrations (1 x  $10^6$  CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>) were obtained, calibrating the 199 200 inoculum with a spectrophotometer at an optical density of 0.2A at 600 nm.

201 After 4-5 days of sowing, at the shoot emergence stage, plants were selected for 202 the application of different treatments: a) inoculated with P. protegens CHA0, and 203 infested with D. balteata (CHA0+Db), b) inoculated with P. chlororaphis PCL1391, and infested with D. balteata (PCL+Db), c) not inoculated with bacteria, infested with 204 D. balteata (Db), d) control healthy plants (Healthy), e) only inoculated with P. 205 206 protegens CHA0 (CHA0), and f) only inoculated with P. chlororaphis PCL1391 (PCL). 207 Plants treated with root-colonizing bacteria were inoculated with 20 mL of P. protegens CHA0 or P. chlororaphis PCL1391 inoculum prepared as described above. Plants 208 209 infested only with D. balteata and control-healthy were watered with 20 mL of sterile water. Preliminary experiments were performed before, measuring production of  $E\beta C$ 210 211 after 72 hours of insect feeding, with six replicates per treatment (n = 6). Nine replicates (n = 9) per treatment were done in a final time-course experiment. Plants of different 212

treatments were kept separated in different plastic trays to avoid cross-contamination
and kept either in a greenhouse or a phytotron for 6 days during the root colonization
period.

216 Colonization of maize roots with P. protegens CHA0 or P. chlororaphis PCL1391 was verified for a subset of plants of the same batch used for the volatiles and 217 gene expression analysis. For this, roots of inoculated plants were harvested and the soil 218 was gently removed and roots were weighed. Then the roots were suspended in flasks 219 220 with 40 mL of sterile water and the flasks were shaken vigorously for 10 minutes to wash off the bacteria from the roots. Serial dilutions of the washed roots were prepared 221 and plated on rifampicin-LB agar Petri dishes. Plates were incubated at 30 °C and after 222 24 h the numbers of colony-forming units (CFU) were counted and CFU per gram of 223 224 root calculated.

225

#### 226 Volatile extraction and analyses

227

In preliminary experiments, we analyzed volatiles produced by the whole root system after 72 hours of *D. balteata* infestation, whereas in the final time-course experiment, we standardized the amount of ground root sample per vial for volatile analysis. We quantified the amount of  $E\beta C$  produced by roots of maize plants var. Delprim after 6 and 72 hours of insect infestation.

Roots were harvested and washed gently with tap water 6 and 72 hours after insect infestation and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen for grinding. Roots were ground in a frozen mortar with liquid nitrogen. Root volatiles were extracted following the standard procedure by Rasmann (2005): 500 mg of ground root material were weighed and transferred to 10-mL glass vials sealed with a Teflon-coated septum and

stored at -80 °C for analysis. A 100 µm polydimethylsiloxane SPME fiber (Supelco, 238 239 Sigma-Aldrich Chemie SA, Buchs, Switzerland) was inserted through the septum and exposed in the headspace for 60 min at 40 °C. The compounds adsorbed onto the fiber 240 were analyzed with an Agilent 7890a Series GC system coupled to mass-selective 241 detector (Agilent 5975c, transfer line 280 °C, source 230 °C, quadrupole 150 °C, 242 ionization potential 70 eV) (Palo Alto CA, USA). The fiber was inserted into the 243 injector port (250 °C), desorbed and the volatile compounds were separated on a non-244 245 polar column (HP1-MS; 30 m, 0.25 mm internal diameter, 0.25 mm film thickness; J & W Scientific, Agilent Technologies SA, Basel, Switzerland). Helium at a constant flow 246 mode 0f 0.9 mL min<sup>-1</sup> (127.9 kPa) was used as a carrier gas. After fiber insertion, the 247 column temperature was maintained at 50 °C for 3 min, then increased to 180 °C at 5 °C 248 min<sup>-1</sup>, before a final ramp at 8 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to reach 250 °C (hold 3 min). Chromatograms 249 250 processing were carried out with ChemStation software (Agilent Technologies SA, 251 Basel, Switzerland). Relative abundance of the root volatiles was calculated by 252 integrating peaks and values were corrected for sample weight to calculate relative 253 abundance of the volatile per gram of root.

