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#### Abstract

A new approach to the evaluation of the ground state energy of the Hehum isoelectronic series is presented here In this essentrally perturbative approach, the screening parameter is fixed through the prescription of the vanishing of the first order correction This also makes the rero order energy variational The second order correction to the energy turns out to be constan for the whole series Results upto the second order are very encouraging
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## 1. Introduction

The ground state (GS) of the helium atom, the simplest two electron system, has been well investigated using mainly the Ritz variational method with appropriate variational parameters to reflect the effects of the correlation and sereening. Thus the one parameter variational wave function of Kellner [1] takes reasonably good account of the screening while the more extensive variational wavefunction (Hyllerass type [21), using a large number of variational parameters. of Pekeris [3] gives a very accurate estimate of the GS energy. Somewhat earlier Chandrasekhar and Herzberg [4] with a few variational parameters obtained the GS energy remarkably close to the most accurate value. Subsequently many authors [5-9] with varying degrees of sophistication have reported reasonably good estimates of the GS energy (table 1). Similarly there have been some other calculations [10-18] which may briefly be called improvised variational approach giving very accurate GS energy. Despite these moderate to superior calculations the interest in the two electron systems remains unabated perhaps because of the challenging quest for even simpler and more transparent methods. It is in this spirit that we present in this communication a simple intuitive method which is a combination of an effective soluble hamiltonian and Rayleigh-Schrödinger Perturbation Theory (RSPT). The essence of the method, which leads to a good estimate of the GS energy, lies in a suitable partitioning of the exact hamiltonian into a soluble one (including the nuclear charge as a parameter) with a complete basis set and a
perturbation. As will be seen presently, the parameter in our effective hamiltonian is casily determined by a simple prescription which quite unpredictably makes our lowest order calculation variational! We shall in the following sections describe in some detail our formulation of the problem leading finally to results and discussion. It will be seen that the novelty of the method is such that the whole isoelectronic series is covered by a single formalism and the calculations need be made for only one system to generate the results for the whole series.

## 2. Formulation

The hamiltonian for the two electron system in atomic units ( $\hbar=m=e=1$ ) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{H}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{r_{1}}^{2}+\nabla_{r_{2}}^{2}\right)-Z\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{r_{1}}^{2}+\nabla_{r_{2}}^{2}\right)-Z^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)-\left(Z-Z^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{12}} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{r}_{1}, r_{2}$ are the position coordinates of the electrons and $Z, Z^{\prime}$ are respectively the bare and effective ('screened') nuclear charges. For reasons to be apparent presently we introduce the following simple scaling

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=Z^{\prime} r \text { and } H=\tilde{H} / Z^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the result that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{0}+H_{l}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{0}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{\rho_{1}}^{2}+\nabla_{\rho_{2}}^{2}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{1}{\rho_{2}}\right)=\sum_{t=1}^{-} h_{0 t},  \tag{4}\\
& h_{01}=-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\rho_{1}}^{2}-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}=\frac{1}{Z^{\prime}}\left|-\left(Z-Z^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{1}{\rho_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this form, the spectrum of $H_{0}$, independent of $Z$ or $Z^{\prime}$, is completely known as numbers in the discrete and the continuum. We shall estimate the ground state (GS) of H beginning from the GS of $H_{0}$ with $H_{1}$ as perturbation. A general state of $H_{0}, \psi_{1 j}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\psi_{y}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\varphi_{i}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{J}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+\varphi_{J}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right] & i \neq j  \tag{6}\\
\varphi_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{,}\left(\rho_{2}\right) & i=j
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the $\varphi$ 's are single particle normalised hydrogenic wave functions in the appropriate states $i$ or $j$. The states (6) are spin singlets which are all we shall need in the second order
perturbation of the GS of $H_{0}$, since the GS of $H_{0}$ is a spin singlet state and $H_{7}$ is spin independent. The perturbation $H_{l}$ has untill now an unknown parameter $Z^{\prime}$ which has to be determined. We fix this parameter by the prescription that the first order perturbation correction to the GS

