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#### Abstract

Curcumin, a natural compound extracted from the rhizomes of Curcuma longa, displays pronounced anticancer properties but lacks good bioavailability and stability. In a previous study, we initiated structure modification of the curcumin scaffold by imination of the labile $\beta$-diketone moiety to produce novel $\beta$-enaminone derivatives. These compounds showed promising properties for elaborate follow-up studies. In this work, we focused on another class of nitrogen-containing curcuminoids with a similar objective: to address the bioavailability and stability issues and to improve the biological activity of curcumin. This paper thus reports on the synthesis of new pyridine-, indole-, and pyrrole-based curcumin analogues (aza-aromatic curcuminoids) and discusses their water solubility, antioxidant activity, and antiproliferative properties. In addition, multivari-


ate statistics, including hierarchical clustering analysis and principal component analysis, were performed on a broad set of nitrogen-containing curcuminoids. Compared to their respective mother structures, that is, curcumin and bisdemethoxycurcumin, all compounds, and especially the pyridin-3-yl $\beta$-enaminone analogues, showed better water solubility profiles. Interestingly, the pyridine-, indole-, and pyrrole-based curcumin derivatives demonstrated improved biological effects in terms of mitochondrial activity impairment and protein content, in addition to comparable or decreased antioxidant properties. Overall, the biologically active $N$-alkyl $\beta$-enaminone aza-aromatic curcuminoids were shown to offer a desirable balance between good solubility and significant bioactivity.

## 1. Introduction

Curcumin, isolated from a local plant in Asia and also known as the golden spice, is frequently used as a food additive. Chemically, the structure of curcumin features two vanillylidene groups, keto-enol tautomerism, and a conjugated system (Figure 1), and this molecule was successfully prepared for the first time in excellent yield by Pabon in 1964. ${ }^{[1]}$ In that

[^0]other hand, more polar $\beta$-enaminone curcumin derivatives displayed significantly improved water solubility and comparable bioactivities against undifferentiated cancer cells, in addition to no toxicity against differentiated intestinal cells. The rationale to introduce a $\beta$-enaminone moiety was related to the broad biological relevance of $\beta$-enaminones in general, including for example, antimicrobial, ${ }^{[8]}$ anticonvulsant, ${ }^{[9]}$ and antitumor/anticancer properties. ${ }^{[7,10]}$

Up to now, the replacement of the aromatic moiety of curcumin with an aza-heteroaromatic alternative-another way to introduce nitrogen-has been rarely envisaged in curcumin chemistry. Only a few isolated examples have been reported in the literature so far, ${ }^{[11]}$ and these compounds are poorly documented in terms of their synthesis, characterization, physical properties, and bioactivities. Therefore, in this work, a set of new aza-heteroaromatic curcumin analogues was synthesized to address the low water solubility of curcumin, without compromising its pronounced bioactivities. Because of the good results obtained previously for $\beta$-enaminone derivatives, $\beta$-enaminone aza-aromatic curcuminoids were synthesized as well by using different amines. All of these new aza-heteroaromatic analogues were evaluated in a next stadium for their in vitro biological behavior, water solubility, and antioxidant capacity. Finally, multivariate statistics were performed on a broad set of nitrogen-containing curcuminoids to shed more light on struc-ture-property relationships.

## 2. Results and Discussion

In this work, aza-heteroaromatic curcumin analogues were successfully prepared by using carefully optimized reaction conditions (Table S1, Supporting Information). Three different aldehydes were selected to synthesize the desired structures: pyri-dine-3-carboxaldehyde, indole-3-carboxaldehyde, and pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde. Boron complexation $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ with acetylacetone (1) was deployed to protect the active methylene unit and to avoid the typical side reaction (i.e. formation of a C3 Knoevenagel product). The reactions were performed in ethyl acetate at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 4 h and were monitored by TLC or LC-MS to reach maximum conversion. Relative to the reaction time required for bis-pyridine $2(4 \mathrm{~h})$, longer reaction times were required for bis-indole 3 ( 20 h ) and bis-pyrrole 4 ( 18 h ) to obtain the desired products in yields of 9 and $20 \%$, respectively (Table S1). However, for compounds 3 and 4, the reaction was alternatively performed in acetonitrile by using piperidine as a base, which provided improved yields of 49 and $66 \%$, respectively (Scheme 1). To obtain the pure products, the crude mixtures were purified by silica gel column chromatography followed by recrystallization from methanol. Subsequently, bispyridine 2 was selected to be used in the synthesis of the corresponding $\beta$-enaminone derivatives. As established in our previous studies, the use of montmorillonite K10 (MK10), in combination with microwave irradiation, provides a convenient method to prepare $\beta$-enaminone curcuminoids. ${ }^{[7]}$ Thus, the proposed imination of structure 2 was performed accordingly with either methoxyalkyl-, hydroxyalkyl-, or alkylamines $\left(\mathrm{RNH}_{2}\right)$ and 2.4 equivalents of acetic acid in the presence of MK10


Scheme 1. Synthesis of aza-heteroaromatic curcumin analogues 2-4.
(Scheme 2, Table 1). Several attempts were made to optimize the reaction conditions towards the synthesis of $\beta$-enaminone pyridin-3-yl curcumin analogues $5 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{f}$. The reaction was conducted in 2-methyltetrahydrofuran (2-MeTHF) or ethanol at $80-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $75-105 \mathrm{~min}$. Although full conversion was always reached, the amount of amine added (2.5-10 equiv.) had to be optimized for each amine separately. In some cases, polar amines triggered the formation of cyclic side products (i.e. compounds $\mathbf{6 d}$ and 6 f ), for which the reaction mechanism is described in previous work. ${ }^{[7 b]}$ The ratio of $\beta$-enaminones $5 \mathbf{d}$ or $\mathbf{5 f}$ versus dihydropyridin-4-ones $\mathbf{6 d}$ or $\mathbf{6 f}$ was found to be 1.5-2.3 (Scheme 2). A longer reaction time ( 105 min ) apparently stimulated this cyclization reaction, and as described before, the use of ethanol was also observed to activate pyridinone formation under microwave irradiation (Table 1). ${ }^{[7 \mathrm{~b}]}$
The obtained curcuminoids were then purified by column chromatography to obtain compounds $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{f}, 6 \mathrm{~d}$, and 6 f in rather low yields (6-24\%) but excellent purity. As can be noticed, a substantial amount of product was inevitably lost during either normal- or reverse-phase column chromatography.

With the aim to improve the water solubility and bioactivity and to extend the available compound library, new aza-hetero-


Scheme 2. Synthesis of $\beta$-enaminones $5 \mathbf{a}$-f and cyclic products $\mathbf{6 d}$ and $\mathbf{6 f}$.

Table 1. Reaction conditions and yields for the synthesis of $\beta$-enaminones $\mathbf{5 a - f}$ and cyclic products $\mathbf{6 d}$ and $\mathbf{6}$.

| Compd | R | $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}$ [equiv.] | $T\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Time [min] | Yield [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5a | iBu | 5 | $80^{[\text {[a] }}$ | 75 | 24 |
| 5 b | cyclohexyl | 10 | $80^{[b]}$ | 90 | 6 |
| 5 c | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ | 5 | $80^{[b]}$ | 75 | 16 |
| 5 d | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OMe}$ | 2.5 | $85^{[b]}$ | 105 | 24 |
| 5 e | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | 5 | $80^{[b]}$ | 75 | 12 |
| 5 f | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 2.5 | $85^{[b]}$ | 105 | 16 |
| 6d | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OMe}$ | 2.5 | $85^{[b]}$ | 105 | 16 |
| 6 f | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 2.5 | $85^{[b]}$ | 105 | 7 |
| [a] Using 2-MeTHF. [b] Using EtOH. |  |  |  |  |  |

aromatic curcumin analogues 2－4，$\beta$－enaminone analogues $5 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{f}$ ，and dihydropyridin－4－ones $\mathbf{6 d}$ and 6 f were thus pro－ duced in this work．All compounds had a purity of $\geq 95 \%$ ， which was determined by NMR spectroscopy and LC－MS anal－ ysis．Afterwards，these molecules were examined in terms of their water solubility to support the rationale of this work．The main reason behind the applied structure modification was to improve the solubility and hence to avoid in vitro precipitation of compound dilutions and more specifically to increase their bioaccessibility（because higher aqueous solubility usually re－ sults in better oral bioavailability）．Curcuminoids may be ab－ sorbed through the gastrointestinal tract through a combina－ tion of processes including passive diffusion and active trans－ port．In that respect，the water solubility of compounds 2－6 was analyzed by using a colorimetric－technique－based assay at two different time points（ 90 min and 24 h ）to make sure that the solubility values reached the maximum concentration for each compound．An excess amount of solid was dissolved in a phosphate buffer at pH 6.8 by using the shake flask method， which is commonly used to determine aqueous solubility．${ }^{[7 \mathrm{~b}, 12]}$ The experiments were designed for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ average body temperature．The values were obtained on the basis of linear regression of each standard curve，which was independently triplicated．The results show that the solubilities of modified compounds 2－6 are all higher than that of curcumin，as shown in Table 2．Specifically，aza－aromatic curcumin analogues showed a 3 －to 28 －fold increase in water solubility，whereas pyridine－based $\beta$－enaminone curcumin analogues 5 a－f demon－ strated significantly improved solubility in the range of 4－to 1600 －fold．Specifically，$\beta$－enaminones with polar amines dis－ played extremely high aqueous solubility．Moreover，com－ pounds $\mathbf{6 d}$ and $\mathbf{6 f}$ showed high water solubility in buffer medium，in the same range as that of their respective $\beta$－enami－ nones $\mathbf{5 d}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ f．These results imply that the modification successfully addressed one of the main goals of our work， which was to obtain derivatives with improved aqueous solu－

