

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Esmailshirazifard, Elham, Dariush, Aliakbar, Moschos, Sterghios and Keshavarz, Tajalli (2018) A novel antifungal property for the Bacillus licheniformis ComX pheromone and its possible role in inter-kingdom cross-talk. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 102 (12). pp. 5197-5208. ISSN 0175-7598

Published by: Springer

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9004-7> <<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9004-7>>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:
<http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/34749/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

www.northumbria.ac.uk/nrl



1 **A novel antifungal property for the *Bacillus licheniformis* ComX**
2 **pheromone and its possible role in inter-kingdom cross-talk**

3 Elham Esmailshirazifard^{1*}, Aliakbar Dariush^{2,3}, Sterghios A. Moschos^{4,5} and Tajalli
4 Keshavarz¹

5 *Corresponding author, Email address: a.elhamshirazy@gmail.com

6 ¹Department of Life Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Westminster, London, UK.

7 ²Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

8 ³Cancer Research UK Cambridge Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

9 ⁴Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Westminster, London,
10 UK.

11 ⁵Department of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle, UK

12

13 **Abstract**

14 Quorum sensing molecules (QSMs) regulate, through a chemical communication process,
15 multiple complex systems in bacterial and some fungal populations on the basis of cell-
16 density. The bacterial QSMs involved in inter-kingdom cross-talk may exhibit antagonistic
17 activity against fungi. This provides an important opportunity for bio-control of fungal
18 invasion in plants. It has been shown that cultures of *Bacillus* spp. inhibit fungal growth.
19 Here, we explore the inhibitory potential of the industrial workhorse *Bacillus licheniformis*
20 NCIMB-8874 and its QSM (ComX pheromone) on the growth of *Aspergillus flavus*, a cereal,
21 legume and nut crop pathogen. Our studies show that ComX filtered extracts from cultures
22 of *B. licheniformis* can cause a significant reduction in the growth of *A. flavus* NRRL 3357 and
23 ESP 15 at a concentration as low as 13 µgml⁻¹. This work evidences, for the first time, the
24 inter-kingdom utility of the bacterial quorum sensing ComX pheromone indicating potential
25 antifungal food security against *A. flavus*.

26 **Key words**

27 Quorum sensing molecule, *Bacillus*, *Aspergillus*, natural antifungal, fungal colony area, inter-
28 kingdom communication

29

30

1 Introduction

2 Quorum Sensing (QS) is an intra- and interspecies microbial communication process based
3 on cell-density found in bacteria and fungi (Nealson *et al.* 1970; Fuque *et al.* 1994; Hogan
4 2006). In many bacterial species, more than one class of compound engage in the network
5 of regulatory systems controlling the response of a bacterial population to environmental
6 changes, by regulating diverse genes (Atkinson and Williams 2009). QS mechanisms in
7 bacteria are often classified into three different cell communication systems operating in i)
8 Gram-negative bacteria, ii) Gram-positive bacteria, and iii) both groups of bacteria as a
9 universal QS system (Miller and Bassler 2001). In filamentous fungi, when QSMs of e.g.
10 *Aspergillus terreus*, *A. flavus* or *A. nidulans* are exogenously added to their own fungal
11 cultures, they effect morphological changes as well as secondary metabolite production
12 such as lovastatin, penicillin and aflatoxin (Schimmel *et al.* 1998; Brown *et al.* 2008 and
13 2009; Williams *et al.* 2012).

14 Inter-kingdom chemical signalling involved in parasitic and symbiotic relationships with
15 unicellular and complex eukaryotes is mediated through the cross-sensing of pheromone
16 and pheromone-like compounds, including QSMs (Fox 2004; Rumbaugh 2007; Mullard
17 2009). These communication networks are also subject to significant plasticity on account of
18 enzymatic modification and degradation of the signalling molecules involved. For instance,
19 one of the bacterial QSMs, Acyl-Homoserine Lactone (AHL), which directs bacterial
20 compound synthesis, is also recognised by animal, plant, seaweed and fungal cells (Dudler
21 and Eberl 2006). Animal cell gene expression in response to QSMs has been reported to be
22 altered in an immune modulatory fashion (Sperandio 2003; Shiner *et al.* 2005). In green
23 seaweed, Joint *et al.* (2002) demonstrated that *Enteromorpha* zoospores are attracted by
24 synthetic AHLs to settle on bacterial biofilm microcolonies in an AHL concentration-
25 dependent manner. In higher plants, the *Medicago truncatula* legume (Mathesius *et al.*
26 2003) and the tomato rhizosphere (Schuhegger *et al.* 2006) have also been reported to
27 respond to bacterial AHL signalling. In the legumes, the concentration of more than 150
28 proteins is altered, whereas AHL in tomato rhizospheres increases salicylic acid
29 concentration in the plants' leaves, thereby enhancing systemic resistance against the
30 fungal leaf pathogen *Alternaria alternata*. The latter evidence suggests that AHLs play an

1 important role in the bio-control activity of rhizobacteria (Mathesius *et al.* 2003; Schuhegger
2 *et al.* 2006).

3 On the other hand, several reports indicate that fungi interfere with bacterial QS by
4 producing AHL antagonists (Rasmussen *et al.* 2005). Plant defence signals such as salicylic
5 acid have been reported to up-regulate the expression of an AHL-degrading enzyme in
6 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Alternatively, AHL-antagonists are produced by plants and
7 fungi to block bacterial QS (Bauer and Mathesius 2004; Dudler and Eberl 2006; Williams
8 2007). Elsewhere, it has been reported that the *Candida albicans* QSM compound, farnesol,
9 suppresses transcription of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quinolone signal (PQS) QSM
10 (Cugini *et al.* 2007). Interestingly, Lee and colleagues (Lee *et al.* 2015) recently reported that
11 farnesol can also block eukaryotic signalling pathways (e.g. STAT3) in mice, potentially
12 exerting, anti-proliferation and pro-apoptotic activities on tumours.

13 Inter-kingdom communication also underpins food security through regulation of the
14 metabolism of fungi with pathogenic and saprophytic potential in agricultural crops.
15 *Aspergillus* species are common soil saprophytes found worldwide, responsible for pre-
16 harvest and post-harvest disease in several agricultural seed crops (Amaiike and Keller 2011).
17 Among these fungi, *A. flavus* and *A. parasiticus* are the predominant species responsible for
18 aflatoxin contamination of crops prior to harvest or during storage (Miller 1995; Yu *et al.*
19 2004; Gokmen *et al.* 2005). At the same time, *A. fumigatus* and *A. flavus* are the primary
20 causes of mould aspergillosis in man. Thus, respiratory (Dufresne *et al.* 2017), cutaneous
21 (Raiesi *et al.* 2017), ocular (Zhao *et al.* 2016) and even systemic infections (Gabielli *et al.*
22 2014) may arise, not only in agricultural settings (Porpon *et al.* 2017; Viegas *et al.* 2016), but
23 also in immunocompromised individuals (Wiesmüller *et al.* 2017; Porpon *et al.* 2017), and
24 with increasing resistance (Meis *et al.* 2016) to mainstay antifungal–azole therapy (Park *et al.*
25 2017).

