udian J. Phys. 80 (4), 373-378 (2006)



Debye frequency and interplay of superconductivity and antiferromagnetism in high T_c superconductors

N N Panigrahy^{1*}, D Mahapat^{*}₄a², B N Panda³ and G C Rout⁴

Regional Director of Education, Siddhutha Nagar-2, Berhampur-760 004, Orissa ² T. T. College, Purushottampur, Ganjam –761 018, Orissa ³ DAV College, Koraput-764 021, Orissa

⁴ Govt Science College, Ganjam-761 020, Orissa

E-mail. nikhil 57@rediffmail.com

Received 13 December 2004, accepted 12 January 2006

stract \ldots . The interplay between superconductivity (SC) and antiferromagnetism (AFM) is studied in strongly correlated systems $R_{r_1}M_{\chi}$ CuO₄ (Nd, La, Pr, Gd, M=Sr, Ce) due to electron-phonon interaction. It is assumed that SC arises due to BCS paring mechanism in presence of AFM in lattices of Cu-O planes. Debye frequency ω_D dependence of high temperature SC gap as well as staggered magnetic field at different temperatures calculated analytically and solved self-consistently with respect to half-filled band situation for different model parameters (temperature parameter and hybridization parameter ν , λ_1 and λ_2 being the SC and AF coupling parameters, respectively). The SC gap and AFM gap are studied in their instance phase for different Debye frequencies

words : Debye frequency, superconductivity, antiferromagnetic gap

CS Nos. : 75 50 Ee, 74 72.Dn

ntroduction

ter Bednorz and Muller [1] discovered high Tc perconductors, there has been great interest in understanding true mechanism responsible for superconductivity in these tems. The scanning tunneling spectroscopic data for Bi/ 12 [2] give the temperature dependence of the SC gap $\Delta(T)$ zero K temperature with $2\Delta(0) / k_B T_c = 7$ to 9. The temperature pendence of the gap of BI2Sr2 CuO4 / Bi2Sr2CaCu2O8 npound [3] is similar to that of weak coupling in BCS theory, Nough the ratio $2\Delta(0) / k_B T_c = 5.8$ significantly exceeds the ventional value 3.5. The point contact spectroscopy for Bi/ n-1)n [4] suggests that phonons play a dominant role in the perconducting pairing in the compounds with a strong solution with the value of $2\Delta(0) / k_B T_c = 6$ to 8. The phonon Mructure of the I-V characteristics was also observed in the ^{compounds:} LSCO and EuBa₂Cu₂O₇ at large values of $2\Delta(0) / k_B T_c = 10$ [5], in Nd – Ce – CuO at $2\Delta(0) / k_B T_c = 3.8$ [6]. Computation of the Eliashberg function, suggests that · Corresponding Author

phonons contribute significantly to the superconducting pairing.

The observation of oxygen-isotope effect strongly indicates that the electron-phonon coupling does contribute to the pairing within all the oxide superconductors. In conventional superconductors, the observation of the isotope effect i.e. $T_c \alpha M^{-\alpha}$ with coefficient $\alpha \approx 0.5$ was the direct proof of the existence of electron-phonon pairing. The first measurement of α in copper-oxide superconductors revealed a slight change in transition temperature T_i and gave $\alpha \le 0.2$ in LSCO compounds and $\alpha \leq 0.16$ in YBCO and in the Bi- and TI- based compounds. Later, a strong dependence of α on the composition was found: the index α increased with the suppression of T_{i_1} . Thus, in $\text{La}_{2-\lambda}\text{Sr}_{\lambda}\text{CuO}_4$, a value $\alpha \approx 0.6$ was obtained for x = 0.11 and $T_c \approx 30$ K; in Y_{1-x} Pr_x Ba₂Cu₃O₇, a value $\alpha \simeq 0.4$ was observed. The isotope effect is small in the optimized compounds with the maximum T_c i.e. in Nd_{2-v}Ce, CuO₄ with electron type conductivity [7]. Thus, the electron-phonon interaction contributes to the superconducting pairing ($\alpha \neq 0$),

© 2006 IACS

though its role is not decisive ($\alpha << 0.5$) [8]. In BCS formalism, the superconducting coupling constant λ is related to the phonon energy ω_D (Debye cut-off) near the Fermi surface and is given by $\lambda = N(0)g^2/(M\omega_D^2)$ with g and N(0) being the average electron-phonon coupling and the density of state at the Fermi surface, respectively. For weak coupling limit in BCS theory, the Debye temperature Θ_D ranges from 100K to 500K in conventional superconductors. The Θ_D for high temperature superconductors is found to be $\Theta_D \cong 358$ K for LSCO, 552K for Y(Ba,Sr)Cu₃O₇ and 582K for YBa₂Cu₃O_{7-v} and 695K for Tl₂Ba₂CaCu₂O₈.

