

ON THE STRUCTURE OF COMPLEX SILVER QUINOLINE PERCHLORATE

T. RATHO AND MRS. M. KRISHNASWAMY

REGIONAL ENGINEERING COLLEGE, BOURNELA

(Received December 19, 1966)

ABSTRACT. The Debye Scherrer pattern of Silver Quinoline Per Chlorate has been studied and analysed. The unit cell dimensions are found to be $a = 15.15 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 11.91 \text{ \AA}$, $c = 13.08 \text{ \AA}$ and $\beta = 97.47^\circ$. There are four molecules in the unit cell. The space group $P2_1/m - C_{2h}^2$ can be assigned to the crystal.

INTRODUCTION

Silver Quinoline perchlorate $\text{Ag}[\text{C}_9\text{H}_7\text{N}]_2\text{ClO}_4$ crystals are obtainable in a microcrystalline form, white in colour. The study of crystal structure of these complex ligands throws light on their diamagnetic behaviour. It is also possible to know something about the stretching force constants between the various groups of atoms in these molecules. As it is not possible to grow large crystals we have tried to analyse the crystal by the wellknown powder methods.

EXPERIMENTAL

Copper radiation from a Machlett A-2 X-ray diffraction tube was made monochromatic by using a Ni filter. The apparatus was running at 20 m.A. and 30 K.V. The finely powdered specimen was contained in a Lindemann glass capillary of 0.01 mm. wall thickness and of 0.5 mm diameter. The Debye Scherrer photograph was obtained in a Rigaku camera of 9 cm. diameter. The time of exposure was 4 hours. In the usual manner the interplanar distances were calculated accurately. Methods applicable to the cubic, tetragonal and hexagonal systems were applied and the experimental data did not fit into any one of them. Therefore the crystal does not belong to the higher symmetry class. The Lipson's method (1949), when tried, did not give a good number of constant differences. Therefore the most general method of Ito (1950) applicable to crystals of lower symmetry, namely, the monoclinic and the triclinic was applied.

The experimental data relating to the d values and the corresponding Q values ($1/d_{hkl}^2 = Q_{hkl}$) are also given in the table. All the six parameters were found by using the Ito's method and the powder pattern was indexed after Azaroff and Bourger (1958).

We have after the above method of Ito,

$$Q_{hkl} = h^2a^{*2} + k^2b^{*2} + l^2c^{*2} + 2klb^*c^* \cos \alpha^* + 2hlc^*a^* \cos \beta^* + 2hka^*b^* \cos \gamma^*$$

where α^* , β^* , γ^* and a^* , b^* and c^* are the reciprocal angles and axes respectively.

The first two reflections of very weak intensity, after some trials, could be taken as Q_{100} , Q_{001} and the seventh reflection was taken as Q_{020} . Using the above formula it was possible to find out the reciprocal cell dimensions. They are

$$a^* = 0.06664$$

$$b^* = 0.08397$$

$$c^* = 0.07714$$

It then became necessary to examine the (hko) , (hol) and (okl) reflections to select the reciprocal cell angles α^* , β^* and γ^* . If α^* and γ^* were taken to be 90° then (hko) and (okl) reflections could be detected. Therefore β^* was calculated after studying pairs of (hol) and (hol) reflections after the equation

$$\cos \beta^* = \frac{Q_{hol} - Q_{hol}}{4hla^*c^*}$$

The value of β^* so found was $82^\circ 13'$. The direct cell dimensions are therefore obtained from these six reciprocal parameters as

$$a = 15.15 \text{ A.U.}$$

$$b = 11.91 \text{ A.U.}$$

$$c = 13.08 \text{ A.U.}$$

$$\alpha = 90^\circ$$

$$\beta = 97^\circ 47'$$

$$\gamma = 90^\circ$$

The above dimensions were found to be the reduced ones after applying the Buerger test (1958). The above data speaks about the monoclinic structure of the crystal. After indexing all the powder lines in the light of the above formula the following conditions for the appearance of the lines were found.

$$hkl - \text{no condition}$$

$$hol - \text{no condition}$$

$$oko - k = 2n$$

The possible space group, therefore, is $P2_1/m - C_{2h}^2$. The observed density is 1.383 gms/cc. and the calculated density comes out to be 1.323 gms/cc. This gives four molecules per unit cell.

