

# VALUATIONS OF SKEW QUANTUM POLYNOMIALS

Cristian Arturo Chaparro Acosta  
 crachaparroac@unal.edu.co  
 Seminario de Álgebra Constructiva - SAC<sup>2</sup>.  
 Departamento de Matemáticas.  
 Universidad Nacional de Colombia.  
 Sede Bogotá.

## Abstract

In this paper we extend some results obtained by Artamonov and Sabitov for quantum polynomials to skew quantum polynomials and quasi-commutative bijective skew PBW extensions. Moreover, we find a counterexample to the conjecture proposed in [6].

**Keywords:** Skew *PBW* extensions, skew quantum polynomials, Ore domains, valuations, completions.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Valuations . . . . .	2
1.2	Valuations with values on $\Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ . . . . .	2
1.3	Quantum polynomials . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Completions of quantum polynomials</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Skew <i>PBW</i> extensions</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Skew quantum polynomials . . . . .	9
3.2	Some properties . . . . .	10
3.3	Valuations of skew quantum polynomials. . . . .	16
3.4	Valuations of skew <i>PBW</i> extension. . . . .	16
	<b>References</b>	<b>16</b>

arXiv:1403.1633v2 [math.RA] 26 Jul 2014

# 1 Introduction

This section is divided into three subsections, we recall the definition of  $\Gamma$ -valuation, valuation and quantum polynomials. We review some fundamental properties of valuations and valuations of quantum polynomials (see [4] and [6]).

## 1.1 Valuations

Let  $D$  be a division ring,  $D^*$  the multiplicative group and  $\Gamma$  is a totally ordered group (with additive notation and not necessarily commutative).

**Definition 1.1.** *A function  $\nu : D^* \rightarrow \Gamma$  is a  $\Gamma$ -valuation of  $D^*$  if:*

- i)  $\nu$  is surjective,*
- ii)  $\nu(ab) = \nu(a) + \nu(b)$ ,*
- iii)  $\nu(a + b) \geq \min\{\nu(a), \nu(b)\}$ .*

**Proposition 1.2.** *[14, 9] If  $\nu$  is a  $\Gamma$ -valuation of  $D^*$ , then:*

- 1) If  $\nu(a) \neq \nu(b)$ , then  $\nu(a + b) = \min\{\nu(a), \nu(b)\}$ .*
- 2)  $\Lambda_\nu := \{a \in D; a = 0 \text{ or } \nu(a) \geq 0\}$  is a subring of  $D$ .*
- 3) The group of units  $\mathcal{U}_\nu := \{a \in D^*; \nu(a) = 0\}$  is a subgroup of  $D^*$ .*
- 4)  $\mathcal{W}_\nu := \{a \in D, a = 0 \text{ or } \nu(a) > 0\}$  is a completely prime ideal of  $\Lambda_\nu$  and  $\mathcal{W}_\nu = \Lambda_\nu - \mathcal{U}_\nu$ .*
- 5)  $\Lambda_\nu$  is a local ring with unique maximal ideal  $\mathcal{W}_\nu$ .*

## 1.2 Valuations with values on $\Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a totally ordered group with additive notation and  $\infty$  be a symbol greater than all elements of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  is an ordered additive monoid such that*

$$x + \infty := \infty =: \infty + x, \text{ for all } \Gamma \cup \{\infty\},$$

*and  $\infty > x$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$ .*

**Definition 1.4** ([8]). *Let  $R$  be a ring. By a valuation on  $R$  with values in a totally ordered group  $\Gamma$ , the value group, we shall understand a function  $\nu$  on  $R$  with values in  $\Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  subject to the conditions:*

- i)  $\nu(a) \in \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $\nu$  assumes at least two values,*
- ii)  $\nu(ab) = \nu(a) + \nu(b)$ ,*

iii)  $\nu(a+b) \geq \min\{\nu(a), \nu(b)\}$ .

**Proposition 1.5.** [8, 9] *If  $\nu$  is a valuation of  $R$ , then:*

- 1)  $\ker \nu := \{a \in R; \nu(a) = \infty\}$  is an ideal of  $R$ .
- 2) If  $\nu(a+b) > \min\{\nu(a), \nu(b)\}$ , then  $\nu(a) = \nu(b)$ .
- 3)  $\Lambda_\nu := \{a \in R; \nu(a) \geq 0\}$  is a subring of  $R$ .
- 4) The group of units  $\mathcal{U}_\nu := \{a \in R^*; \nu(a) = 0\}$  is a subgroup of  $R^*$ .
- 5)  $\mathcal{W}_\nu := \{a \in R, \nu(a) > 0\}$  is an ideal of  $\Lambda_\nu$ .
- 6)  $\ker \nu$  is a completely prime ideal of  $R$  and  $R/\ker \nu$  is an integral domain.