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.<\psi_{k g}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\left|H_{l}\right| \psi_{g g}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{g g}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)=\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \text { and } \varphi_{g}(\rho)=\pi^{-1 / 2} \exp (-1 / \rho) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (7) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{Z^{\prime}}\left\{-2\left(Z-Z^{\prime}\right)+5 / 8\right\}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $\left(Z-Z^{\prime}\right)=5 / 16$, a constant for the entire iso-electronic system. This has the important consequence that $H_{l}$ given by equation (5) is proportional to $1 / Z$ ', the expression within the braces being independent of $Z$ or $Z^{\prime}$. It follows therefore that the perturbation series for the GS of $H$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{0}=E_{0}^{g x}+E_{1}^{g g}+E_{2}^{g g}+\ldots \ldots \ldots . \\
& =\left\langle\psi_{k g}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\right| H_{0}\left|\psi_{k g}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle\psi_{k g}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\right| H_{I}\left|\psi_{k g}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& -\Sigma \cdot \frac{\left.\left|\left\langle\psi_{g R}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\right| H_{1}\right| \psi_{\prime \prime}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}}{E_{0}^{\prime \prime}-E_{0}^{g X}}+ \\
& =a_{0}+\frac{1}{Z^{\prime}} a_{1}+\frac{1}{Z^{\prime 2}} a_{2}+ \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \ldots \ldots$ are independent of $Z$ or $Z$ ' and therefore need be calculated only once for the entire iso-electronic system. $a_{0}$ is trivially known and $a_{1}$ equals zero identically by our prescription which determines $Z^{\prime}$ as a function of $Z$. In the present communication we shall caiculate the next order correction $a_{2}$, the details of which are analysed in the next section.

## 3. Results

$a_{2}$ is essentially the second order perturbation correction to the GS of $H_{0}$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=-\sum_{l . j}^{\prime} \frac{\left.\left|\left\langle\psi_{g g}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\right|-\left(\frac{5}{16}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{1}{\rho_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\right| \psi_{11}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}}{E_{0}^{\prime J}-E_{0}^{R g}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where as usual the prime in the summation of (10) indicates that the intermediate state $\psi_{i j}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)=\psi_{g g}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ is excluded. $\psi_{g g}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$, the GS of $H_{0}$, is given explicitly by eq. (7.1).

The intermediate state $\psi_{i j}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ of (10) are of three kinds, namely when (i) the
hydrogenic (ij) are both in the discrete spectrum, (ii) one of them in the discrete and other in the continuum (iii) both of them are in the continuum. Accordingly we classify the terms in the summation (10) as follows

1. Intermediate states discrete : $a_{2}^{d d}+a_{2}^{d \neq d^{\prime}}+a_{2}^{d g}=A_{2}^{d d}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2}^{d d}[i j=d d, d \neq g ; d \equiv(n, l, m), g \equiv(1,0,0)] \\
& =-\sum_{d}^{\prime} \frac{1}{E_{0}^{d d}-E_{0}^{g g^{\prime}}}<\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left|-\frac{5}{16}\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{1}{\rho_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\right| \varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{2}\right)><c \cdot c> \\
& =-\left.\sum_{d}^{\prime} \frac{1}{E_{0}^{d d}-E_{0}^{g g}}\left|<\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right| \frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\left|\varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right|^{2}  \tag{11}\\
& a_{2}^{d \neq d^{\prime}}\left[i j=d d^{\prime}, d \neq d^{\prime} \neq g ; d \equiv\left(n_{1}, l_{1}, m_{1}\right), d^{\prime} \equiv\left(n_{2}, l_{2}, m_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{d \neq d^{\prime} \\
d^{\prime}>d}}^{\prime} \frac{1}{E_{0}^{d d^{\prime}}-E_{0}^{g g}}<\varphi_{g^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left|-\frac{5}{16}\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{1}{\rho_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\right| \varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{d^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\
& \left.+\varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \varphi_{d^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right\rangle\langle c . c\rangle \\
& =-2 \sum_{\substack{d \neq d^{\prime} \\
d^{\prime}>d}}^{\prime} \frac{1}{E_{0}^{d d^{\prime}}-E_{0}^{g R}}\left|<\varphi_{g^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right| \frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\left|\varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{d^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{2}\right)>\right|^{2} \\
& =-\sum_{d \neq d^{\prime}}^{\prime} \frac{1}{E_{0}^{d d^{\prime}}-E_{0}^{\alpha x^{\prime}}}\left|<\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right| \frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\left|\varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{d^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{2}\right)>\right|^{2}  \tag{12}\\
& a_{2}^{d d}+a_{2}^{d \neq d^{\prime}}=-\sum_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\prime} \frac{1}{E_{0}^{d d^{\prime}}-E_{0}^{g g}}\left|<\varphi_{g^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right| \frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\left|\varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{d^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{2}\right)>\right|^{2}  \tag{13}\\
& a_{2}^{d g} \quad[i j=d g] \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d}^{\prime} \frac{1}{E_{0}^{d_{g}}-E_{0}^{g g}}<\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left|-\frac{5}{16}\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{1}{\rho_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\right| \varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\
& +\varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right)><c . c> \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{d}^{\prime} \frac{1}{E_{0}^{d g}-E_{0}^{k g}}<\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left|-\frac{5}{16} \frac{1}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\right| \varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)> \\
& +<\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left|-\frac{5}{16} \frac{1}{\rho_{2}}+\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\right| \varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right)>
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d E_{0}^{d g}-E_{0}^{g g}}^{1} 2<\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left|-\frac{5}{16} \frac{1}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\right| \varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \\
= & -2 \sum_{d E_{0}^{\prime}}^{d} \frac{1}{d g}-E_{0}^{g g}\left|<\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right|-\frac{1}{16}+\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\left|\varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