Table 2．Determination of solubility experiments in 0.1 m phosphate buffer pH 6.8 and evaluation of chemical antioxidant capacity using FRAP assays．${ }^{[\text {a］}}$

| Compd | Solubility in 0.1 m phosphate <br> buffer $\mathrm{pH} 6.8[\mu \mathrm{~m}]$ | FRAP［Trolox equiv <br> per $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ］ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 90 min | 24 h |  |  |
| curcumin | $2.9 \pm 0.3$ | $2.60 \pm 0.0$ | 1.11 |
| $\alpha$－tocopherol | - | - | 0.76 |
| Trolox | - | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $12.4 \pm 0.2$ | $9.2 \pm 0.1$ | 0.03 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $19.0 \pm 0.2$ | $11.1 \pm 0.1$ | 0.27 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $84.8 \pm 2.8$ | $74.2 \pm 1.3$ | 0.79 |
| 5a | $168.4 \pm 6.0$ | $303.0 \pm 16.0$ | 0.03 |
| 5b | $11.3 \pm 0.6$ | $12.2 \pm 0.6$ | 0.00 |
| 5c | $895.0 \pm 18.0$ | $2282.5 \pm 174.0$ | 0.00 |
| 5d | $897.4 \pm 1.1$ | $2313.5 \pm 90.0$ | 0.01 |
| 5e | $4350.8 \pm 260.0$ | $4847.2 \pm 140.0$ | 0.04 |
| 5f | $1472.8 \pm 24.0$ | $4270.5 \pm 332.0$ | 0.04 |
| 6d | $1283.1 \pm 61.2$ | $2981.7 \pm 165.8$ | 0.00 |
| 6f | $2462.6 \pm 57.2$ | $4999.0 \pm 136.8$ | 0.00 |
| ［a］$n=3$ triplicate independent experiments． |  |  |  |

bility．${ }^{[7]}$ Therefore，these results can contribute to minimized precipitation issues in a biological medium for anticancer eval－ uation，which is beneficial within the framework of bioavailabil－ ity studies．

Because curcumin is known to exert interesting antioxidant activities，the antioxidant properties of the newly synthesized aza－heteroaromatic curcumin analogues were determined by using the DPPH（ 1,1 －diphenyl－2－picrylhydrazyl）radical－scaveng－ ing activity assay and the ferric reducing antioxidant power （FRAP）assay．${ }^{[12]}$ Trolox and $\alpha$－tocopherol were used as antioxi－ dant positive controls（for the DPPH and FRAP assays，respec－ tively ■ ■ok？■ ）．The DPPH and FRAP assays are commonly used primarily to evaluate the chemical antioxidant properties． The DPPH scavenger assay is based on the neutralization of the stable DPPH radical and determines the percentage inhibi－ tion of radical activity．On the other hand，the FRAP assay is based on the reduction of the ferric（III）－tripyridyltriazine com－ plex（ $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}-\mathrm{TPTZ}$ ）to the ferrous form（ $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}-\mathrm{TPTZ}$ ）and is ex－ pressed as Trolox equivalents．The results of the chemical anti－ oxidant capacity tests are described in Table 1．From all aza－ar－ omatic curcumins，bis－pyridine 2 showed no activity，whereas compounds 3 and 4 could not be evaluated by the DPPH assay due to the saturation of the selected wavelength（ $\lambda=$ 515 nm ）for this experiment（see the Supporting Information）． Therefore，an alternative method was deployed（using FRAP）， and bis－pyrrole 4 （ 0.79 Trolox equivalent per $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ）showed activ－ ity comparable to that of $\alpha$－tocopherol $\square$ ok？$\square$ ，whereas bis－indole 3 （ 0.27 Trolox equivalent per $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ）showed antioxi－ dant properties that were lower than those of the controls． This could be explained by the fact that the free NH function－ ality on the aza－heteroaromatic ring can be equipotent to the hydroxy groups in the curcumin scaffold．Compounds 5 and 6 showed no／lower antioxidant activity than curcumin and the positive controls，probably because these structures do not contain a hydroxy group on the pyridinyl curcumin core．Com－ pounds $\mathbf{5 e}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ f，containing a hydroxy moiety in the $\beta$－en－ aminone nitrogen substituent，showed slightly lower activity upon the FRAP experiment（ 0.04 Trolox equivalent per $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ）， whereas the median effective concentration（ $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ ）values under the highest concentration（ $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ）could not be ob－ served as explicitly upon performing the DPPH experiment． The lack of phenolic groups（due to the replacement of the ar－ omatic part of curcumin with a pyridin－3－yl scaffold）resulted in complete abolition of the antioxidant activity in both assays， which further supports the established importance of the free phenolic（or amino）groups if antioxidant properties are de－ sired．
Next to these antioxidant properties，curcuminoids have shown a wide range of other biological activities，in particular anticancer effects．${ }^{[3 b, d, f, 13]}$ Therefore，different cell lines were subjected to newly synthesized nitrogen curcuminoids 2－6 and were analyzed through in vitro cell－based assays for pro－ tein content as a marker for cell growth（sulforhodamine B， SRB），mitochondria activity［3－（4，5－dimethylthiazol－2－yl）－2，5－di－ phenyltetrazolium bromide，MTT］，and intracellular reactive oxygen species（ROS）production（2＇， $7^{\prime}$－dichlorodihydrofluores－ cein diacetate，DCFH－DA）to evaluate their cytotoxic effects．

| Compd | Caco-2 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mu \mathrm{~m}$ ] |  | EA.hy926 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mu \mathrm{M}]$ |  | HT-29 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ] |  | HepG2 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mu \mathrm{~m}$ ] |  | CHO-K1 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mu \mathrm{M}]$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MTT | SRB | MTT | SRB | MTT | SRB | MTT | SRB | MTT | SRB |
| Cur | $28.0 \pm 6.4$ | $33.1 \pm 4.5$ | $20.0 \pm 5.9$ | $34.7 \pm 10.5$ | $23.4 \pm 5.1$ | $20.3 \pm 1.1$ | $20.3 \pm 4.1$ | $21.3 \pm 4.0$ | $21.3 \pm 8.9$ | $33.5 \pm 2.3$ |
| Dox | $11.1 \pm 1.0$ | $12.1 \pm 0.8$ | $1.4 \pm 0.5$ | $1.4 \pm 0.3$ | $4.0 \pm 0.9$ | $3.5 \pm 0.4$ | $5.5 \pm 1.4$ | $2.5 \pm 1.2$ | $1.4 \pm 0.5$ | $6.2 \pm 1.7$ |
| N -acetyl-L-cysteine | $>75$ | $>75$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 2 | $6.9 \pm 2.5$ | $7.3 \pm 3.1$ | $7.9 \pm 1.9$ | $11.2 \pm 2.8$ | $8.2 \pm 0.8$ | $6.1 \pm 3.4$ | $8.3 \pm 0.7$ | $10.0 \pm 1.6$ | $7.2 \pm 0.3$ | $10.5 \pm 2.1$ |
| 3 | $3.3 \pm 0.3$ | $2.1 \pm 0.6$ | $11.7 \pm 4.6$ | $15.2 \pm 5.9$ | $11.5 \pm 6.7$ | $15.3 \pm 6.6$ | $10.0 \pm 1.7$ | $10.4 \pm 1.5$ | $7.9 \pm 1.3$ | $12.1 \pm 5.6$ |
| 4 | $7.5 \pm 1.6$ | $9.7 \pm 0.6$ | $8.3 \pm 0.9$ | $9.0 \pm 2.2$ | $8.9 \pm 0.5$ | $9.6 \pm 0.5$ | $8.2 \pm 0.9$ | $9.8 \pm 1.5$ | $6.9 \pm 3.0$ | $11.7 \pm 2.7$ |
| 5a | $45.3 \pm 10.5$ | $31.6 \pm 9.0$ | $33.0 \pm 9.5$ | $35.9 \pm 7.2$ | $37.6 \pm 1.8$ | $30.9 \pm 10.9$ | $26.2 \pm 0.3$ | $20.8 \pm 1.5$ | $17.7 \pm 4.4$ | $28.6 \pm 7.7$ |
| 5b | $65.6 \pm 2.1$ | $60.8 \pm 6.0$ | $40.2 \pm 1.4$ | $53.0 \pm 1.9$ | $36.4 \pm 7.9$ | $19.4 \pm 0.9$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $16.8 \pm 1.1$ | $20.4 \pm 0.6$ |
| 5 c | $53.8 \pm 0.6$ | $49.1 \pm 0.6$ | $60.0 \pm 10.5$ | $63.0 \pm 8.3$ | $55.3 \pm 12.5$ | $46.0 \pm 15.8$ | $56.7 \pm 3.7$ | $66.4 \pm 1.3$ | $45.1 \pm 6.6$ | $71.7 \pm 5.1$ |
| 5d | $28.5 \pm 3.5$ | $36.0 \pm 0.1$ | $70.6 \pm 2.5$ | $72.7 \pm 3.7$ | $59.6 \pm 7.7$ | $51.5 \pm 6.1$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $21.3 \pm 3.7$ | $24.1 \pm 1.7$ |
| 5e | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ |
| 5 f | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ |
| 6d | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ |
| 6 f | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ | $>75$ |