**Proposition 1.6** ([8]). *If  $\nu$  is a  $\Gamma$ -valuation of  $D$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is abelian, if and only if  $\nu(a) = 0$  for all  $a \in [D^*, D^*]$ .*

### 1.3 Quantum polynomials

Let  $D$  be a division ring with a fixed set  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , of automorphisms. Also, we have  $q_{ij}$  in  $D^*$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  fix elements, satisfying the relations :

$$\begin{aligned} q_{ii} &= q_{ij}q_{ji} = \mathbf{q}_{ijr} \mathbf{q}_{jri} \mathbf{q}_{rij} = 1 \\ \alpha_i(\alpha_j(d)) &= q_{ij} \alpha_j(\alpha_i(d)) q_{ji}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{q}_{ijr} = q_{ij} \alpha_j(q_{ir})$  and  $d \in D$ . We set  $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}(n, D)$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .

**Definition 1.7.** *The elements  $q_{ij}$  of the matrix  $\mathbf{q}$  are called **system of multiparameters**.*

**Definition 1.8** (Quantum polynomial ring). *Denote by*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}, \alpha} := D_{\mathbf{q}, \alpha} [x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n], \quad (1.1)$$

*the associative ring generated by  $D$  and by elements  $x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n$  subject to the defining relations*

$$x_i x_i^{-1} = x_i^{-1} x_i = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r, \quad (1.2)$$

$$x_i d = \alpha_i(d) x_i, \quad d \in D, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1.3)$$

$$x_i x_j = q_{ij} x_j x_i, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (1.4)$$

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $N$  be the subgroup in the multiplicative group  $D^*$  of the ring  $D$  generated by the derived subgroup  $[D^*, D^*]$  and by the set of all elements of the form  $z^{-1}\sigma_i(z)$  where  $z \in R^*$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .  $\Lambda := D_{q,\alpha}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  is a general (generic) quantum polynomials ring if the images of all multiparameters  $q_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , are independent in the multiplicative Abelian group  $D^*/N$ .

The ring  $\mathcal{O}_q$  is a left and right Noetherian domain, it satisfies Ore Condition and it has a division ring of fractions  $F := D_q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . We consider  $\nu : F^* \rightarrow \Gamma$  a  $\Gamma$ -valuation with  $\nu(D^*) = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.10** ([6]). A valuation of a quantum division ring  $D$ , is Abelian in the sense that the group  $\Gamma$  is Abelian.

**Definition 1.11** ([4], [6]). Let  $\nu_1 : D^* \rightarrow \Gamma_1$  and  $\nu_2 : D^* \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  be two valuations. Set  $\nu_1 \geq \nu_2$  if there exists an epimorphism of ordered groups  $\tau : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  such that  $\tau\nu_1 = \nu_2$ . It means that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D^* & \xrightarrow{\nu_1} & \Gamma_1 \\ \nu_2 \downarrow & \searrow \tau & \\ & & \Gamma_2 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

**Definition 1.12** ([4], [6]). A valuation  $\nu$  has a maximal rank if  $\tau$  is an isomorphism in the previous definition.

**Theorem 1.13** ([4]). A valuation  $\nu : F^* \rightarrow \Gamma$  of a general quantum division ring  $\mathcal{O}_q$  has maximal rank if and only if  $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

## 2 Completions of quantum polynomials

In this section  $\nu : F^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$  is a maximal  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -valuation.

**Definition 2.1** ([6]). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all maps  $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow k$  and the zero element such that  $\text{supp } f := \{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n; f(m) \neq 0\}$  is Artinian with respect to the lexicographic order on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .

**Theorem 2.2.**  $\mathcal{F}$  is a division ring containing  $F$ .

*Proof.* See [3] Theorem 3.4 and 3.7. □

Expand the valuation  $\nu$  to  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  in the following way. If  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $\nu(f)$  the least element from  $\text{supp } f$ .

**Definition 2.3** ([6]). The division ring  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a completion of  $F$  with respect to  $\nu$ .

**Remark 2.4.** If  $\mathcal{O} := \{f \in \mathcal{F}; \nu(f) \geq 0\}$  and  $\mathfrak{m} := \{f \in \mathcal{F}; \nu(f) > 0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{O}$  is a subring in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m} \cong k$ .

Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector space of all rows  $(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ ,  $r_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , of a length  $n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is equipped with the lexicographic order.

**Theorem 2.5** ([10]). *Let  $\leq_{\mathbb{Z}^n}$  be a totally order in the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then there exists order preserving group embedding  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .*

**Definition 2.6.** [6] *A totally order  $\leq_{\mathbb{Z}^n}$  is essentially lexicographic if it belongs to the orbit of the standard embedding of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  in to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  under the action of the group  $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . i.e., if  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $a \leq_{\mathbb{Z}^n} b$  if and only if  $aA \leq bA$  for some fixed  $A$  in  $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\leq$  the lexicographic order.*

**Conjecture 2.7** ([6]). *A valuation  $\nu$  is associated to an essentially lexicographic order on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  if and only if  $\bigcap_{i>1} \mathfrak{m}^i = 0$ .*

In the study of this conjecture we obtain the following results partial:

**Proposition 2.8.** *If  $\nu : R \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  is a valuation of a ring  $R$  and  $\Gamma$  is a Archimedean group with  $\mathcal{W}_\nu := \{a \in R, \nu(a) > 0\}$ ,  $\inf\{\nu(\mathcal{W}_\nu)\} \neq 0$  and  $\bigcap_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{W}_\nu^i := I$ , then  $\nu(I) = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A_i := \nu(\mathcal{W}_\nu^i)$  and  $\lambda_i := \inf\{A_i\}$  be, then  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_i$  and  $i\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_i$ , indeed: (by induction over  $i$ ) as  $\inf\{\nu(\mathcal{W}_\nu)\} \neq 0$  then  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \nu(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{W}_\nu$ , hence  $\lambda_1 < 2\lambda_1 \leq \nu(ab)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{W}_\nu$ , therefore  $2\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ , suppose that  $\lambda_{i-1} < \lambda_i$  and  $i\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_i$ , then  $i\lambda_1 < (i+1)\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_i + \lambda_1 \leq \nu(a) + \nu(b) = \nu(ab)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{W}_\nu^i$  and  $b \in \mathcal{W}_\nu$ , then,  $\lambda_i < \lambda_{i+1}$  and  $(i+1)\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_{i+1}$ .

Now, suppose there exists  $b \in I$  such that  $\nu(b) = \lambda < \infty$ , so  $\lambda_1 < \lambda$  and as  $\Gamma$  is Archimedean there exists an integer  $m$  such that  $m\lambda_1 > \lambda$ , therefore  $\lambda \notin A_m$ , hence  $b \notin \mathcal{W}_\nu^m$ , contradicting that  $b \in I$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *If  $\nu : D \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  is a valuation of a division ring  $D$  and  $\Gamma$  is a Archimedean group with  $\inf\{\nu(\mathcal{W}_\nu)\} \neq 0$ , then  $\bigcap_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{W}_\nu^i = 0$ .*

*Proof.*  $0 = \nu(1) = \nu(aa^{-1}) = \nu(a) + \nu(a^{-1})$  for all  $a \in D^*$ , then  $\nu(a) < \infty$  for all  $a \in D^*$ , therefore  $\nu(a) = \infty$  if and only if  $a = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.10.** In the Proposition 2.8 the condition  $\inf\{\nu(\mathcal{W}_\nu)\} \neq 0$  can be replaced by  $\inf\{\nu(\mathcal{W}_\nu^i)\} \neq 0$  for any  $i > 0$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 2.11.** If we take lexicographic order on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  the order does not have intersection property: consider  $A := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2; (0, 0) < (x, y)\}$  and  $nA := \sum_{i=1}^n A$  with  $n > 0$ , then  $nA = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2; (0, n) \leq (x, y)\}$ . By induction over  $n$ : If  $n = 2$ , then  $2A = A \setminus \{(0, 1)\}$ , indeed: as  $\min\{A\} = (0, 1)$  then  $(0, 2) \leq (x, y)$  with  $(x, y) \in 2A$ , thus  $2A \subseteq A \setminus \{(0, 1)\}$ . Now, if  $(x, y)$

in  $2A$ , then  $(x, y - 1) \in A$ , because  $x > 0$  or  $x = 0$  and  $y \geq 2$ .