26 Importantly, aflatoxins are considered as the most significant mycotoxins due to their
27 occurrence, toxicology and impact on human well-being and crop trade (Gnonlonfin *et al.*
28 2013). Aflatoxin is mainly a problem in maize because this crop is colonized in the field
29 depending on environmental conditions. Of the other grains, rice is an important dietary
30 source of aflatoxin associated to poor grain-storage in tropical and subtropical areas (Miller

1 1995). Similarly, fungal aflatoxins have been recently demonstrated to inhibit mucocilliary
2 beating in mammalian airways (Lee *et al.* 2016), thereby contributing to respiratory mucous
3 accumulation and exacerbation of in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
4 (COPD) or cystic fibrosis (CF). Together, the food security and medical risk potential
5 presented by *Aspergillus* spp. and aflatoxins define an unmet need for effective protection
6 and prevention both in crops and man. Yet, to date, traditional pre-harvest control
7 methods, irrigation and identification of plant defence proteins do not appear to be able to
8 control *Aspergillus* crop contamination (Campbell and White 1995; Payne 1998; Chen *et al.*
9 2010), whereas, the malleability of *Aspergillus* QS and its utility in the agrochemical and
10 respiratory medicine context remains unexplored.

11 We have recently identified and described the *B. licheniformis* NCIMB 8874 QS pheromone
12 ComX (Esmailishirazifard *et al.* 2017). In this study, the pheromone potential of the
13 putative *B. licheniformis* NCIMB 8874 QS genes (*comQX*) was identified through whole
14 genome sequencing and explored through recombinant over-expression in *Escherichia coli*
15 (Esmailishirazifard *et al.* 2017). Here, we report for the first time an antifungal property for
16 the *B. licheniformis* ComX pheromone peptide, through antagonism to *A. flavus*. Its impact
17 on fungal growth is analysed using a novel image analysis algorithm (ColonyAreaAnalyzer)
18 developed for this project (Esmailishirazifard 2016, supporting information Figs. S1-S3).
19 Furthermore, the impact of this QS peptide and other treatments on fungal growth as well
20 as the biotechnological control of aflatoxin contamination are discussed.

21 **Materials and methods**

22 ***Strains, media and general methods***

23 *B. licheniformis* NCIMB 8874, available in the Culture Collection of the University of
24 Westminster, London, UK, was used for investigation of the effect of QSM and bacterial cells
25 on fungal growth. Lysogeny broth (LB) and LB agar (LBA) (Sigma) were used for the
26 maintenance of *B. licheniformis* NCIMB 8874.

27 Pheromone producer strain (the plasmid was constructed and transferred into *E. coli* BL21
28 (DE3) by standard techniques) (Esmailishirazifard *et al.* 2017) was cultivated in M9 minimal
29 salts solution (sigma). The medium was supplemented with a mixture of filter-sterilised

1 amino acids (leucine, phenylalanine, histidine, serine, 40 μgml^{-1} each; glutamine,
2 400 μgml^{-1}), and ampicillin (100 μgml^{-1}). According to the manufacturer instruction,
3 additional supplementation of filter-sterilised 20% (w/v) glucose, 1 M magnesium sulfate
4 and 1 M calcium chloride was required in order to complete M9 minimal medium
5 preparation. Filter sterilisation was carried out through a 0.22 μm filter (Millipore).

6 *A. flavus*, NRRL 3357 (aflatoxigenic strain) and ESP 15 (non-aflatoxigenic strain) were kindly
7 obtained from Prof. Naresh Magan, Department of Environmental Science and Technology,
8 Cranfield University. Potato dextrose agar (PDA) was used for propagation and sporulation
9 of *A. flavus* strains. For spore inoculation of the PDA plates, spores were extracted from the
10 fully sporulated slants/plates using sterile 0.01% Tween 80 (v/v) supplemented with 2 mm
11 glass beads (VWR). Spores were counted using a haemocytometer and adjusted to the
12 desired inoculums concentration before inoculating the PDA plates.

13 ***Pheromone over-production, purification and fungal-growth test***

14 *E. coli* BL21 ComX producer strain (Please refer to the method of preparation of this
15 recombinant strain described in our previous work, Esmailshirazifard *et al.* 2017) was
16 grown overnight in the completed M9 minimal salts medium described earlier. At stationary
17 phase, this pre-culture (20 ml) was added to 1980 ml of the supplemented M9 medium to
18 make 2 L bacterial culture (5 flasks in total to prepare 10 liters culture) and then incubated
19 at 37 °C and 110 rpm for 8 h. QS gene (*comQX*) expression was induced with 0.5 mM
20 Isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 37 °C and 110 rpm overnight. Before and
21 after the addition of IPTG, 10 ml samples were collected as treatments for the fungal growth
22 test. The culture broth (10 L) was centrifuged for 10 min at 8,000 g. The supernatant was
23 filtered through a 0.22 μm vacuum filtration unit Corning (Sigma). Reverse-phase
24 chromatography method was performed for the initial purification and concentration of the
25 filtered supernatant using Diaion HP-20 resin. The dried extract from reverse-phase
26 chromatography was re-dissolved in 1% DMSO to prepare a solution of 5 and 30 mgml^{-1} . To
27 prepared washed cells of *B. licheniformis* NCIMB 8874, the cells were washed three times
28 with sterile water by spinning at 7000 rpm for 10 min. All the treatments and associated
29 controls are listed and labelled in Table S4. The images of the plates were taken every 24 h
30 during the 7-day study. All results are presented as a mean \pm standard error of two

1 independent experiments performed in triplicates. The diagram in Fig. 1 shows the stages
2 that were followed to perform this experiment.

3 ***Fungal dry weight measurement***

4 Fungal strains (*A. flavus* NRRL 3357 and ESP 15) grown in the shaken tubes contained
5 10 ml PDB with all the applied treatments as described for the fungal growth experiment
6 (Table S4). Each tube was inoculated with the prepared spore suspension with the final
7 concentration of 10 spore.ml⁻¹. The cultures were incubated for 7 days in the incubator
8 shaker at 180 rpm, 27 °C. Then they were harvested and filtered using grade No. 54 filter
9 paper (22 µm pore size) (Whatman). These filter papers were kept at 70 °C for 24 h, cooled
10 down to room temperature in desiccators and pre-weighed. Filter papers containing fungal
11 mass were dried at 70 °C in the oven for 48 h, cooled down to room temperature in a
12 desiccator before weighing. The difference between the weight of the filter paper and the
13 combined weight of the filter paper together with fungal dried mycelia was considered as
14 fungal dry weight.

