# The atypical receptor CCRL2 is required for CXCR2-dependent neutrophil recruitment and tissue damage

Annalisa Del Prete<sup>1,2</sup>, Laura Martínez-Muñoz<sup>3</sup>, Cristina Mazzon<sup>2</sup>, Lara Toffali<sup>5</sup>, Francesca Sozio<sup>1</sup>, Lorena Za<sup>6</sup>, Daniela Bosisio<sup>1</sup>, Luisa Gazzurelli<sup>1</sup>, Valentina Salvi<sup>1</sup>, Laura Tiberio<sup>1</sup>, Chiara Liberati<sup>6</sup>, Eugenio Scanziani<sup>4</sup>, Annunciata Vecchi<sup>2</sup>, Carlo Laudanna<sup>5</sup>, Mario Mellado<sup>3</sup>, Alberto Mantovani<sup>2,6</sup> and Silvano Sozzani<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular and Translational Medicine, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy; <sup>2</sup>IRCCS-Humanitas Clinical and Research Center, Rozzano-Milano, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB/CSIC), Madrid, Spain; <sup>4</sup>Department of Veterinary Sciences and Public Health, University of Milan, Milan, Italy; <sup>5</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Verona, Verona, Italy; <sup>6</sup>Humanitas University, Rozzano-Milano,Italy; <sup>6</sup>Axxam Discovery Biology, Bresso (MI), Italy.

\*Correspondence address: Silvano Sozzani Department of Molecular and Translational Medicine University of Brescia, Viale Europa 11, 25123 Brescia, Italy E-mail: silvano.sozzani@unibs.it Phone: +39-030-3717282 Fax: +39-030-3717747

#### **Key Points**

1. CCRL2 is required for CXCR2-dependent neutrophil recruitment in inflammation

2. The administration of anti-CCRL2 moAb in WT animals reproduced the protective phenotype of CCRL2-deficient mice in experimental arthritis.

#### Abstract

CCRL2 is a seven transmembrane domain receptor that shares structural and functional similarities with the family of the Atypical Chemokine Receptors (ACKRs). CCRL2 is upregulated by inflammatory signals and, unlike from other ACKRs, is not a chemoattractant scavenging receptor, does not activate  $\beta$ -arrestins and is widely expressed by many leukocyte subsets. Therefore, the biological role of CCRL2 in immunity is still unclear. Here we report that CCRL2-deficient mice have a defect in neutrophil recruitment and are protected in two models of inflammatory arthritis. *In vitro*, CCRL2 was found to constitutively form homo and heterodimers with CXCR2, a main neutrophil chemotactic receptor. By heterodimerization, CCRL2 could regulate membrane expression and promote CXCR2 functions including the activation of  $\beta$ 2-integrins. Therefore, upregulation of CCRL2 observed under inflammatory conditions is functional to finely tune CXCR2-mediated neutrophil recruitment at sites of inflammation.

#### Introduction

Leukocyte recruitment is a hallmark of inflammation and depends on the local production of chemotactic factors and on the regulation of the chemotactic receptors expressed by leukocytes<sup>1,2</sup>. Among chemotactic factors, chemokines represent the main family of signals able to induce leukocyte recruitment *in vitro* and *in vivo*. CXCR2 is the major chemokine receptor responsible for neutrophil recruitment. CXCR2 engagement induces the rapid  $G\alpha_i$ -dependent activation of phospholipase C (PLC)- $\beta$ , phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase  $\gamma$  (PI3K $\gamma$ ), guanine exchange factors for rho- and ras-small GTPases, talin and kindlin-3, a signaling cascade promoting rapid  $\beta$ 2-integrin clustering as well as conformational changes leading to increased affinity. This process allows the arrest and crawling of neutrophils on the surface of the endothelial cell monolayer and their extravasation<sup>3-5</sup>.

Atypical chemokine receptors (ACKRs) represent a small subset of proteins that express a high degree of homology with chemokine receptors. However, ACKRs lack structural determinants supporting  $G\alpha_i$  signaling, making them unable to activate canonical G protein-dependent receptor signaling and cell migration<sup>6</sup>. At the moment, the ACKR family includes four proteins, namely ACKR1 (Duffy antigen receptor for chemokines-DARC), ACKR2 (D6 or CCBP2), ACKR3 (CXCR7 or RDC1) and ACKR4 (CCRL1 or CCXCKR and CCR11). In virtue of their ability to bind chemokines, ACKRs were shown to regulate inflammation acting as scavenger receptors, promoting chemokine transcytosis or regulating chemokine gradient formation<sup>6-9</sup>.

CCRL2 is a seven transmembrane protein that shares some structural and functional aspects with ACKRs, such as the lack of conventional GPCR signaling and the inability to induce cell migration<sup>6,10,11</sup>. CCRL2 is expressed by barrier cells, such as endothelial and epithelial cells, and by a variety of leukocytes, including macrophages, dendritic cells and neutrophils<sup>6,10</sup>. CCRL2 was shown to bind and present chemerin, a non-chemokine chemotactic protein, to leukocytes expressing ChemR23, the functional chemerin receptor, a function that may be relevant for leukocyte extravasation<sup>12,13</sup>. CCRL2 expression is upregulated by inflammatory signals but its function remains unclear. This study was performed to investigate the role of CCRL2 in neutrophils, a leukocyte subset known to play a crucial role in the innate defense against pathogens and also involved in pathological conditions, such as cancer and autoimmune disorders (e.g. rheumatoid arthritis)<sup>2,14,15</sup>. Here we report the ability of CCRL2 to regulate neutrophil migration and describe a new strategy by which atypical chemotactic receptors may control leukocyte trafficking into inflamed tissues.

#### Methods

#### Mice

CCRL2-deficient mice (KO) (C57BL/6J)<sup>16</sup> did not show altered expression of other chemokine receptors and adhesion molecules (Supplemental Figure 1). Age- and sex-matched littermates or control C57/BL6J, and DBA1 mice purchased from Charles River Lab. Procedures involving animals conformed to institutional guidelines in compliance with national (D.L. N.26, 4-3-2014) and international (Directive 2010/63/EU revising Directive 86/609/EEC, September 22, 2010) law and policies.

#### Flow cytometry analysis

Bone marrow (BM) cells were CD16/32 (2.4G2) blocked and stained with the following moAbs: CD11b (M1/70), Ly6G (1A8), and F4/80 (BM8) from BD Pharmingen; anti-mouse CCRL2 (11n20) from LSBio; anti-mouse CXCR2-AlexaFluor647 (SA045E1) from Biolegend. Anti-ERK1/2 (T202/Y204) moAb from BD Pharmigen. Anti-active Rac1-GTP and anti-RhoA-GTP from NewEast Biosciences. Cells were acquired with MACSQuant (Miltenyi), or LSR Fortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analysed by FlowJo software.

#### **BM neutrophils isolation**

BM neutrophils were isolated by negative selection using the neutrophil isolation kit (Miltenyi). The purity of the neutrophil population was routinely more than 90% CD11b<sup>+</sup>Ly6G<sup>+</sup> cells.

#### Chemotaxis

Cell migration was evaluated using a 48-well chemotaxis chamber (Neuroprobe) and polycarbonate filters (5 $\mu$  pore size; Neuroprobe) for 50-minute incubation as described<sup>17</sup>. Results are expressed as number of migrated cells in an average of 5 high-power fields (100x).

### Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization

Purified neutrophils (3.75x10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml) were loaded with Fluo-8 No Wash dye (Cat# 36316, AAT Bioquest®, Inc.) for 60 min at RT. Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization in response to CXCL8 was measured by using a fluorometric-imaging plate reader (FLIPR<sup>TETRA</sup>, Molecular Devices).