254

#### 255 cDNA synthesis and gene expression analysis

256

Approximately 60 mg of ground root material was used for the analysis of Zm-TPS23 gene expression. RNA from roots was extracted using the Isolate II RNA Plant Kit (Bioline, Germany), and RNA concentration was determined using a Nanodrop (Control Program ND-1000 v.3.3.0., ThermoScientific, Wilmington, DE). cDNA was synthetized using Sunscript RT RNAse H+ (Bioline, Germany). Real-time qPCR was performed in 100-well gene discs reaction plates (Biolabo, Scientific Instruments,

Switzerland) in the Corbett Research real-time qPCR using Zm-TPS23 specific primers 263 (F: GTGGGCCTCTACCTATCCA, R: CTGTGGTGGTGCCGTATTT) and Zm-actin 264 specific CAGTGGTCGAACAACGGGTA, 265 primers (F: R: GGTAAGGTCACGACCAGCAA) as a reference gene (Köllner et al. 2008). The qPCR 266 mix was adjusted to a final volume of 10  $\mu$ L, using RNA-free water, specific primers 267 (either for TPS23 or for actin detection) both forward and reverse (0.05 µM) and SYBR 268 Green (Bioline, Germany) and 1 µL of DNA template. Negative control contained free 269 270 RNAase water instead of DNA template, to verify there is not contamination in the reactions. A qPCR analysis was carried out using the following thermal cycling 271 conditions: a hold at 95 °C for 10 min and 40 cycles, at 95 °C for 10 s and at 60 °C for 272 45 s. Relative expressions of the genes TPS23 and actin for different treatments were 273 obtained using the correction method  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  (Livak and Schmittgen 2001). 274

275

#### 276 Assessment of larvae weight gain and mortality

277

For this evaluation, we used the same set of plants that we used for volatile extraction in the time-course experiment. We weighed *D. balteata* larvae (Mettler Toledo MX5 microbalance) before placing them on the plants and we recorded weight gain of the larvae after 6 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours of feeding. We also recorded the number of dead larvae per treated plant.

283

#### 284 Statistical analysis

285

286 Relative abundance of volatiles per gram of root values ( $E\beta C$ ) were normalized 287 prior statistical analysis by log transformation. We employed a Linear mixed-effects

model, each time-point was analyzed separately. Relative expression of terpene 288 289 synthase gene data was analyzed with a Generalized linear model with a quasi-Poisson distribution. Tukey method was used to compare Least square means in both cases and 290 291 T-test was used to compare differences between time-points. Root growth data was 292 analyzed with One-way ANOVA. Larvae weight gain data were analyzed with Two-Way ANOVA. Mortality data were arcsin transformed and analyzed with Two-way 293 294 ANOVA, differences between means were obtained with the Tukey method in all cases. 295 All data were analyzed using R 3.3.2. (2016). Data is presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM of untransformed values. 296

297

298 **Results** 

# Maize root colonization by Pseudomonas spp. and production of (E)-βcaryophyllene after *Diabrotica balteata* damage

301

The root colonization by *Pseudomonas* spp. was similar for all bacterial treatments (ANOVA,  $F_{3,4} = 1.4$ , P > 0.1) (Table 1). Our preliminary experiments, in which we analyzed the roots from two maize genotypes (var. Delprim and inbred line F268), showed a trend of higher production of *E* $\beta$ C in response to *D. balteata* feeding on *Pseudomonas*-colonized roots as compared to non-colonized roots (72 h post-attack) (Supplementary Fig.1). However, variability within the treatments was high and no significant differences were detected.

The subsequent experiments showed that the production of  $E\beta C$  in maize roots was affected by treatment after 6 hours (F<sub>5,40</sub> = 9.12, P < 0.001) and 72 hours (F<sub>5,7</sub> = 10.9, P < 0.01) of insect feeding (Fig. 1; Supplementary Table 1). After 6 hours, noninoculated roots attacked by the insects produced significantly larger amounts of  $E\beta C$  than control healthy roots (P < 0.01). There was a marginal difference in *E* $\beta$ C quantities between insect-damaged roots colonized by any of the bacteria species and control healthy roots. However, there was no difference between insect-damaged roots colonized by any of the bacteria species and non-colonized roots attacked by the insect (P > 0.1) (Fig.1).