15 ***Antifungal susceptibility assays for pheromone***

16 The activities of the purified pheromone determined against *A. flavus* (aflatoxigenic strain)
17 using micro-broth dilution assay (du Toit and Rautenbach 2000). According to the M38-A
18 standard protocol for moulds, the medium RPMI-1640 (Sigma) containing L-glutamine and
19 phenol red (as a pH indicator) (Sigma) was used for growth of *A. flavus* in antifungal
20 susceptibility study. The medium was supplemented with filter-sterilised 0.2% glucose and
21 buffered to a pH of 7.0 with 0.165 molL⁻¹ 3-(N-Morpholino) propanesulfonic acid (MOPS)
22 (Sigma) (CLSI M38-A method from CLSI 2008). All procedures were performed under aseptic
23 conditions in sterile 96-well microtiter plates. The fungal spore suspension was prepared
24 using the extracted spores from the fully sporulated plates in 5 ml of sterile 0.01 % Tween
25 80 (v/v) supplemented with glass beads. Spores were counted using a haemocytometer and
26 adjusted to the desired concentration (2x10⁶ spore.ml⁻¹) to inoculate 5 ml the half strength
27 RPMI-1640 medium. The prepared broth spore suspension (90 µl) was added to the wells
28 (Troskie *et al.* 2012). Each well contained a total of 1.8x10⁴ spores. Dried pheromone
29 mixture was dissolved in 1% Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to a concentration of 13 µgml⁻¹.
30 Serial dilutions (6.5, 3.25, 1.62, 0.8, 0.4, 0.2, 0.1, 0.05 µgml⁻¹) were made using 1% DMSO

1 and then 10 µl of these diluted pheromone mixtures were added to the wells containing
2 90 µl broth suspension. Control culture (positive) received 10 µl of 1% DMSO instead of
3 pheromone. Control negative was a combination of half strength RPMI-1640 (90 µl) and 1%
4 DMSO (10 µl) to confirm the sterile RPMI-1640 and DMSO used in the wells. All wells
5 contained a final volume of 100 µl. Subsequent to the pheromone addition, the microtiter
6 plate was covered tightly with tinfoil, sealed with parafilm and incubated at 27 °C for 48 h.
7 The absorbance of the cultures in the wells was spectrophotometrically determined at
8 530 nm after 48 h incubation.

9 ***Aflatoxin gene expression study in A. flavus using reverse transcriptase polymerase chain*** 10 ***reaction (RT-PCR)***

11 *A. flavus* which was grown on PDA medium with different treatments kept at -20 °C for this
12 experiment. The cultures were harvested using the scalpel to collect 100 mg fungal biomass.
13 Total RNA was extracted using RNeasy Mini Plant Kit (Qiagen) according to the
14 manufacturer's instructions. All RNA samples were treated by using QIAGEN RNase-Free
15 DNase Set (Qiagen, UK) to digest contaminating genomic DNA in RNA solutions prior to RNA
16 clean up and concentration (using the RNeasy MinElute Cleanup kit (Qiagen, UK)).
17 Complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis from *A. flavus* mRNA was carried out using Revert
18 Aid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific, UK) according to the manufacturer's
19 instructions.

20 The synthesised cDNA from all RNA samples were amplified using the conventional PCR. The
21 amplification reaction was carried out by utilising PCR master mix (Promega, Southampton,
22 UK). The PCR reaction was prepared according to the manufacture instructions. The PCR
23 conditions were optimised for applying combined primes; *afIp* primers for aflatoxin gene (F-
24 *afIP/R-afIP*) and *ITS* primers for housekeeping genes (*ITS1/ITS4*) (details are given in Table
25 S5). The amplification program was started with initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2 min and
26 followed with 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C, annealing at 55 °C and extension at 72 °C
27 all for 1 min. Final extension was for 5 min at 72 °C. On completion of the PCR reaction, 8 µl
28 of each PCR product was subjected to electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel containing
29 ethidium bromide. Following electrophoresis, bands corresponding to transcripts of the

1 study gene, *aflP*, and the reference gene, *ITS*, were noted. The gel was photographed using
2 UVITEC Imaging System (Cambridge, UK).

3 **Results**

4 ***B. licheniformis* NCIMB 8874 and its QSM inhibit the growth of *A. flavus***

5 To investigate the antifungal potential of *B. licheniformis* NCIMB 8874, we initially examined
6 if this strain had any effects on the growth of *A. flavus* NRL 3375 and the non-aflatoxigenic
7 strain ESP 15. Thus, medium (10^4 cfu/ml) or high (10^9 cfu/ml) concentrations of live
8 *B. licheniformis* cells were mixed with melted potato dextrose agar (PDA), PDA plates were
9 spot inoculated with 10^8 spore.ml⁻¹ *A. flavus* and colony growth was documented at daily
10 intervals during a 7-day incubation at 27 °C (Fig. 1).

11 These treatments appeared to dose-dependently reduce *A. flavus* growth by $\geq 66\%$ +/- 2.5
12 in both *A. flavus* species (average inhibition percentage, Fig. 2 and Table S2). To determine
13 whether the observed effect was on account of the ComX pheromone, the *comQX* locus was
14 cloned into *E. coli* BL21 under IPTG control and supernatant filtrates from the resulting
15 *E. coli* transformants were used to prepare *A. flavus* PDA plates. As this resulted only in
16 minor *A. flavus* growth inhibition (7.8% +/- 2.5 in *A. flavus* NRRL 3357; 10.5% +/- 6.0 in *A.*
17 *flavus* ESP 15) which was nonetheless higher than the no IPTG and *E. coli* BL21 controls,
18 recombinant ComX was purified, concentrated and used instead, at either high (30 mgml⁻¹)
19 or low (5 mgml⁻¹) concentrations. These treatments reduced *A. flavus* growth by 56% +/-8
20 (NRRL 3357) or 53% +/- 3.5 (ESP 15) at high ComX concentration, and 8% +/-3.5 (NRRL 3357)
21 or 2.5% +/- 1.3 (ESP 15) at low ComX concentration, respectively. As spent, mid-exponential
22 growth phase *B. licheniformis* media had comparable impact on *A. flavus* growth inhibition
23 to the low recombinant ComX preparation, we reasoned ComX levels remained reduced
24 during this stage of *B. licheniformis* growth (Fig. 2 and supplementary information, Tables
25 S1-S3 and Fig. S4).

26 To examine whether the effect of *B. licheniformis* on *A. flavus* growth was on account of
27 ComX in *B. licheniformis* supernatant, medium (10^4 cfu/ml) or high (10^9 cfu/ml)
28 concentrations of *B. licheniformis* were washed 3x with sterile water and resuspended in
29 sterile water before PDA plate preparation. Interestingly, only the high concentration of
30 washed and resuspended *B. licheniformis* cells impacted upon *A. flavus* growth (48% +/- 5.8

1 in NRRL 3357 or 41% +/- 1.3 in ESP 15), with the low concentration exhibiting an effect
2 comparable to mid-exponential phase *B. licheniformis* supernatant or ComX-recombinant
3 *E. coli* supernatant. Moreover, the effect of washed *B. licheniformis* cells was 24%-30%
4 lower than that of unwashed cells, suggesting that both cellular and exocytosed ComX
5 contributed to *A. flavus* growth inhibition.

6 To determine whether these observations translated into a reduced mass of *A. flavus*, these
7 experiments were repeated with suspension *A. flavus* cultures for both *A. flavus* NRRL 3357
8 and ESP 15, and dry mass was measured after suspension filtration and desiccation (Fig. 3).
9 Thus, dry mass measurements compared to paired control experiments (no treatment)
10 confirmed that the plated *A. flavus* growth inhibition observations were statistically
11 significant ($p < 0.05$, $n=3$). Additionally, these experiments indicated that recombinant ComX
12 from *E. coli* supernatants was also able to inhibit fungal growth, but that this was
13 statistically significant only in liquid culture. In contrast, the inhibition levels observed in
14 liquid culture were not as relatively extensive as the ones observed on solid PDA media.
15 Interestingly, the findings with aflatoxigenic *A. flavus* NRLL 3357 were reproduced with the
16 non-aflatoxigenic *A. flavus* ESP 15 strain. Taken together, these results raised the hypothesis
17 that *B. licheniformis* ComX may possess broad antifungal properties against *A. flavus*.