TABLE

No. of lines	Intensity	$d \text{ \AA}$	$Q_{hkl} = 1/d^2$ observed	Q_{hkl} computed	Indices
1.	vw	14.99	0.00444	0.00444	100
2.	vw	12.97	0.00595	0.00595	001
3.	s	8.024	0.0155	0.0160	11 $\bar{1}$
4.	m	7.463	0.0180	0.0178 0.0188	200 111
5.	s	6.977	0.0205	0.0209	20 $\bar{1}$
6.	vw	6.511	0.0236	0.0238	002
7.	vw	5.979	0.0280	0.0282 0.0280	020 21 $\bar{1}$
8.	s	5.537	0.0326	0.0326 0.0325	120 11 $\bar{2}$
9.	s	5.241	0.0364	0.0360 0.0372	20 $\bar{2}$ 12 $\bar{1}$
10.	m	5.021	0.0397	0.0399	300
11.	s	4.809	0.0432	0.0430	21 $\bar{2}$
12.	vw	4.603	0.0472	0.0470 0.0471	310 202
13.	vw	4.314	0.0537	0.0536 0.0538 0.0537 0.0541	003 10 $\bar{3}$ 12 $\bar{2}$ 212
14.	s	4.131	0.0586	0.0592	122
15.	m	3.918	0.0651	0.0642	22 $\bar{2}$
16.	vw	3.649	0.0751	0.0754 0.0753	131 222
17.	w	3.457	0.0837	0.0837 0.0843 0.0836	40 $\bar{2}$ 23 $\bar{1}$ 32 $\bar{2}$
18.	m	3.318	0.0908	0.0904 0.0912 0.0908	123 22 $\bar{3}$ 41 $\bar{2}$
19.	w	3.237	0.0954	0.0952	004

TABLE—contd.

No. of lines	Intensity	$d \text{ \AA}$	$Q_{hkl} = 1/d^2$ observed	Q_{hkl} computed	Indices
20.	m	3.077	0.1057	0.1052	104
				0.1060	303
				0.1052	402
				0.1052	33 $\bar{1}$
21.	w	2.975	0.1130	0.1128	040
				0.1123	114
				0.1135	331
				0.1131	313
				0.1130	412
22.	m	2.799	0.1276	0.1279	51 $\bar{2}$
23.	vw	2.663	0.1410	0.1413	403
24	vvw	2.581	0.1501	0.1507	513
				0.1510	414
25.	vw	2.519	0.1576	0.1574	60 $\bar{1}$
				0.1575	134
26.	m	2.436	0.1685	0.1678	305
				0.1687	134
				0.1682	342
27.	m	2.322	0.1854	0.1854	503
				0.1852	151
				0.1849	342
				0.1855	514
				0.1856	621
28.	w	2.214	0.2041	0.2047	433
29.	w	2.142	0.2180	0.2180	144
				0.2180	351
				0.2188	343
				0.2187	442
30.	m	2.087	0.2295	0.2298	053
				0.2291	306
31.	w	2.034	0.2418	0.2424	026
				0.2411	514
				0.2419	534
32.	w	1.962	0.2597	0.2598	061
				0.2600	452
				0.2589	416
33.	w	1.909	0.2746	0.2747	261
				0.2749	615

TABLE—contd.

No. of lines	Intensity	$d \text{ \AA}$	$Q_{hkl} = 1/d^2$ observed	Q_{hkl} computed	Indices
34.	vw	1.844	0.2942	0.2938	360
				0.2946	505
				0.2948	35 $\bar{4}$
35.	vw	1.791	0.3118	0.3121	236
				0.3111	435
				0.3116	52 $\bar{6}$
36.	vw	1.729	0.3342	0.3335	263
				0.3348	36 $\bar{3}$
				0.3337	65 $\bar{1}$
				0.3344	64 $\bar{4}$
37	w	1.676	0.3562	0.3556	26 $\bar{4}$
				0.3567	255
38.	vw	1.628	0.3775	0.3779	264
				0.3777	561, 562
39.	vw	1.589	0.3958	0.3951	463
				0.3952	526
				0.3962	54 $\bar{6}$
40.	w	1.559	0.4116	0.4112	66 $\bar{1}$
41.	vw	1.513	0.4372	0.4367	64 $\bar{6}$
42.	vw	1.469	0.4633	0.4634	365
				0.4632	645

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to express their indebtedness to the Education Ministry, Government of India, for awarding a Research scholarship to one of us (Mrs. M. Krishnaswamy). They are also thankful to Dr. D. V. Ramana Rao and Mr. R. N. Patel of the Department of Chemistry, for supplying us the pure sample used in this work.

REFERENCES

Azaroff, L. V. and Buerger, M. J., 1958, *Powder method*, Mc Graw-Hill, p. 150.
 Ito, T., 1950, *X-ray studies in Polymorphism*, Maruzen, Tokyo.
 Lipson, H., 1949, *Act. Cryst.*, **2**, 43.