[a] Data are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Combination data of MTT and SRB from two different students on curcumin analogues ( $n \geq 6$ ). $\square$
■ok? ■

Cancer cell lines included human-derived intestinal cells (HT-29 and Caco-2), endothelial cells (EA.hy926), a hepatoma cell line (HepG2), and a Chinese hamster ovarian cell line (CHO-K1). Doxorubicin was used as a positive control for MTT and SRB experiments, whereas in the ROS experiments, the ROS inhibitor N -acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC) was used as experiment control. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values obtained through MTT and SRB are shown in Table 3. Based on the observations made, different cell lines resulted in different median inhibitory concentration $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ values, strongly depending on the cell type. Interestingly, azaaromatics 2-4 showed higher mitochondrial activity/growth inhibition (MTT) than their mother compound curcumin. To support these results, a protein content experiment (SRB) was then performed, and the results pointed to the same trend as that observed for the MTT assay, which confirmed that aza-heteroaromatic analogues $\mathbf{2 , 3}$, and 4 exhibit significantly stronger cell growth inhibition effects than curcumin. On the other hand, $\beta$-enaminones 5 a-d demonstrated moderate growth inhibition, whereas $\mathbf{5 e}$ and $\mathbf{5 f}$ showed no activity at maximum concentration ( $75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). In terms of their water solubility, $\beta$-enaminones $\mathbf{5}$ a-f performed better than aza-heteroaromatic analogues 2-4 and curcumin. No solids were observed after an incubation time of 72 h for the MTT and SRB assays for compounds $\mathbf{5 a - f}$. Thus, it seems that the more soluble compounds exert decreased cytotoxicity due to a low cell membrane permeability effect, which will be elaborated further on. Moreover, dihydropyridin-4-ones $\mathbf{6 d}$ and $\mathbf{6 f}$ showed a trend similar to that shown by $\mathbf{5 e}$ and 5 f concerning their bioactivity and water solubility. Thus, the aim to improve water solubility was successfully reached for all structures, whereas the bioactivity towards cancer cells was slightly lower for $\beta$-enaminones $\mathbf{5 a - f}$ and considerably higher for aza-aromatics 2-4. In contrast, di-hydropyridin-4-ones $\mathbf{6 d}$ and $\mathbf{6 f}$ showed no interesting cytotoxicity, pointing to the importance of conserving the linear curcumin scaffold if cancer-cell proliferation is targeted. Thus, the introduction of a nitrogen atom in the aromatic moiety of the
curcumin scaffold has a positive influence on the antiproliferative properties of curcumin, provided that the conjugated curcumin structure remains intact. Although aza-heteroaromatic $\beta$-enaminones 5 a-f, especially the most polar ones, show slightly lower (yet still significant) cytotoxic effects than non- $\beta$ enaminone template compound 2 , the installation of a $\beta$-enaminone moiety is desired, because this increases the stability of the curcumin scaffold. ${ }^{[7,14]}$ In other words, further optimization of this class could focus on minor modifications of the nitrogen side chain to arrive at compounds with even better bioactivity, water solubility, and stability. Within our series of six aza-heteroaromatic $\beta$-enaminone derivatives, compound 5a demonstrated the highest antiproliferative activity-almost comparable to the activity of curcumin-and will therefore be used as a lead compound for the synthesis of new $\beta$-enaminones in future work.
In the next set of experiments, intracellular ROS was assessed in different cell lines because of their possible role in cytotoxicity-related pathways. The imbalance between ROS and antioxidant levels could lead to the development of cell damage and health issues, including chronic diseases. ${ }^{[15]}$ Higher ROS levels are considered as toxic and sequentially cause DNA damage and, therefore, increase the risk in the first stage of cancer development. ${ }^{[16]}$ Moreover, high ROS production may also cause cell apoptosis by stimulation of the intrinsic mitochondrial pathway, which induces outer membrane permeabilization and releases apoptotic proteins. ${ }^{[17]}$ An antioxidant diet, for example, phenolic compounds, can trigger a reduction in the amount of ROS produced ■ ■ok? ■ which is consequently beneficial for treating several human diseases, including neurodegenerative diseases, ${ }^{[18]}$ aging, ${ }^{[19]}$ inflammatory injuries, ${ }^{[20]}$ and cancer. ${ }^{[2]]}$ It is worth considering whether the observed cytotoxicity of curcuminoids may be correlated to the induction of intrinsic mitochondrial pathways by high ROS production. It is possible that the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone moiety can serve as a biological Michael acceptor that cova-
lently binds to the thiol residues ( SH ) of cysteine compartments in different proteins and initially triggers cascade signaling apoptosis pathways. ${ }^{[22]}$ To study the impact of novel derivatives 2-6, a fluorescent-based technique was conducted by using DCFH-DA, which is commonly used as a probe for oxidative stress. In this work, two concentrations of curcuminoids were tested, 10 (high) and $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (mild), and they parallel plasma concentrations of curcumin in a previous study. ${ }^{[23]}$ Moreover, ROS experiment results were analyzed in correlation to protein content (SRB) to normalize the ROS production values, as formerly observed MTT and SRB values showed that some $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were lower than $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Moreover, as previously reported, the ROS response in the EA.hy926 cell line was pro-oxidative, ${ }^{[7 a]}$ whereas for intestinal cell lines (Caco-2 and HT-29), intracellular ROS response was decreased in some cases. In both HepG2 and CHO-K1, some compounds had an antioxidative effect. ${ }^{[7]}$ In this study, at $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ treatment, aza-aromatics 2-4 all showed a strong response (intracellular ROS products) in the five different cancer cells, whereas at $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, bis-indole 3 demonstrated increased ROS production in two different cell lines, HT-29 and HepG2. Moreover, bis-pyrrole 4 exhibited a trend similar to that exhibited by compound 3 but on different cells, Caco-2 and EAhy926, whereas decreased ROS generation was observed in HepG2 (indicating an antioxidant effect). For $\beta$-enaminones $\mathbf{5 a - f}$, the increase/decrease in ROS depended on the cell type. In Caco-2, compounds 5 a-e showed moderate to high ROS generation at $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, whereas no increase/decrease in ROS production could be noticed at $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. In contrast, in EAhy. 926 and CHO-K1 cells, the ROS response slightly decreased upon applying $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}, 5 \mathrm{e}$, and 5 f independently at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Moreover, HT-29 and HepG2 cells, at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, were observed to provoke an antioxidant effect that minimized ROS production for $\mathbf{5 a - c} \mathbf{5} \mathbf{5}$, and f. For dihydropyr-idin-4-ones $6 \mathbf{d}$ and 6 f , ROS production was not remarkably visible in five different cell lines at both concentrations relative to that observed for nontreated cells. A control in these experiments was NAC, a ROS inhibitor, ${ }^{[24]}$ which induced a significant
decrease in ROS generation in the intestinal cell lines (Caco-2 and HT-29), the endothelial cell line (EA.hy926), and the hepatoma cell line (HepG2). Only in CHO-K1 cells was an increase observed, as shown in Table 4. It is often difficult to compare results with other studies because of the different parameters used in the experimental procedures, such as cell line types, molecules, concentrations, and incubation periods. ${ }^{[25]}$ To our interpretation, increased cellular ROS was observed at a $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ concentration for bis-indole 3 and bis-pyrrole 4 in five different cell lines, whereas bis-pyridine 2 showed a trend similar to that of the other two aza-aromatic curcuminoids, except in HepG2 cells. The reason behind the different results in HepG2 cells may be related to their robustness and detoxification mechanisms. ${ }^{[26]}$ Moreover, $\beta$-enaminones 5 a-f showed increased cellular ROS in Caco-2 at $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, whereas other cell lines were observed to display either decreased cellular ROS or no difference relative to nontreated cells (control). At $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, compounds 5 c , $\mathbf{5 d}$, and 5 f exhibited significantly decreased ROS in HepG2 cells, whereas compounds 5 a-c showed decreased ROS in HT29 cells. At $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, in EA.hy926, decreased ROS generation was observed in $\mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 c}$, and $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{f}$, whereas in compounds $\mathbf{5 a - c}, \mathbf{5 e}$, and 5 f it was observed in CHO-K1 cells. However, dihydropyri-din-4-ones 6 d and 6 f showed no activity at both test concentrations (10 and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Therefore, our results remarkably demonstrate the pro-oxidative effect of aza-aromatic curcumin analogues 2-4, whereas $\beta$-enaminones 5 a-f show both pro-oxidative and antioxidative effects depending on the cell types. It can be concluded that there might be a correlation between the cytotoxicity effects of aza-aromatics 2-4 and overproduction of ROS, which was preliminary observed in MTT and SRB, suggesting that these compounds induce cytotoxic effects through ROS-mediated apoptosis pathways. Nonetheless, in the case of $\beta$-enaminones $5 \mathbf{a - f}$ and dihydropyridin-4-ones $\mathbf{6 d}$ and $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{f}$, no explicit link can be noted between cell viability and pro- or antioxidant activities, and other pathways might be in play as well. In these cases, the increased/decreased intracellular ROS levels were strongly cell line/compound specific.