18 To test this hypothesis, the standard, microtitre-based antifungal susceptibility assay CLSI
19 M38-A (CLSI 2008) was carried out to identify a threshold antifungal concentration for the
20 semi-purified ComX pheromone produced in *E. coli* (Fig. 4). Thus, double dilution series
21 starting at $13 \mu\text{gml}^{-1}$ resulted in 77% growth inhibition ($p \leq 0.05$, $n=4$) at the highest purified
22 ComX concentration tested. As this is classified as slight growth, score 4, according to the
23 standard CLSI protocol, these data document a high antifungal effect of the pheromone on
24 fungal growth.

25 ***B. licheniformis* and its ComX QSM inhibit aflatoxin gene expression in *A. flavus***

26 To explore the impact of these supplementations on aflatoxin production, *A. flavus aflP*
27 gene expression was explored by exon-spanning, end point reverse transcription
28 polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), against the *A. flavus ITS* housekeeping gene, as efforts
29 to identify optimally performing, wide range, log-linear real time intercalator primer sets for
30 both genes proved problematic. Thus application of vehicle (DMSO), no treatment control

1 (water) or supernatants of a washed 10^4 cfu/ml, but not 10^9 cfu/ml *Bacillus* suspension on
2 aflatoxigenic *A. flavus* NRRL 3357 yielded 121 bp cDNA amplicons indicative of *afIP* mRNA
3 production against robust gDNA gene detection (Fig. 5). Furthermore, the data indicated
4 very weak levels of *afIP* expression by this end point, 40 cycle assay, explaining limitations in
5 quantification efforts, and highlighting the inhibitory effect of recombinant ComX in *afIP*
6 expression.

7 **Discussion**

8 Previous studies reported that *Bacillus* species (*B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis*),
9 *Pseudomonas*, *Ralstonia* and *Burkholderia* strains could completely inhibit *A. flavus* growth
10 and aflatoxin production (Nesci *et al.* 2005; Palumbo *et al.* 2006). Moreover, the bio-control
11 agents *B. subtilis*, *P. fluorescens* and *Trichoderma viride* showed >65% inhibition in
12 *A. flavus* growth (Reddy *et al.* 2010). Our study investigates the antagonistic effect of the
13 *B. licheniformis* strain NCIMB 8874 on aflatoxigenic and non-aflatoxigenic strains of
14 *A. flavus*, and is the first to examine the effects of *B. licheniformis* QSM ComX on fungal
15 growth. Thus, by using different concentrations of *B. licheniformis* and its ComX pheromone
16 recombinantly expressed in *E. coli*, we demonstrate statistically significant growth inhibition
17 of *A. flavus*. These experiments indicate that, over the space of one week, ComX may
18 prevent both the surface spread and reproduction capacity of the fungus, as plate-based
19 growth was inhibited by ~70% and fungus dry mass increase in liquid culture was also
20 significantly curtailed. Furthermore, the relatively weak levels of *afIP* expression, the
21 *A. flavus* gene encoding for aflatoxin, were reduced below assay detection limit after
22 exposure to wild type or recombinant ComX. Exploring such components of organisms
23 antagonistic to *A. flavus* with inhibitory potential to pathogen growth and toxigenicity are
24 expected to provide a better insight into ways to overcome or limit infection in both plants
25 and mammals.

26 In line with this hypothesis, it has been recently suggested that a *B. licheniformis* strain
27 associated with the marine organism *Spongia officinalis* has inhibitory and anti-biofilm
28 activity on *E. coli* and *P. fluorescens* by secreting a complex exo-polysaccharide compound
29 (Sayem *et al.* 2011). Elsewhere, *A. fumigatus* biofilm formation was inhibited by co-culturing
30 of *P. aeruginosa*; a secreted heat-stable factor (decanol and decanoic acid, analogous to

1 *Pseudomonas* QSMs) was shown to exhibit biofilm inhibition. Based on this finding, it was
2 hypothesised that QSMs affected fungal growth (Ramage *et al.* 2011) and could offer a
3 starting point for antifungal drug discovery research efforts. Overall, it has been suggested
4 that small diffusible and heat-stable molecules might be responsible for the competitive
5 inhibition of filamentous fungal growth in environments with potential for polymicrobial
6 colonisation such as the lung, and this could be exploited as a potential therapeutic strategy
7 (Mowat *et al.* 2008; Seidler *et al.* 2008). Indeed, there are other instances in the literature
8 where QSMs have been reported to exhibit antimicrobial activity. Thus, a gram-negative
9 QSM (AHL) and its derived tetramic acid was shown to be bactericidal against Gram-positive
10 bacteria (Kaufmann *et al.* 2005). Tetramic acids have been known to display mycotoxic,
11 antibacterial and antiviral activities (Wang *et al.* 2003; Evans *et al.* 2006; Yu *et al.* 2007).

12 The current study examined the antagonistic effect of *B. licheniformis* NCIMB-8874 on
13 *Aspergillus* growth under co-culture conditions. The results showed that *B. licheniformis*
14 cells cause a significant reduction in *A. flavus* growth, whether in solid or liquid phase (Fig. 2
15 and 3). Moreover, at high concentrations of *B. licheniformis* (10^9 cfu/ml inoculum), the
16 effect did not appear to rely entirely on ComX release, as washing of the bacilli did not
17 eliminate their antifungal potential. Accordingly, cell-free supernatant of *B. licheniformis*
18 from the exponential growth phase showed a negligible decrease in the fungal growth,
19 reinforcing the tenet that bacterial cells in the fungal culture are the effective factor on
20 fungal growth reduction rather than the cell-free supernatant. In this scenario, the bacterial
21 cells would compete against fungus, and/or secrete/employ their antimicrobial molecules
22 under the co-culture condition. These results are comparable to previous studies on
23 antagonising microorganisms (Nesci *et al.* 2005; Reddy *et al.* 2010), and indicate
24 *B. licheniformis* may directly antagonise *Aspergillus*.

25 Alternatively, key nutrient depletion in co-culture conditions and the differential growth
26 rates of *A. flavus* and *B. licheniformis*, especially at the inoculation ratios where 10^9 cfu of
27 *B. licheniformis* were used, could explain these observations. However, the PDA medium is
28 rich enough to make it unlikely that the nutrients would be sequestered by the bacteria,
29 inhibiting *A. flavus* spread to the extent we observed. On the other hand, *B. licheniformis*
30 monoculture may enrich extracellular media with proteins and metabolites distinct to those
31 produced under co-culture with *A. flavus*. In agreement with this interpretation, Losada and

1 co-workers (Losada *et al.* 2009) performed co-cultivation competition assays among
2 different species of *Aspergillus*, to observe that *Aspergillus* extracts had greater antifungal
3 activity when they were grown in the presence of a competitor. More importantly, gas
4 chromatography experiments supported this hypothesis, indicating that *Aspergillus* extract
5 composition was altered due to the use of competitor organisms. It is thus likely that,
6 although not explicitly tested, these findings extend to the co-culture conditions we have
7 explored herein.