Seventy two hours after D. balteata attack, roots colonized by P. protegens 318 CHA0 produced significantly larger amounts of  $E\beta C$  (P < 0.05) than non-colonized 319 320 roots attacked by the insects whereas roots colonized by P. chlororaphis PCL produced similar (P > 0.1) amounts of  $E\beta C$  than non-colonized roots attacked by D. balteata. 321 Control healthy roots produced the same amounts of  $E\beta C$  (P > 0.1) as undamaged roots 322 colonized by either bacterium (Fig. 1). We found a significant higher production of  $E\beta C$ 323 (P < 0.05) after 72 hours than after 6 hours of insect damaged in roots colonized by P. 324 325 protegens CHA0. For the other treatments, there were no differences between the two 326 time points, neither for insect-damaged plants colonized by P. chlororaphis PCL1391 327 (P > 0.1).

328

Expression of the terpene synthase-TPS23 after *Diabrotica balteata* damage in maize roots colonized by Pseudomonas protegens CHA0 and Pseudomonas chlororaphis PCL1391

The treatments also affected the expression of TPS23 (after 6 hours:  $F_{5,37} = 3.27$ , P < 0.05; after 72 hours:  $F_{5,28} = 18.32$ , P < 0.001). After 6 hours of insect feeding, the expression of the gene was significantly higher in roots colonized by *P. chlororaphis* PCL1391 and attacked by *D. balteata* (P < 0.05), and in non-colonized roots attacked by the insect (P < 0.05), as compared to healthy control roots (Fig. 2; Supplementary Table 2).

After 72 hours of *D. balteata* attack, gene expression in insect-damaged roots 338 colonized by P. protegens CHA0 (P < 0.01) and P. chlororaphis PCL1391 (P < 0.05) 339 was significantly higher than in insect-damaged non-colonized roots. The expression in 340 the latter roots was not different from the expression in control healthy roots (P = 0.1), 341 nor from the expression in undamaged roots colonized by either one of the bacteria 342 species (P > 0.1) (Fig. 2; Supplementary Table 2). As found for the release of EBC (Fig. 343 1), TPS23 expression was significantly higher (P < 0.01) after 72 hours of insect attack 344 345 than after 6 hours in insect-damaged roots colonized by P. protegens CHA0 and P. chlororaphis PCL. In all of the other treatments, the expression was not statistically 346 347 different (P > 0.01) between the two time-points.

348

#### 349 Root colonization does not change roots biomass

We did not find an effect of any of the treatments on root fresh weight (P = 0.09), measured after the 72 hours of *D. balteata* feeding (Fig. 3A). However, there was a trend that biomass of insect-damaged roots was higher for plants colonized by *P. chlororaphis* PCL as compared to the insect-damaged roots grown in presence of *P. protegens* CHA0 or in absence of bacterial inoculants.

355

### 356 Effects of bacterial colonization on the weight gain and mortality of *Diabrotica* 357 *balteata* larvae

Overall, there was no effect of the treatments on larval weight gain ( $F_{2,72} = 1.72$ , P = 0.18), but there was a trend of better weight gain when larvae were feeding on *P*. *protegens* CHA0 colonized roots than when feeding on *P*. *chlororaphis* PCL-colonized roots (Fig. 3b), and this correlates with differences in root biomass (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 2). We measured an overall increase in weight over time ( $F_{2,72} =$  363 8.59, P < 0.001) (Fig. 3b), but no significant interaction between time and treatment 364 (F<sub>4,72</sub> = 0.72, P = 0.57). Within each treatment, weight over time varied only significant 365 for larvae that had fed on roots colonized by *P. protegens* CHA0.

In a preliminary experiment with maize plants var. F268, we found a similar pattern of weight gain for *D. balteata* feeding on roots colonized by *P. protegens* CHA0, *P. chlororaphis* PCL1391 and non-colonized roots (Supplementary Fig. 3). In this experiment, we detected a significant effect of time ( $F_{4,123} = 10.85$ , P < 0.01), but no obvious effect of the treatment ( $F_{2,123} = 1.11$ , P > 0.1), nor an interaction between time and treatment ( $F_{5,123} = 0.26$ , P > 0.1).

