Fluorescence spectra of praseodymium and samarium amino acid ternary complexes

S P Tandon, N B Bishnoi, Y K Sharma and S S L Surana Department of Physics, J N V University, Jodhpur-342 001, India

and

K Tandon Department of Chemistry, J N V University, Jodhpur-342 001, India Received 9 July 1992, accepted 5 May 1993

Abstract : Fluorescence spectra of Pr^{3+} temary complexes with L-alanine or L-cysteine as primary and 2-3 butandiol as secondary ligands have been recorded. One band for Pr^{3+} complexes and four bands for Sm³⁺ complexes have been observed. Their assignments have been given.

Keywords : Praseodymium ternary complexes, samarium ternary complexes and fluorescence spectra.

PACS No. : 35.50.Dq

1. Introduction

A comparative study of fluorescence of Pr^{3+} ion in state of glass, aqueous solution and powder was reported recently [1]. Though the absorption spectra of rare earth amino acid complexes have been studied [2-6], the data on their fluorescence spectra are very scanty. The present communication reported the fluorescence spectra of Pr^{3+} and Sm^{3+} amino acid ternary complexes in state of aqueous solution.

2. Experimental

The fluorescence spectra were recorded on Hitachi Fluorescence Spectrophotometer model "F-3000" in the visible region in triple distilled water. The complexes of Pr^{3+} and Sm^{3+} with amino acids (L-alanine [A] or L-cysteine [C] as primary ligand and 2,3-butandiol [BD] as secondary ligand in the molar ratio 1:1:1, 1:2:1 and 1:1:2 have been synthesized by well established method [7,5]. The chemicals used were of AR grade. Praseodymium and samarium chlorides (99.99% pure) were supplied by Indian Rare Earths Limited.

3. Results and discussion

The fluorescence spectra of twelve Pr^{3+} and Sm^{3+} ternary aming acid complexes have been recorded in the state of aqueous solution. Their spectra are given in Figures 1 and 2.

^{*} Present address · Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi-110 016, India

260 S P Tandon et al

Pr³⁺ complexes :

 Pr^{3+} ions are known [8,9] to cause a strong concentration quenching and as such, it is extremely difficult to observe an appreciable fluorescence from Pr^{3+} complexes in aqueous solution. The fluorescence spectra of all the six Pr^{3+} complexes have been monitored at the excitation wavelength, $\lambda_{ex} = 445$ nm, which corresponds to the most intense absorption band $({}^{3}H_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}P_{2})$ in their absorption spectra (Figure 1). The resulting spectra have been presented in Figure 1. Though all the fluorescence spectra have been scanned upto the other spectral extreme, *i.e.*, 800 nm of the instrument, the noticeable fluorescence could be recorded in the spectral region 450-650 nm only, which appears in the form of several humps superimposed on the broad tail of the excitation peak.



Figure 1. Fluorescence spectra of praseodymium ternary amino acid complexes in aqueous state.

Faint fluorescence peaks have been observed in the solvent spectrum which could be attributed to the fluorescent impurities (in ppm) left even after triple distillation. The spectra of solution and solvent contain almost coinciding fluorescence humps. However, their intensities differ considerably in the two spectra producing an evidence of hidden major fluorescence band. Interestingly in the case of $Pr_1 C_2 (BD)_1$ complex (Figure 1(a)) this hidden band is resolved to a greater extent thus strengthening our presumption. For a better explanation of these observations, assistance has been sought from the work performed [10]

on similar complexes by our group, in which all the four absorption bands (444, 469, 482 and 590 nm) were employed for excitation, but only one broad fluorescence band centered at around 494 nm was observed in all cases, which on energy consideration has been assigned to the transition ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$. Further, the intensity of this band has been found to be maximum when excited by $\lambda_{ex} = 444$ nm $({}^{3}H_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}P_{2})$. In order to test the effect of concentration on fluorescence quenching, three different concentrations, *viz.*, 0.01 M, 0.05 M and 0.10 M were investigated. It was observed [10] that the fluorescence quenching increases with the increase in concentration. Taking the above facts into consideration the main cause of the supressed fluorescence observed in the present course of investigation could be anticipated as the high concentration of the Pr³⁺ ions, which is as many as ten times higher than that used in other complexes [10] to record the noticeable fluorescence.