| Compd | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Caco-2 } \\ & 10 \mu \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EA.hy926 } \\ & 10 \mu \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HT-29 } \\ & 10 \mu \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HepG2 } \\ & 10 \mu \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHO-K1 } \\ & 10 \mu \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cur | $95.8 \pm 2.5$ | $96.7 \pm 5.8$ | $103.9 \pm 7.6$ | $99.9 \pm 6.4$ | $90.2 \pm 6 .{ }^{\text {[b] }}$ | $92.8 \pm 5.8{ }^{[b]}$ | $84.2 \pm 8 .{ }^{[b]}$ | $95.9 \pm 13.8$ | $91.9 \pm 5.2{ }^{[b]}$ | $88.7 \pm 16.2$ |
| N -acetyl-Lcysteine | - $47.8 \pm 2.6^{[c]}$ | $55.7 \pm 5.8^{\text {c] }}$ | $79.6 \pm 7.4^{[b]}$ | $79.6 \pm 11.1^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $87.7 \pm 3.7^{[b]}$ | $90.1 \pm 12.0{ }^{[\mathrm{bb}]}$ | $70.5 \pm 3 .{ }^{1 \mathrm{cc]}}$ | $73.1 \pm 2.7^{[b]}$ | $102.2 \pm 5.4$ | $102.4 \pm 0.8$ |
| 2 | $142.7 \pm 11.9^{[b]}$ | $104.8 \pm 15.1$ | $116.1 \pm 3.3^{[b]}$ | $111.5 \pm 1.6^{[b]}$ | $127.0 \pm 7.9^{[b]}$ | $94.0 \pm 4.0$ | $90.4 \pm 11.9$ | $99.0 \pm 4.6$ | $110.5 \pm 4.5{ }^{[b]}$ | $100.8 \pm 4.3$ |
| 3 | $110.3 \pm 6.5^{[b]}$ | $95.7 \pm 7.3$ | $217.8 \pm 20.3^{[b]}$ | $110.6 \pm 7.5$ | $125.2 \pm 12.0{ }^{[b]}$ | $141.4 \pm 21.3{ }^{[b]}$ | $382.2 \pm 34.0{ }^{\text {[c] }]}$ | $129.1 \pm 8.0^{[c]}$ | $109.1 \pm 4.9^{[b]}$ | $96.4 \pm 2.6$ |
| 4 | 3 $124.3 \pm 8.7^{[c]}$ | $110.2 \pm 9.5^{[b]}$ | $165.1 \pm 18.9^{[b]}$ | $295.2 \pm 38.5^{[\mathrm{cc]}}$ | $120.7 \pm 8.7^{[b]}$ | $104.6 \pm 6.3$ | $120.9 \pm 26.1$ | $75.6 \pm 14.6{ }^{[b]}$ | $116.7 \pm 4.9^{[b]}$ | $101.6 \pm 4.9$ |
| 5a | $114.7 \pm 3.1^{[b]}$ | $109.8 \pm 7.4$ | $93.4 \pm 14.6$ | $88.7 \pm 9.3$ | $84.1 \pm 2.5^{[b]}$ | $102.9 \pm 11.1$ | $94.0 \pm 4.6$ | $90.7 \pm 13.7$ | $85.5 \pm 2.6^{[\mathrm{c]}}$ | $83.8 \pm 4.7^{[b]}$ |
| 5 b | $117.7 \pm 5.6^{[b]}$ | $101.3 \pm 0.9$ | $113.9 \pm 9.5$ | $89.1 \pm 4.2{ }^{[b]}$ | $90.6 \pm 2.8{ }^{[b]}$ | $93.7 \pm 13.2$ | $98.8 \pm 4.6$ | $92.3 \pm 7.8$ | $90.5 \pm 2.5{ }^{[b]}$ | $78.4 \pm 3.3^{[\mathrm{cc]}}$ |
| 5 c | $243.2 \pm 17.3^{[b]}$ | $102.4 \pm 8.8$ | $89.5 \pm 2.7^{[b]}$ | $83.7 \pm 6.7^{[b]}$ | $88.1 \pm 3.6{ }^{[\mathrm{b]}}$ | $100.2 \pm 5.8$ | $88.4 \pm 5.3{ }^{[b]}$ | $94.8 \pm 4.0$ | $96.2 \pm 10.1$ | $84.1 \pm 6.5^{[b]}$ |
| 5 d | $110.6 \pm 5.3^{[b]}$ | $101.5 \pm 9.2$ | $98.3 \pm 2.0$ | $101.6 \pm 5.8$ | $95.1 \pm 14.0$ | $102.5 \pm 19.4$ | $89.4 \pm 3 .{ }^{[6]}$ | $92.3 \pm 4.6$ | $97.2 \pm 3.9$ | $98.0 \pm 0.1$ |
| 5 e | $118.6 \pm 2.8{ }^{[c]}$ | $111.4 \pm 8.9$ | $115.3 \pm 7.6$ | $101.5 \pm 1.6$ | $109.8 \pm 3.7$ | $93.9 \pm 5.5$ | $92.1 \pm 5.1$ | $88.6 \pm 15.2$ | $91.8 \pm 5.6$ | $82.2 \pm 2 .{ }^{\text {[c] }}$ |
| 5 f | $115.4 \pm 8.5$ | $102.5 \pm 2.3$ | $101.5 \pm 1.6$ | $88.7 \pm 1.6^{[\mathrm{c]}}$ | $96.0 \pm 12.7$ | $95.4 \pm 1.7$ | $74.4 \pm 3.2{ }^{[\mathrm{cc]}}$ | $84.8 \pm 2.5^{[b]}$ | $86.9 \pm 9.4$ | $80.5 \pm 2.8{ }^{\text {[c] }}$ |
| 6 d | $89.9 \pm 3.4$ | $90.8 \pm 6.3$ | $98.3 \pm 5.3$ | $95.2 \pm 5.0$ | $88.4 \pm 4.1$ | $83.4 \pm 5.6$ | $95.7 \pm 10.0$ | $85.6 \pm 8.9$ | $103.8 \pm 8.1$ | $105.4 \pm 3.4$ |
| 6 f | $95.4 \pm 9.0$ | $100.5 \pm 2.4$ | $106.1 \pm 9.9$ | $100.6 \pm 1.3$ | $106.2 \pm 4.1$ | $111.1 \pm 8.1$ | $106.5 \pm 13.1$ | $88.8 \pm 10.4$ | $98.5 \pm 5.2$ | $91.7 \pm 5.9$ |