For the main experiment, we also found an effect of time on the mortality of *D*. *balteata* larvae ( $F_{2,72} = 21.76$ , P < 0.001), but no effect of the treatment ( $F_{2,72} = 2.03$ , P > 0.1), nor an interaction between time and treatment ( $F_{4,72} = 0.98$ , P > 0.1) (Fig. 3C).

375

#### 376 **Discussion**

377

We found quantitative but no qualitative differences in the volatile profiles for 378 the different treatments. Maize roots colonized by P. protegens CHA0 and P. 379 380 chlororaphis PCL1391 bacteria without insect infestation produced only minor quantities of the root volatile  $E\beta C$  (Fig.1 and Supplementary Fig.1.), but colonization by 381 P. protegens CHA0 significantly enhanced the production of the sesquiterpene in maize 382 after 72 hours of D. balteata feeding. To our knowledge, ours is the first study that 383 evaluates how root-associated bacteria affect the emissions of a belowground HIPV 384 385 upon root herbivory. Yet, Santos et al. (2014), using the same maize variety (Delprim), showed that the plant-beneficial bacterium Azospirillum brasilense affects EBC 386

emissions in plants without insect damage. They found that colonized roots released
more *E*βC and repelled larvae of *Diabrotica speciosa*.

Other studies on how root-associated bacteria affect volatile emissions have 389 390 focused on volatiles released from aboveground plant parts, and show contrasting results. Root colonization by pseudomonads can decrease (Pangesti et al. 2015a) or 391 increase (Pineda et al., 2013) aboveground HIPVs. Arabidopsis thaliana plants 392 colonized by Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS417r and subsequently attacked by 393 394 Mamestra brassicae caterpillars, produced lower amounts of methyl salicylate, lilial and the terpene (E)- $\alpha$ -bergamotene in comparison with non-colonized plants infested with 395 396 caterpillars (Pangesti et al. 2015a). In contrast, Pineda et al. (2013) showed with the same plant-bacteria system, but using the aphid Myzus persicae as herbivore, that the 397 aphid-induced production of eight leaf volatiles (2-nonenal, isovaleric acid, dimethyl 398 399 sulfoxide, 2-cyclopente-1-one, (R)-verbenone, (E)-2-heptanal, 1-pentanol and 5,5 400 dimethyl-2(5H)-furanone) was enhanced in soil bacteria-colonized plants compared 401 with non-colonized plants. Some other volatiles were produced in high quantities in 402 plants colonized by P. fluorescens even without insect damage in the same study. Hence, effects of root colonizing bacteria on inducible volatiles appear to vary strongly, 403 404 depending on the plants species, root-associated bacteria and on the insect herbivores.

Our findings on *E*βC emissions correlate nicely with the results for the
expression of the terpene synthase gene Zm-TPS23. In roots colonized by *P. protegens*CHA0 and *P. chlororaphis* PCL1391, the expression was enhanced after 72 hours of *D. balteata* infestation in comparison with non-colonized roots attacked by the insect (Fig.
Interestingly, we also found a higher expression of the gene TPS23 in undamaged
roots colonized by *P. chlororaphis* PCL1391 than in control healthy roots at the second
time-point (after 72 hours). This is again different from Pangesti et al. (2015a), who

412 reported a negative effect of *P. fluorescens* colonization on the expression of the terpene 413 synthases TPS03 and TPS04 in *Arabidopsis* upon insect leaf herbivory. These 414 contrasting results confirm, as mentioned above, that the effects of root-associated 415 bacteria on volatile emissions may vary depending on the system under study.

