Codazzi's equation in four dimensions

J L López-Bonilla

The International Institute of Integral Human Sciences, 1974 de Maisonneuve West, Montréal, Que, Canada H3H 1K5 J Morales and G Ovando*

Area de Física, División de CBI, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Azcapotzalco, Apdo Postal 16-306, 02200 México, D F E-mail . gadz@hp9000a1.uam inx

Received 15 February 1999, accepted 10 May 1999**

: We obtain for R_4 the most general form of the tensor $A^{ij} = A^{ij}(g_{ab}, g_{ab,c}, g_{ab,cd})$ satisfying the Codazzi's equation $A_{ij,r} = A_{ir,j}$ We find that this solution exists only if the Weyl tensor fulfils the Bianchi identities. The results are immediately applied to the local and isometric embedding of the space-time into E_5

Keywords : Codazzi's equation, space-time embedded into L_5

PACS Nos. : 04.20 q, 04.90 +e

We consider Codazzi's equation in a Riemannian 4-space R_4 :

$$A_{n,r} = A_{n,r}. \tag{1}$$

with; denoting covariant derivative for the case of intrinsic rigidity when A_{ij} depends only on the metric tensor g_{ij} and on its first and second partial derivatives (denoted by r):

$$A^{\eta} = A^{\eta} (g_{ab}, g_{ab,c}, g_{ab,cd}). {2}$$

By means of Lovelock's theorem [1-3] we will find in Section 2 that the most general form of the tensor A_{qq} fulfilling (1,2), is a linear combination of the metric and Ricci tensors R_{qe} . It will turn out that the conformal tensor $C_{\eta ig}$ of the corresponding space-time will satisfy the Bianchi identities [4], i.e. R_4 is a C-space [5-7]:

$$C'_{\cdots} = 0 \tag{3}$$

It means that eq. (3) is a necessary condition for eqs. (1) and (2) to have simultaneous solution in four dimensions.

The results that we obtain are then applied in Section 3 to space-times embedded into E_5 , i.e. to 4-spaces of class one [4,8–10]. This is so, because in them, there exists the second fundamental form tensor b_{ac} fulfilling (1) [11–13].

Our task is to determine, in the four-dimensional spacetime, the general structure of every tensor A_{ij} with the properties (1) and (2). We note that the deduction neither requires that A_{ij} be symmetric nor uses its linear dependence on-the second derivatives gab cal-

Lovelock [1] proved the powerful theorem:

"The most general tensor B\(^q\) in R\(_4\) satisfying

$$B^{ij} = B^{ij}(g_{ab}, g_{ab,c}, g_{ab,cd})$$
 and $B^{ij}_{c} = 0$, (4)

is given by

$$B^{\eta} = \alpha G^{\eta} + \beta g^{\eta}, \tag{5}$$

 α and β are constants, and $G_{qe} = R_{qe} - \frac{R}{2} g_{qe}$ is Einstein tensor [4]"

On the other hand eq. (1) implies the relation

$$A''_{I} = A^{IJ} \quad \text{with} \quad A \equiv A'_{I}, \tag{6}$$

which together with (2) shows that the tensor B^{ij} = $A^{ij} - Ag^{ij}$ satisfies (4) and, in consequence, (5) leads to

$$A'' - Ag'' = \alpha G'' + \beta g''. \tag{7}$$

--Editor

^{*}Author for correspondence

^{**}The publication of this manuscript has been delayed due to technical problems and considerable time taken by the authors to correct the final version. This may kindly be noted

Our final expression is now

$$A^{ij} = \alpha R^{ij} - \frac{1}{6}(\alpha R + 2\beta)g^{ij}, \qquad (8)$$

with
$$A = \frac{1}{3}(\alpha R - 4\beta)$$
, (9)

which solves the Codazzi's equation with intrinsic rigidity in R_4 . We now see that the tensor A_{ij} is symmetric and linear in the $g_{ab,cd}$.

If (8) is substituted into (1) it follows (when $\alpha \neq 0$) that:

$$R_{\eta} - \frac{R}{6} g_{\eta} = \left[R_{\eta} - \frac{R}{6} g_{\eta} \right] \tag{10}$$

which is equivalent to (3) [4,5] and therefore, the Weyl tensor satisfies the Bianchi identities If $\alpha = 0$, we have the trivial case when A_{ij} is proportional to g_{ij} and C_{ijij} is not required to satisfy identities (3).