8 In our hands, both washed and unwashed *Bacillus* cells exerted inhibitory effects on fungal
9 growth, but to different degrees. Thus, assuming ComX as the causal factor to *A. flavus*
10 growth inhibition, washing of *B. licheniformis* cells appeared to reduce antifungal potential,
11 probably by eliminating cell-free ComX from the media. However, as the co-culture assay
12 conditions permitted the washed *B. licheniformis* cells to grow and produce fresh ComX, our
13 data indicated the bacterial cells were able to reinstate ComX to levels inhibitory to *A. flavus*
14 growth. In line with this interpretation, low concentrations of recombinant ComX arising
15 from recombinant *E. coli* supernatants or recombinant *E. coli* cell extracts (5 mg/ml) failed
16 to appreciably inhibit *A. flavus*, as did the supernatants of non-induced recombinant *E. coli*
17 and non-recombinant *E. coli* (Table S2 and S3). Thus, only 30 mg/ml of recombinant ComX
18 *E. coli* extract impacted *A. flavus* growth and spread.

19 Crucially, the findings with solid *A. flavus* culture were generally well-reproduced with liquid
20 culture assessing the impact of *B. licheniformis* and recombinant ComX in terms of fungal
21 dry weight (Fig. 3). However, in this assay, the supernatants from the recombinant *E. coli*
22 cells induced with IPTG to express ComX resulted in a significant reduction in fungal dry
23 weight. This may be attributable to poorly detectable minor growth reduction in fungal
24 colony surface area, which might not be as easily measurable as loss of dry mass over a 7-
25 day suspension incubation. Thus, the cumulative growth reduction in the dry weight assay
26 over the 7 days incubation period might enable detection of significant change in the whole
27 fungal mass. Alternatively, differential diffusion rates and concentration levels of ComX
28 through solid PDA vs. shaken liquid culture could account for differences in inhibition
29 efficiency across the colony volume.

1 The fungal inhibition observation showed that dry mass reductions are more notable in solid
2 plated-base culture as opposed to suspension culture. To discuss, specifically, local ComX
3 gradient effects in solid culture would have a more pronounced inhibitory effect to proximal
4 fungal cells, which would result in reduced surface area growth and total mass. Accordingly,
5 the volume occupied by ComX secretions is vastly smaller to that of liquid culture. By stark
6 contrast, rapid diffusion in liquid culture would require much higher absolute quantity of
7 ComX to be produced to achieve concentrations comparable to that in solid culture.
8 Moreover, the substantial differences in microbial physiology between the two growth
9 phases adequately explain our observations. Accordingly, this multifaceted molecular
10 evidence regarding the inhibited processes would be relevant to a drug development
11 program such as using appropriate and condition-relevant models in the future.

12 Having said that DNA quantification could be an alternative line of evidence for liquid
13 culture which would add another quality control to experiments relevant to the infection
14 control being attempted in a commercial, or nosocomial setting. Indeed, in respiratory care,
15 the concentrations of ComX in airway surface liquid after nebuliser administration would be
16 closer to those achieved in solid culture than bulk volume liquid culture, simply on account
17 of the physiology of the airways.

18 With the antifungal potential of ComX suggested thus, and the impact of microorganismal
19 growth rates remaining unresolved, we proceeded to reassess the effect of ComX using low
20 nutritional value media (RPMI-1640) in a microtitre plate-based, standard antifungal
21 susceptibility assay. Identifying $13 \mu\text{gml}^{-1}$ as the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC),
22 CLSI classification indicated “slight growth” for *Aspergillus* at this ComX pheromone
23 concentration. Crucially, this concentration is comparable to many established antifungal
24 agents (Lewis 2011), even before structure-activity relationship exploration and derivative
25 pharmacology is pursued. This raw potency highlights the pharmacoeconomic potential of
26 pursuing inter-kingdom QS pheromone research.

27 On the basis of the food safety and medical risks presented by *A. flavus* and related strains
28 through production of aflatoxins, we therefore sought to investigate whether ComX might
29 extend its utility to preventing aflatoxin gene expression. We reasoned this biomarker assay
30 to be a simpler, more sensitive, specific and safe approach than aflatoxin purification and

1 quantification from ComX-treated *A. flavus* for the early research. However, direct and
2 dose-dependent measurement of aflatoxin production inhibition pharmacology has been
3 induced as part of the future development of the ComX compound.

4 Leema and colleagues (2011) had successfully used RT-qPCR to investigate the expression of
5 *aflR*, *aflJ*, *aflC* and *aflD* as surrogates of aflatoxin production in *A. flavus* isolated from
6 keratitis patients. Two genes, *aflD* and *aflJ*, encode the enzymes to synthesize
7 sterigmatocystin (aflatoxin precursor), although *aflC* encodes a polyketide synthase and *aflR*
8 is a biosynthesis regulator. All these genes are important in the earlier stages of biosynthesis
9 pathway. As, however, *aflP* encodes O-methyltransferase A, which converts the *A. flavus*
10 aflatoxin B1 precursor sterigmatocystin to O-methylsterigmatocystin, as well as
11 demethylsterigmatocystin to dihydro-O-methylsterigmatocystin (Abdel-Hadi *et al.* 2011),
12 and has been causally linked to aflatoxin production (Rahimi *et al.* 2016), we sought to
13 establish the expression of this nodal enzyme in the aflatoxin biosynthesis pathway instead.
14 Moreover, other members of aflatoxin gene cluster involved in different steps of
15 biosynthetic pathway (e.g. *aflR*, *aflJ*, *aflC* and *aflD*) would be worth to be investigated in
16 order to immensely transcriptomic study on aflatoxin in the future research.

17 Furthermore, we explored if *B. licheniformis* in general and ComX specifically had a direct
18 effect on the expression levels of this gene. In our hands, testing three separate primer sets
19 for intercalator dye real time RT-PCR failed to identify suitably robust assays, probably due
20 to the very low levels of *aflP* expression as suggested by an endpoint assay (Fig. 5).
21 However, the effect of *bacillus* supernatants and ComX universally reduced *aflP* mRNA
22 levels below the detection limit of this assay. This finding would suggest that QS effect of
23 ComX might extend to aflatoxin production inhibition in addition to antifungal growth
24 properties, ultimately arresting aflatoxin biosynthesis. However, further studies are needed
25 on the expression dynamics of *aflP*. For example, unexpected amplicons in the end point
26 assay may at first glance suggest splicing changes in *aflP* expression, their <75 bp size upon
27 weak gDNA copy amplification is indicative of PCR artefacts. Similarly, problems with
28 quantitative assay performance did not encourage us to explore exhaustively endogenous
29 reference genes beyond *ITS*, as recently elegantly described in *Talaromyces versatilis* (Llanos
30 *et al.* 2015). The report from Llanos and colleague (2015) indicated the reliable reference
31 genes including; *ubcB*, *sac7*, *fis1* and *sarA* genes, as well as *TFC1* and *UBC6* that were

1 previously validated for their use in *S. cerevisiae*. Unlike these reported reference genes, in
2 the current study, the weak expression of *ITS* (as a reference gene) was obtained from one
3 test, low concentrated washed *Bacillus* cells (Figure 5). The result displays the possible
4 impact of this treatment on the expression level of the conserved gene such as *ITS*. Also, we
5 reasoned that mean geometric average levels of expression of multiple reference genes is
6 relevant only in real time expression normalisation against unexpected treatment effects to
7 so-called housekeeping genes, and for improved target loading normalisation relative to
8 RNA stability. Confirmatory studies on the anti-aflatoxicity of ComX or its derivatives will
9 thus require in depth characterisation of their effects on *afIP* both kinetically, and in the
10 context of cell cycle homeostasis (mechanism of action studies).