Inducible plant defenses, including volatile emissions, are mediated by wound-416 induced jasmonic acid (JA), which is derived from the lipoxygenase (LOX) pathway 417 (Turner et al. 2002; Schmelz et al. 2003; Maffei et al. 2011; Dudareva et al, 2013). 418 419 Previous studies found that Pseudomonas colonization of A. thaliana plants promotes the expression of the gene LOX2 (Pineda et al. 2012) and JA-responsive genes (Oosten 420 et al. 2008), and results in stronger JA-signaling (Pangesti et al., 2015b) after insect 421 attack. We also know that the gene Zm-TPS23 is locally and systemically induced in 422 maize roots in response to feeding by D. virgifera. This appears to be triggered by local 423 424 induction of jasmonic acid (JA) and its isoleucine conjugate (JA-Ile) after 30 minutes, resulting in an exponentially increasing production of  $E\beta C$  over 48 hours of feeding 425 426 (Erb 2009; Hiltpold et al. 2011). Taking all together, we can hypothesize that 427 belowground enhanced production of  $E\beta C$  in maize roots colonized by P. protegens CHA0 and P. chlororaphis PCL1391 might be mediated by increased JA-signaling. 428

Pangesti et al. (2015b) point out that differences in soil composition may explain some of the variable outcomes of plant-mediated effects of root-associated microbes on volatile signals and insect performance. It remains to be investigated if the effects of *P*. *protegens* CHA0 and *P. chlororaphis* PCL-1391 on the enhanced production of the root sesquiterne  $E\beta C$  are consistent in different types of soils. We previously showed the importance of studying the dynamics of  $E\beta C$  production and diffusion under different soil conditions (Chiriboga M. et al. 2017).

It has also been proposed that the effect of root-associated microbes on insect 436 herbivores is different for specialist and generalist herbivores and for insects with 437 different modes of feeding. Pineda et al. (2010) expect a negative effect on generalist 438 439 chewing insects and mesophyll feeders, and positive or neutral on specialist chewing insects and phloem feeders. The effects on herbivore performance are directly related to 440 the activation of defensive responses in the plant, including the production of HIPVs. It 441 442 is pertinent to investigate what additional volatiles are produced upon root-colonization 443 by bacteria, also by the bacteria themselves (D'Alessandro et al., 2014), and how these affect the interactions with other soil organisms. 444

445 We did not measure a clear effect of any treatment on root biomass (Fig. 3A), but there was a trend of lower biomass for insect-damaged roots that were colonized by 446 P. protegens CHA0 compared to insect-damaged roots colonized by P. chlororaphis 447 448 PCL (Fig. 3A). The poorer performance of the larvae on PLC-colonized plants may have contributed to this trend (Fig. 3B and Supplementary Fig 2.). Indeed, D. balteata 449 450 larvae feeding on maize roots colonized by P. protegens CHA0 tended to gain more 451 weight than larvae feeding in roots colonized by P. chlororaphis PCL1391 after 72 hours of feeding. Possibly, the increased emissions of  $E\beta C$  in roots colonized by P. 452 protegens CHA0 stimulated feeding and/or benefitted D. balteata weight gain. This has 453 been shown for larvae of the maize specialist D. virgifera, which are attracted to EBC 454 (Robert et al. 2012a) and perform better on already infested roots (Robert et al. 2012b). 455 In contrast, larvae of the generalist D. speciosa larvae gained less weight on and are less 456 457 attracted to roots that produce increased amounts of  $E\beta C$  (Santos et al. 2014).

It is further possible that the differences in weight gain on roots with different treatments were due to differences in nutritional quality and/or biomass of the roots. Mutualistic microorganisms are known to influence plant tolerance to herbivory 461 (Strauss and Agrawal 1999). *Diabrotica* feeding also triggers tolerance responses,
462 including regrowth of roots and resource reallocation in maize (Erb, 2009) and it would
463 be worthwhile to determine if PCL1391-colonization has an effect on these responses.

464 There were no significant differences in mortality among different treatments (Fig. 3C), but there was a trend for higher mortality in larvae feeding 72 h on P. 465 chlororaphis PCL-treated plants. If we had let the larvae feed longer this might have 466 resulted in clearer effects, as pathogenicity of *Pseudomonas* bacteria can be rather a 467 468 long process that involves several steps: bacteria ingestion, release of the toxin, toxin binding, breaking of the gut wall and insect death (Kupferschmied et al. 2013, Keel 469 2016). The observed enhanced signaling ability and possible higher larval mortality on 470 Pseudomonas-colonized roots imply that the application of the bacteria in combination 471 with EPNs might be a highly effective strategy for the control of root herbivores in 472 473 maize production. This compatibility was confirmed in a field study, in which two 474 species of Pseudomonas in combination with the EPN Heterorhabitis bacteriophora 475 were found to be best in enhancing wheat plant performance (Imperiali et al., under 476 review). How the application of such combinations plays out against Diabrotica pest under realistic field condition remains to be determined. 477