[a] Data are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation; $n \geq 3$. [b] $p<0.05$, [c] $p<0.001$ indicate significant increases or decreases compared to the untreated control cells according to a two-tailed student $t$-test with unequal variances. $\square$ ■ $\square \square$
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2
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To allow a more thorough interpretation of the results obtained by screening of the $\beta$-enaminone analogues and dihy-dropyridin-4-ones (produced during current and previous studies), ${ }^{[7]}$ multivariate statistics including hierarchical clustering analysis (Figure S2) and principal component analysis were used to find correlations between the chemical structures and biological activities and to suggest suitable side chains for good antiproliferative activity. ${ }^{[27]}$ These analyses were applied to curcumin (Cur), bisdemethoxycurcumin (Bis), pyridin-3-yl curcuminoid 2, and acetylated curcumin 10 as the mother compounds for $\beta$-enaminones and dihydropyridin-4-ones (32 derivatives, Figure 2 a ). The multivariate statistics were computationally performed using the SPSS program (version 24). ${ }^{[28]}$ To gather more information, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to reveal the structural features of their activity. Thus, a factorial analysis (PCA) was also performed in SPSS statistics. It was observed that the two major principle components explained $46 \%$ of the variance between the different compounds. If the variables are displayed in a 2D plot based on these two principal components, we can see that three clusters are formed. Cluster 1 contains antioxidant activity and ROS activity for the CHO-K1 and EA.hy 926 cell lines. Cluster 2 consists of the MTT data of all cell lines, and cluster 3 contains water solubility and ROS data of the intestinal Caco-2 and HT-

29 cell lines. On the basis of these results, we may suggest that the biological behavior of the curcuminoids is mainly driven by water solubility (PCA1, 30.6\% of variance) and (anti)oxidant activity (PCA2, $15.9 \%$ of variance). Secondly, whereas the MTT data are similar between cell lines, clear cell-line-dependent behavior is visible for the intracellular antioxidant capacity, because the ROS data for intestinal and nonintestinal cells are positioned in opposite fields along the PCA1 axis, which may correspond with water solubility. We hypothesize that for nonintestinal cell lines, PCA1 (corresponding with solubility) determines the anticancer activity because viability (MTT) and ROS are in two opposite fields in the plot along the PCA1 axis. On the basis of existing literature, this may be explained by the absorption characteristics of the compound, which may be dominated by passive diffusion, ${ }^{[29]}$ as hydrophobic compounds are more absorbed through the cell membrane. However, for intestinal cell lines, it is PCA2 (corresponding with the antioxidant activity of the compounds) that triggers the anticancer effect because viability and ROS are positioned in opposite fields along this axis. This can be explained by the fact that intestinal cells are quite robust and have a diversity in transporters for phenolics, ${ }^{[30]}$ so that hydrophobicity is not exclusively necessary for bioavailability. If the compounds are displayed in a 2D plot based on these two princi-


| Cmp | Ar | R | Cmp | Ar | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5a | 3-pyridinyl | $i$-butyl | 8 e | vanillyl | sec-butyl |
| 5b |  | $c$-hex | 8 f |  | $c$-hex |
| 5 c |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ | 8 g |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ |
| 5d |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OMe}$ | 8h |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OMe}$ |
| 5 S |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | 8 i |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 5 f |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 8 j |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 6d |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OMe}$ | 8 k | 4-hydroxy phenyl | $i$-butyl |
| 6 f |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 81 |  | sec-butyl |
| 7 a | acetyl- vanilly | $i$-butyl | 8 m |  | $c$-hex |
| 7 b |  | $c$-hex | 8 n |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ |
| 7 c |  | sec-butyl | 80 |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OMe}$ |
| 7 d | 4-acetylphenyl | $i$-butyl | 8 p |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 8a | vanillyl | $n$-propyl | 8 q |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 8b |  | allyl | 9a |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OMe}$ |
| 8 c |  | $n$-butyl | 9 b |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 8 d |  | $i$-butyl | 9 c | vanillyl | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OMe}$ |




Figure 2. a) Structures of $\beta$-enaminones and dihydropyridin-4-ones 5-9. b) Principle component analysis (PCA) plot based on FRAP antioxidant, solubility, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of the MTT assay, and ROS on the CHO, EA.hy926, HT-29, and Caco-2 cell lines. c) Factor scores based on the PCA of the FRAP antioxidant, solubility, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of the MTT assay, and ROS on the CHO, EA.hy926, HT-29, and Caco-2 cell lines categorized by ten different side chains on either the $\beta$-enaminones or di-hydropyridin-4-ones (see structures in the Supporting Information), which are indicated by colors; triangles represent mother compounds, circles represent $\beta$ enaminones, and squares represent dihydropyridin-4-ones.
pal components，we see that PCA1（solubility）triggers the sep－ aration of the compounds by the hydrophilicity of the side chain，as nonpolar aliphatic $\beta$－enaminones are clustered in the left space and polar aliphatic $\beta$－enaminones are clustered in the right space．Hence，these hydrophobic side chains mainly have an anticancer effect on EA．hy926 and CHO－K1 cells．For hydrophilic side chains，the effect is mainly triggered by PCA2 （antioxidant capacity）in intestinal cells．This can be explained by the presence of either a vanillyl or 4－hydroxyphenyl moiety in the targeted compounds（polar and nonpolar side chains）， which contain hydroxy groups displaying antioxidant proper－ ties．To maintain the antioxidant capacity，we suggest that a compound that contains a phenolic moiety should be con－ structed，as it may provide better activity．On the basis of the latter plot，two possibilities to improve bioavailability or bioac－ tivity can be proposed．The first one is that polar amines can increase water solubility，which may be linked to better／poor bioavailability（cell dependence）；the second one is that alkyla－ mines can increase cytotoxicity（low $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values），which may be linked to higher bioactivity in terms of antiproliferative effects and ROS induction．To investigate the impact of side chains and the aromatic moiety as key elements in terms of stability and water－solubility improvement in biological media，we dis－ play the curcuminoids by the substituted side chains in Fig－ ure $2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}$ ．Compounds $\mathbf{5 c - f}, \mathbf{6 d}, \mathbf{6 f} \mathbf{8 i}, \mathbf{9 a}$ ，and $\mathbf{9 b}$ ，substituted by either a methoxy－or hydroxyalkylamine，are clustered to－ gether in the right space（Figure 2 c ），generally providing high solubility and high cytotoxicity．Remarkably，cyclohexyl／isobu－ tyl－substituted $\beta$－enaminones（ $\mathbf{5 a}$ ， $5 \mathrm{~b}, \mathbf{7 b}, \mathbf{8 c} \mathbf{8} \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{8 k}, \mathbf{8 I}$ ，and 8 m ）showed better activity than curcumin，with slightly im－ proved water solubility（ $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{7 b}, \mathbf{8 c}, \mathbf{8 f}, \mathbf{8 k}, \mathbf{8 l}$ ，and $\mathbf{8 m}$ ）or better solubility（ 5 b ）．Moreover，compounds $7 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{c}, 7 \mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~d}$ ， and 8 e showed activity comparable to that of mother com－ pounds Cur and 10，with moderately improved water solubility． Besides，8a and $\mathbf{8 b}$ demonstrated bioactivity that was better than or comparable to that of curcumin（Cur）．On the other hand，dihydropyridin－4－ones $\mathbf{6 d} \mathbf{d f}$ ，and $9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ ，bearing polar aliphatic side chains，are distributed in the right space（PCA1， solubility）without exhibiting any activity relative to their mother compounds（Cur，Bis，and 2）．Moreover，$\beta$－enaminones $\mathbf{5 c - f}, \mathbf{8 g} \mathbf{- j}$ ，and $\mathbf{8 0 - - q}$ ，bearing polar aliphatic side chains， showed moderate to good water solubility with either compa－ rable or lower activity．This information could suggest that alkyl side chains（n－propyl，n－butyl，allyl，isobutyl，sec－butyl，and cyclohexyl），as demonstrated in our $\beta$－enaminone research，${ }^{[7]}$ could be of benefit for further investigation of curcumin by using a similar platform．The improvement in water solubility is an important factor to address the classical problem of curcu－ min，but compounds that show high solubility could have compromised biological activity．This could imply that polar $\beta$－ enaminones cannot pass the hydrophobic cell membrane of intestinal cells，thereby leading to low cytotoxicity．${ }^{[31]}$ Neverthe－ less，$\beta$－enaminone analogues display improved compound sta－ bility，which addresses another problem related to curcumin．