11 The effects of ComX on aflatoxin production notwithstanding, it is now well established that
12 QS plays a major role in the inter-kingdom cross-talk. AHL as a bacterial QSM is an example
13 recognised by eukaryotic cells (e.g. animal cells, plants, seaweed and fungi; Dudler and Eberl
14 2006). Besides, farnesol as a fungal QSM from *C. albicans* has been reported to decrease the
15 production of *Pseudomonas* QSM. It is further suggested that farnesol and the related
16 compounds may participate in interspecies interactions (Cugini *et al.* 2007). Fungi coexist
17 with bacteria in the environment, and bio-chemical exchange between them is a method of
18 communication. The QS-like behaviour in filamentous fungi was reported in
19 *A. terreus* (Schimmel *et al.* 1998), *A. flavus* (Brown *et al.* 2008 and 2009) and *A. nidulans*
20 (Williams *et al.* 2012). It thus seems that QS is an established mechanism used by fungi to
21 modulate mutualresponses to each other and their environment (Sorrentino 2010). Also,
22 instances of small molecule exchange between bacteria and eukaryotes have been reported
23 (Mullard 2009) and examples of QS processes involved in the interspecies communications
24 have emerged (Lu *et al.* 2014). Considering these recent findings, it can be suggested that
25 the communication between *A. flavus* as a filamentous fungus, which has its own QS
26 network, and its *B. licheniformis* antagonist might occur through their QS systems. While
27 this needs to be further investigated, this study reveals the significant influence of
28 *B. licheniformis* NCIMB-8874QSM on growth of *Aspergillus*, expanding evidence suggesting
29 inter-kingdom cross-talk through the QS systems of these organisms.

30 This study confirmed the antagonistic activity of *B. licheniformis*NCIMB-8874 against
31 *A. flavus*, and the role of the natural antifungal peptide, ComX pheromone, crucial for

1 bacterial competence. The results support further research into this *B. licheniformis* strain
2 either directly or on its ComX product as a natural antifungal agent to promote a sustainable
3 bio-control strategy for agricultural crops and airways disease, by exploiting inter-kingdom
4 cross-talk either chemically, biochemically, or even through genetic engineering.

5 **Acknowledgement**

6 We thank the laboratory staff member of the Faculty of Science and Technology, University of
7 Westminster for all the supports. We also thank Dr Pamela Greenwell for valuable discussions.

8 **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

9 **Funding**

10 This work was part of a PhD project and supported by University of Westminster and the expenses
11 were covered by the department of life sciences.

12 **Conflict of interest**

13 Author 1 declares that she has no conflict of interest. Author 2 declares that he has no conflict of
14 interest. Author 3 declares that he has no conflict of interest. Author 4 declares that he has no
15 conflict of interest.

16 **Ethical approval**

17 This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the
18 authors.

19

20 **References**

- 21 Abdel-Hadi A, Schmidt-Heydt M, Parra R, Geisen R and Magan N (2011) A systems approach to
22 model the relationship between aflatoxin gene cluster expression, environmental factors,
23 growth and toxin production by *Aspergillus flavus*. *J R Soc Interface* 9:757-767.
- 24 Amaike S and Keller NP (2011) *Aspergillus flavus*. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 49: 107-33.
- 25 Atkinson S and Williams P (2009) Quorum sensing and social networking in the microbial world. *J R*
26 *Soc Interface* 6: 959-978.
- 27 Bauer WD and Mathesius U (2004) Plant responses to bacterial quorum sensing signals. *Curr Opin*
28 *Plant Biol* 7: 429-433.
- 29 Brown SH, Scott JB, Bhaheetharan J, Sharpee WC, Milde L, Wilson RK, Keller NP (2009) Oxygenase
30 coordination is required for morphological transition and the host-fungus interaction of
31 *Aspergillus flavus*. *Mol Plant Microbe In* 22: 882-894.
- 32 Brown SH, Zarnowski R, Sharpee WC and Keller NP (2008) Morphological transitions governed by
33 density dependence and lipoxxygenase activity in *Aspergillus flavus*. *Appl Environ Microb* 74:
34 5674-5685.
- 35 Campbell KW and White DG (1995) Evaluation of corn genotypes for resistance to *Aspergillus* ear rot,
36 kernel infection, and aflatoxin production. *Plant Dis* 79:1039-45.