At higher concentrations, a large part of the excitation energy absorbed by the complex molecule is lost mainly through ion-ion interactions [11-14] and intermolecular exchanges [15,16,7]. This results in the decreased population of ions decaying radiatively from the fluorescing state which in its turn produces a weak fluorescence. Last but not the least, the effect of the solvent cannot be ignored as well, since hydrogen bonding and -OH group cause the dissipation of excitation energy non-radiatively. This fact is supported by the observation of high fluorescence intensity in aprotic solvents [17] which do not contain such groups.

Sm³⁺ complexes :

Four fluorescence bands each with an average and extreme peak fluorescence wavelength of 561.1 ± 0.3 , 596.6 ± 0.4 , 643.1 ± 0.5 and 706.1 ± 1.1 nm (Table 1) have been observed in case of all the six Sm³⁺ complexes.

Transition →	${}^{4}G_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{6}H_{5/2}$		⁴ G _{5/2} → ⁶ H _{7/2}		$^{4}G_{5/2} \rightarrow ^{6}H_{9/2}$		${}^{4}G_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{6}H_{11/2}$	
Complex ↓	λ _P (nm)	I (a.u.)	λ _p (nm)	I (a.u.)	λ _p (nm)	I (a.u.)	λ _p (mm)	I (a.u.)
Sm 1 A1 (BD)1	561.0	0.64	596.4	0.94	643.6	0.11	707.0	0.029
Sm 1 A2 (BD)1	561.4	1.02	597.0	1.57	642.8	0.24	707.2	0.053
Sm 1 A1 (BD)2	561.2	0.92	596.6	1.38	643.2	0.20	705.8	0.023
Sm 1 C1 (BD)1	560.8	0.97	596.2	1.30	642.6	0.17	705.4	0.044
Sm 1 C1 (BD)2	561.2	0.76	596.4	1.10	643.2	0.14	705.2	0.038
$\operatorname{Sm}_1 \operatorname{C}_2(\operatorname{BD})_1$	561.2	1.01	596.6	1.51	642.6	0.22	705.0	0.042

Table 1. Fluorescence peak values (λ_p) and intensity values [I] for Sm³ complexes monitored at the exciting radiation $\lambda_{ex} = 401 \text{ nm} \left({}^{6}\text{H}_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{6}\text{P}_{3/2} \right)$.

On energy consideration, these bands have been assigned to the transitions initiating from the excited state ${}^{4}G_{5/2}$ and terminating at the ${}^{6}H$ manifolds, *viz.*, ${}^{6}H_{5/2}$, ${}^{6}H_{7/2}$, ${}^{6}H_{9/2}$ and ${}^{6}H_{11/2}$ respectively. These spectra have been recorded by scanning a single excitation wavelength, $\lambda_{ex} = 401$ nm, over the emission region 410–800 nm. However, for a better insight of the

fluorescence bands, only the relevant portion, *i.e.* 525–750 nm has been presented in Figure 2. The specific λ_{ex} (401 nm) was selected on the merit that it is the peak position of the most intense absorption band (${}^{6}\text{H}_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{6}\text{P}_{3/2}$).



Figure 2. Fluorescence spectra of samarium ternary amino acid complexes in aqueous state.

It is interesting to note that certain fluorescent impurities in ppm concentration in the solvent have been found to be neutral to $\lambda_{ex} = 401$ nm in the present case which, however, played a villain role in the case of Pr³⁺ complexes ($\lambda_{ex} = 445$ nm). No significant interference from the solvent spectra other than Raman scattering has been observed in the solution spectra, thus making it possible to identify even a very faint fluorescence band due to the transition viz., ${}^{4}G_{52} \rightarrow {}^{6}H_{11/2}$.