## 3．Conclusions

In summary，we successfully synthesized 2－4 as three new （pyridine－，indole－，and pyrrole－based）aza－heteroaromatic cur－ cumin analogues and 5 a－f as six novel aza－heteroaromatic $\beta$－ enaminones．To obtain pyridine $\beta$－enaminone analogues 5，an optimized microwave irradiation approach was performed by using montmorillonite K10 clay．In some cases，this method also provided access to dihydropyridin－4－ones $6 \mathbf{d}$ and $6 \mathbf{f}$ by cyclization．As expected on the basis of previous observations， the installation of the central $\beta$－enaminone moiety en route to $N$－alkyl or $N$－hydroxy／methoxyalkyl $\beta$－enaminone derivatives 5 （significantly）increased the water solubility relative to that of the parent compound．Moreover，the water solubility of aza－ heteroaromatic curcumin derivatives 2－4 was also slightly in－ creased relative to that of curcumin．Biologically，three new aza－aromatic curcumin derivatives（i．e．compounds 2－4），six $\beta$－ enaminones（i．e．compounds $5 \mathbf{a - f}$ ），and two dihydropyridin－4－ ones（i．e．compounds $\mathbf{6 d}$ and 6 f ）were evaluated for their in－ tracellular and chemical antioxidant properties and their cyto－ toxicity．Bis－indole 3 and bis－pyrrole 4 showed moderate anti－ oxidant properties compared to curcumin（according to the ferric reducing antioxidant power assay），whereas bis－pyridine 2 did not．Cell－based experiments were performed by using five different cancer cell lines（HepG2，EA．hy926，Caco－2 and HT－29，and CHO－K1）．With regard to the mitochondrial activity and protein content，aza－heteroaromatic curcumin derivatives 2－4 showed higher activity than curcumin，and in some cell lines they showed activity comparable to that of the positive control doxorubicin．New pyridine $\beta$－enaminones 5 a－f proved to have either moderate bioactivity or bioactivity comparable to that of curcumin．As a result of intracellular ROS generation， aza－heteroaromatic curcumin compounds $2-4$ showed a signif－ icant increase in intracellular ROS production，suggesting that these molecules may induce cytotoxic effects through ROS－ mediated apoptosis pathways．On the other hand，compounds $\mathbf{5 a - f}$ showed slight increases or decreases in ROS generation， whereas pyridinones $\mathbf{6 d}$ and $6 \mathbf{f}$ displayed no significant ROS variation compared to nontreated cells．In this work，we initiat－ ed a valuable incentive for exploring new curcumin analogues bearing different aza－heteroaromatic and $\beta$－enaminone moiet－ ies that could lead to promising candidates in the framework of oxidative－related stress disease developments．Moreover，a structure－activity relationship study using multivariate statistics analysis showed that nonpolar aliphatic side chains demon－ strated better activity than polar aliphatic side chains，which explicitly relates to their water－solubility profiles．The highly soluble $\beta$－enaminone derivatives（bearing a polar aliphatic moiety）showed an inverted relationship concerning cytotoxici－ ty．Therefore，on the basis of our results，we suggest that ali－ phatic amines（allyl，n－butyl，isobutyl，sec－butyl，n－propyl，cyclo－ hexyl）should be used for further development to contribute to curcumin medicinal chemistry．Biologically active $N$－alkyl $\beta$－ enaminone aza－aromatic curcuminoids thus offer a desirable balance between good solubility and significant bioactivity．

## Experimental Section

## Chemistry

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz (Bruker Avance III Nanobay) with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$, or $\left[\mathrm{D}_{4}\right]$ methanol as solvent. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were recorded at 100.6 MHz (Bruker Avance III Nanobay) with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$, or $\left[\mathrm{D}_{4}\right]$ methanol as solvent. Low-resolution mass spectra were recorded by injection with an Agilent 1100 Series LC/MSD type SL mass spectrometer with electrospray ionization (ESI, 70 eV ) and by using a mass-selective detector (quadrupole). Upon analyzing crude reaction mixtures, the mass spectrometer was preceded by a HPLC reverse-phase column with a diode array UV/Vis detector. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained with an Agilent Technologies 6210 time-of-flight mass spectrometer (TOFMS) equipped with ESI/APCI-multimode source. IR spectra were measured with a Fourier-transform infrared spectrophotometer (The IRaffinity-1S). Melting points of crystalline compounds were measured with a Kofler Bench, type WME Heizbank of Wagner \& Munz. Microwave reactions were performed with a CEM Discover microwave at fixed temperature. The purity of all tested compounds was assessed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and/or HPLC analysis, confirming a purity of $\geq 95 \%$.

## Representative Procedure for the Synthesis of Aza-Aromatic Curcumins 2-4

Acetylacetone ( $1 ; 20 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.04 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ( $696 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in ethyl acetate or acetonitrile $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. This mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Then, pyridine-3carboxaldehyde ( $3.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.) and tributyl borate [ $n(\mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{~B} ; 6.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.] were added, and the mixture was further stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Afterwards, either $n$-butylamine $(0.99 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv.) or piperidine $(0.99 \mathrm{~mL}$, $10 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv.) in ethyl acetate or acetonitrile ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise over 1 h , after which the mixture was stirred until the reaction reached maximum conversion at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as determined by LC-MS.
For EtOAc as the solvent, after cooling to room temperature, $20 \%$ aq acetic acid ( 100 mL ) was added, and the mixture was further stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The mixture was then washed with a saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phase was washed with water and then dried (magnesium sulfate), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ 19:1) afforded compound 2 ( $5.56 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). Upon using acetonitrile, boron decomplexation was first performed for 1 h followed by evaporation and washing with a saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate to quench the excess amount of acid and to obtain a dark red solid. The dark red solid was then subjected to purification by reverse-phase column chromatography (acetonitrile/water, gradient conditions from 30 to $100 \%$ ) to obtain the pure compound. A similar procedure was then applied for compounds 3 and 4 by using indole-3-carboxaldehyde and pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde, respectively.
(1E,4Z,6E)-5-Hydroxy-1,7-di(pyridin-3-yl)hepta-1,4,6-trien-3-one (2): Yellow crystals ( $50 \%$ ); column chromatography [EtOAc/MeOH 19:1; $\left.R_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)=0.18\right] ;$ m.p. $171{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=5.89$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.67$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $4.8,1.8 \mathrm{H}), 8.80 \mathrm{ppm}(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=102.2,123.8,125.8,130.7,134.3,137.2,149.8,150.8,182.8 \mathrm{ppm}$.

IR (ATR): $\tilde{v}=3030,1625,1571,1475,1413 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}(70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $(\%)=279[M+1]^{+}(100)$.
(1E,4Z,6E)-5-Hydroxy-1,7-di(1H-indol-3-yl)hepta-1,4,6-trien-3-one (3): Dark red crystals (49\%); column chromatography [EtOAc/petroleum ether 1:1; $R_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)=0.30$; m.p. $223^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, [D ${ }_{6}$ ]DMSO): $\delta=6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{qd}$, $J=13.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.96-8.00(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 11.81 \mathrm{ppm}(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , [ $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ ]DMSO): $\delta=100.5,112.9,113.2,118.7,120.5,121.4,123.1,125.4$, 132.3, 134.8, 138.0, 183.7 ppm . IR (ATR): $\tilde{v}=3377$ (br), 1607, $1572 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS $(70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=355[M+1]^{+}(100)$.
(1E,4Z,6E)-5-Hydroxy-1,7-di(1H-pyrrol-2-yl)hepta-1,4,6-trien-3-one
(4): Orange solid (66\%); column chromatography [EtOAc/petroleum ether $\left.1: 1 ; R_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)=0.67\right]$; m.p. $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, [D ${ }_{6}$ ]DMSO): $\delta=5.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 6.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 7.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.55 \mathrm{ppm}(2 \mathrm{H}$, s); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{DDMSO}\right.$ ): $\delta=100.4,110.9,115.0,117.6$, 124.2, 129.5, 130.7, 183.2 ppm ; IR (ATR): $\tilde{v}=3383$ (br), 1609, $1585 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}(70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=255[M+1]^{+}(100)$.

