

## *Staphylococcus* Efflux *msr(A)* Gene Characterized in *Streptococcus*, *Enterococcus*, *Corynebacterium*, and *Pseudomonas* Isolates†

Kayode K. Ojo,<sup>1</sup> Megan J. Striplin,<sup>1</sup> Catherine C. Ulep,<sup>1</sup> Natasha S. Close,<sup>1</sup> Jennifer Zittle,<sup>1</sup> Henrique Luis,<sup>2</sup> Mario Bernardo,<sup>2</sup> Jorge Leitao,<sup>2</sup> and Marilyn C. Roberts<sup>1\*</sup>

Department of Pathobiology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195,<sup>1</sup> and University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal<sup>2</sup>

Received 17 August 2005/Returned for modification 23 November 2005/Accepted 12 December 2005

**The staphylococcal *msr(A)* gene, coding for a macrolide efflux protein, was identified in three new gram-positive genera and one gram-negative genus. These *msr(A)* genes shared 99 to 100% identity with each other and the staphylococcal gene. This study demonstrates that the *msr(A)* gene has a wider host range than previously reported.**

Macrolide resistance is typically due to the acquisition of genes coding for rRNA methylases, macrolide efflux proteins, and/or inactivation enzymes (10, 12, 15) (<http://faculty.washington.edu/marilynr/>). The use of macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin (MLS) antibiotics has increased over the last 20 years and has been correlated with an increase in bacterial resistance to macrolides, due primarily to acquisition of a new gene(s), usually on mobile elements (12, 15). Sixty-four different acquired MLS resistance genes have been identified, with 14 of these genes found in more than two genera and 50 identified in one or two genera. The *msr(A)* gene codes for an ATP transporter that transports erythromycin and streptogramin B from the cell using energy from ATP hydrolysis and has been identified only in *Staphylococcus* spp. (9, 12–15).

Recently another gene, *msr(D)*, with similarities to the *msr(A)* gene has been found downstream of another macrolide efflux gene, *mef(A)*, and both genes have a wide host range encompassing both gram-negative and gram-positive genera (1, 7, 10) (<http://faculty.washington.edu/marilynr/>). Therefore, we hypothesized that the *msr(A)* gene may also have a wider host range. To test this hypothesis, 1,125 *Streptococcus* spp., 226 *Staphylococcus* spp., 193 *Enterococcus* spp., and 100 gram-negative isolates from oral samples, as well as 566 *Staphylococcus* spp., 160 *Enterococcus* spp., and 100 gram-negative isolates from urine samples (3, 7, 8), were screened using DNA-DNA hybridization (4, 7). The isolates were randomly selected commensal bacteria collected between 1997 and 2004 from healthy children in Lisbon, Portugal, in a randomized study aimed at assessing the safety of low-level mercury exposure from dental amalgam restorations (3, 7, 8). The isolates were previously identified using biochemical methods (3, 5). We also included 17 macrolide-resistant (*Em*<sup>r</sup>) *Corynebacterium* spp. isolated from skin cultures in 1997 from patients attending an acne clinic at the University of Leeds, United Kingdom (2).

Forty-two *Staphylococcus* spp., 2 *Staphylococcus aureus* spp., 5 *Enterococcus* spp., 10 *Streptococcus* spp., 7 *Pseudomonas* spp., and 1 *Corynebacterium* sp. were positive for the *msr(A)* gene.

All the positive *Enterococcus* spp., *Streptococcus* spp., *Corynebacterium* sp., *Pseudomonas* spp., and a selected number of the *Staphylococcus* spp. were used as templates in an *msr(A)* PCR assay with *S. aureus* RN4220 carrying a cloned *msr(A)* gene as the positive control (Table 1) (13, 14). All of the isolates tested produced PCR products of the correct size which hybridized with an internal probe. Thus, the *msr(A)*-positive staphylococci represented 5.6% of the isolates, the one *msr(A)*-positive *Corynebacterium* sp. represented 5.9% of the small number of *Em*<sup>r</sup> *Corynebacterium* spp., and the *msr(A)*-positive *Enterococcus* spp. and *Streptococcus* spp. represented 1.7% and 0.9% of the isolates, respectively. We also identified 7 (10%) *msr(A)*-positive *Pseudomonas* spp., including 2 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* spp., out of 69 urine isolates examined. A similar number of oral *Pseudomonas* spp. from the same population were also screened, but none were positive for the *msr(A)* gene.

We had previously examined these isolates for other MLS genes (2, 3, 7) and found that 57% of the *Staphylococcus* spp. carried at least one *erm* gene, which codes for an rRNA methylase enzyme that confers resistance to macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramin B (2, 3, 12, 15), and that all of the other *msr(A)*-positive isolates carried at least one *erm* gene and/or *mef(A)* genes. The seven *Pseudomonas* spp. carried from one to five other MLS genes (Table 2).