#### In vivo leukocytes mobilization

The recruitment of leukocytes into the peritoneal cavity after i.p. administration of human CXCL8 (300 ng) or LPS (15 ng)<sup>18</sup> at the indicated time points was analyzed in control and CCRL2-deficient mice by flow cytometry. Human CXCL8 is known to activate murine CXCR2<sup>19</sup> although with a lower affinity than other CXCR2 mouse ligands<sup>20</sup>.

#### **Experimental Arthritis**

Collagen-Induced Arthritis (CIA) was induced in 8- to 12-week-old male CCRL2-deficient and control mice as previously described<sup>21</sup>. CIA was induced in DBA1 mice with 100  $\mu$ g denatured type II bovine collagen (MD Biosciences) emulsified in CFA. For the induction of Serum-Transfer Induced Arthritis (STIA), mice were i.p. administered with 150  $\mu$ l serum from K/BxN transgenic mice (kindly provided by D. Mathis and C. Benoist)<sup>22</sup>. Paws were scored for disease severity as described <sup>21</sup>. At the end of the experiment, the joints were removed, fixed, decalcified, and paraffin embedded. Sections (4  $\mu$ m) were stained with H&E and Ly6G. Antigen-induced arthritis was induced by intradermally immunization with metBSA as previously described<sup>23</sup>. Anti-collagen antibodies in mouse sera were measured by Arthrogen-CIA ELISA kit (Chondrex)<sup>21</sup>.

#### **BM transplantation**

Control or CCRL2-deficient mice were lethally irradiated with a total dose of 9 Gy. Then, 2 hrs later, mice were injected in the tail vein with  $5 \times 10^6$  nucleated control or CCRL2-deficient BM cells. At 8 weeks after bone marrow transplantation, the STIA model was performed.

#### **Real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted with RNeasy kit (Quiagen). Real-time quantitative PCR reactions were performed on a MJ Real Time PCR system (Biorad), using a SYBR Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems)<sup>16</sup>.

#### Under-flow adhesion assay

Neutrophil behavior in underflow conditions was studied with the BioFlux 200 system (Fluxion Biosciences). 48-well plate microfluidics were first co-coated overnight at RT with 2.5  $\mu$ g/ml murine E-selectin and 5  $\mu$ g/ml murine ICAM-1 in PBS. Before use, microfluidic channels were washed with PBS and then coated with 4  $\mu$ M CXCL8 for 3 hrs at RT and the assay was done at shear stress of 2 dyne/cm<sup>2 24</sup>.

#### **Intravital Microscopy**

Intravital microscopy was performed in the synovial microcirculation of mouse knee, as described<sup>23</sup>. Briefly, the left hind limb was placed on a stage, the patellar tendon mobilized and partly resected, and the intraarticular knee synovial tissue visualized. To measure the leukocyte–endothelial cell interactions, the fluorescent marker rhodamine 6G (Sigma) was i.v. injected (0.15 mg/kg) before the measurements. Images were captured with an Axiocam 503 Mono digital camera (Zeiss).

#### Elastase release

BM neutrophils (10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml) preincubated with cytochalasin B were treated with CXCL8 or CXCL1. Elastase release was determined as elastase activity measured in conditioned cell media and fluorescence was monitored (370/460nm, EnSight<sup>TM</sup> Multimode Plate Reader, PerkinElmer).

#### Time-lapse microscopy assay

BM purified neutrophils were o/n LPS stimulated, then seeded on matrigel pre-coated glass plate. Micropipette (FemtotipII, Eppendorf) was loaded with 10µl of CXCL8 (100µg/ml) and injected at 15hPa pressure. Acquisition was performed with Axio Cam MRm (Zeiss Microscopy).

#### **FRET** experiments

For homodimer studies HEK293T cells were cotransfected with a constant amount of CXCR2-CFP  $(1.5\mu\text{g/well}, 3x10^5 \text{ cells})$  and increasing amounts of CXCR2-YFP  $(0.125-4.5\mu\text{g/well})^{25}$  or CCRL2-CFP  $(1\mu\text{g/well})$  and CCRL2-YFP  $(0.15-2.0\mu\text{g/well})$ . For heterodimer determinations CCRL2-CFP  $(1.5\mu\text{g/well})$  and CXCR2-YFP  $(0.25-5.5\mu\text{g/well})$  were used. To determine the spectral signature, cells were transiently transfected with CFP or YFP<sup>26</sup>. For FRET determination by photobleaching, HEK293T cells were transiently cotransfected with CCRL2-CFP  $(0.2 \ \mu\text{g/well})$  for  $3.5x10^4$  cells)/CXCR2-YFP  $(0.8 \ \mu\text{g/well})$ . Cells  $(3.5x10^4 \ \text{cells/well})$ , cultured in coverslip chambers (Nunc) precoated with fibronectin were imaged 48 h after cDNA transfection<sup>25</sup>. To establish the influence of CCRL2 expression on CXCR2/CXCR2 homodimers in FRET saturation curves, cells were transfection, these cells were cotransfected with CXCR2-CFP  $(1.5 \ \mu\text{g/well}, 3x10^5 \ \text{cells})$  and CXCR2-YFP  $(0.125-4.5 \ \mu\text{g/well})$ .

### Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using Student t test, Mann-Whitney U test, and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), as appropriate. Results were analyzed using GraphPad PRISM 5.0.

#### Results

#### Neutrophil recruitment is defective in CCRL2-deficient mice

Freshly isolated mouse neutrophils were found to express basal levels of membrane CCRL2. Culturing neutrophil in the absence of stimulation induced CCRL2 downregulation (105±11 and 57±10, MFI±SEM of fresh vs. 18 hrs cultured neutrophils). On the contrary, overnight stimulation with LPS or with the combination of pro-inflammatory agonists (i.e. LPS, TNF $\alpha$  and IFN $\gamma$ ), caused a strong increase in CCRL2 expression with the majority of the cells co-expressing CCRL2 and CXCR2 (Fig. 1A). Chemotactic agonists, namely fMLP, C5a and CXCL8 did not regulate CCRL2 expression (data not shown). To investigate the biological role of CCRL2, neutrophil recruitment was evaluated in vivo two hrs after the intraperitoneal injection of LPS. A marked reduction in neutrophil count was observed in CCRL2-deficient mice, compared to WT animals (Fig. 1B, left panel). Of note, at this time point, the expression of CCRL2 was already upregulated in the cells recovered from the peritoneal cavity of WT mice (Fig. 1B, right panel). A marked reduction of neutrophil recruitment was also observed in response to the intraperitoneal injection of CXCL8 (Fig. 1C) and after the administration of methylated bovine serum albumin into the knee joint of previously immunized CCRL2-deficient mice (Fig. 1D). These results were not due to reduced bone marrow mobilization, since similar numbers of CD11b<sup>+</sup>/Ly6G<sup>+</sup> cells were detected in the bone marrow and in circulation of WT and CCRL2-deficient mice after CXCL8 administration (Supplementary Fig. 2).

#### CCRL2-deficient mice are protected in experimental models of inflammatory arthritis

Different mouse models of experimental arthritis have highlighted the crucial role of neutrophils in the development of inflammatory joint diseases. Neutrophil recruitment to the inflamed joint is accomplished through the sequential activation of multiple chemokine receptors, which involves first the receptor for the lipid inflammatory mediator leukotriene B4 (LTB4) and then the chemokine receptors CXCR1/CXCR2 and CCR1<sup>23,27,28</sup>.