2. Intermediate states in discrete-continuum pairs : $a_{2}^{c d}+a_{2}^{c g}=A_{2}^{c d}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2}^{c d}[i j=c d, d \neq g ; c \text { denotes continuum] } \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d d} \frac{1}{E_{0}^{d d}-E_{0}^{g g}}<\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \left\lvert\,-\frac{5}{16}\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{1}{\rho_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{\rho_{12}} \varphi_{،}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\varphi_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right\rangle\langle c . c\rangle \\
& \left.=-2 \sum_{c d} \frac{1}{E_{0}^{c d}-E_{0}^{g g}}\left|<\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right| \frac{1}{\Omega_{12}}\left|\varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{d}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle \right\rvert\,  \tag{15}\\
& a_{2}^{c k}[i j=c g]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\varphi_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right\rangle\langle c . c\rangle \\
& =-2 \Sigma \cdot E_{0}^{\prime g}-E_{0}^{g g}\left|<\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right|-\frac{5}{16} \frac{1}{\rho_{1}}+\left.\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\left|\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right|^{2} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

3. Intermediate states both in continuum :

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2}^{u c^{\prime}}= & A_{2}^{\prime c} \quad a_{2}^{儿 口_{\prime}^{\prime}}\left[c^{\prime} \text { denotes continuum }\right] \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{،^{\prime}} \frac{1}{E_{0}^{u^{\prime}}-E_{0}^{g g}}<\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left|-\frac{5}{16}\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{1}{\rho_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\right| \varphi_{c}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{c^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\
& +\varphi_{c}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \varphi_{c^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{1}\right)><c . c> \\
= & \left.-\sum_{\because \prime} \frac{1}{E_{0}^{c c^{\prime}}-E_{0}^{R g}}<\varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{g}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left|\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\right| \varphi_{\imath}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{c^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

In the derivation above from (11) to (17), the properties of orthogonality and symmetry have been used when appropriate. The basis set $\varphi_{n l m}$ and $\varphi_{p}$ employed for the discrete and continuum states of the hydrogenic system are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n l m}(\rho)=-N_{n l} \rho^{1} L_{n+l}^{2 l+1}\left(2 \gamma_{n} \rho\right) Y_{l m}(\hat{\rho}), \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{n l}=\frac{2}{n^{2}}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{l}\left[\frac{(n-l-1)!}{\{(n+l)!\}^{3}}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \gamma_{n}=1 / n .
\end{aligned}
$$

$L_{n+1}^{2 l+1}\left(2 \gamma_{n} \rho\right)$ is the Laguere polynomial [19] and $Y_{l m}(\hat{\rho})$ is the spherical harmonic and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}(\rho)=N_{p} \exp (i p \cdot \rho) F(i / p, \mathrm{l}, i p \rho-i p \cdot \rho) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
N_{p}=(2 \pi)^{\overline{3 / 2}} \exp (\pi / 2 p) \Gamma(1-i / p)
$$

and $F(i / p, 1, i p \rho-i p . \rho)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function [20].
The wavefunctions satisfy the orthonormality relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \varphi_{n^{\prime} l^{\prime} m^{\prime}}^{*}(\rho) \varphi_{n \mid m}(\rho) d \rho=\delta_{n n^{\prime}} \delta_{I I^{\prime}} \delta_{m m m^{\prime}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \varphi_{p^{\prime}}^{*}(\rho) \varphi_{p}(\rho) d \rho=\delta\left(p-p^{\prime}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our calculation, we have found it convenient to use the following contour integral representations of the Laguerre polynomial [21] and the confluent hypergeometric function [22]

$$
L_{n+1}^{2 l+1}\left(2 \gamma_{n} \rho\right)=-\begin{gather*}
(n+l)!  \tag{22}\\
2 \pi!
\end{gather*} \oint_{t} \frac{e^{-\left[2 \gamma_{n}|t /(1-t)| \rho \mid\right.}}{t^{n-t}(1-t)^{2 l+2}} d t
$$

The contour $c$ includes just the origin but excludes the other singularity at 1 .