To determine whether these genes were closely related to the *Staphylococcus msr(A)* genes, one *msr(A)*-positive isolate from each of the four genera, *Corynebacterium*, *Enterococcus*, *Streptococcus*, and *Pseudomonas*, was selected, and the complete structural *msr(A)* gene was sequenced using PCR assays and primers indicated in Table 1. The PCR products were confirmed using an internal <sup>32</sup>P-labeled probe, cloned into the pCRT7/NT-TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and transformed into *Escherichia coli* TOP10 following the manufacturer's instructions. All sequencing was carried out at the University of Washington, Department of Biochemistry Sequencing Facility, as previously described (7, 8). The *msr(A)* genes from the *Corynebacterium* sp. (AY591760), *Enterococcus* sp. (DQ068449), and *Streptococcus* sp. (DQ131177) were indistinguishable at the DNA and amino acid levels from each other and the *Staphylococcus msr(A)* gene (GenBank accession no. AB016613). The *Pseudomonas msr(A)* gene (DQ068450) shared 99% identity at the DNA and amino acid

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Pathobiology, Box 357238, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-7238. Phone: (206) 543-8001. Fax: (206) 543-3873. E-mail: marilynrc@u.washington.edu.

† Supplemental material for this article may be found at <http://aac.asm.org/>.

TABLE 1. Primers used for various PCR assays and expected size of the PCR product<sup>a</sup>

Region(s)	PCR assay primer	Sequence (5' to 3')	Size (bp)
<i>msr(A)</i>	<i>msrA</i> -Int <i>msrA</i> -R <sub>2</sub>	GCG CTC GTA GGT GCA AAT GGT GAT CGG TTA TGG TAC TAT TGT TA	563
Internal primer	<i>msrA</i> -Int 2	GAA GAC ATG CGT GAC GTT TC	
Upstream	<i>msrA</i> -F <sub>3</sub> <i>msrAF</i> -REV	GAT CTT TGT ACT TAG AGA TAT TTA AAC ACT CTT ATT GTG CC	887
Upstream and <i>msr(A)</i>	<i>msrA</i> -F <sub>3</sub> <i>msrA</i> -Int REV	GAT CTT TGT ACT TAG AGA TAT ACC ATT TGC ACC TAC GAG CGC	1,344
Internal primer	<i>msrA</i> -F <sub>2</sub>	GAC AGA TTT ACG ATC ACT TAA CAT	
<i>msr(A)</i>	<i>msrA</i> -F <i>msrA</i> -R	GGC ACA ATA AGA GTG TTT AAA GG AAG TTA TAT CAT GAA TAG ATT GTC CTG TT	939
Internal primer	<i>msrA</i> -Int	GCG CTC GTA GGT GCA AAT GGT	

<sup>a</sup> All PCR assays used 2 U of *Taq* polymerase (Perkin-Elmer Cetus, Norwalk, Conn.), 200 μM (each) deoxynucleotide triphosphates, 1× PCR buffer (1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>), 100 ng of each primer, *msrA*-Int and *msrA*-R<sub>2</sub>, and 200 to 400 ng of whole DNA as the template. The PCR assay had an initial denaturation at 96°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 96°C for 30 s, annealing at 40°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 2 min, with a final extension step of 72°C for 10 min. Internal primers were used for DNA-DNA hybridization with the PCR products to verify that the sequences expected were present. All primers are from the current study.

level with this *Staphylococcus msr(A)* gene and had two amino acid changes at positions 59 and 430 (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). The variability found in the *Pseudomonas msr(A)* gene is within the range (98 to 100%) found among different *Staphylococcus msr(A)* genes (<http://faculty.washington.edu/marilynr/>).

The upstream region of the *msr(A)* genes in staphylococci is thought to be involved with regulation. Therefore, it was of interest to determine whether this upstream region was also present upstream of the *Pseudomonas msr(A)* structural gene. A PCR assay, consisting of the primer *msrA*-F<sub>3</sub> located 328 bp upstream of the ribosome binding site and the primer *msrAF*-REV located 544 bp downstream from the start codon of the *msr(A)* structural gene, was used to generate the sequences upstream of the start codon of the *Pseudomonas msr(A)* gene, which were indistinguishable from the upstream sequences of the *Staphylococcus msr(A)* gene (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). The

upstream and complete *msr(A)* gene sequences are at GenBank, accession no. DQ068450.

A second *Pseudomonas* sp. *msr(A)* gene has now been partially sequenced and has the same high level of homology with the *Staphylococcus msr(A)* gene as the first gene sequence. We have also recently verified the presence of an *msr(A)* gene in an *Enterobacter* isolate from this same collection, suggesting that other gram-negative genera may also carry this gene. The *Enterobacter* isolate has an erythromycin MIC of 128 μg/ml using standard CLSI (formerly NCCLS) methods (6) and does not carry any of the other known gram-negative MLS resistance genes (7).

There have been 64 different acquired MLS genes identified in bacteria; however, only 17 (27%) of these genes are found in more than a single genus (<http://faculty.washington.edu/marilynr/>). As illustrated in this and other studies (3, 7, 10, 11), if MLS genes are screened in new genera, they are often found. Thus, screening for the presence of the *msr(A)* gene should be considered when examining the distributions of MLS genes in either gram-positive or gram-negative isolates.