Antiferromagnetic (AF) spin fluctuations are vitally important in explaining many of the anomalous properties of high temperature superconductors (HTSC)in the normal state. The AF spin fluctuation theory [9] leads to attraction in the d-wave channel in the strong coupling limit, U>>1, although under certain assumptions, it can lead to an attraction in the s-channel. A model calculation via spin fluctuations in heavy fermion systems by Miyake ct al [10], indicates the probability of an-isotropic swave pairing. On the other hand, pairing mechanisms, based on electron-phonon interaction, polarons etc., would be compatible with pure d-wave, pure s-wave or an admixture of the two [11]. The tunneling experiment done by Sun and Dynes [12] tends to rule out d-wave gap anisotropy. Some theoreticians have considered inter-layer tunneling mechanism and extended swave gap anisotropy [13] to explain the observed magnitude of the high transition temperature. The high T_{i} arises due to the tunneling of the Cooper pairs, which are formed in the CuO, plane due to phonon- mediation. There have been attempts by Igarashi and coworkers [14, 15] to explain the phenomenon of interplay between superconductivity and antiferromagnetism in cuprate superconductors. The common feature of all these models is the assumption that the large specific heat coefficient arises from the interaction of the strongly correlated electrons in the Cu-O plane with the Nd spins. In these models, the influence of Nd - Nd exchange interaction is neglected. Rout et al have used the Fulde model and incorporated the electronphonon interaction to investigate the velocity of sound [16], Raman spectra [17] and phonon anomaly in high temperature superconductors [18]. Recently, they have reported the interplay of superconductivity and antiferromagnetism in presence of hybridization between conduction and f-electrons in high Tsuperconductors [19]. In the above model, we have considered the weak correlation giving rise to antiferromagnetism in the copper oxide plane. Again BCS Type phonon mediated Cooper pairing is considered in the weak coupling limit. Both antiferromagnetism (AFM) and superconductivity (SC) are considered in the mean field approximation.

In the present communication, we incorporate the phonon mediated B.C.S. type Cooper pairing and study the effect of Debye energy on the co-existence of superconductivity and antiferromagnetism. In the introduction, we have reviewed the experimental observations of the magnetic and superconducting properties of the rare-earth cuprates with special emphasis on doped Nd-cuprate. We reviewed the experimental evidence of the role played by phonons and overviewed some theoretical calculations. In Section 2, we describe the theoretical mode including B.C.S.-type of pairing mechanism for superconductivity. In Section 3, we calculate the expression for the superconducting gap and staggered magnetic field by Section 4, we discuss the results.

2. Model Hamiltonian

In the absence of holes in La-based and of electrons in Nd based high T_e systems, the antiferromagnetic exchange usually leads to the Neel ground state which is characterized by a lonrange antiferromagnetic (AFM) order in the spin alignment of Cu lattice sites. Hence, the copper lattice is divided into tasub-lattices 1 and 2.

The Hamiltonian involving hopping of copper d electron between two adjacent sites is written as

$$H_d = \sum_{k\sigma} \varepsilon_{\alpha}(k) \left(a_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} b_{k\sigma} + h.c. \right).$$

Here, $a_{k\sigma}^{\dagger}$ and $b_{k\sigma}^{\dagger}$ are creation operators of electrons at site 1 and 2 of copper, respectively. The hopping takes place between neighbouring sites of copper with dispersion $\varepsilon_0(k) = 2i(\cos k_x + \cos k_y)$. The antiferromagnetism due to copper lattice can be represented by Heisenberg exchange interaction. However, we introduce a staggered magnetic field of strength *h* which stimulates strong AFM correlation of copper *d*-electrons. This can be written as

$$H_{x} = (h/2) \sum_{k\sigma} \sigma \left(a_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{k,\sigma} - b_{k,\sigma}^{\dagger} b a_{k,\sigma} \right).$$