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(i / p, 1, i p \rho-i p . \rho) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right) \oint_{\Gamma}^{(i n+1+1+1 / p} t^{-1+1 / p}(t)^{-t / p} e^{i(p \rho-p \rho n} d t . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the closed contour encircling the two points 0 and 1 once counterclockwise. There is a branch cut from 0 to 1 and the phases of $t$ and $(t-1)$ are fixed by taking both of them as zero at the point where the contour crosses the real axis to the right of 1 . The phase of each variable is measured from the positive real axis and it is positive when counterclockwise and negative when clockwise and can not excced the value $\pi$.

The use of these contour integral representations have considerably faciliated the evaluation of various matrix elements by residue calculation.

The matrix elements that are required for the evaluation of (10) are classified in eq. (11) to (17) in simple sequential forms for easy computer adaptation. The typical matrix clements are
those of $1 / \rho$ and $1 / \rho_{12}$ the latter being somewhat difficult to handle analytically. We briefly present here the calculation of the relevant matrix elements (required for computer adaptation) with explanation of the symbols used.
a. $\quad I_{1}=\left\langle\varphi_{100}(\rho)\right| \frac{1}{\rho}\left|\varphi_{n l m}(\rho)\right\rangle$

Substituting the integral representation (22) for the Laguerre Polynomial we carry out the space integration to obtain

$$
I_{1}=\delta_{l .0} \delta_{m .0} N_{n l} N_{10}(n+l)!\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{t^{n}\left[\left(\gamma_{n}+\gamma_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{n}\right) t\right]^{2}} .
$$

Now after the calculation of the residue at $t=0$, we finally have the result

$$
I_{1}=4 n^{\cdot 3 / 2} \delta_{1,0} \delta_{m, 0} \frac{(1-1 / n)^{n-1}}{(1+1 / n)^{n+1}}
$$

b. $\quad I_{2}=\left\langle\varphi_{100}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{100}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right| \frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\left|\varphi_{n_{1} l_{1} m_{1}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{n_{2} l_{2} m_{2}}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle$

Substituting $\varphi_{\text {ntm }}(\rho)$ from (18) and using

$$
\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}=\frac{4 \pi}{2 l+1} \sum \frac{\rho_{<}^{\prime}}{\rho_{>}^{1+1}} Y_{l m}^{*}\left(\hat{\rho}_{1}\right) Y_{l m}\left(\hat{\rho}_{2}\right),
$$

we carry out integration to obtain

$$
I_{2}=S(2 l+2)!\left\{J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\delta_{l_{1}, l} \delta_{l_{2}, l} \delta_{m_{1}, m} \delta_{m_{2},-m} \frac{4}{2 l+1} N_{n_{1} l_{1}} N_{n_{2} t_{2}} . \\
& J_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{2} \oint \oint \frac{\left(1-t_{1}\right)^{3}\left(1-t_{2}\right) d t_{1} d t_{2}}{t_{1}^{N} t_{2}^{M}\left(t_{1}-c_{1} t_{1}\right)^{2}\left(A-X_{1} t_{1}-X_{2} t_{2}+B t_{1} t_{2}\right)^{2 l+3}}, \\
& J_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{2} \oint \oint_{t_{1}^{N} t_{2}^{M}\left(b_{2}-c_{2} t_{2}\right)^{2}\left(A-X_{1} t_{1}-X_{2} t_{2}+B t_{1} t_{2}\right)^{2 l+3}}, \\
& J_{3}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{2} \oint \oint \frac{\left(1-t_{2}\right)^{3}\left(1-t_{1}\right) d t_{1} d t_{2}}{t_{1}^{N} t_{2}^{M}\left(b_{1}-c_{1} t_{1}\right)\left(b_{2}-c_{2} t_{2}\right)\left(A-X_{1} t_{1}-X_{2} t_{2}+B t_{1} t_{2}\right)^{2 l+3}}, \\
& b_{1}=1+1 / n_{1} . \\
& c_{1}=1-1 / n_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{2}=1+1 / n_{2}, \\
& c_{2}=1-1 / n_{2}, \\
& A=b_{1}+b_{2}, \\
& B=c_{1}+c_{2}, \\
& X_{1}=b_{1}+c_{2}, \\
& X_{2}=b_{2}+c_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To evaluate $J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}$, the contour integration with respect to $t_{2}$ is done by finding out the residue at $t_{2}=0$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{1}=\left[J_{M N}-3 J_{M(N-1)}+3 J_{M(N-2)}-J_{M(N-3)}\right] \frac{[2 l+3]_{M-1}}{(M-1)!} \\
& -\left[J_{(M-1) N}-3 J_{(M-1)(N-1)}+3 J_{(M-1)(N-2)}-J_{(M-1)(N-3)}\right] \frac{[2 l+3]_{M-2}}{(M-2)!}, \\
& J_{2}=\left[J_{N M}^{\prime}-3 J_{N(M-1)}^{\prime}+3 J_{N(M-2)}^{\prime}-J_{N(M-3)}^{\prime}\right] \frac{[2 l+3]_{N-1}}{(N-1)!} \\
& -\left[J_{(N-1) M}^{\prime}-3 J_{(N-1)(M-1)}^{\prime}+3 J_{(N-1)(M-2)}^{\prime}-J_{(N-1)(M-3)}^{\prime}\right] \frac{[2 l+3]_{N^{\prime}-2}}{(N-2)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{3}=b_{2}^{-1}\left[\left\{K_{M N}-2 K_{M(N-1)}+K_{M(N-2)}\right\}-2\left\{K_{(M-1) N}-2 K_{(M-1)(N-1)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+K_{(M-1)(N-2)}\right\}+\left\{K_{(M-2) N}-2 K_{(M-2)(N-1)}+K_{(M 2) N-2)}\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
J_{M N}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right) \oint \frac{\left(X_{2}-B t_{1}\right)^{M-1} d t_{1}}{t_{1}^{N}\left(h_{1}-c_{1} t_{1}\right)^{2}\left(A-X_{1} t_{1}\right)^{2 t+M+2}}
$$