CCRL2-deficient mice were tested first in the model of collagen-induced arthritis to study the priming phase, consisting in the activation of the specific immune response to collagen type II, as well as the inflammatory effector phase of the disease, characterized by local inflammation, cartilage and joint destruction<sup>29</sup>. Figure 2A shows that CCRL2-deficient mice were protected and developed arthritis with a lower incidence compared to WT controls (16.67% vs. 34.48% respectively; data not shown). CCRL2-deficient mice showed also a statistical significant delay in the onset of the disease (day +25 vs. day +20, in CCRL2 KO vs. WT mice, respectively) and a marked decrease in its severity. Consistent with these results, histopathological examination highlighted a marked reduction in synovial inflammation, pannus formation and erosion of the articular cartilage (Fig. 2B and C). The reduced severity of disease observed in CCRL2-deficient mice was not associated with changes in anti-collagen type II antibody serum levels (Fig. 2D), suggesting that CCRL2-associated protection is mostly confined to the inflammatory effector phase rather than on the induction phase of the disease. Of interest, the repeated administration of an anti-CCRL2 moAb to DBA1 mice, a strain more susceptible to CIA (100% incidence at day 36) than C57BL/6 mice<sup>30</sup>, produced a degree of protection comparable to that observed in CCRL2-deficient animals (Fig. 3A).

The effector phase of arthritis was further investigated using the experimental model of the K/BxN serum transfer-induced arthritis (STIA)<sup>31</sup>. STIA is a more rapid and aggressive model than CIA that it was found to be suitable for the preclinical study of new therapeutic strategies<sup>32</sup>. Fig. 3B depicts that also in this model, the appearance of the clinical symptoms was delayed in CCRL2-deficient mice with a maximal clinical score at the peak of disease (day +4) that was only 43 % of that observed in WT animals. Also in the STIA model, the administration of an anti-CCRL2 moAb induced in WT animals a degree of protection that was similar to that observed in CCRL2-deficient mice. Immunohistochemical analysis of Ly6G<sup>+</sup> cells revealed that neutrophil infiltration was strongly reduced in CCRL2 KO and in WT mice treated with an anti-CCRL2 moAb, compared to WT mice (Fig 3C). At day +4, the circulating levels of IL-6, a systemic marker of inflammation, were significantly reduced in CCRL2 and the T cell attracting chemokine CCL5. As expected based on previous work <sup>33</sup>, in CCRL2 KO mice, serum levels of chemerin were increased by 26.7% (Fig. 3D).

Bone marrow chimera obtained by WT and CCRL2-deficient bone marrow transfer identified hematopoietic cells as the major component conferring protection in KO mice in STIA. Indeed, transplantation of CCRL2-deficient bone marrow cells in WT mice recapitulated the protective phenotype observed in KO mice transplanted with CCRL2-deficient bone marrow cells, while transplantation of WT bone marrow cells in KO mice abolished the protective phenotype (Supplementary Fig. 3). Finally, adoptive transfer of WT, but not CCRL2-deficient neutrophils abolished the protection of CCRL2-deficient mice, identifying these cells as the main CCRL2-expressing population responsible for the protective phenotype observed in CCRL2-deficient mice (Fig. 3E).

#### CCRL2-deficient neutrophils are defective in CXCR2-mediated signaling

To investigate the mechanisms responsible for the defective *in vivo* neutrophil migration, a more detailed analysis was performed by intravital microscopy using the model of metBSA-induced arthritis. As shown in Figure 4A, 24 hrs after the administration of the antigen into the knee of immunized WT mice, numerous cells were found adherent to the vessels located in the knee that received the antigen (left knee). No adherent cells were observed in the control joint (right knee) that received only saline (see also Supplementary Movie 1 and 2). On the contrary, the inflamed joints (left knee) of CCRL2-deficient animals showed a strong reduction of endothelial cell-adherent leukocytes, with the majority of the cells undergoing the rolling process on the endothelial layer (Figure 4A and Supplementary Movie 3 and 4). These results strongly suggested that CCRL2-deficient neutrophils may have a defect in integrin mediated arrest.

To address this hypothesis, the ability of bone marrow-purified neutrophils to undergo rolling and adhesion was investigated *in vitro* under flow conditions. At the shear stress of 2 dyne/cm<sup>2</sup>, which resembles the physiological shear stress normally acting in postcapillary venules, CCRL2-deficient neutrophils showed a defective ability to undergo rapid (1 sec) arrest on E-selectin-, ICAM-1- and CXCL8-coated glass capillaries. As expected, a higher number of rolling cells was counted using CCRL2 KO neutrophils compared to WT cells (Fig. 4B). This defect was best observed at the very early time points of arrest, becoming much less dramatic when the arrest parameter was set at 10 secs, a time point more likely consistent with phenomena of post-binding stabilization and, possibly, outside-in signaling. Consistent with *in vivo* findings (Fig. 3), treatment with an anti-CCRL2 moAb recapitulated the defective arrest observed with CCRL2-deficient neutrophils (Fig. 4B). These findings clearly support the concurrent regulatory cooperation of CCRL2 and CXCR2 in triggering  $\beta$ 2-integrin activation and mediated rapid arrest.

To better understand the molecular basis for the defective cell adhesion, the CXCR2mediated signaling was investigated. Stimulation of freshly isolated CCRL2-deficient neutrophils with CXCL8 produced lower levels of phospho-ERK along the entire kinetics investigated, when compared to WT cells (Fig. 4C). This defect was specific for CXCL8, since normal ERK1/2 phosphorylation was observed in CCRL2-deficient cells stimulated with CCL3, LTB4 or PMA (Supplementary Fig. 4). Similarly, CXCL8-stimulated CCRL2-deficient neutrophils showed defective phosphorylation of RhoA and Rac1 small GTPases, two key elements in chemotactic receptor signaling (Fig. 4D and E). Consistently with these results, the ability of CXCL8 to induce calcium fluxes was reduced in CCRL2-deficient neutrophils compared to WT cells starting at concentrations as low as 30 nM CXCL8 with respective EC<sub>50</sub> values of 125.4 nM and 251.0 nM CXCL8 (Fig. 4F). CCRL2 KO neutrophils also displayed reduced release of elastase in response to CXCL8 or CXCL1, but not in response to fMLP (Fig. 5A and data not shown). On the contrary, neutrophil chemotaxis, investigated *in vitro* using the modified Boyden chamber assay, showed a normal migration of CCRL2-deficient cells in response to a panel of chemotactic agonists, including lipids (i.e. LTB4 and platelet activating factor) and chemokines (i.e. CXCL1, CXCL8 and CCL3). No migration of WT or CCRL2-deficient neutrophils was observed in response to the chemotactic protein chemerin, confirming the lack of expression of ChemR23 by both resting and activated neutrophils (Fig. 5B and data not shown)<sup>34</sup>. Using time-lapse microscopy migration assays, CCRL2-deficient neutrophils showed a normal ability to orient and migrate to a CXCL8 gradient on a matrigel-coated surface (Fig. 5C, left panel)<sup>35</sup>. However, following LPS activation, which upregulates CCRL2 expression (Fig. 1), CCRL2-deficient neutrophils revealed a reduced velocity in response to a CXCL8 gradient, compared to WT cells, suggesting that the upregulation of CCRL2 is associated with a positive regulation of the chemotactic response to CXCL8 possibly related to a better interaction of WT cells with extracellular matrix components (Fig. 5E, right panel).