We apply the result of the previous section to the local and isometric embedding of R_4 into E_5 , just the case of intrinsic rigidity, *i.e.* when the second fundamental form b_{ac} depends only on the internal geometry of the space-time.

In fact, if R_4 is of class one, the Gauss-Codazzi equations [4,8-13]

$$R_{\mu\mu} = e(b_{\mu}b_{\mu} - b_{\mu}b_{\mu}) \tag{11}$$

$$b_{n,i} = b_{n,i} \tag{12}$$

are verified, where $c = \pm 1$ and R_{aije} is the corresponding curvature tensor. If we further impose intrinsic rigidity we have

$$b_{\mu} = b_{\mu}(g_{ab}, g_{ab}, g_{ab,c}, g_{ab,cd}) \tag{13}$$

and eqs. (12) and (13) assure that b_{ie} satisfies (1), (2). Hence, the second fundamental form will have the structure [eqs. (8) and (9)] with the restriction (3)

$$b_{ij} = \alpha R_{ij} + \frac{1}{6} (\alpha R + 2\beta) g_{ij},$$

$$b = b_i^x = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha R - 4\beta), \tag{14}$$

where α and β are constants (with values determined by the proper R_4 in question).

The trivial case $\alpha = 0$ implies

$$b_n = -\frac{\beta}{3}g_{ij} = \frac{b}{4}g_{ij}, \tag{15}$$

which after substitution in (11), leads to an space of constant curvature (DeSitter model) [4,14].

If $\alpha \neq 0$, eqs. (11) and (14) show that the metric and Ricci tensors are adequate to express the Riemann tensor in a simple way. We consider the task to find the Petrov type [4,15,16] of R_4 admissible in this $\alpha \neq 0$ case as an open problem. We hope to report a careful analysis somewhere else in the future. Our preliminary calculations suggest that there are no 4-spaces of class one with Petrov types III or N that fulfil (12,13); neither they fulfil (14), in consequence. However, other Petrov types may occur; in fact, the metric

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{2\phi^{2}} (d\theta^{2} + d\phi^{2}) - 2drdu$$
 (16)

corresponds to an space-time of type *D* which satisfies (14) with $\beta = -2\alpha = -\sqrt{2}$ and $\epsilon = -1$.

References

- [1] D Lovelock / Math. Phys. 13 874 (1972)
- [2] D Lovelock and H Rund Tensors Differential Forms and Variational Principles (New York John Wiley) (1975)
- [3] J López-Bonilla, J Morales, G Ovando and J Rivera Indian J Theo. Phys. (2000) (in press)
- [4] D. Kramer, H. Stephani, M. MacCallum and E. Herlt. Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations (Cambridge Cambridge University Press) (1980).
- [5] C N Kozameh, E T Newman and K P Tod Gen Relat Grav 17 343 (1985)
- [6] P Szekeres Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A274 206 (1963)
- [7] P Bell and P Szekeres Int. J. Theo. Phys. 6 11 (1972)
- [8] J Lopez Bomilla, J Morales and M Rosales Braz. J. Phys. 24 522 (1994)
- [9] H. N. Núñez—Yepez, J. López-Bomlla, A. Salas-Brito and J. Rivera Acta Phys. Slovaca 46, 87 (1996)
- [10] J Lopez-Bonilla, G Ovando and J Rivera Aligarh Bull Math 18 39 (1999)
- [11] G Gonzalez, J Lopez-Bonilla and M A Rosales Pramana J Phys. 42 85 (1994)
- [12] J Lopez-Bonilla, J M Rivera and H Yee Madeira Braz. J. Phys. 25 80 (1995)
- [13] H. N. Núñez-Yepez- and J. López-Bonilla Pramana-J. Phys. 46 219 (1996)
- [14] P Szekeres Nuovo Cun A43 1062 (1966)
- [15] J.L. Synge Comm. Dublin Inst. Adv. Stud. Ser. A No.15 (1964)
- [16] R A D'Inverno and R A Rusself-Clark J. Math. Phys. 12 1258 (1971)