When the material is doped, the charge carriers enter the CuO plane and destroys the long range AFM order. Depending on the concentration of the doping and the temperature range, a complex disordered phase is formed in the CuO₂ plane This disorder phase can be represented by the on-site *f*-level energy of the non-magnetic impurity rare-earth ion (Ce) in NCCO and the hybridization between *f*-level and the Cu – 3*d* electron band For sufficiently low doping *i.e.* x much less than 0.02, a long range AFM order exists. For a doping concentration of x^2 0.058, a long range AFM order yields a short range AFM order and provides a disordered AFM (termed as spin glass) ground state in two dimensions. This is mostly influenced by the degree of hybridization interaction (V). The Hamiltonian

$$H_{\nu} = V \sum_{k,\sigma} \left(a_{k,\sigma}^{\dagger} f_{1,k,\sigma} + b_{k,\sigma}^{\dagger} f_{2,k,\sigma} + h.c. \right)$$

is the effective hybridization between the f-electrons at two sublattices of rare-earth and the conduction electrons of copper. The Hamiltonian

$$H_f = \varepsilon_f \sum_{k,\sigma} \left(f_{1,k,\sigma}^+ + f_{1,k,\sigma} + f_{2,k,\sigma}^+ f_{1,k,\sigma}^+ \right)$$

is the intra *f*-electron Hamiltonian and \mathcal{L}_f is the renormalized *f*-level energy. Total electronic Fulde Hamiltonian [15] is written

$$^{*}H_{0} = ^{*}H_{d} + ^{*}H_{v} + ^{*}H_{v} + ^{*}H_{f} . \tag{1}$$

Here, B.C.S. type of phonon mediated Cooper pairing of conduction electrons of two different copper sites in the Cuis taken into account. The super-conducting state of the syster is described by the interaction Hamiltonian

$$II_1 = -\Delta \sum_k \left[\left(a_k^{\dagger} a_{-k}^{\dagger} + a_{-k} a_k \right) z + \left(b_k^{\dagger} b_{-k}^{\dagger} + b_{-k}^{\dagger} b_k \right) \right], \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{k} = -\sum_{k} \overline{V}_{k} \left(\left\langle a_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} a_{-k\uparrow}^{\dagger} \right\rangle + \left\langle b_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} b_{-k\uparrow}^{\dagger} \right\rangle \right).$$
(3)

Here, A_k is the superconducting gap parameter and \overline{V}_k is the interaction potential between the pairing electrons. However, we have neglected the inter-site sub-lattice Cooper pairing of the conduction electrons for the simplicity of calculation. The total Hamiltonian is

$$H = H_0 + H_t \,. \tag{4}$$

3. Expression for SC gap and staggered field

We have a limitation on the **k**-sum owing to the restriction that the attractive interaction is only effective with energy $|c_1 - c_2| < \omega_D$. Here, the attractive interactions between two carriers are ε_1 and ε_2 to form the Cooper pair and ω_D is the Debye frequency. Further, we adopt the following simplified form for the interaction potential $\overline{V_k}$ in the ordinary isotropic weak coupling limit. Here, $\overline{V_k} = -V_0$, if $|\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2| < \omega_D$; $\overline{V_k} = 0$, otherwise. In this approximation, we assume that the gap parameter is independent of k. The final expression for superconducting energy gap is

$$\Delta(T) = V_0 N(0) \int d\varepsilon_0(k) \left[F_1(k,T) + F_2(k,T) \right], \quad (5)$$

where

$$F_1(k,T) = \frac{(\Delta - h/2)}{2\sqrt{E_{1k}^4} - 4V^4}$$

$$\left[\omega_1(k) \tan h\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta\omega_1\right) - \omega_2(k) \tan h\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta\omega_2\right)\right]$$

and

$$F_{2}(k,T) = \frac{(\Delta + h/2)}{2\sqrt{E_{2k}^{4} - 4V^{4}}} \left[\omega_{3}(k) \tan h\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta\omega_{3}\right) - \omega_{4}(k) \tan h\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta\omega_{4}\right)\right].$$
 (6)

The staggered magnetic field h is given by

$$h = -\frac{1}{2} g \mu_B \sum_{k,\sigma} \left[\left\langle a_{k,\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{k,\sigma} \right\rangle - \left\langle b_{k,\sigma}^{\dagger} b_{k,\sigma} \right\rangle \right], \tag{7}$$

where g and μ_B are Lande g-factor and Bohr magneton, respectively. The correlation functions