#### CCRL2 and CXCR2 form both homodimers and heterodimers

Heterodimers between receptors have been proposed as a mechanism that modulates chemokine functions<sup>36-39</sup>. To investigate the molecular basis of CCRL2 regulation of CXCR2 signaling and function we evaluated the possibility that these two receptors, when co-expressed, may form heterodimers. We generated FRET saturation curves using HEK293T cells transiently cotransfected with constant amounts of donor (CXCR2- or CCRL2-CFP) and increasing amounts of acceptor (CXCR2- or CCRL2-YFP). Positive FRET was observed for CXCR2 and CCRL2 homodimers (Fig. 6A and B) and for CCRL2/CXCR2 heterodimers (Fig. 6C). As a negative control, we used the Histamine 3 receptor (H3R), indicating the specificity of the interaction between CCRL2 and CXCR2 (Fig. 6A and B).

To corroborate these data and to determine the intracellular localization of the heterodimeric complexes, we transiently cotransfected HEK293T cells with CCRL2-CFP (donor) and CXCR2-YFP (acceptor) at a YFP/CFP ratio at which the FRET<sub>50</sub> signal was detected in saturation curves (Fig. 6C), and determined FRET by the acceptor photobleaching method. To verify that transfection ratios corresponded to the equivalent YFP/CFP ratio determined, we measured YFP and CFP fluorescence separately in each image. CCRL2 and CXCR2 heterodimers were detected both at the cell membrane and in the cytoplasm (Fig. 6D), confirming heterodimerization between the two receptors and suggesting the existence of a pool of receptors retained intracellularly. Of note, FRET

efficiency was higher for the intracellular complexes indicating differences in the conformation of the heterodimer depending on the cell localization evaluated. The intracellular retention of CCRL2/CXCR2 complexes was confirmed by FACS using an anti-CXCR2 specific moAb. The levels of CXCR2 at the cell membrane were reduced by 25.8% when HEK293T cells were co-transfected with CCRL2 (Fig. 6E). In agreement with these data, freshly isolated neutrophils obtained from CCRL2-deficient mice were characterized by a corresponding increase of membrane MFI when stained with an anti-CXCR2 moAb, suggesting the KO cells express higher levels of membrane CXCR2 than WT neutrophils (Fig. 6F).

#### CCRL2 expression modulates CXCR2 homodimeric complexes

FRET was also used to determine whether CCRL2 expression influences CXCR2 homodimer conformation. HEK293T cells were transfected with CCRL2 or empty vector, then cotransfected with constant amounts of CXCR2-CFP (donor) and increasing amounts of CXCR2-YFP (acceptor). The CCRL2 expression was analyzed by flow cytometry at each CXCR2-YFP/CXCR2-CFP ratio (Fig. 7A and data not shown). CCRL2 significantly altered FRET saturation curves for CXCR2 homodimer complexes, as indicated by the change in the FRET<sub>50</sub> values ( $3.52 \pm 0.85$  for CXCR2-CFP/CXCR2-YFP + pcDNA3.1 and  $2.04 \pm 0.56$  for CXCR2-CFP/CXCR2-YFP + pcDNA3.1 and  $2.04 \pm 0.56$  for CXCR2-CFP/CXCR2-YFP + pcDNA3.1 CCRL2) (P<0.05) whereas FRET<sub>max</sub> values were unchanged (Fig. 7B and C). Energy transfer efficiency depends on the relative orientation and distance between the CXCR2-coupled fluorescent proteins; modifications in the FRET<sub>50</sub> values indicate changes in the apparent affinity between the two partners and suggest that CCRL2 coexpression alters CXCR2 homodimers.

#### Discussion

Inflammation is characterized by the regulated recruitment of leukocytes at the site of injury, with neutrophils usually being the first recruited cell population<sup>15,40</sup>. The results presented here show that the expression of CCRL2 is critical for full CXCR2 signaling and  $\beta$ 2-integrin activation in stimulated neutrophils.

The relevance of CCRL2 upregulation under inflammatory conditions is well documented by the use of CCRL2-deficient mice in two models of inflammatory arthritis. These models directly rely on neutrophil recruitment<sup>23,27,28,41</sup>. CCRL2-deficient mice were strongly protected in terms of onset, tissue damage and severity of the disease, with respect to WT animals. Of note, the administration of an anti-CCRL2 moAb to WT mice induced a degree of protection comparable to that observed in CCRL2-deficient animals. The two experimental models of arthritis used involve the action of multiple effector cells, including macrophages and mast cells<sup>32</sup>. By adoptive transfer experiments performed in the STIA model we have excluded a role of CCRL2 expression in mast cells (data not shown), although we cannot exclude the involvement of other  $CCRL2^+$  effector cells. However, since the adoptive transfer of WT neutrophils reversed the protective phenotype of CCRL2 KO mice, neutrophils are likely to be the main cell subset regulated by CCRL2 expression in STIA. CCRL2 mRNA was reported to be expressed by neutrophils purified from the synovial fluid of rheumatoid arthritis patients<sup>42</sup>. Although human neutrophils differ from the murine counterpart in many aspects, including membrane markers, cytokine production and functions<sup>43,44</sup>, these results candidate CCRL2 as a novel potential target in rheumatoid arthritis possibly to be exploited as a complementary therapy in low-responder patients<sup>45,46</sup>.

CXCR2-mediated signaling was impaired in CCRL2-deficient neutrophils and this defect is likely to be responsible for the reduced activation of  $\beta$ 2-integrins. In this context it is interesting to note that  $\beta$ 2-integrin expression on neutrophils was reported to be crucial for arthritis development in STIA<sup>47</sup>. In the attempt to clarify the molecular mechanisms responsible for this effect, it was observed that CCRL2 and CXCR2 form homo and heterodimers. CCRL2/CXCR2 heterodimerization was found to regulate CXCR2 membrane expression and signaling, and to modulate the formation of CXCR2 homodimeric complexes.

GCPRs, including chemokine receptors, are known to form homo and heterodimers and this process is known to regulate their functions, including intracellular trafficking and signaling pathways<sup>36-39,48</sup>. Two members of the ACKR family were previously reported to form both homo and heterodimers. ACKR1 can constitutively form heterodimers with CCR5, a receptor with which it shares the ligand, namely CCL5<sup>6</sup>. The functional result of ACKR1/CCR5 heterodimerization is

the inhibition of CCR5 signaling and activity<sup>49</sup>. Similarly, ACKR3 forms constitutive heterodimers with CXCR4, a receptor with which it shares the ligand, CXCL12. The formation of ACKR3/CXCR4 heterodimers was reported to be crucial for CXCL12-induced intracellular signaling (e.g. calcium flux and ERK1/2 phosphorylation)<sup>37,38</sup>. Thus, ACKR1 and ACKR3 can form oligomers with receptors with which they share the same ligand. In this scenario, CCRL2 is apparently unique among the atypical chemotactic receptors, since it forms heterodimers and regulates the function of CXCR2, the receptor for CXCL8, a chemokine that does not bind CCRL2.

Chemokines have fundamental roles in regulating immune and inflammatory responses and during evolution several strategies developed to control their biological activity<sup>6,8,50</sup>, ACKRs being one of such strategies. In contrast to classic chemokine receptors, ACKRs are generally expressed by non-leukocyte cell types, such as barrier cells (i.e. epithelial and endothelial cells) and do not activate G protein-dependent signaling<sup>6,8,9,51</sup>. Rather, upon binding of their ligands, ACKRs transport chemokines to intracellular degradative compartments or in certain cell types, to the opposite side of the cell monolayer by a  $\beta$ -arrestin-dependent pathway<sup>52,53</sup>. These scavenging properties make ACKRs important molecules in the regulation of the inflammatory response<sup>1,9</sup>. At difference from the other ACKRs, CCRL2 is expressed by leukocytes, including macrophages, dendritic cells, mast cells, microglia and neutrophils. In addition, CCRL2 does not apparently internalize in a constitutive manner or activate  $\beta$ -arrestin-dependent pathways<sup>10-12</sup>. Nevertheless, CCRL2 was reported to regulate the immune response in a model of IgE-mediated cutaneous anaphylaxis and in a model of lung hypersensitivity $^{12,16}$ . In this regard it is interesting to note that in CCRL2-deficient mice, lung dendritic cells were reported to be defective in their migration to mediastinal lymph nodes<sup>16</sup>, a process known to be dependent on CCR7 and CCR8<sup>54</sup>. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that CCRL2 might also regulate the function of other chemokine receptors.