## Representative Procedure for the Synthesis of $\beta$-Enaminones 5 a-f and Dihydropyridin-4-ones 6 d and 6 f

(1E,4Z,6E)-5-Hydroxy-1,7-di(pyridin-3-yl)hepta-1,4,6-trien-3-one (2; $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 278 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was dissolved in 2-MeTHF ( 5 mL ) in a 10 mL mi crowave tube. To this, montmorillonite clay (MK10; 556 mg , 2 equiv. mass) was added as an activator. Isobutylamine ( 0.50 mL , $5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv.) and acetic acid ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.4$ equiv.) were then added, and the mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 75 min under microwave irradiation. At the end of the reaction, the mixture was filtered over Celite, and the filter cake was thoroughly rinsed with ethanol ( 300 mL ). The filtrate was then concentrated under reduced pressure until about 30 mL of ethanol remained. Then, ethyl acetate ( 300 mL ) was added, and the mixture was washed with a saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was washed with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with water, dried (magnesium sulfate), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} \quad 9: 1\right)$ afforded (1E,4Z,6E)-5-isobutylamino-1,7-di(pyridin-3-yl)hepta-1,4,6-trien-3one ( $5 \mathrm{a} ; 79.9 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ ). A similar procedure was then applied for $\beta$-enaminones $5 \mathbf{b}$-f and dihydropyridin-4-ones $\mathbf{6 d}$ and 6 f . The reactions were performed by using ethanol instead of 2-MeTHF to afford the expected products. The crude products were subjected to purification by normal-phase column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1$ ) to obtain expected compounds $\mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 c}$, and $5 \mathbf{~ e}$. For compounds $\mathbf{5 d} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6 d}$, and $\mathbf{6 f}$, reverse-phase column chromatography (acetonitrile/water, gradient conditions from 10 to 100\%) was used to purify to obtain the desired compounds.
(1E,4Z,6E)-5-Isobutylamino-1,7-di(pyridin-3-yl)hepta-1,4,6-trien-3one ( 5 a): Orange oil ( $24 \%$ ); column chromatography [EtOAc/ $\left.\mathrm{MeOH} 19: 1 ; R_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)=0.18\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.05$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}$, nonet, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \sim \mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.75-8.78$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 11.67 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=20.2,29.4,51.5,94.1,122.8,123.6,123.8,130.7,131.2,131.7$, 133.5, 133.7, 134.0, 134.1, 149.1, 149.4, 149.8, 150.3, 162.4,
$184.8 \mathrm{ppm} ; \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{ATR}): \tilde{\nu}=3032,2958,1641,1575,1544,1508$ ， $1413 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}(70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=334[M+1]^{+}(100)$ ．
（1E，4Z，6E）－5－Cyclohexylamino－1，7－di（pyridin－3－yl）hepta－1，4，6－trien－3－ one（ $\mathbf{5 b}$ ）：Yellow crystals（ $6 \%$ ）；column chromatography［EtOAc／ $\left.\mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1 ; R_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)=0.26\right]$ and recrystallization（MeOH）；m．p． $174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR（ $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ）：$\delta=1.30-1.65(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.80-1.84$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.94-1.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.56-3.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.83$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ， $7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81-7.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.8$ ， $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.77$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.71 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ， $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=24.2,25.3,33.8,52.2,93.9,122.7,123.6,123.8,130.7$, 131．3，131．8，133．4，133．7，133．9，134．1，149．1，149．4，149．8，150．3， 161．1， $184.6 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ IR（ATR）：$\tilde{v}=3361$（br），2929，1633，1571，1510， $1415 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ；MS（70 eV）：m／z（\％）＝ $360[M+1]^{+}$（100）．
（1E，4Z，6E）－5－（2－Methoxyethylamino）－1，7－di（pyridin－3－yl）hepta－1，4，6－ trien－3－one（ $\mathbf{5 c}$ ）：Orange－red viscous oil（ $16 \%$ ）；column chromatog－ raphy $\left[\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)=0.12\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ： $\delta=3.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.60-3.61(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd，$J=7.9,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81-7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.60(1 \mathrm{H}$ ， dd，$J=4.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ， $11.55 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR（ $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ）：$\delta=43.8,59.3,71.7$ ， 94．4，123．2，123．6，123．7，130．6，131．3，131．7，133．7，133．8，133．9， 134．1，149．2，149．5，149．8，150．3，162．5， 185.2 ppm ；IR（ATR）：$\tilde{v}=$ 3053，2922，1645，1568，1506，1425， $1411 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ；MS（70 eV）：m／z $(\%)=336[M+1]^{+}(100)$ ．
（1E，4Z，6E）－5－（3－Methoxypropylamino）－1，7－di（pyridin－3－yl）hepta－1，4，6－ trien－3－one（ $5 \mathbf{d}$ ）：Orange oil（ $4 \%$ ）；normal－phase column chroma－ tography［EtOAc／MeOH 9：1；$R_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)=0.13$ ］and reverse－phase column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad 30: 70\right.$ to $\left.100: 0\right),{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.93(2 \mathrm{H}$ ，quint，$J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.50$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ ， $J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ ， $J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.82-7.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.60$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.55 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR（ $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ）： $\delta=30.5,40.6,58.8,69.0,93.9,122.7,123.6,123.7,130.7,131.2$ ， 131．7，133．57，133．64，134．0，134．1，149．3，149．5，149．8，150．3，162．5， 184.9 ppm ；IR（ATR）：$\tilde{v}=3226$（br），3032，2929，1710，1639，1566， $1512,1413 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ；MS（70 eV）：m／z（\％）＝ $350[M+1]^{+}$（100）．
（1E，4Z，6E）－5－（2－Hydroxyethylamino）－1，7－di（pyridin－3－yl）hepta－1，4，6－ trien－3－one（ $\mathbf{5 e}$ ）：Red－brown crystals（ $12 \%$ ）；column chromatogra－ phy $\left[\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1 ; \quad R_{\mathrm{f}} \quad\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)=0.05\right] ;$ m．p． $83^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=3.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5,4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ， $5.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.7,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.82-7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.54(1 \mathrm{H}$ ， dd，$J=4.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.61 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ； ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR（ $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ）：$\delta=45.9,62.1,94.6,123.0,123,6,123.8$ ， 130．5，131．1，131．6，133．7，133．9，134．1，134．2，149．2，149．4，149．8， 150．4，162．8， 185.3 ppm ；IR（ATR）：$\tilde{v}=3292$（br），3045，2927，1672， $1641,1568,1510,1490,1413 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ；MS（70 eV）：m／z（\％）＝ 322 $[M+1]^{+}(100)$ ．
（1E，4Z，6E）－5－（3－Hydroxypropylamino）－1，7－di（pyridin－3－yl）hepta－1，4，6－ trien－3－one（ 5 f）：Dark－brown oil（16\％）；reverse－phase chromatogra－ phy，$t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.93 \mathrm{~min}$（gradient conditions， $10 \%$ acetonitrile in water to
$100 \%$ acetonitrile， 5 min ，flow rate： $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ）；${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ， $\left[\mathrm{D}_{4}\right]$ methanol $): \delta=1.91(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint，$J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ， $7.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44-7.54(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=7.9$ and 1.6 Hz$), 8.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ， $8.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.80 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ ； ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR（ $100 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{4}\right]$ methanol）：$\delta=32.7,39.9,58.2,93.4,122.6$ ， 124．1，124．2，131．4，132．1，132．4，132．5，134．6，134．66，134．72，148．3， 148．5，148．7，149．2，163．6， $184.6 \mathrm{ppm} ; \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{ATR}): \tilde{v}=3309$（br），2937， 1641，1577，1531， $1417 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ；MS（70 eV）： $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=336[M+1]^{+}$ （100）．
（E）－1－（3－Methoxypropyl）－2－（pyridin－3－yl）－6－［2－（pyridin－3－yl）vinyl］－2，3－ dihydropyridin－4（1H）－one（ $6 \mathbf{d}$ ）：Brown oil（ $16 \%$ ）；reverse－phase chromatography，$t_{\mathrm{R}}=0.54 \mathrm{~min}(30 \%$ acetonitrile in water to $100 \%$ acetonitrile， 5 min ，flow rate： $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ ）；${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ， ［ $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ ］methanol）：$\delta=1.93-1.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.30$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.26-3.34\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}_{1}\right), 3.44-3.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.04-4.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ ， $5.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.44-7.54(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.85$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ， $8.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 8.81 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR（ $100 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{4}\right]$ methanol $)$ ： $\delta=30.6,42.5,49.4,58.9,60.2,70.0,98.0,125.4,125.6,133.5,136.3$ ， 136．37，136．39，136．6，148．5，149．6，149．8，150．6，164．1， $191.6 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ IR（ATR）：$\tilde{v}=2926,1620$ 1531，1479， $1421 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ；MS（70 eV）：m／z $(\%)=350[M+1]^{+}(100)$ ．
（E）－1－（3－Hydroxypropyl）－2－（pyridin－3－yl）－6－［2－（pyridin－3－yl）vinyl］－2，3－ dihydropyridin－4（1H）－one（6 f）：Dark－orange oil（7\％）；reverse－phase chromatography，$t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.62 \mathrm{~min}$（gradient conditions， $10 \%$ acetoni－ trile in water to $100 \%$ acetonitrile， 5 min ，flow rate： $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ）； ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR（ $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{4}\right]$ methanol）：$\delta=1.84-1.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.58(1 \mathrm{H}$ ， $\mathrm{d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.25-3.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.00-4.10$ $(1 \mathrm{H}$, quint，$J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ ， $7.43-7.51(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8.0$ ， $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.53$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.80 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR（ 100 MHz ， ［ $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ ］methanol）：$\delta=33.2,42.5,49.4,59.3,60.4,98.1,125.4,125.5$ ， 133．5，136．3，136．5，136．6，148．5，149．6，149．9，150．6，164．2， 191.5 ppm ；IR（ATR）：$\tilde{v}=3265$（br），1591，1517，1492， $1415 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ；MS $(70 \mathrm{eV}): m / z(\%)=336[M+1]^{+}(100)$ ．