 $\langle a_{k,\uparrow}^{\dagger} a_{k,\uparrow} \rangle, \langle a_{k,\downarrow}^{\dagger} a_{k,\downarrow} \rangle, \langle b_{k,\uparrow}^{\dagger} b_{k,\uparrow} \rangle, \text{ and } \langle b_{k,\downarrow}^{\dagger} b_{k,\downarrow} \rangle$ are calculated. The final expression for the staggered magnetic field is

$$y = -\frac{1}{2} g \mu_{B} N(0) \int_{(W/2)}^{-W/2} d\varepsilon_{0}(k) \left[F_{1}(k,T) - F_{2}(k,T) \right].$$
(8)

where $F_1(k, T)$ and $F_2(k, T)$ are defined in eq (6). We put $\sum_k \rightarrow \int N(0) d\varepsilon_0(k)$ with integration limit --- W/2 to +- W/2 where N(0) is the density of states of the conduction electrons at the Fermi level ε_T .

Different physical parameters are made dimensionless by dividing them by 2t where $W = \delta t$ is the width of the conduction band. They are

$$\frac{\Delta(T)}{2t} = z; \frac{\omega_D}{2t} = \tilde{\omega}_D; \frac{k_B T}{2t} = \theta;$$

$$\frac{h}{2t} = h; \frac{V}{2t} = V; \frac{v_0(k)}{2t} = x_0;$$

$$N(0)V_0 = \lambda_1; \lambda_2 = N(0)_{sut B} / 2.$$
(9)

4. Results and discussion

We solve equations for Δ and h numerically and selfconsistently. Different dimensionless parameters involved in the numerical calculation are the superconducting coupling λ_1 , antiferromagnetic coupling λ_2 , superconducting gap z, staggered magnetic field h, hybridization strength V, and temperature parameter $\theta = k_B T/2t$. Here the Fermi level (ε_F) is taken to be 0, *i.e.* lying at the middle of the conduction band.

375

The *f*-level \mathcal{E}_f coincides with the Fermi level. A standard set of parameters are chosen as follows: $\lambda_1 \sim 0.15$, $\lambda_2 \sim 0.185$, $V \sim 0.003$ and the conduction band width $W \sim Iev$. The parameter λ_1 is smaller than the maximum value of SC coupling ($\lambda_1 \approx 0.33$), observed in conventional BCS type phonon mediated superconductivity. This observed low value of SC coupling agrees with the experimental observations.

The SC gap (z) and AFM gap (h) are solved self-consistently and their temperature dependence is shown in Figure 1. The effect of two Debye frequencies $\tilde{\omega}_D = 0.31$ and 0.33 on the gaps are considered, because they correspond to the critical temperature $\theta_c \approx 0.01$ (*i.e.* $T_c \approx 25K$) for Nd₂–Ce–CuO system. It is observed in Figure 1 that the SC gap near absolute zero is not affected at all. However, the increase of Debye frequency suppresses the SC gap and transition temperature in high- T_{i} superconductors, which contradicts the BCS prediction for conventional superconductors. Moreover, the SC transition at $T_{\rm a}$ is not sharp. The effect of Debye energy on the staggered magnetic field is not well known both theoretically and experimentally. Figure 1 shows the variation of AFM(h) with temperature for different Debye frequencies. The increase of Debye energy enhances the staggered field throughout the temperature range as well as Neel temperature. However, this behaviour of AFM gap due to the phonon energy is not expected. Hence, the AFM gap exhibits anomalous behaviour. This arises due to the interplay of AFM and SC.



Figure 1. The plot of SC GAP (z) / AFM GAP (h) vs 0 for two different values of Debye frequency $\tilde{\omega}_{p} = 0.31, 0.33$ for fixed value of $\lambda_{1} = 0.15, \lambda_{2} = 0.003$.

The variations of the AFM gap (h) and SC gap(z) with the Debye frequency for two different temperatures $\theta = 0.004$ and 0.006 are shown in Figure 2. The AFM gap increases almost linearly with the increase of the Debye frequency. The AFM long range order is expected to increase with the spin ordering. But it should be independent of Debye energy in its independent state in absence of superconductivity. However, the AFM order