In conclusions, these results identify a novel pathway of regulation of neutrophil recruitment dependent on the expression of the atypical receptor CCRL2. Although *in vivo* we cannot formally exclude the involvement of other receptors, our data strongly suggest that CXCR2 is a main target of CCRL2 regulation. The spectrum and structural components of CCRL2 tuning functions still remain to be fully elucidated. Nevertheless, the results obtained using gene modified mice and the anti-CCRL2 moAb candidate this receptor as a potential target for inhibiting neutrophil sustained inflammatory conditions.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by European Project Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking– funded project BeTheCure (Contract 115142-2); AIRC (Associazione Italiana Ricerca sul Cancro); Fondazione Berlucchi; IAP (Interuniversity Attraction Poles) 7-40 program, and by grants from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (SAF-2014-53416-R) and the RETICS Program (RD 12/0009/009 RIER, RD16/0012/0006) to M.M. and L.M.M. L.M.M. is supported by the COMFUTURO program from FGCSIC (Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness General foundation). AM is recipient of an ERC Advanced Grant by European Commission. We thank Raffaella Bonecchi and Davide Capoferri for the help in the evaluation of bone marrow neutrophil mobilization and time-lapse migration experiments, respectively.

#### **Author Contribution**

D.P.A., F.S., D.B, E.S. performed and analysed *in vivo* experiments. L.M.M and M.M. performed and analysed FRET experiments. C.M., L.G., L.T., V.S., L.Z., C.L. and C.L. performed and analysed *in vitro* experiments. D.P.A, A.V., C.L., L.M.M., M.M. and A.M. contributed to the writing and reviewing of the manuscript. S.S. planned the experiments, directed the all project and wrote the manuscript.

#### **Competing financial interests**

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

#### References

1. Mantovani A, Bonecchi R, Locati M. Tuning inflammation and immunity by chemokine sequestration: decoys and more. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2006;6(12):907-918.

2. Wright HL, Moots RJ, Edwards SW. The multifactorial role of neutrophils in rheumatoid arthritis. *Nat Rev Rheumatol*. 2014;10(10):593-601.

3. Ley K, Laudanna C, Cybulsky MI, Nourshargh S. Getting to the site of inflammation: the leukocyte adhesion cascade updated. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2007;7(9):678-689.

4. Alon R, Ley K. Cells on the run: shear-regulated integrin activation in leukocyte rolling and arrest on endothelial cells. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*. 2008;20(5):525-532.

5. Montresor A, Toffali L, Constantin G, Laudanna C. Chemokines and the signaling modules regulating integrin affinity. *Front Immunol.* 2012;3:127.

6. Bachelerie F, Ben-Baruch A, Burkhardt AM, et al. International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. [corrected]. LXXXIX. Update on the extended family of chemokine receptors and introducing a new nomenclature for atypical chemokine receptors. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2014;66(1):1-79.

7. Mantovani A, Locati M, Vecchi A, Sozzani S, Allavena P. Decoy receptors: a strategy to regulate inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. *Trends Immunol.* 2001;22(6):328-336.

8. Bonecchi R, Graham GJ. Atypical Chemokine Receptors and Their Roles in the Resolution of the Inflammatory Response. *Front Immunol*. 2016;7:224.

9. Nibbs RJ, Graham GJ. Immune regulation by atypical chemokine receptors. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2013;13(11):815-829.

10. Del Prete A, Bonecchi R, Vecchi A, Mantovani A, Sozzani S. CCRL2, a fringe member of the atypical chemoattractant receptor family. *Eur J Immunol*. 2013;43(6):1418-1422.

11. De Henau O, Degroot GN, Imbault V, et al. Signaling Properties of Chemerin Receptors CMKLR1, GPR1 and CCRL2. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(10):e0164179.

12. Zabel BA, Nakae S, Zuniga L, et al. Mast cell-expressed orphan receptor CCRL2 binds chemerin and is required for optimal induction of IgE-mediated passive cutaneous anaphylaxis. *J Exp Med.* 2008;205(10):2207-2220.

13. Gonzalvo-Feo S, Del Prete A, Pruenster M, et al. Endothelial cell-derived chemerin promotes dendritic cell transmigration. *J Immunol*. 2014;192(5):2366-2373.

14. Kolaczkowska E, Kubes P. Neutrophil recruitment and function in health and inflammation. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2013;13(3):159-175.

15. Mantovani A, Cassatella MA, Costantini C, Jaillon S. Neutrophils in the activation and regulation of innate and adaptive immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2011;11(8):519-531.

16. Otero K, Vecchi A, Hirsch E, et al. Nonredundant role of CCRL2 in lung dendritic cell trafficking. *Blood*. 2010;116(16):2942-2949.

17. Del Prete A, Vermi W, Dander E, et al. Defective dendritic cell migration and activation of adaptive immunity in PI3Kgamma-deficient mice. *EMBO J.* 2004;23(17):3505-3515.

18. Hasenberg M, Kohler A, Bonifatius S, et al. Rapid immunomagnetic negative enrichment of neutrophil granulocytes from murine bone marrow for functional studies in vitro and in vivo. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(2):e17314.

19. Hirsch E, Katanaev VL, Garlanda C, et al. Central role for G protein-coupled phosphoinositide 3-kinase gamma in inflammation. *Science*. 2000;287(5455):1049-1053.

20. Bozic CR, Gerard NP, von Uexkull-Guldenband C, et al. The murine interleukin 8 type B receptor homologue and its ligands. Expression and biological characterization. *J Biol Chem*. 1994;269(47):29355-29358.

21. Shao WH, Del Prete A, Bock CB, Haribabu B. Targeted disruption of leukotriene B4 receptors BLT1 and BLT2: a critical role for BLT1 in collagen-induced arthritis in mice. *J Immunol*. 2006;176(10):6254-6261.

22. Kouskoff V, Korganow AS, Duchatelle V, Degott C, Benoist C, Mathis D. Organ-specific disease provoked by systemic autoimmunity. *Cell*. 1996;87(5):811-822.

23. Coelho FM, Pinho V, Amaral FA, et al. The chemokine receptors CXCR1/CXCR2 modulate antigen-induced arthritis by regulating adhesion of neutrophils to the synovial microvasculature. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008;58(8):2329-2337.

24. Bolomini-Vittori M, Montresor A, Giagulli C, et al. Regulation of conformer-specific activation of the integrin LFA-1 by a chemokine-triggered Rho signaling module. *Nat Immunol*. 2009;10(2):185-194.

25. Martinez Munoz L, Lucas P, Navarro G, et al. Dynamic regulation of CXCR1 and CXCR2 homo- and heterodimers. *J Immunol*. 2009;183(11):7337-7346.

26. Zimmermann T, Rietdorf J, Girod A, Georget V, Pepperkok R. Spectral imaging and linear un-mixing enables improved FRET efficiency with a novel GFP2-YFP FRET pair. *FEBS Lett.* 2002;531(2):245-249.

27. Tanaka D, Kagari T, Doi H, Shimozato T. Essential role of neutrophils in anti-type II collagen antibody and lipopolysaccharide-induced arthritis. *Immunology*. 2006;119(2):195-202.