$$
J_{N M}^{\prime}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right) \oint \frac{\left(X_{1}-B t_{2}\right)^{N-1} d t_{2}}{t_{2}^{N}\left(b_{2}-c_{2} t_{2}\right)^{2}\left(A-X_{2} t_{2}\right)^{2 l+N+2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{M N}=\sum_{p=0}^{M-1} \frac{[2 l+3]_{p}}{p!}\left(\frac{c_{2}}{b_{2}}\right)^{M-1-p} \\
& \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right) \oint \frac{\left(X_{2}-B t_{1}\right)^{p} d t_{2}}{t_{1}^{N}\left(b_{1}-c_{1} t_{1}\right)^{2}\left(A-X_{1} t_{1}\right)^{2 l+3+p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These are evaluated by calculating the residue at $t_{1}=0$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( } M-1 \text { ) or ( } N-1 \text { ), } \\
& J_{M N}=\sum_{p=0} X_{2}^{M-1} \frac{[-(M-1)]_{p}}{p!}\left(\frac{B}{X_{2}}\right)^{p} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1-p} A^{-(2 /+M+2)} \\
& \times \frac{[2 l+M+2]_{q}}{q!}\left(\frac{X_{1}}{A}\right)^{q}(N-p-q) b_{1}^{-2}\left(\frac{c_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)^{N-1-p-q}, \\
& \text { ( } M-1 \text { ) or ( } N-1 \text { ). } \\
& J_{N M}^{\prime}=\sum_{p=0}^{\text {whichever is small }} X_{1}^{N-1} \frac{[-(N-1)]}{p!}\left(\frac{B}{X_{1}}\right)^{p} \sum_{q=0}^{M-1-p} A^{-(2 l+N+2)} \\
& \times \frac{[2 l+N+2]_{q}}{q!}\left(\frac{X_{2}}{A}\right)^{q}(M-p-q) b_{2}^{-2}\left(\frac{c_{2}}{b_{2}}\right)^{M-1-p-q}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \text { or ( } N-1 \text { ), } \\
& \text { whichever is small } \times \sum_{r=0} \frac{[-p]_{r}}{r!}\left(\frac{B}{X_{2}}\right)^{\prime} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1-r} \frac{[2 l+p+3]_{q}}{q!}\left(\frac{X_{1}}{A}\right)^{q}\binom{c_{1}}{-\frac{b_{1}}{4}}^{N-1-r-q} \\
& I_{3}=\left\langle\varphi_{100}(\rho)\right| \frac{1}{\rho}\left|\varphi_{p}(\rho)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Making use of integral representation of the confluent hypergeometric function (23) we get

$$
I_{3}=N_{10} N_{p}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right) \int \oint \frac{e^{-\mu \rho}}{\rho} e^{i x \rho} t^{-1+1 \alpha}(t-1)^{-1 \alpha} d \rho d t
$$

where

$$
\mu=1-i p t \text { and } \kappa=\dot{p}(1-t) .
$$

Carrying out the space integration we get

$$
I_{3}=N_{10} N_{p} \frac{4 \pi}{2 \pi i} \oint \frac{1}{\left(\kappa^{2}+\mu^{2}\right)} t^{-1+i \alpha}(t-1)^{-i \alpha} d t
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa^{2}+\mu^{2}=p^{2}\left(1-t^{2}\right)+(1-i p t)^{2} \\
& =-2 p(p+i)\left(t-t_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
t_{0}=\frac{\left(p^{2}+1\right)}{2 p(p+i)}
$$