increases with Debye energy as shown in Figure 2. This is possible due to interplay of AFM and SC. Hence, the phonon energy plays a vital role in high- T_c cuprates. Figure 2 shows the general trend of AFM and SC gap over a large Debye frequencyrange 0.25 ($\simeq 625$ K) to 0.33 ($\simeq 775$ K). For Debye frequency $\tilde{\omega}_D < 0.25$, the SC gap is not stabilized. Hence, the minimum phonon energy required to form Cooper pairs is of the otder of $\tilde{\omega}_D = 0.25$ ($\simeq 625$ K). For phonon energy $0.25 \le \tilde{\omega}_D \le 0.29$. the SC gap remains almost constant. Hence, the Cooper pairing is independent of the increase of Debye frequency. Again, the Cooper pairing is suddenly enhanced for phonon frequency from 0.29 to 0.30 and attains a maximum value for $\tilde{\omega}_D$ =0.30 The enhancement of the SC gap in this range of Debye frequency is accompanied by corresponding small enhancement in AFM gap as shown in Figure 2. On further increasing the Debye frequency. the superconductivity instead of increasing starts decreasing slowly. It means that it causes a very small pair breaking of the Cooper pairs but enhances the AFM order. When the temperature is decreased from $\rho = 0.006$ to 0.004, the z vs. m_{\odot} graph retains its nature accompanied by the enhancement of the SC gap.



Figure 2. The plot of SC GAP (z) / AFM GAP (h) vs Debye frequency for two temperatures $\rho = 0.004$, 0.006 for fixed values of $\lambda_1 = 0.15$, $\lambda_2 = 0.185$ and V = 0.003.

The influence of Debye frequency $\tilde{\omega}_D$ on the coexistence of AFM and SC phase is explained below. A uniform SC order exists for $0.25 < \tilde{\omega}_D < 0.29$ due to the phonon mediated intrasite Cooper pairing of the type $\left\langle a_{k\downarrow}^{\dagger}a_{-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} \right\rangle$ and $\left\langle b_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger}b_{-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} \right\rangle$ corresponding to two different Cooper sites. But the induced inter-site Cooper pairing of the types $\left\langle a_{k\downarrow}^{\dagger}a_{-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} \right\rangle$ and $\left\langle b_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger}b_{-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} \right\rangle$ enhances the SC order within a range of Debye frequency *i.e.*, $0.29 \le \tilde{\omega}_D < 0.30$. The small enhancement of the AFM order within this range of $\tilde{\omega}_D$ may be due to the presence of a small antiferromagnetism induced in the impurity f-electron states. The superconductivity attains an optimum value for $\tilde{\omega}_D \approx 0.30$. For $\tilde{\omega}_D \approx 0.30$, one observes the pairing breaking effect in the sC phase and consequently, the slow decrease in the SC order. This SC pair breaking is accompanied by an enhancement of the AFM order. The range of Debye temperature discussed in this nodel calculation is of the same value *i.e.*, $\tilde{\omega}_D = 358$ K to 695 K observed in different high - T_c systems. Hence, the effect of Debye frequency on the interplay of the SC and AFM phases appears to be reasonable on the basis of the present model alculation.

In Figure 3, we study the effect of Debye frequency on the $_{\rm SC gap}(z)$ in absence of antimagnetism. The variation z vs. $\tilde{\omega}_D$ splotted for the values of $\lambda_1 = 0.15$, V = 0.003 and $\rho = 0.006$ at of Figure 2. The SC gap increases linearly up to $\tilde{\omega}_D \approx 0.2$ and gain increases linearly beyond $\tilde{\omega}_D > 0.2$ with slightly lecteasing slope. This shows similar variation in transition emperature T with Debye energy $\tilde{\omega}_D$ for a fixed value of oupling constant λ_1 . The T_1 variation in BCS model is given $_{\rm W} kT_c \approx \hbar\omega_D \exp(-1/\lambda_1)$. However, the SC gap (z) variation ath Debye frequency shows linear dependence only in a narrow ange of $0.29 < \tilde{\omega}_D < 0.30$ in the coexistence phase as shown in Igure 2. Figure 4 shows the effect of hybridization between fyel and conduction electron on SC gap and AFM gap. The SC ap remains unaffected with increase of hybridization for low alues of $\tilde{\omega}_D$ (0.24–0.29) in this range, the Cooper pairing is so trong that the hybridization cannot cause pair breaking. The C gap is suppressed with increase of hybridization for $0.29 \ge$ $\tilde{v}_D < 0.30$, the suppression being higher, for higher $\tilde{\omega}_D$ value. urther, for the still higher range of $\tilde{\omega}_D$ (0.30–0.33), this trend ecomes more pronounced. The effect of hybridization between e conduction band and *f*-electron level on the AFM gap is 10wn in Figure 4(upper plot). As the hybridization increases om V=0.003 to 0.005, the AFM gap is suppressed throughout ic temperature range.