28. Chou RC, Kim ND, Sadik CD, et al. Lipid-cytokine-chemokine cascade drives neutrophil recruitment in a murine model of inflammatory arthritis. *Immunity*. 2010;33(2):266-278.

29. Brand DD, Latham KA, Rosloniec EF. Collagen-induced arthritis. *Nat Protoc*. 2007;2(5):1269-1275.

30. Campbell IK, Hamilton JA, Wicks IP. Collagen-induced arthritis in C57BL/6 (H-2b) mice: new insights into an important disease model of rheumatoid arthritis. *Eur J Immunol*. 2000;30(6):1568-1575.

31. Monach PA, Mathis D, Benoist C. The K/BxN arthritis model. *Curr Protoc Immunol*. 2008;Chapter 15:Unit 15 22.

32. Christensen AD, Haase C, Cook AD, Hamilton JA. K/BxN Serum-Transfer Arthritis as a Model for Human Inflammatory Arthritis. *Front Immunol*. 2016;7:213.

33. Monnier J, Lewen S, O'Hara E, et al. Expression, regulation, and function of atypical chemerin receptor CCRL2 on endothelial cells. *J Immunol*. 2012;189(2):956-967.

34. Bondue B, Wittamer V, Parmentier M. Chemerin and its receptors in leukocyte trafficking, inflammation and metabolism. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2011;22(5-6):331-338.

35. Jannat RA, Robbins GP, Ricart BG, Dembo M, Hammer DA. Neutrophil adhesion and chemotaxis depend on substrate mechanics. *J Phys Condens Matter*. 2010;22(19):194117.

36. Mellado M, Rodriguez-Frade JM, Vila-Coro AJ, et al. Chemokine receptor homo- or heterodimerization activates distinct signaling pathways. *EMBO J*. 2001;20(10):2497-2507.

37. Sierro F, Biben C, Martinez-Munoz L, et al. Disrupted cardiac development but normal hematopoiesis in mice deficient in the second CXCL12/SDF-1 receptor, CXCR7. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007;104(37):14759-14764.

38. Levoye A, Balabanian K, Baleux F, Bachelerie F, Lagane B. CXCR7 heterodimerizes with CXCR4 and regulates CXCL12-mediated G protein signaling. *Blood*. 2009;113(24):6085-6093.

39. Barroso R, Martinez Munoz L, Barrondo S, et al. EBI2 regulates CXCL13-mediated responses by heterodimerization with CXCR5. *FASEB J*. 2012;26(12):4841-4854.

40. Nauseef WM, Borregaard N. Neutrophils at work. *Nat Immunol*. 2014;15(7):602-611.

41. Eyles JL, Hickey MJ, Norman MU, et al. A key role for G-CSF-induced neutrophil production and trafficking during inflammatory arthritis. *Blood*. 2008;112(13):5193-5201.

42. Galligan CL, Matsuyama W, Matsukawa A, et al. Up-regulated expression and activation of the orphan chemokine receptor, CCRL2, in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2004;50(6):1806-1814.

43. Tecchio C, Cassatella MA. Neutrophil-derived chemokines on the road to immunity. *Semin Immunol.* 2016;28(2):119-128.

44. Zschaler J, Schlorke D, Arnhold J. Differences in innate immune response between man and mouse. *Crit Rev Immunol.* 2014;34(5):433-454.

45. Udalova IA, Mantovani A, Feldmann M. Macrophage heterogeneity in the context of rheumatoid arthritis. *Nat Rev Rheumatol*. 2016;12(8):472-485.

46. Gottenberg JE, Brocq O, Perdriger A, et al. Non-TNF-Targeted Biologic vs a Second Anti-TNF Drug to Treat Rheumatoid Arthritis in Patients With Insufficient Response to a First Anti-TNF Drug: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2016;316(11):1172-1180.

47. Monach PA, Nigrovic PA, Chen M, et al. Neutrophils in a mouse model of autoantibodymediated arthritis: critical producers of Fc receptor gamma, the receptor for C5a, and lymphocyte function-associated antigen 1. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2010;62(3):753-764.

48. Thelen M, Munoz LM, Rodriguez-Frade JM, Mellado M. Chemokine receptor oligomerization: functional considerations. *Curr Opin Pharmacol*. 2010;10(1):38-43.

49. Chakera A, Seeber RM, John AE, Eidne KA, Greaves DR. The duffy antigen/receptor for chemokines exists in an oligomeric form in living cells and functionally antagonizes CCR5 signaling through hetero-oligomerization. *Mol Pharmacol.* 2008;73(5):1362-1370.

50. Rot A, von Andrian UH. Chemokines in innate and adaptive host defense: basic chemokinese grammar for immune cells. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2004;22:891-928.

51. Bonecchi R, Savino B, Borroni EM, Mantovani A, Locati M. Chemokine decoy receptors: structure-function and biological properties. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.* 2010;341:15-36.

52. Colditz IG, Schneider MA, Pruenster M, Rot A. Chemokines at large: in-vivo mechanisms of their transport, presentation and clearance. *Thromb Haemost*. 2007;97(5):688-693.

53. Borroni EM, Cancellieri C, Vacchini A, et al. beta-arrestin-dependent activation of the cofilin pathway is required for the scavenging activity of the atypical chemokine receptor D6. *Sci Signal*. 2013;6(273):ra30 31-11, S31-33.

54. Jakubzick C, Tacke F, Llodra J, van Rooijen N, Randolph GJ. Modulation of dendritic cell trafficking to and from the airways. *J Immunol*. 2006;176(6):3578-3584.

55. Bonecchi R, Facchetti F, Dusi S, et al. Induction of functional IL-8 receptors by IL-4 and IL-13 in human monocytes. *J Immunol*. 2000;164(7):3862-3869.

#### **Figure legends**

#### Figure 1. Defective neutrophil recruitment in CCRL2-deficient mice

(A) Cytofluorimetric profiles of CXCR2 and CCRL2 expression in bone marrow purified (BM) neutrophils from WT and CCRL2-deficient mice (CCRL2 KO) stimulated with TNFa (20 ng/ml), LPS (100 ng/ml), a combination of TNFa, LPS and 50 ng/ml IFNy (MIX) or medium for 18 hrs. Cells were stained with a rat anti-mouse CCRL2 moAb followed by an anti-rat PE moAb; and with a rat anti-mouse CXCR2-Alexa Flour 647 moAb. Representative plots from 3 independent experiments are shown in the left panel; right panels show summarized results of single CXCR2 and CCRL2 staining (\* P<0.05 by Student t-test). (B-C) Peritoneal recruited cells from WT and CCRL2 KO mice injected i.p. with LPS (15 ng/mouse) for 2 hrs (B) or CXCL8 (300 ng/mouse) for 4 hrs (C). Control mice received sterile phosphate buffered saline (saline). The number of CD11b<sup>+</sup>Ly6G<sup>+</sup> neutrophils/mouse was evaluated by FACS analysis. The results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM of 3 independent experiments for a total of 10 mice/group. In panel B, the right graph shows MFI values of CCRL2 expression by neutrophils collected 2 hrs after LPS or saline injection. \* P < 0.05 by Student *t*-test. (D) Synovial cavity recruited cells at the indicated time points after the injection of methylated bovine serum albumin (metBSA) or saline into the knee joints of metBSAimmunized mice. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM of 3 independent experiments (n=14); \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01 by Student *t*- test.