In view of the fact that the integral over the circle of infinite radius $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ vanishes, we can easily evaluate this integral by calculation of the residue at $t=t_{0}$ and we have

$$
I_{3}=\frac{2 \pi}{p(p+i)} N_{10} N_{p} t_{0}^{-1+i \alpha}\left(t_{0}-1\right)^{-i \alpha}
$$

d. $\left.\quad I_{4}=<\varphi_{100}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{100}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \quad \varphi_{n l m}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{p}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle$

Now using the result

$$
\rho_{12}^{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int^{\exp \left\{i q \cdot\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)\right\}} d q
$$

we have

$$
I_{4}=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int \frac{\varphi_{1}(q) \varphi_{2}(-q)}{q^{2}} d q .
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}(q)=\int \varphi_{100}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{n l m}^{*}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \exp \left(i q . \rho_{1}\right) d \rho_{1} \\
& \quad \frac{N_{10} N_{n l}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}}(n+l)!4 \pi(-i)^{\prime} 2^{\prime} l!q^{\prime} Y_{l m}^{*}(\hat{q})\left(-\frac{v}{\partial \gamma_{1}}\right) J, \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right) \oint_{t^{n-l}(1-t)^{2 l+2}\left(q^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)^{l+1}}, \\
& \lambda=\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{n}\right)+{ }_{1-t}^{2 \gamma_{n} t} \\
& =\frac{R-U t}{1-t} \\
& R=\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{n} \\
& U=\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{2}(-q)=\int \varphi_{100}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{p}^{*}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \exp \left(-i q . \rho_{2}\right) d \rho_{2} \\
& =\frac{N_{10} N_{p}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}}\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_{1}}\right) \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \frac{(2 \pi)^{3}}{2 \pi^{2}} \oint \frac{1}{\left(p^{\prime 2}+\gamma_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)} t^{-1+1 \alpha}(t-1)^{-1 \alpha} d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{1}^{\prime}=\gamma_{1}-i p t \\
& p^{\prime}=p(1-t)-q
\end{aligned}
$$

Now writing $p^{\prime 2}+\gamma_{1}^{\prime 2}=a^{\prime}-b^{\prime} t$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{\prime}=p^{2}+q^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}-2 q . p \\
& b^{\prime}=2 p^{2}+2 i \gamma_{1} p-2 q . p
\end{aligned}
$$

the contour integration can be performed and we get

$$
\varphi_{2}(-q)=2 \sqrt{\pi} N_{10} N_{r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_{1}}\right)\left[a^{\prime-1+\alpha \alpha}\left(a^{\prime}-b^{\prime}\right)^{-1 \alpha}\right] .
$$