478

#### 479 **Conclusions**

480

Colonization of maize roots by *P. protegens* CHA0 was found to enhance the emission of  $E\beta C$  after 72 h of feeding by *D. balteata* larvae. Consistent with this enhanced emission of the EPN attractant, we found a higher expression of the terpene synthase gene Zm-TPS23 after 72 h of insect infestation in colonized roots. The gene expression data revealed a positive effect of both *Pseudomonas* strains. Undamaged roots colonized by *P. protegens* CHA0 and *P. chlororaphis* PCL1391 also had a slightly enhanced expression of the terpene synthase gene. The mechanisms that are involved in this enhanced production of  $E\beta C$  are still unclear. The same is true for the observed differences in larval growth and mortality on roots of the different treatments. Yet, it is evident from this study that the application of beneficial *Pseudomonad* bacteria and EPN is compatible and may be a highly complementary strategy for the control of soil pests and to enhance crop performance.

493

#### 494 Acknowledgements

We thank Jean-Marc Freyermuth for statistical advice, Geofrey Jaffuel and Allan 495 496 Kergunteuil for fruitful discussions, and Angela Köhler and Pamela Bruno for technical assistance. This study was supported by the NRP68 program "Sustainable use of soil as 497 498 a resource" (projects n° 406840\_161904 and 406840\_143141) from the Swiss National Science Foundation awarded to TCJT, CK, and MM. XCM was funded by an 499 500 Excellence Scholarship of the Swiss Confederation, HG was supported with a Post-Doc 501 Grant from the Chinese Academy of Sciences and RC-H was supported with an 502 Investigator Program Award (IF/00552/2014) from the government of Portugal.

503

#### 504 **REFERENCES**

Ali JG, Alborn HT, Stelinski LL (2010) Subterranean herbivore-induced volatiles
released by citrus roots upon feeding by *Diaprepes abbreviatus* recruit
entomopathogenic nematodes. J Chem Ecol 36:361–368. doi: 10.1007/s10886010-9773-7

Ali JG, Alborn HT, Stelinski LL (2011) Constitutive and induced subterranean plant
volatiles attract both entomopathogenic and plant parasitic nematodes. J Ecol

- 511 99:26–35. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2010.01758.x
- 512 Ballhorn DJ, Kautz S, Schädler M (2013) Induced plant defense via volatile production
- 513 is dependent on rhizobial symbiosis. Oecologia 172:833–846. doi:
  514 10.1007/s00442-012-2539-x
- Boff MIC, Van Tol RWHM, Smits PH (2002) Behavioural response of *Heterorhabditis megidis* (strain NLH-E87.3) towards plant roots and insect larvae. BioControl 47,
   67-83
- Brooks DM, Bender CL, Kunkel BN (2005) The *Pseudomonas syringae* phytotoxin
  coronatine promotes virulence by overcoming salicylic acid-dependent defences in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol Plant Pathol 6:629–639. doi: 10.1111/j.13643703.2005.00311.x
- Brussaard L (1998) Soil fauna, guilds, functional groups and ecosystem processes. Appl
  Soil Ecol 9:123–135. doi: 10.1016/S0929-1393(98)00066-3
- 524 Capinera JL (2011) Banded Cucumber Beetle, *Diabrotica balteata* LeConte (Insecta :
  525 Coleoptera : Chrysomelidae ) 1. Inst Food Agric 93:1–3.
- 526 Chiriboga M. X, Campos-Herrera R, Jaffuel G, et al (2017) Diffusion of the maize root
  527 signal (E)-β-caryophyllene in soils of different textures and the effects on the
  528 migration of the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditis megidis*.
  529 Rhizosphere 3:53–59. doi: 10.1016/j.rhisph.2016.12.006
- Degenhardt J, Hiltpold I, Kollner TG, et al (2009) Restoring a maize root signal that
  attracts insect-killing nematodes to control a major pest. Proc Natl Acad Sci
  106:17606–17606. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0909073106
- Hiltpold I, Erb M, Robert C a M, Turlings TCJ (2011) Systemic root signalling in a
  belowground, volatile-mediated tritrophic interaction. Plant Cell Environ 34:1267–
- 535 75. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02327.x