- 1 Chen ZY, Brown RL, Damann KE, Cleveland TE (2010) PR10 expression in maize and its effect on host
2 resistance against *Aspergillus flavus* infection and aflatoxin production. Mol Plant Pathol 11: 69-
3 81.
- 4 CLSI (2008) Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing for filamentous
5 fungi; approved standard-second edition. CLSI document M38-A2. The Clinical and Laboratory
6 Standard Institute, Wayne, Pennsylvania.
- 7 Cugini C, Calfee MW, Farrow JM, Morales DK, Pesci EC and Hogan DA (2007) Farnesol, a common
8 sesquiterpene, inhibits PQS production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Mol Microbiol 65: 896-906.
- 9 du Toit EA, Rautenbach M (2000) A sensitive standardised micro-gel well diffusion assay for the
10 determination of antimicrobial activity. J Microbiol Meth 42: 159-165.
- 11 Dudler R and Eberl L (2006) Interactions between bacteria and eukaryotes via small molecules. Curr
12 Opin Biotech 17: 268-273.
- 13 Dufresne PJ, Moonjely SS, Ozaki K, Tremblay C, Laverdière M and Dufresne SF (2017) High frequency
14 of pathogenic *Aspergillus* species among nonsporulating moulds from respiratory tract
15 samples. Med Mycol 55:233-236.
- 16 Esmailishirazifard E (2016) Investigation of a quorum sensing peptide in *Bacillus licheniformis* and
17 its novel antifungal property. Dissertation, University of Westminster, London, UK.
- 18 Esmailishirazifard E, De Vizio D, Moschos S A and Keshavarz T (2017) Genomic and molecular
19 characterization of a novel quorum sensing molecule in *Bacillus licheniformis*. Appl Microbiol
20 Biot Expr 7:78.
- 21 Evans KA, Chai D, Graybill TL, Burton G, Sarisky RT, Lin-Goerke, J., Johnston, V.K. and Rivero, R.A.
22 (2006) An efficient, asymmetric solid-phase synthesis of benzothiadiazine-substituted tetramic
23 acids: potent inhibitors of the hepatitis C virus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. Bioorg Med
24 Chem Lett 16: 2205-2208.
- 25 Fox JE (2004) Chemical communication threatened by endocrine- disrupting chemicals. Environ
26 Health Persp 112: 648-653.
- 27 Fuqua WC, Winans SC and Greenberg EP (1994) Quorum sensing in bacteria: the LuxR-LuxI family of
28 cell density-responsive transcriptional regulators. J Bacteriol 176: 269-75.
- 29 Gabrielli E, Fothergill AW, Brescini L, Sutton DA, Marchionni E, Orsetti E, Staffolani S, Castelli P,
30 Gesuita R and Barchiesi F (2014) Osteomyelitis caused by *Aspergillus* species: a review of 310
31 reported cases. Clin Microbiol and Infec 20:559-565.
- 32 Gnonlonfin GJB, Hell K, Adjovi Y, Fandohan P, Koudande DO, Mensah GA, Sanni A, Brimer L (2013) A
33 Review on Aflatoxin Contamination and Its Implications in the Developing World: A Sub- Saharan
34 African Perspective. Crit Rev Food Sci 53: 349-365.
- 35 Gokmen V, Acar J and Sariođlu K (2005) Liquid chromatographic method for the determination of
36 patulin in apple juice using solid-phase extraction. Analitica Chimica Acta 543: 64-69.
- 37 Hogan DA (2006) Talking to themselves: autoregulation and quorum sensing in fungi. Eukaryot Cell
38 5:613-619.
- 39 Joint I, Tait K, Callow ME, Callow JA, Milton D, Williams P, Camara M (2002) Cell-to-cell
40 communication across the prokaryote-eukaryote boundary. Science 298:1207.
- 41 Kaufmann GF, Sartorio R, Lee SH, Rogers CJ, Meijler MM, Moss JA, Clapham B, Brogan AP, Dickerson,
42 TJ and Janda KD (2005) Revisiting quorum sensing: discovery of additional chemical and
43 biological functions for 3-oxo-Nacylhomoserine lactones. P Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 309-314.
- 44 Lee JH, Kim C, Kim SH, Sethi G and Ahn KS (2015) Farnesol inhibits tumour growth and enhances the
45 anticancer effects of bortezomib in multiple myeloma xenograft mouse model through the
46 modulation of STAT3 signalling pathway. Cancer Lett 360: 280-293.
- 47 Lee RJ, Workman AD, Carey RM, Chen B, Rosen PL, Doghramji L, Adappa ND, Palmer JN, Kennedy DW
48 and Cohen NA (2016) Fungal aflatoxins reduce respiratory mucosal ciliary function. Sci Rep-uk
49 6:33221.
- 50 Leema G, Chou DS, Jesudasan CAN, Geraldine P and Thomas PA (2011) Expression of genes of the
51 aflatoxin biosynthetic pathway in *Aspergillus flavus* isolates from keratitis. Mol Vis 17:2889-2897.

- 1 Lewis RE (2011) Current concepts in antifungal pharmacology. *Mayo Clin Proc* 86:805-817.
- 2 Llanos A, François JM and Parrou JL (2015) Tracking the best reference genes for RT-qPCR data
3 normalisation in filamentous fungi. *BMC Genomics* 16: 71.
- 4 Losada L, Ajayi O, Frisvad JC, Yu J and Nierman WC (2009) Effect of competition on the production
5 and activity of secondary metabolites in *Aspergillus* species. *Med Mycol* 47: S88-S96.
- 6 Lu Y, Su C, Unoje O and Liu H (2014) Quorum sensing controls hyphal initiation in *Candida albicans*
7 through Ubr1-mediated protein degradation. *P Natl Acad Sci* 111: 1975-1980.
- 8 Mathesius U, Mulders S, Gao MS, Teplitski M, Caetano-Anolles G, Rolfe BG, Bauer WD (2003)
9 Extensive and specific responses of a eukaryote to bacterial quorum-sensing signals. *Proc Natl*
10 *Acad Sci USA* 100:1444-1449.
- 11 Meis JF, Chowdhary A, Rhodes JL, Fisher MC and Verweij PE (2016) Clinical implications of globally
12 emerging azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Phil Trans R Soc B* 371:20150460.
- 13 Miller JD (1995) Fungi and mycotoxins in grain: Implications for stored product research. *J Stored*
14 *Prod Res* 31: 1-16.
- 15 Miller MB and Bassler BL (2001) Quorum Sensing in Bacteria. *Annu Rev of Microbiol* 55:165-199.
- 16 Mowat E, Lang S, Williams C, McCulloch E, Jones B and Ramage G (2008) Phase-dependent
17 antifungal activity against *Aspergillus fumigatus* developing multicellular filamentous biofilms. *J*
18 *Antimicrob Chemoth* 62: 1281-1284.
- 19 Mullard A (2009) Microbiology: Tinker, bacteria, eukaryote, spy. *Nature* 459: 159-61.
- 20 Nealson KH, Platt T and Hastings W (1970) Cellular control of the synthesis and activity of the
21 bacterial luminescent system. *J Bacteriol* 104:313- 322.
- 22 Nesci AV, Bluma RV, and Etcheverry MG (2005) In vitro selection of maize rhizobacteria to study
23 potential biological control of *Aspergillus* section Flavi and aflatoxin production. *Eur J Plant*
24 *Pathol* 113: 159-171.
- 25 Palumbo JD, Baker JL, Mahoney NE (2006) Isolation of bacterial antagonists of *Aspergillus flavus*
26 from almonds. *Microbial Ecol* 52: 45-52.
- 27 Park SY, Yoon JA and Kim SH (2017) Voriconazole-refractory invasive aspergillosis. *Korean J Intern*
28 *Med*.
- 29 Payne GA (1998) Process of contamination by aflatoxin producing fungi and their impacts on crops.
30 In Sinha, K.K. and Bhatnagar, D. (eds.). *Mycotoxins in Agriculture and Food Safety*. Marcel Dekker
31 Inc, New York, pp 279-306.
- 32 Porpon R, Chen YC, Chakrabarti A, Li RY, Shivaprakash RM, Yu J, Kung HC, Watcharananan S, Tan A.L.,
33 Saffari SE and Tan BH (2017) Epidemiology and clinical characteristics of invasive mould
34 infections: A multicenter, retrospective analysis in five Asian countries. *Med Mycol*.
- 35 Rahimi S, Sohrabi N, Ebrahimi MA, Tebyanian M, Zadeh MT and Rahimi S (2016) Studying The Effect
36 of Aflatoxin Genes *Aflp* and *Aflq* on *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus* In The Cattle
37 Feed Used In Industrial Animal Husbandries. *Acta Medica* 32:2091.
- 38 Raiesi O, Siavash M, Mohammadi F, Chabavizadeh J, Mahaki B. Maherolnaghsh M and Dehghan P
39 (2017) Frequency of Cutaneous Fungal Infections and Azole Resistance of the Isolates in Patients
40 with Diabetes Mellitus. *Adv Biomed Research* 6.
- 41 Ramage G, Rajendran R, Gutierrez-Correa M, Jones B and Williams C (2011) *Aspergillus* biofilms:
42 clinical and industrial significance. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 324: 89-97.
- 43 Rasmussen TB, Skindersoe ME, Bjarnsholt T, Phipps RK, Christensen KB, Jensen PO, Andersen JB,
44 Koch B, Larsen TO, Hentzer M and Eberl L (2005) Identity and effects of quorum-sensing
45 inhibitors produced by *Penicillium* species. *Microbiology* 151: 1325-1340.
- 46 Reddy KRN, Raghavender CR, Reddy BN and Salleh B (2010) Biological control of *Aspergillus flavus*
47 growth and subsequent aflatoxin B1 production in sorghum grains. *Afr J Biotechnol* 9:4247-4250.
- 48 Rumbaugh KP (2007) Convergence of hormones and autoinducers at the host/pathogen interface.
49 *Annu Bioanal Chem* 387: 425-435.