On using the result [23],

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \exp (-\lambda r) r^{l-1} Y_{l m}(\hat{r}) \exp (i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}) d r \\
& \frac{4 \pi(2 i)^{l} l!k^{\prime} Y_{l m}(\hat{k})}{\left(k^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)^{l+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and writing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{2}+\lambda^{2}=q^{2}+\begin{array}{c}
{[R-U t\rceil^{2}} \\
1-t
\end{array} \\
& -\frac{\xi-2 w t-s t}{(1-t)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi=q^{2}+\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{n}\right)^{2}, \\
& w=q^{2}+\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}-\gamma_{n}^{2}\right) \\
& s=q^{2}+\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{n}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}(q)=C^{\prime} q^{\prime} Y_{l m}^{*}(\hat{q})\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right) \frac{1}{\xi^{1+1}} \oint_{t^{n-1}\left(1-2 x \beta_{1}-\beta_{1}^{2}\right)^{1+1}} \\
& =C^{\prime} q^{l} Y_{l m}^{*}(\hat{q})\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_{1}}\right)\left[\frac{1}{\xi^{l+1}} C_{n-l-1}^{l+1}(w / \sqrt{\xi s})(\sqrt{s} / \xi)^{n-l-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C^{\prime}=\frac{N_{10} N_{n l}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}}(n+l)!4 \pi(-i)^{\prime} 2^{\prime} l! \\
& x=w^{\prime} / \xi s \\
& \beta_{1}=(\sqrt{s} / \xi) t
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{4}=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} C^{\prime}\left(-2 \sqrt{\pi} N_{10} N_{P}\right) \int q^{\prime} Y_{l m}^{*}(\hat{q})\left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \gamma_{1}}\right)\left[(i \alpha-1) a^{\prime \prime \alpha-2}\right. \\
& \left.\left(a^{\prime}-b^{\prime}\right)^{-i \alpha} 2 \gamma_{1}-i \alpha\left(a^{\prime}-b^{\prime}\right)^{-i \alpha-1} a^{\prime \prime \alpha-1} 2\left(\gamma_{1}-i p\right)\right] d q \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} C^{\prime}\left(-2 \sqrt{\pi} N_{10} N_{P}\right) \int d q q^{\prime}\left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \gamma_{1}}\right)\left[(i \alpha-1)\left(a^{\prime}-b^{\prime}\right)^{-i \alpha} 2 \gamma_{1} I_{1}(q)\right. \\
& \left.-i \alpha\left(a^{\prime}-b^{\prime}\right)^{-i \alpha-1} 2\left(\gamma_{1}-i p\right) I_{2}(q)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}(q)=\int a^{\prime \prime \alpha-2} Y_{l m}^{*}(\hat{q}) d \Omega_{q} \\
& =\int\left\{p^{2}+q^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}-2 q \cdot p\right\}^{\prime \alpha-2} Y_{l m}^{*}(\hat{q}) d \Omega_{q} \\
& =\frac{\pi Y_{l m}^{*}(\hat{p})}{p q(i \alpha+3)}\left[\left\{(p+q)^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}\right\}^{\prime \alpha-1}\right. \\
& \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-l, l+1, i \alpha ; \frac{p^{2}+q^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}+2 q p}{4 p q}\right)+(-1)^{l+1} \\
& \times\left\{(p-q)^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}\right\}^{i \alpha-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-l, l+1, i \alpha ; \frac{2 q p-\left(p^{2}+q^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}\right)}{4 p q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
I_{2}(q)=\int a^{\prime \prime \alpha-1} Y_{l m}^{*}(\hat{q}) \dot{d} \dot{\Omega}_{q}
$$

is obtained from $I_{1}(q)[25]$ just by replacing $i \alpha$ by $(i \alpha+1)$.
c. $\quad I_{5}=\left\langle\varphi_{100}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{100}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right| \frac{1}{\rho_{12}}\left|\varphi_{p_{1}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \varphi_{p_{2}}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right\rangle$

Making use of the contour integral representation of the continuum wave functions $\varphi_{p_{1}}, \varphi_{p_{2}}$ [eq. (23)] we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{5}=C^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{2} \iint \oint \oint \frac{e^{-\left(\mu_{1} \rho_{1}+\mu_{2} \rho_{2}\right)}}{\rho_{12}} \exp \left(i \chi_{1} \cdot \rho_{1}\right) \exp \left(i \chi_{2} \cdot \rho_{2}\right) \\
& \times t_{1}^{-1+1 \alpha_{1}}\left(t_{1}-1\right)^{-1 \alpha_{1}} t_{2}^{-1+\alpha \alpha_{2}}\left(t_{2}-1\right)^{-i \alpha_{2}} d t_{1} d t_{2} d \rho_{1} d \rho_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \exp \left(\pi / 2 p_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1-i / p_{1}\right) \exp \left(\pi / 2 p_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-i / p_{2}\right) \\
& \alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{p_{1}} \\
& \mu_{1}=\gamma_{0}-i p_{1} t_{2} \\
& \mu_{2}=\gamma_{0}^{\prime}-i p_{2} t_{2} \\
& \chi_{1}=p_{i}\left(1-t_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the results

$$
\frac{e^{-\mu_{1} \rho_{1}}}{\rho_{1}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int \frac{e^{1 q_{1} \cdot \rho_{1}}}{\left(q_{1}^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)} d q_{1}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\rho_{12}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int \frac{e^{1 q_{3}\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)}}{q_{3}^{2}} d q_{3},
$$

the space integration can be carried out with the help of the two resulting delta functions the integrations with respect to $\boldsymbol{q}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}_{2}$ also can be done.

$$
a_{2}=A_{2}^{d d}+A_{2}^{c d}+A_{2}^{c c}=-0.0518
$$

The value is sufficiently accurate up to the quoted figure.
The discrete summation for the discrete states and the integration for the continuum states appearing in the second order perturbation are performed numerically by computer. For the numerical integration convergence has been tested so that the result should be accurate up to the sixth significant figure and the discrete summation is also cut off ensuring that there is no further contribution in the sixth significant figure.