This study was supported by grant U01 DE-1189 and contract N01 DE-72623 from the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research of the National Institutes of Health.

## REFERENCES

- Daly, M., S. Doktor, R. Flamm, and V. Shortridge. 2004. Characterization and prevalence of MefA, MefE, and the associated *msr(D)* in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* clinical isolates. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **42**:3570–3574.
- Luna, V. A., P. Coates, E. A. Eady, J. Cove, T. T. H. Nguyen, and M. C. Roberts. 1999. A variety of gram-positive bacteria carry mobile *mef* genes. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **44**:19–25.
- Luna, V. A., M. Heiken, K. Judge, C. Uelp, N. Van Kirk, H. Luis, M. Bernardo, J. Leitao, and M. C. Roberts. 2002. Distribution of the *mef(A)* gene in gram-positive bacteria from healthy Portuguese children. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **46**:2513–2517.
- Miranda, C. D., C. Kehrenberg, C. Ulep, S. Schwarz, and M. C. Roberts. 2003. Diversity of tetracycline resistance genes in bacteria from Chilean salmon farms. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **47**:883–888.
- Murray, P. R., E. J. Baron, J. H. Jorgensen, M. A. Pfaller, and R. H. Tenover. 2003. *Manual of clinical microbiology*, 8th ed. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.

TABLE 2. Identifications of the *msr(A)* gene by DNA-DNA hybridization

Organism(s)	No. of isolates with <i>msr(A)</i> gene			No. of isolates with other MLS gene(s) (%)	Other MLS gene(s) present <sup>a</sup>
	Skin	Oral	Urine		
Gram-positive					
<i>Corynebacterium</i> sp.	1	0	0	1	<i>erm</i>
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	0	5	1	6	<i>erm</i> , <i>mef(A)</i>
<i>Streptococcus</i> spp.	0	10	0	10	<i>erm</i> , <i>mef(A)</i>
<i>Staphylococcus</i> spp.	0	8	34	24	<i>erm</i>
<i>S. aureus</i>	0	2	0	1	<i>erm</i>
Gram-negative					
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	0	0	7	7	<i>erm</i> , <i>ere(A)</i> , <i>ere(B)</i> , <i>mph(A)</i> , <i>mph(B)</i> , <i>mph(C)</i>
All	1	25	42	49 (72)	

<sup>a</sup> Multiple different *erm* genes were found. The *erm* and *mef(A)* genes were previously identified in the gram-positive isolates (2, 3) while the *ere(A)*, *ere(B)*, *mph(A)*, *mph(B)*, and *mph(C)* genes were previously identified in a variety of gram-negative isolates (7).

6. **National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards.** 2003. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically. Approved standard M7-A6. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa.
7. **Ojo, K. K., C. Ulep, N. Van Kirk, H. Luis, M. Bernardo, J. Leitao, and M. C. Roberts.** 2004. The *mef(A)* gene predominates among seven macrolide resistance genes identified in gram-negative strains representing 13 genera, isolated from healthy Portuguese children. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **48**:3451–3456.
8. **Ojo, K. K., D. Tung, H. Luis, M. Bernardo, J. Leitao, and M. C. Roberts.** 2004. Gram-positive *merA* gene in gram-negative oral and urine bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **238**:411–416.
9. **Reynolds, E., J. I. Ross, and J. H. Cove.** 2003. *msr(A)* and related macrolide/streptogramin resistance determinants: incomplete transporters? *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **22**:228–236.
10. **Roberts, M. C.** 2004. Distribution of macrolide, lincosamide, streptogramin, ketolide and oxazolidinone (MLSKO) resistance genes in gram-negative bacteria. *Curr. Drug Targets Infect. Disord.* **4**:207–215.
11. **Roberts, M. C., W. O. Chung, D. Roe, M. Xia, C. Marquez, G. Borthagaray, W. L. Whittington, and K. K. Holmes.** 1999. Erythromycin-resistant *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and oral commensal *Neisseria* spp. carry known rRNA methylase genes. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **43**:1367–1372.
12. **Roberts, M. C., J. Sutcliffe, P. Courvalin, L. B. Jensen, J. Rood, and H. Seppala.** 1999. Nomenclature for macrolide and macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B resistance determinants. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **43**:2823–2830.
13. **Ross, J. I., E. A. Eady, J. H. Cove, W. J. Cunliffe, S. Baumberg, and J. C. Wootton.** 1990. Inducible erythromycin resistance in staphylococci is encoded by a member of the ATP-binding transport super-gene family. *Mol. Microbiol.* **4**:1207–1214.
14. **Ross, J. I., A. M. Farrell, E. A. Eady, J. H. Cove, and W. J. Cunliffe.** 1989. Characterization and molecular cloning of the novel macrolide-streptogramin B resistance determinant from *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **24**:851–862.
15. **Sutcliffe, J. A., and R. Leclercq.** 2003. Mechanisms of resistance to macrolides, lincosamides and ketolides, p. 281–317. *In* W. Schonfeld and H. A. Kirst (ed.), *Macrolide antibiotics*. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, Switzerland.