The relative intensities alongwith the peak fluorescence wavelength (λ_p) for all the six complexes have been collected in Table 1. The intensity of the fluorescence band due to the transition ${}^4G_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^6H_{7/2}$, appearing in the above table was corrected for Raman scattering prior to its inclusion in this table. This practice is essential for a more comprehensive comparison of the fluorescence intensities. A comparison among the fluorescence intensities of various transitions yields the following order :

$${}^{4}G_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{6}H_{11/2} < {}^{4}G_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{6}H_{9/2} < {}^{4}G_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{6}H_{5/2} < {}^{4}G_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{6}H_{7/2}$$

This indicates that most of the excited ions try to approach more stabilized electronic state of the ⁶H manifolds, thus resulting in the increased population of the ⁶H manifolds with decreasing angular momentum. However, the lowest electronic state of this manifold, *i.e.*, ground state ⁶H_{5/2}, forms an exception with a reduction in its population as compared to ⁶H_{7/2} state. This could most probably be attributed to the 'resonance' nature of this transition where the absorption process is being performed simultaneously towards higher energy side at an average and extreme spectral separations of 47 ± 16 cm⁻¹ in general and of 59 and 42 cm⁻¹ specifically for alanine and cysteine co-ordinated complexes respectively. This paves way to feed back some of the two grounded ions direct to the fluorescing state ⁴G_{5/2}, resulting in the decreased intensity of the fluorescence band due to transition ⁴G_{5/2} \rightarrow ⁶H_{5/2}.

Yet another comparison of fluorescence intensity of all the observed transition among different complexes yield the following order :

 $Sm_1 A_2 (BD)_1 > Sm_1 A_1 (BD)_2 > Sm_1 A_1 (BD)_1$

for alanine co-ordinated complexes and

$$Sm_1 C_2 (BD)_1 > Sm_1 C_1 (BD)_1 > Sm_1 C_1 (BD)_2$$

for cysteine co-ordinated complexes. The linear nature of variation in intensity of all the transitions in these complexes indicates the similar mechanism of de-excitation.

Our results for fluorescence peaks at around 561 and 596 nm arc found to be in a reasonable agreement with those for nitrogen donor ligand complexes [18,19]. However, they differ from those of benzoic acid complexes and its derivatives [20], where the possibility of the transfer of an electron from the organic part of the Sm³⁺ ion is anticipated [21], reducing it to the Sm²⁺ state.

References

- S P Tandon, Y K Sharma, N B Bishnoi, M P Bhutra, S S L Surana and K Tandon 1990 Indian J. Phys. 64B 236
- [2] S P Tandon, Y K Sharma, N B Bishnoi, S S L Surana, M P Bhutra and K Tandon 1990 Indian J. Pure Appl Phys. 28 209
- [3] S P Tandon and R Bhargava 1991 Indian J. Phys. 65B 180
- [4] S P Tandon and R Bhargava 1991 Indian J. Phys. 65A 51
- [5] S P Tandon, Y K Sharma, N B Bishnoi and S S L Surana 1991 Rev. Tech. Ing. Univ. Zulia 14 169
- [6] S P Tandon, A Gokhroo, M P Bhutra, S S L Surana and K Tandon 1987 J. Chem. Phys. 86 7243
- [7] R E Whan and G A Crosby 1962 J. Mol. Spectrosc. 8 315
- [8] F Varsanyi and G H Dieke 1959 J. Chem. Phys. 31 1066
- [9] G H Dieke and R Sarup 1958 J. Chem. Phys. 28 741
- [10] Y K Sharma 1991 PhD Thesis (University of Jodhpur, Jodhpur, India)
- [11] M A El-Sayed and M L Bhaumik 1963 J. Chem. Phys. 39 2391
- [12] M Kleinerman 1964 Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 9 265
- [13] M L Bhaumik and M A El-Sayed 1965 J. Phys. Chem. 69 275
- [14] M Kleinerman 1969 J. Chem. Phys. 51 2370
- [15] G A Crosby, R E Whan and R M Alire 1961 J. Chem. Phys. 34 743
- [16] G A Crosby and R E Whan 1972 J. Chem. Phys. 76 3397

264 S P Tandon et al

- [17] P Tokousbalides and J Chrysochoos 1972 J. Phys. Chem. 76 3397
- [18] S P Sinha, C K Jorgensen and R Pappalardo 1964 Z. Naturforsch. 19a 434
- [19] S P Sinha 1965 Z. Naturforsch. 20a 835
- [20] MJ Weber 1968 J. Chem. Phys. 48 4771
- [21] W F Krupke 1971 IEEE J Quantum Electron, QE-7 153