Therefore the GS energy of the Helium isoelectronic series upto second order RSPT is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=E_{0} Z^{\prime 2}=a_{0} Z^{\prime 2}+a_{1} Z^{\prime}+a_{2} \\
& =-Z^{\prime 2}+0-0.0518 \\
& =-(Z-5 / 16)^{2}-0.0518
\end{aligned}
$$

In Table 1, we present our result together with some selective set of result as often quoted in the literature.

Table 1.

| $\mathbf{Z}=$ | Freund et al [17] | One parameter variational result | Two parameter result of abbot and Maslen [7] | Three parameter result of Wu [8] | Two parameter result of tripathı et al [9] | Presernt Work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 0.5275 | 0.47266 | 0.508 | 0.5213 | 0.50552 | 0.52447 |
| 2 | 290372 | 2.84766 | 2.890 | 2.8994 | 2.88403 | 289947 |
| 3 | 727991 | 7.22266 | 7.267 | 72757 | 725990 | 727447 |
| 4 | 13.65556 | 13.59766 | 13.65 | 13.6513 | 1363527 | 1364947 |
| 5. | 22.03097 | 2197266 | 2202 |  | 22.01048 | 22.02447 |
| 6. | 3240624 | 32.34766 | 3239 |  | 32.38562 | 32.39947 |
| 7. | 44.78144 | 44.72266 | 44.76 |  | 44.76071 | 44.77447 |
| 8 | 59.15659 | 59.09766 |  |  | 59.13578 | 59.14947 |
| 9. | 75.53171 | 75.47266 |  |  | 75.51083 | 75.52447 |
| 10 | 93.90680 | 93.84766 |  |  | 9388587 | 93.89947 |

## 4. Discussion

The results obtained for the helium isoclectronic series by the present method are quite interesting. The method essentially is a perturbative one with the effective zero order hamiltonian chosen in such a way that screening is included in the zeroth order hamiltonian through an effective charge $Z^{\prime}$. By a simple scaling, the exact hamiltonian is recast in such a way that the zero order effective hamiltonian gives a known spectrum of numbers (in the discrete as well as in the continuum) and the perturbation becomes proportional to $f\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{12}, Z-Z^{\prime}\right) / Z^{\prime}$, where
$f$ is essentially a function of $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ and $\rho_{12}$ since the contribution $Z-Z$ turns out to be a constant $(\equiv 5 / 16)$ for the whole iso-electronic system. Thus the scaled energy is just a series in $1 / Z^{\prime}$.

$$
E / Z^{\prime 2}=\varepsilon=a_{0}+a_{1} / Z^{\prime}+a_{2} / Z^{\prime 2}+-a_{3}-/ Z^{\prime 3}
$$

where $a_{1}$ are constants for the whole isoelectronic series. $a_{1}$ identically equals zero by choice and therefore the lowest order perturbative correction is given by $a_{2}$ which is all we have reported in this communication. The results for the whole seres is very encouraging (Table 1). For all the elements of the isoelectronic series, the zero order energy obtained through our prescription, is variational! For He the second order energy almost coincides with the three parameter variational result of $\mathrm{Wu}[8]$ ! The case of $H^{-}$is even more striking. $H^{-}$has been well investigated by many authors using the variational method of which the earlier simple one parameter Kellner type [1] trial wave function leads to an energy value -0. 473 which is not conclusive enough to a stable bound state. However, the better variational ansat/ of the two parameter Chandrasekhar wave function | $18 \mid$ leads to binding at the energy value -1 ) 514 . The three parameter variational result of $\mathrm{Wu}[8]$ leads to an energy value - 0.521 . Our method yields a better value at $-\left(0.524\right.$. Our success with $H^{-}$for which $1 / Z^{\prime}$ is greater than unity 15 a very interesting feature of our method. This is presumably due to the dommant constant contribution $a_{2}$ to the energy In Table 1, we compare our result with the exact value reported by Freund at al $\mid 171$ employing a 230 term trial wave function. They reported variational energy accurate to within one part in $10^{0^{23}}$ which has far exceeded the limits of accuracy in any expermental measurement. We conclude in passing that our calculation is simple, and yet yields the result comparable with those of the multiparameter cumbersome calculation reported by several workers.

Unlike in the variational ansat, where correlation is explicitly built in the trial wavefunction. here the correlation effects come through the vartous orders of perturbation
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