#### Figure 2. CCRL2-deficient mice are protected in collagen-induced arthritis (CIA)

(A) Clinical score of Collagen-induced arthritis (CIA) in WT and CCRL2-deficient (KO) mice immunized with chicken type II collagen. Scores from four paws were combined for each mouse, and total severity score for the group was divided by the number of arthritic mice to obtain an average severity score (clinical score 0–16 in the four paws). Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM from one representative experiment performed out of three (WT n=29; CCRL2 KO n=24 mice); \*\*\*P<0.001, WT vs. CCRL2 KO mice by two-way ANOVA. (B) Histopathology of a representative arthritic joint from WT and CCRL2 KO mice (magnification 4x). (C) Histopathological score of arthritic mice as evaluated for leukocyte infiltration, erosion, pannus, necrosis/fibrosis, loss of cartilage and bone integrity. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM of arthritic scores of one representative experiment (WT, n=8; CCRL2 KO, n=10); \*\*P<0.01, by Mann Whitney test. (D) Levels of total anti-collagen II IgG (µg/ml) measured in mouse sera at the end of the experiment (day +60).

#### Figure 3. CCRL2-deficient mice are protected in experimental inflammatory arthritis

(A) Clinical score of CIA in DBA1 mice treated three times a week with anti-CCRL2 or isotype control antibody (Iso Ctr) (100µg/mouse i.p.) starting the day before the first immunization with bovine type II collagen. One representative experiment out of two is shown; \*\*\* P<0.001 DBA1+anti-CCRL2 vs. DBA1+Iso Ctr by two-way ANOVA (n=10 per group). (B) Clinical score of serum transfer-induced arthritis (STIA) determined in CCRL2 KO, WT, and anti-CCRL2 antibody-treated WT mice (anti-CCRL2) (100 µg/mouse from day 0 to day4). STIA was induced by injection of 150 µl of K/BxN serum at day 0 (n=5 per group). Clinical score was daily assessed. One representative experiment out of three is shown; \*\*\* P<0.001, WT vs. CCRL2 KO or anti-CCRL2 group by two-way ANOVA. (C) For Ly6G staining, hyaluronidase-treated tissue sections were stained with a rat anti-mouse Ly6G antibody (BD Biosciences). Left panel: quantitative analysis of immunohistochemical staining for Ly6G<sup>+</sup> cells/mm<sup>2</sup> of joint sections scanned by VS120 Dot-Slide BX61 VS (Olympus Optical) and analyzed using Image Pro-Premiere software (Media Cybernetics). \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01 by one way ANOVA (n=6 mice per group). Right panel: representative images of Ly6G staining of arthritic joints from WT, anti-CCRL2 moAb-treated WT and CCRL2 KO mice (magnification 10x, and insets 20x). (D) Circulating levels of IL-6, CXCL1, CXCL2, CCL5 and chemerin in sera of WT and CCRL2-deficient mice at day +4 of STIA by Luminex Multiplex assay. (E) Clinical score of WT or CCRL2 KO mice receiving bone marrow neutrophils (PMN; 5x10<sup>6</sup>/mouse/day) from WT and CCRL2 KO mice in STIA model. Data (n=5 per group) from one representative experiment out of three are shown; P<0.05 PMN WT in WT or PMN WT in KO, or PMN KO in WT vs. PMN KO in KO by two-way ANOVA.

### Figure 4. Defective CXCL8-dependent $\beta$ 2-integrin activation and signaling in CCRL2deficient mice

(A) Intravital microscopy of the interaction between leukocytes and endothelial cells in the synovial microvasculature in WT and CCRL2-deficient mice (KO) previously immunized with metBSA. A leukocyte was considered adherent when stationary for at least 30 seconds, and total leukocyte adhesion was quantified as the number of adherent cells within a 100- $\mu$ m length of venule in 5 min. Left panel, representative images captured after saline (right) and antigen (left) injection into the knees. Scale bar=20  $\mu$ m. Right panel, quantitative analysis of cells adherent to the synovial endothelium. \*\*\*P<0.001, by Student *t*-test, n=7 mice/group. (B) Under flow adhesion of freshly BM-purified neutrophils from WT and CCRL2-deficient mice (KO) to immobilized E-selectin, ICAM-1, and CXCL8. Where indicated, WT neutrophils were pretreated with an anti-CCRL2, or isotype control, moAb for 30 minutes. The behavior of interacting neutrophils was recorded on

digital drive with fast CCD video camera (25 frames/s, capable of 1/2 subframe 20 msec recording) and analyzed subframe by subframe. Single areas of  $0.2 \text{ mm}^2$  were recorded for at least 60 sec. Interactions of 40 ms or longer were considered significant and scored <sup>24</sup>. Cells that remained firmly adherent for at least 1 sec were considered fully arrested. Cells arrested for at least 1 sec and then detached, or for 10 s and then remained adherent, were scored separately and plotted as independent groups. Data are shown as mean + SEM of three experiments performed in triplicates; \*\*\*P<0.001 WT vs. CCRL2 KO or WT+anti-CCRL2 by Student t-test. (C) ERK1/2 phosphorylation evaluated in CD11b<sup>+</sup>/Ly6G<sup>+</sup>-gated freshly isolated bone marrow cells stimulated with 100 ng/ml CXCL8 at the indicated time points. Results are expressed as % of increase of MFI of stimulated over unstimulated cells. The mean  $\pm$  SEM of 8 mice per group in duplicates is shown. \* P<0.05 by Student *t*-test. The activation of RhoA (**D**) and Rac1 (**E**) was evaluated in CD11b<sup>+</sup>/Ly6G<sup>+</sup>-gated freshly isolated bone marrow cells. Data are expressed as fold of increase of MFI of CXCL8 100 ng/ml stimulated over unstimulated cells (time 0) at the indicated time points. The mean + SEM of 10 (RhoA) and 8 (Rac1) mice per group are shown. \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01 by Student t-test. (F) Calcium fluxes of CXCL8-stimulated WT and CCLR2-deficient neutrophils. Fluo-8 NW-loaded freshly isolated BM neutrophils were exposed to increasing concentrations CXCL8, calcium traces are reported as  $\Delta F/F_0$  above time (left two panels), where  $\Delta F/F_0$  is the difference between the RFU and the basal fluorescence at time 0 ( $F_0$ ), normalized for  $F_0$ . Each curve represents the mean of 4 replicate wells. Right panel: concentration-response curves obtained calculating the calcium response as  $\Delta F/F_0$ , where  $\Delta F$  represents the difference between the maximum fluorescence signal in a selected time window (9-65 sec) and the minimum fluorescence signal occurring at sec 11, normalized for the basal fluorescence at time zero (F<sub>0</sub>). EC<sub>50</sub> values were 125 nM and 251 nM for WT and CCLR2-deficient neutrophils, respectively. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM, (n=4); p<0.0001 by Student t-test.

#### Figure 5. Role of CCRL2 in neutrophil functions

(A) Elastase release of WT and CCRL2-deficient neutrophils in response to CXCL8 and CXCL1 evaluated as elastase activity in cell supernatants. Representative results of one out of three independent experiments performed in triplicate are shown as the mean  $\pm$  SEM; \*\*P<0.01 by Student *t*-test. (B) Migration of BM neutrophils in response to LTB4 (100 nM), PAF (100 nM), CXCL1 (100 ng/ml), CXCL8 (100 ng/ml), CCL3 (100 ng/ml), chemerin (100 pM) evaluated in Boyden chambers as previously described<sup>55</sup>. Results are expressed as the mean number of migrated cells in five high-power fields (100x). Data are shown as the mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. (C) Migration of BM-purified neutrophils from WT or

CCRL2-deficient (KO) mice assessed by time-lapse microscopy. Representative tracking analyses of resting WT and CCRL2-deficient neutrophils in response to CXCL8 are shown in the left panel. Single cell speed toward CXCL8 of cells stimulated with LPS (100ng/ml) or left untreated in shown in the right panel. Single cell directionality and speed were analyzed with ImageJ software and data were re-elaborated using the open source software TimeLapseAnalyser available at http://www.informatik.uni-ulm.de/ni/staff/HKestler/tla/. In the right panel, the mean  $\pm$  SEM of the speed recorded in three independent experiments is shown, \*P<0.05 by one way ANOVA.