gure 3. The plot of SC GAP(z) vs. Debye frequency for temperature 0.006, $\lambda_1 = 0.15$ and V = 0.003

It is observed that both the SC and the AFM long range der are suppressed with the increase of hybridization between f-electron and conduction electron. This suppression can be explained on the basis of the Cooper pairing amplitude like $\phi^{C} = \langle a_{k\uparrow}^{+} a_{-k\downarrow}^{+} \rangle$ and $\langle b_{k\uparrow}^{+} b_{-k\downarrow}^{+} \rangle$, mixed pairing amplitudes $\phi^{M} = \langle a_{k\uparrow}^{+} f_{-k\downarrow}^{-} \rangle$ and $\langle b_{k\uparrow}^{+} f_{-k\downarrow}^{+} \rangle$, and only *f*-electron pairing amplitudes $\phi^{F} = \langle f_{k\uparrow}^{+} f_{-k\downarrow}^{+} \rangle$ and the hybridization amplitudes and $\phi^{V} = \langle a_{k\sigma}^{+} f_{-k\sigma} \rangle$ and $\langle b_{k\sigma}^{+} f_{-k\sigma} \rangle$. The Cooper pairing was present originally in *a*-site and *b*-site of the lattice in the form of the amplitude ϕ^{C} . When the conduction electron and *f*-electrons are hybridized, there occurs pair breaking in amplitudes ϕ^{C} . Induced SC pairing occurs due to the formation of the pairing amplitudes like ϕ^{M}, ϕ^{F} and the hybridization amplitude ϕ^{V} . This results in the suppression of SC order parameter with increase of the hybridization strength. The exact nature of the suppression of SC gap can be studied by the temperature dependence of the amplitudes like $\phi^{C}, \phi^{M}, \phi^{F}$ and ϕ^{V} .



Figure 4. The plot of SC GAP (2) / AFM GAP (h) w Debye frequency ($\tilde{\omega}_{i1}$ for fixed values of $\lambda_1 = 0.15$, $\lambda_2 = 0.185$ and two values of V = 0.003 and V = 0.005.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Prof. S.N. Behera, Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, for valuable discussions. We also thank the Director, Institute of Physics, Bhubaneshwar for providing facilities of the institute. The authors NNP and BNP are grateful to UGC for providing financial assistance for this work under MRP scheme.

References

- [1] J G Bednorz and K A Muller J Phys. B64 189 (1986)
- [2] M Nantoh et al Physica C185-189 861 (1991)
- [3] T Matsumoto et al Physica C185-189 1907 (1991)
- [4] N Tsuda, D Shimada and N Miyakawa Physica C185-189 903 (1991)
- [5] L N Bulaevskii et al, Supercond. Sei. Technol. 1 205 (1988)
- [6] J F Zasadzinski et al. In Electron-phonon Interaction in Oxide

Superconductors, (ed) R Baquero (Singapore: World Scientific) p46 (1991), Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 912 (1987)

- B Batlog, S W Cheong, G A Thomas, S L Cooper, L W Rupp. (Jr), D H Rapkine and A S Cooper *Physica* C185-189 1385 (1991)
- [8] J P Corbotte, M Greeson and A Perez-Gonzales Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 1789 (1991)
- [9] P. Monthoux, A.V. Balatsky and D.Pines *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** 3448 (1991)
- [10] K Miyake, S Schmitt-rink and C M Verma Phys. Rev. B34 6654 (1986)
- [11] G Varalogionnis Phys. Rev. B57 R723 (1998)

- [12] A G Sun and R C Dynes Phys. Rev.Lett. 72 2267 (1994)
- [13] S Chakravarty, A Subdo, P W Anderson and S Strong Science 261 337 (1993)
- [14] J Igarashi and T Tonegawa Phys. Rev. B51 5814 (1995)
- [15] P Fulde J. Low Temp. Phys. 95 45 (1994)
- [16] G C Rout, B N Panda and S N Behera Solid State Commune 105 47 (1998)
- [17] G C Rout, B N Panda and S N Behera Physica B271 136 (1999)
- [18] B N Panda and G C Rout Int. J. Mod. Phy. B13 293 (1999)
- [19] G C Rout, B N Panda and S N Behera Physica C333 104 (200)

378