Suppose that  $nA = (n - 1)A \setminus \{(0, n - 1)\}$ , as  $\min\{nA\} = (0, n)$  then  $(0, n + 1) \leq (x, y)$  with  $(x, y) \in (n + 1)A$ , thus  $(n + 1)A \subseteq nA \setminus \{(0, n)\}$ . Now, if  $(x, y)$  in  $(n + 1)A$ , then  $(x, y - 1) \in nA$ , because  $x > 0$  or  $x = 0$  and  $y \geq n + 1$ . Consequently  $(n + 1)A = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2; (0, n + 1) \leq (x, y)\}$ .

Hence, as  $(1, 0) \in nA$  for every  $n \geq 1$  since  $(0, n) < (1, 0)$ , then  $(1, 0) \in \bigcap_{n>0} nA$ .

It follows a counterexample to the conjecture, since a lexicographic order is essentially lexicographic.

### 3 Skew PBW extensions

In this section we recall the definition and some basic properties of skew PBW (Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt) extensions, introduced in [11]. Some ring-theoretic and homological properties of these class of noncommutative rings have been studied in [12].

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $R$  and  $A$  be rings. We say that  $A$  is a skew PBW extension of  $R$  (also called a  $\sigma$ -PBW extension of  $R$ ) if the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $R \subseteq A$ .
- (ii) *There exists finitely many elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$  such  $A$  is a left  $R$ -free module with basis*

$$\text{Mon}(A) := \{x^u = x_1^{u_1} \cdots x_n^{u_n} \mid u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}.$$

*In this case it also says that  $A$  is a left polynomial ring over  $R$  with respect to  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $\text{Mon}(A)$  is the set of standard monomials of  $A$ . Moreover,  $x_1^0 \cdots x_n^0 := 1 \in \text{Mon}(A)$ .*

- (iii) *For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $r \in R - \{0\}$  there exists  $c_{i,r} \in R - \{0\}$  such that*

$$x_i r - c_{i,r} x_i \in R. \tag{3.1}$$

- (iv) *For every  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  there exists  $c_{i,j} \in R - \{0\}$  such that*

$$x_j x_i - c_{i,j} x_i x_j \in R + R x_1 + \cdots + R x_n. \tag{3.2}$$

*Under these conditions we will write  $A := \sigma(R)\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ .*

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a skew PBW extension of  $R$ . Then, for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exists an injective ring endomorphism  $\sigma_i : R \rightarrow R$  and a  $\sigma_i$ -derivation  $\delta_i : R \rightarrow R$  such that*

$$x_i r = \sigma_i(r)x_i + \delta_i(r),$$

for each  $r \in R$ .

*Proof.* See [11], Proposition 3. □

The previous proposition gives the notation and the alternative name given for the skew PBW extensions.

**Definition 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be a skew PBW extension.*

(a)  *$A$  is quasi-commutative if the conditions (iii) and (iv) in Definition 3.1 are replaced by*

(iii') *For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $r \in R - \{0\}$  there exists  $c_{i,r} \in R - \{0\}$  such that*

$$x_i r = c_{i,r} x_i. \quad (3.3)$$

(iv') *For every  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  there exists  $c_{i,j} \in R - \{0\}$  such that*

$$x_j x_i = c_{i,j} x_i x_j. \quad (3.4)$$

(b)  *$A$  is bijective if  $\sigma_i$  is bijective for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $c_{i,j}$  is invertible for any  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ .*

**Definition 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be a skew PBW extension of  $R$  with endomorphisms  $\sigma_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , as in Proposition 3.2.*

(i) *For  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,  $\sigma^u := \sigma_1^{u_1} \dots \sigma_n^{u_n}$ ,  $|u| := u_1 + \dots + u_n$ . If  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , then  $u + v := (u_1 + v_1, \dots, u_n + v_n)$ .*

(ii) *For  $X = x^u \in \text{Mon}(A)$ ,  $\exp(X) := u$  and  $\deg(X) := |u|$ .*

(iii) *If  $f = c_1 X_1 + \dots + c_t X_t$ , with  $X_i \in \text{Mon}(A)$  and  $c_i \in R - \{0\}$ , then  $\deg(f) := \max\{\deg(X_i)\}_{i=1}^t$ .*

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $A$  be a left polynomial ring over  $R$  w.r.t.  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ .  $A$  is a skew PBW extension of  $R$  if and only if the following conditions hold:*

(a) *For every  $x^u \in \text{Mon}(A)$  and every  $0 \neq r \in R$  there exist unique elements  $r_u := \sigma^u(r) \in R - \{0\}$  and  $p_{u,r} \in A$  such that*

$$x^u r = r_u x^u + p_{u,r}, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $p_{u,r} = 0$  or  $\deg(p_{u,r}) < |u|$  if  $p_{u,r} \neq 0$ . Moreover, if  $r$  is left invertible, then  $r_u$  is left invertible.