Jacobs S, Zechmann B, Molitor A, et al (2011) Broad-spectrum suppression of innate
immunity is required for colonization of *Arabidopsis* roots by the fungus *Piriformospora indica*. Plant Physiol 156:726–740. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.176446

- Keel C (2016) A look into the toolbox of multi-talents: insect pathogenicity
  determinants of plant-beneficial pseudomonads. Environ Microbiol 18:3207–3209.
- 541 doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.13462
- Köllner TG, Held M, Lenk C, et al (2008) A maize (E)-β-Caryophyllene synthase
  implicated in indirect defense responses against herbivores is not expressed in most
  American maize varieties. Plant Cell Online 20:482–494. doi:
  10.1105/tpc.107.051672
- Kupferschmied P, Maurhofer M, Keel C (2013) Promise for plant pest control: rootassociated pseudomonads with insecticidal activities. Front Plant Sci 4:287. doi:
  10.3389/fpls.2013.00287
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using realtime quantitative PCR and. Methods 25:402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262
- Lugtenberg B, Kamilova F (2009) Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Annu Rev
   Microbiol 63:541–556. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307.162918
- Pangesti N, Pineda A, Dicke M, van Loon JJA (2015a) Variation in plant-mediated
  interactions between rhizobacteria and caterpillars: potential role of soil
  composition. Plant Biol 17:474–483. doi: 10.1111/plb.12265
- Pangesti N, Weldegergis BT, Langendorf B, et al (2015b) Rhizobacterial colonization
  of roots modulates plant volatile emission and enhances the attraction of a
  parasitoid wasp to host-infested plants. Oecologia 178:1169–1180. doi:
  10.1007/s00442-015-3277-7
- 560 Pieterse CMJ, Van der Does D, Zamioudis C, et al (2012) Hormonal modulation of

- plant immunity. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 28:489–521. doi: 10.1146/annurevcellbio-092910-154055
- Pineda A, Soler R, Weldegergis BT, et al (2013) Non-pathogenic rhizobacteria interfere
  with the attraction of parasitoids to aphid-induced plant volatiles via jasmonic acid
  signalling. Plant, Cell Environ 36:393–404. doi: 10.1111/j.13653040.2012.02581.x
- 567 Pineda A, Zheng SJ, van Loon JJA, Dicke M (2012) Rhizobacteria modify plant-aphid
  568 interactions: a case of induced systemic susceptibility. Plant Biol 14:83–90. doi:
  569 10.1111/j.1438-8677.2011.00549.x
- Rasmann S, Köllner TG, Degenhardt J, et al (2005) Recruitment of entomopathogenic
  nematodes by insect-damaged maize roots. Nature 434:732–7. doi:
  10.1038/nature03451
- Rasmann S, Turlings TCJ (2008) First insights into specificity of belowground
  tritrophic interactions. Oikos 117:362–369. doi: 10.1111/j.2007.00301299.16204.x
- Ruffner B, Péchy-Tarr M, Ryffel F, et al (2013) Oral insecticidal activity of plantassociated pseudomonads. Environ Microbiol 15:751–763. doi: 10.1111/j.14622920.2012.02884.x
- Saba F (1970) Host plant spectrum and temperature limitations of *Diabrotica balteata*.
  Can Entomol 102:684–691. doi: 10.4039/Ent102684-6
- 581 Santos F, Peñaflor MFG V, Paré PW, et al (2014) A novel interaction between plant-
- 582 beneficial rhizobacteria and roots: Colonization induces corn resistance against the
- root herbivore diabrotica speciosa. PLoS One. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0113280
- 584 Schmelz E a, Alborn HT, Banchio E, Tumlinson JH (2003) Quantitative relationships
- 585 between induced jasmonic acid levels and volatile emission in Zea mays during

- 586 *Spodoptera exigua* herbivory.Planta 216:665–673.doi: 10.1007/s00425-002-0898-y
- 587 Strauss S, Agrawal A (1999) The ecology and evolution of plant tolerance to herbivory.