#### Figure 6. CCRL2 and CXCR2 form homo- and heterodimers in living cells

FRET saturation curves for CXCR2/CXCR2 (A), CCRL2/CCRL2 (B), and CXCR2/CCRL2 (C) complexes in HEK293T cells. Curves were obtained using cells transiently cotransfected with either the vector encoding CXCR2-CFP and increasing amounts of CXCR2-YFP plasmid, or the CCRL2-CFP plasmid and increasing amounts of CCRL2-YFP plasmid. For heterodimer evaluation, we used CXCR2-CFP plasmid and increasing amounts of CCRL2-YFP plasmid. For negative controls, cells were transfected with CXCR2-CFP or CCRL2-CFP plasmid and increasing amounts of H3R-YFP plasmid. Using ImageJ 1.43u software (NIH), FRET efficiency was determined on a pixel-by-pixel basis (E) and calculated in percent as  $E = [(ICFPpost - ICFPpre)/ICFPpost] \times 100$ , where ICFPpre and ICFPpost are the background-corrected CFP fluorescence intensities before and after YFP photobleaching, respectively. FRET efficiency was calculated from  $\geq 20$  images from each of three independent experiments. Data are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SEM of five independent experiments performed in duplicate. FRET<sub>50</sub> and FRETmax value were calculated using a nonlinear regression equation for a single binding site model, and are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM (n=5). (D) FRET analysis by acceptor photobleaching of CXCR2/CCRL2 heterodimers. Representative images are shown of CFP and YFP staining before photobleaching (CFP-pre, YFP-pre), as well as of CFP and YFP after photobleaching (CFP-post, YFP-post) and a zoom image of FRET at the photobleached areas (1 and 2) using a false color scale (inset). Only areas with a ~2:1 YFP:CFP ratio were selected for bleaching analysis (white outline). Areas in which the YFP:CFP ratio was unsuitable were not included in the analysis. In area 2, red dashed line indicates the position of the cell membrane. Percentage of FRET efficiency  $\pm$  SEM is shown for each photobleached area. (E) Membrane expression of CXCR2 in HEK293T cells transfected with CXCR2 alone (empty vector) or plus CCRL2 was determined by flow cytometry analysis using specific anti-CXCR2 moAb. Data are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments performed in duplicate, \*P<0.05 by Student *t*-test. (F) Membrane expression of CXCR2 on CD11b<sup>+</sup>Ly6G<sup>+</sup> BM-purified neutrophils

from WT and CCRL2-deficient mice. Data are expressed as relative MFI (mean  $\pm$  SEM of 6 mice per group). \*P<0.05, by Student *t*-test.

#### Figure 7. CCRL2 expression modulates the CXCR2 homodimeric conformation

(A) Membrane expression of CCRL2 in HEK293T cells transiently cotransfected with empty pcDNA3.1 (grey) or pcDNA3.1CCRL2+CXCR2-CFP/CXCR2-YFP (open) at a ratio of ~5 was determined by flow cytometry. CCRL2 expression is shown at a representative ratio of the curve, and is maintained at all ratios tested. (B) HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with pcDNA3.1 or pcDNA3.CCRL2. At 24 hrs post-transfection, the cells were cotransfected with a constant amount of CXCR2-CFP and increasing amounts of CXCR2-YFP. A representative experiment is shown. (C) FRET<sub>max</sub> and FRET<sub>50</sub> values were deduced from data analysis using nonlinear regression equation applied to a single binding site model and are representative of four independent experiments. Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM. FRET<sub>50</sub> values from cells co-expressing CXCR2-CFP/CXCR2-YFP + CCRL2 were significantly decreased in the four experiments compared with CXCR2-CFP/CXCR2-YFP+pcDNA3.1, \*P <0.05 Student *t*-test.





В

















D





<sup>0 1 2 3 4</sup> days after serum injection



В









D



Ε

inflamed











Del Prete et al.









DIMERS	FRET50	FRETmax
CXCR2-CFP/CXCR2-YFP	1.79 ± 0.27	$0.42\pm0.02$
CXCR2-CFP/H3R-YFP	ND	ND
CCRL2-CFP/CCRL2-YFP	$2.98 \pm 0.76$	$0.71 \pm 0.09$
CCRL2-CFP/H3R-YFP	ND	ND
CCRL2-CFP/CXCR2-YFP	$0.72 \pm 0.14$	$0.35\pm0.02$







□ WT ■ CCRL2 KO





HOMODIMER	FRET50	FRETmax
CXCR2-CFP/CXCR2-YFP + empty vector	3.52 ± 0.85	$0.40 \pm 0.04$
CXCR2-CFP/CXCR2YFP + CCRL2	$2.04 \pm 0.55$	$0.40 \pm 0.04$

#### **Supplementary information**

## Supplementary Figure 1. Evaluation of the main chemokine receptors and adhesion molecules expression in WT and CCRL2-deficient neutrophils

(A) The expression of the main chemokine receptors was detected by qPCR in WT and CCRL2deficient neutrophils and expressed as chemokine receptor mRNA/RPL32 mRNA ratio. (B) The expression of the major adhesion molecules by WT and CCRL2-deficient neutrophils was evaluated by FACS analysis. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments (n=6).

Supplementary Figure 2. Neutrophil mobilization in response to intravenous CXCL8 injection Number of neutrophils (PMN) in blood (A) and bone marrow (B) from WT and CCRL2-deficient mice were identified as CD11b<sup>+</sup>Ly6G<sup>+</sup> cells by flow cytometry at the indicated time points after the intravenous inoculation of CXCL8 (2.4  $\mu$ g/kg) per mouse. Data are representative of one out of three independent experiments (n=5) and expressed as mean ± SEM.

## Supplementary Figure 3. Protective phenotype of CCRL2-deficient mice is dependent on the expression of CCRL2 in the hematopoietic compartment

STIA clinical score of arthritic WT (**A**) and CCRL2-deficient mice (KO) (**B**) lethally irradiated and reconstituted with total bone-marrow cells from donor mice lacking expression of CCRL2 or from WT control mice as indicated. STIA was induced by K/BxN serum transfer (150  $\mu$ l) and severity (clinical score 0–16 in the four paws) was followed daily for 2 weeks. Results are the mean±SEM of severity scores (4–8 mice/group). One representative experiment out of two is shown. \*P<0.05 by two-way ANOVA.

## Supplementary Figure 4. ERK1/2 phosphorylation in WT and CCRL2-deficient bone marrow neutrophils

ERK1/2 phosphorylation was evaluated in CD11b<sup>+</sup>/Ly6G<sup>+</sup>-gated bone marrow cells stimulated for 5 minutes with CCL3 (100 ng/ml), LTB4 (100 nM), and PMA (50 ng/ml). Results are expressed as % of increase of MFI of stimulated over unstimulated cells. Mean  $\pm$  SEM of 6 (CXCL8) or 4 (CCL3, LTB4 and PMA) mice per group in duplicates.

#### **Supplementary Movies 1-4**

Representative movies captured after saline (ctr) injection into WT (Movie 2) and CCRL2 KO (Movie 4) knees or after antigen (inflamed) injection into WT (Movie 1) and CCRL2 KO (Movie 3)

knees. A leukocyte was considered to be adherent to the vessel wall if it remained stationary for at least 30 seconds. Total adhesion was quantified as the number of adherent cells within a 100- $\mu$ m length of venule (region of interest) in 5 min, with results expressed as cells/100  $\mu$ m.





Α