- 1 Sayem SM, Manzo E, Ciavatta L, Tramice A, Cordone A, Zanfardino A, De Felice M and Varcamonti M
2 (2011) Anti-biofilm activity of an exopolysaccharide from a sponge-associated strain of *Bacillus*
3 *licheniformis*. Microb Cell Fact 10: 1.
- 4 Schimmel TG, Coffman AD and Parsons SJ (1998) Effect of butyrolactone I on the producing fungus,
5 *Aspergillus terreus*. Appl Environ Microbiol 64: 3707-3712.
- 6 Schuegger R, Ihring A, Gantner S, Bahnweg G, Knappe C, Vogg G, Hutzler P, Schmid M, Van
7 Breusegem F, Eberl LEO and Hartmann A (2006) Induction of systemic resistance in tomato by
8 N-acyl-L-homoserine lactone-producing rhizosphere bacteria. Plant Cell Environ 29:909-918.
- 9 Seidler MJ, Salvenmoser S and Muller FM (2008) *Aspergillus fumigatus* forms biofilms with reduced
10 antifungal drug susceptibility on bronchial epithelial cells. Antimicrob Agents Chemoth 52: 4130-
11 4136.
- 12 Shiner EK, Rumbaugh KP, Williams SC (2005) Inter-kingdom signalling deciphering the language of
13 acyl homoserine lactones. FEMS Microbiol Rev 29:935-947.
- 14 Sorrentino F, Roy I and Keshavarz T (2010) Impact of linoleic acid supplementation on lovastatin
15 production in *Aspergillus terreus* cultures. Appl Microbiol Biot 88: 65-73.
- 16 Sperandio V, Torres AG, Jarvis B, Nataro JP and Kaper JB (2003) Bacteria-host communication: the
17 language of hormones. P Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 8951-8956.
- 18 Troskie AM, Vlok NM, and Rautenbach M (2012) A novel 96-well gel-based assay for determining
19 antifungal activity against filamentous fungi. J Microbiol Meth 91: 551-558.
- 20 Yu J, Whitelaw CA, Nierman WC, Bhatnagar D, Cleveland TE (2004) *Aspergillus flavus* expressed
21 sequence tags for identification of genes with putative roles in aflatoxin contamination of crops.
22 FEMS Microbiol Lett 237:333-340.
- 23 Yu F, Zaleta-Rivera K, Zhu X, Huffman J, Millet JC, Harris SD, Yuen G, Li XC and Du L (2007) Structure
24 and biosynthesis of heat-stable antifungal factor (HSAF), a broad-spectrum antimycotic with a
25 novel mode of action. Antimicrob Agents Chemoth 51: 64-72.
- 26 Viegas C, Faria T, Carolino E, Sabino R, Gomes A and Viegas S (2016) Occupational exposure to fungi
27 and particles in animal feed industry. Medycyna pracy 67:143-154.
- 28 Wang CY Wang B, Wiryowidagdo S, Wray V, van Soest R, Steube K, Guan H, Proksch P and Ebel R
29 (2003) Melophlins C-O, thirteen novel tetramic acids from the marine sponge *Melophlus*
30 *sarassinorum*. J Nat Prod 66: 51-56.
- 31 Wiesmüller GA, Heinzow B, Aurbach U, Bergmann KC, Bufe A, Buzina W, Cornely OA, Engelhart S,
32 Fischer G, Gabrio T and Heinz W (2017) Abridged version of the AWMF guideline for the medical
33 clinical diagnostics of indoor mould exposure. Allergo J Int 1-26.
- 34 Williams P (2007) Quorum sensing, communication and cross-kingdom signalling in the bacterial
35 world. Microbiology 153: 3923-3938.
- 36 Williams HE, Steele JCP, Clements MO and Keshavarz T (2012) γ -Heptalactone is an endogenously
37 produced quorum-sensing molecule regulating growth and secondary metabolite production by
38 *Aspergillus nidulans*. Appl Microbiol Biot 96: 773-781.
- 39 Zhao G, Zaidi TS, Bozkurt-Guzel C, Zaidi TH, Lederer JA, Priebe GP and Pier GB (2016) Efficacy of
40 Antibody to PNAG Against Keratitis Caused by Fungal Pathogens Antibody to PNAG Protects
41 Against Fungal Keratitis. Invest Ophth Vis Sci 57:6797-6804.

42

43

44

45

46

1 **Figures legends**

2 **Fig. 1** Diagram of the fungal growth experiment

3 **Fig. 2** The *B. licheniformis* ComX pheromone inhibits *A. flavus* growth. The impact of
4 *B. licheniformis* NCIMB 8874 or its ComX pheromone recombinantly expressed in *E. coli*
5 under IPTG control was evaluated on *A. flavus* NRRL 3357 (Panel A) and *A. flavus* ESP 15
6 (Panel B) cultures at different concentrations and preparation formats. Highly concentrated
7 *B. licheniformis* cells appear to contain and have released ComX to concentrations inhibiting
8 *A. flavus* growth comparable to 30 mg/ml recombinant, ComX-expressing *E. coli* cell
9 extracts. Data representative of two independent experiments in triplicates are presented.

10 **Fig. 3** Fungal dry weight data of *A. flavus* NRLL 3357 (Panel A) and *A. flavus* ESP 15 (Panel B)
11 (Calculated weight, g, of fungal dry mass which was grown in 10 ml PDB in the presence of
12 different treatments, in triplicates). (*) indicates a significant difference between the test
13 and the control ($P \leq 0.05$).

14 **Fig. 4** Antifungal potential of recombinant ComX by CLSI M38-A assay. *A. flavus* NRLL
15 3357 suspension culture was incubated with decreasing concentrations of recombinant
16 ComX in RPMI for 48h and *A. flavus* growth was measured by absorbance at 530 nm. Fungal
17 growth is expressed as the average growth of four replicates +/- standard deviation, relative
18 to the average growth observed among no ComX controls ($0 \mu\text{gml}^{-1}$). Data representative of
19 two independent experiments are presented.

20 **Fig. 5** Endpoint RT-PCR *afIP* expression in *A. flavus* in response to *B. licheniformis* or
21 recombinant ComX treatment. Exon-spanning primers to the *A. flavus afIP* gene yield 181 bp
22 genomic, and 121 bp mRNA-derived amplicons confirmatory of aflatoxigenic *A. flavus* strain
23 identity, and weak *afIP* expression in the absence of the antagonistic *B. licheniformis* ComX
24 pheromone. The white arrow indicates the weak *afIP* mRNA band position in *A. flavus* NRLL
25 3357. A ~600 bp amplicon corresponds to *ITS* amplification is as a reference gene. M: 25 bp
26 marker.

27

28

29

30

31