588 Trends Ecol Evol 14:179–185. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(98)01576-6

- Tonelli M, Peñaflor MFGV, Leite LG, et al (2016) Attraction of entomopathogenic
  nematodes to sugarcane root volatiles under herbivory by a sap-sucking insect.
  Chemoecology 26:59–66. doi: 10.1007/s00049-016-0207-z
- Van Oosten VR, Bodenhausen N, Reymond P, et al (2008) Differential effectiveness of
  microbially induced resistance against herbivorous insects in *Arabidopsis*. Mol
  Plant-Microbe Interact 21:919–930. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-21-7-0919
- Zarate SI, Kempema LA, Walling LL (2006) Silverleaf whitefly induces salicylic acid
   defenses and suppresses effectual jasmonic acid defenses. Plant Physiol 143:866–
- 597 75. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.090035
- Zhang P-J, Zheng S-J, van Loon JJA, et al (2015) Whiteflies interfere with indirect
  plant defense against spider mites in Lima bean. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
  106:21202–21207. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0907890106
- 601

602

#### 603 **Figure Legends**

604 Fig. 1 Relative abundance of  $E\beta C$  (mean ± SE) released by maize roots var. Delprim after different treatments: inoculated with P. protegens CHA0 and 605 606 infested with D. balteata (CHA0+Db), inoculated with P. chlororaphis PCL1391 and infested with *D.balteata* (PCL+Db), infested with *D. balteata* (Db), control 607 healthy plants, inoculated with P. protegens CHA0 (CHA0), and inoculated with 608 P. chlororaphis PCL1391 (PCL), (N=9). Lower case letters indicate significant 609 differences between treatments after 6 hours of feeding. Capital letters indicate 610 significant differences between treatments after 72 hours of feeding. Stars 611 612 indicate significant differences between times. N.S. indicate not significant differences between times. 613

614

615 Fig. 2 Relative expression (calculated in relation to actin relative expression) of the terpene synthase gene Zm-TPS23 (mean  $\pm$  SE) in maize roots var. Delprim 616 after treatments: inoculated with P. protegens CHA0 and infested with D. 617 618 balteata (CHA0+Db), inoculated with P. chlororaphis PCL1391 and infested with D. balteata (PCL+Db), infested with D. balteata (Db), control healthy plants, 619 inoculated with P. protegens CHA0 (CHA0), and inoculated with P. chlororaphis 620 PCL1391 (PCL), (N=9). Lower case letters indicate significant differences 621 between treatments after 6 hours of feeding. Capital letters indicate significant 622 623 differences between treatments after 72 hours of feeding. Stars indicate significant differences between times. N.S. indicate not significant differences 624 625 between times.

626

627 Fig. 3a Root fresh weight (mean ± SE) of 14-days-old maize plants var. 628 Delprim: inoculated with P. protegens CHA0 and infested with D. balteata (CHA0+Db), inoculated with P. chlororaphis PCL1391 and infested with D. 629 balteata (PCL+Db), infested with D. balteata (Db), control healthy plants, 630 inoculated with P. protegens CHA0 (CHA0), and inoculated with P. chlororaphis 631 PCL1391 (PCL), (N=12) b Weight gain (percentage, mean ± SE) of D. balteata 632 larvae after 6 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours of feeding on maize roots var. 633 Delprim with different treatments, (N=9) c Percentage of mortality of D. balteata 634 larvae after 6 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours of feeding on roots with different 635 treatments, (N=9). Different letters show significant differences between 636 treatments. N.S. not significant differences. 637













Table 1. Quantification of root colonization by P. protegens CHA0 and P. chloraphi		
PCL1391 in different treatments		
Treatment	C F II / g of root (+SFM)	

Treatment	C.F.U. / g of root (±SEM)
P. protegens CHA0 + D.balteata	5.7 x 10 <sup>7</sup> ±0.20 a
P. chloraphis PCL + D.balteata	$1.3 \ge 10^8 \pm 0.07 a$
P. protegens CHA0	$2.4 \ge 10^8 \pm 1.70 a$
P. chloraphis PCL	$3.5 \ge 10^7 \pm 0.65 a$
Control healthy	0