## Biological Studies

## General

MEM nonessential amino acid solution（NEAA），2，2－diphenyl－1－pic－ rylhydrazyl（DPPH），penicillin／streptomycin（P／S），Trolox，and 2，7－di－ chlorofluorescein diacetate were purchased from Sigma－Aldrich， whereas 3－（4，5－dimethylthiazol－2－yl）－2，5－diphenyltetrazolium bro－ mide（MTT）and trypan blue were obtained from Amresco．Trypsin／ ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid（EDTA）solution and Dulbecco＇s phosphate－buffered saline（ $\mathrm{PBS}^{-}$，no calcium and no magnesium） were obtained from Life Technologies，and fetal bovine serum （FBS）was obtained from Greiner Bio－one．All cell lines，Caco－2（col－ orectal adenocarcinoma），HepG2（hepatocellular carcinoma），CHO （Chinese hamster ovary），HT－29（colorectal adenocarcinoma），and EA．hy926（endothelial），were obtained from ATCC．These cell lines were cultivated and maintained in a growth medium containing Dulbecco＇s modified Eagle medium（DMEM）＋glutamax， 1 \％NEAA， $1 \% \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}$ ，and $10 \%$ FBS．During the MTT experiment，serum－free medium was used to avoid interferences．Each compound or posi－ tive control was dissolved in DMSO to prepare the 25 mm stock so－ lution for both cytotoxicity and antioxidant tests．The stock solu－
tions were aliquoted and stored in a refrigerator for the further replicate experiment.

## Cytotoxicity Activity: MTT Experiments

Throughout the experiment, standard procedures were used to maintain all cell lines at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $95 \%$ humidity and $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Using adherent cells, the MTT assay was performed to determine the number of viable cells in this assay. Briefly, $2 \times 10^{4}$ cells suspended in DMEM ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were first inoculated into each well of a 96 -well microplate and were incubated for 24 h . Then, the medium in each well was removed and an equal volume of serum-free medium ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}^{\text {well }}{ }^{-1}$ ) containing either test compound or positive control (doxorubicin hydrochloride, DOX) at various concentrations was added for 72 h . Each compound was performed in triplicate. Afterwards, the cell viability was determined by removing $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of medium and adding $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MT solution ( $5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ in PBS) followed by 2 h of incubation. Finally, DMEM with MTT solution was then removed and replaced with DMSO to dissolve the formazan crystal. The 96 -well microplate was then measured at $\lambda=$ 570 nm by using a Spectramax (Molecular Devices) microplate spectrophotometer. For data analysis, the percentage of surviving cells after exposure to various concentrations of each test compound for 72 h was calculated to obtain the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of each compound.

## Protein Content (SRB) Analysis

The SRB assay is based on the measurement of cellular proteins. Sulforhodamine B can bind electrostatically with basic amino-acid residues if the cells are fixed with trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and can be solubilized by weak bases. Because of this quantitative staining capacity of SRB, the assay is used to screen for cytotoxicity and cell density. The cells were seeded at a concentration of $2 x$ $10^{4}$ cells per well for 24 h and were treated with or without (control) compounds. Three days after this treatment, the cells were fixed by adding $50 \%$ TCA in Milli-Q water ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) for 1 h in the cold room, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The plate was washed at least three times with tap water and was then dried, after which the cells were stained with SRB solution ( $0.4 \%$ sulforhodamine B in $1 \%$ glacial acetic acid) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min , the plate was rinsed with $1 \%$ glacial acetic acid ( $5 \times$ ) dried. Sequentially, Tris buffer in a concentration of 10 mm was used to redissolve the stain. Finally, the absorbance was measured using the microplate spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 490 nm . Each condition was performed in triplicate.

## Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) Assay

The experiments were performed in an incubator at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Seeding of a concentration of $2 \times 10^{4}$ cells per well in DMEM was performed in a black 96 -well plate with transparent bottom. After 24 h , the confluent cells were equally treated with or without the compounds at 10 and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in serum-free medium $(200 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. Then, after removal of the medium, the cells were washed with PBS buffer followed by the addition of 2,7-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA, $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) for a 30 min incubation period. Thereafter, DCFH-DA was removed, and the cells were washed with PBS. Afterwards, DMEM without phenol red medium was added in equal volume for 1 h of incubation. Finally, the black 96 -well plates were measured for fluorescence with a Gemini XPS Microplate Reader with an excitation of $\lambda=485 \mathrm{~nm}$ and an emission of $\lambda=535 \mathrm{~nm}$. Afterwards, the plate experiments were contin-
ued to evaluate the protein content with a similar protocol as for the SRB assay described above. The SRB assay was used to normalize the results, which were related to the amount of protein present in each well.

## Chemical Antioxidant Capacity: DPPH Scavenger

The 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical is commonly used to determine antioxidant activity. First, DPPH was freshly prepared at $5 \mathrm{mgmL}^{-1}$ in DMSO. Then, DPPH was diluted into $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in MeOH for antioxidant measurements. In addition, stock solutions of test compounds were also properly diluted in MeOH at various concentrations. Afterwards, $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DPPH solution ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was then added into 96 -well microplates. Subsequently, test compound ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) at various concentrations and blanks without derivative were individually added into each well and vigorously mixed for 30 min in the dark chamber at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After incubation in darkness, the solutions were measured at $\lambda=515 \mathrm{~nm}$ by using a Spectramax microplate spectrophotometer. The required concentration to reduce the absorbance by $50 \%$ ( $E C_{50}$ ) was calculated from the equation obtained by fitting the linear part of the absorption curves. A positive compound, namely, Trolox, was used as reference. The results are the mean values determined from at least three independent experiments for each compound [Eq. (1)]:
$I[\%]=\frac{[\text { AbsB }-(\text { AbsS }-\mathrm{AbsSC})]}{\mathrm{AbsB}} \times 100$
in which $\square \square$ / is - please define $\square$. AbsB is the absorption of blank DPPH in MeOH, AbsS is the absorption of sample with DPPH at various concentrations, and AbsSC is absorption of sample control without DPPH at various concentrations.

## Ferric Reducing Ability of Plasma (FRAP) Assay

An acetate buffer of 300 mm was prepared by adding sodium acetate trihydrate ( 3.1 g ) to acetic acid ( 16 mL ) and was diluted to 1000 mL with Milli-Q water. A TPTZ (2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine) solution of 10 mm was prepared by adding TPTZ $(0.156 \mathrm{~g})$ to ethanol ( 50 mL ). Lastly, a 20 mm solution of iron(III) chloride hexahydrate was prepared by mixing $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5404 \mathrm{~g})$ with $37 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and Milli-Q water ( 98 mL ). The TPTZ and iron solutions were freshly prepared on the day of the assay. These three mixtures were added in a 10:1:1 ratio to obtain the FRAP reagent. Finally, samples ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were mixed with the FRAP reagent ( $900 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and after 4 min the absorbance was measured at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}$ with a Spectramax microplate spectrophotometer. Trolox was used as a standard, and the FRAP value was calculated as Trolox equivalent $[\mu \mathrm{m}$ ] through linear regression of the Trolox standard curve.

## Water Solubility and Stability Measurements

The shake flask method is commonly used to measure aqueous solubility. ${ }^{[12]}$ The test compounds were first measured for maximum absorbance by using the liquid chromatography (LC) technique using Ascentis Express C18, HPLC column $3 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 2.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (LC method for 5 min at flow rate $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ during the gradient elution). Afterwards, the standard curves were prepared from various concentrations of the test compounds. In this experiment, a sodium phosphate buffered solution at pH 6.8 was used to per-
form the solubility test of each $\beta$－enaminone compound．An excess amount of a solid compound was added into 1 mL of the phos－ phate－buffered solution（ pH 6.8 ）．The experiment was divided into two time points．The first one was fixed at 90 min under a sonica－ tor bath at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the second one at 30 min under a sonicator bath at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by 23 h of incubation at 300 rpm and soni－ cation for another 30 min ．Both time points of each sample were centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 5 min ．Then，all compounds were fil－ tered $(0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ．Subsequently，the solutions were diluted in DMSO to avoid precipitation of the compounds at room tempera－ ture．Each compound was individually measured at its maximum absorbance．Finally，the solubility values were calculated from the linear equations of each standard curve．The experiment was inde－ pendently triplicated．
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