(b) For every  $x^u, x^v \in \text{Mon}(A)$  there exist unique elements  $c_{u,v} \in R$  and  $p_{u,v} \in A$  such that

$$x^u x^v = c_{u,v} x^{u+v} + p_{u,v}, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $c_{u,v}$  is left invertible,  $p_{u,v} = 0$  or  $\deg(p_{u,v}) < |u+v|$  if  $p_{u,v} \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* See [11], Theorem 7.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $A$  be a skew PBW extension of a ring  $R$ . If  $R$  is a domain, then  $A$  is a domain.*

*Proof.* See [12].  $\square$

The next theorem characterizes the quasi-commutative skew PBW extensions.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $A$  be a quasi-commutative skew PBW extension of a ring  $R$ . Then,*

(i)  *$A$  is isomorphic to an iterated skew polynomial ring of endomorphism type, i.e.,*

$$A \cong R[z_1; \theta_1] \cdots [z_n; \theta_n].$$

(ii) *If  $A$  is bijective, then each endomorphism  $\theta_i$  is bijective,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* See [12].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $A$  be a bijective and quasi-commutative skew PBW extension of a ring  $R$ . If  $R$  is a left Ore domain, then  $A$  is a left Ore domain.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.7,  $A$  is isomorphic to an iterated skew polynomial ring of automorphism type over a left Ore domain  $R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $A$  be an arbitrary skew PBW extension of  $R$ . Then,  $A$  is a filtered ring with filtration given by*

$$F_m := \begin{cases} R & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \{f \in A \mid \deg(f) \leq m\} & \text{if } m \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

and the corresponding graded ring  $\text{Gr}(A)$  is a quasi-commutative skew PBW extension of  $R$ . Moreover, if  $A$  is bijective, then  $\text{Gr}(A)$  is a quasi-commutative bijective skew PBW extension of  $R$ .

*Proof.* See [12].  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10** (Hilbert Basis Theorem). *Let  $A$  be a bijective skew PBW extension of  $R$ . If  $R$  is a left (right) Noetherian ring then  $A$  is also a left (right) Noetherian ring.*

*Proof.* See [12].  $\square$



### 3.1 Skew quantum polynomials

In this subsection we recall the definition and some basic properties of skew quantum polynomials ring over  $R$ , introduced in [12]. We mention some results generalized for valuations of skew quantum polynomials and bijective and quasi-commutative skew  $PBW$  extension.

**Definition 3.11.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with matrix of parameters  $q := [q_{ij}] \in M_n(R)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , such that  $q_{ii} = 1 = q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{ji}q_{ij}$  for each  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and suppose also that is given a system  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  of automorphisms of  $R$ . The skew quantum polynomials ring over  $R$ , denoted by*

$$R_{q,\sigma}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n], \quad (3.8)$$

is defined whith the following conditions:

- i)  $R \subseteq R_{q,\sigma}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$ ,
- ii)  $R_{q,\sigma}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$  is a free left  $R$ -module with basis  $\{x^u; x^u = x_1^{u_1} \cdots x_n^{u_n}, u_i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $u_i \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $r+1 \leq i \leq n\}$ ,
- iii) The  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  elements satisfy the defining relations

$$x_i x_i^{-1} = 1 = x_i^{-1} x_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r, \quad (3.9)$$

$$x_i x_j = q_{ji} x_j x_i \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \quad (3.10)$$

$$x_i r = \sigma_i(r) x_i, \quad r \in R \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (3.11)$$

When all automorphisms are trivial, we write  $R_q[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$  and this ring is called *the ring of quantum polynomials over  $R$* . If  $R = K$  is a field, then  $K_{q,\sigma}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$  is the *algebra of skew quantum polynomials*. For trivial automorphisms we get the *algebra of quantum polynomials simply*.

If  $r = n$ ,  $R_{q,\sigma}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$  is called the  *$n$ -multiparametric skew quantum torus over  $R$* , when all automorphisms are trivial, is called the  *$n$ -multiparametric quantum torus over  $R$* . If  $r = 0$ ,  $R_{q,\sigma}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is called the  *$n$ -multiparametric skew quantum space over  $R$* , when all automorphisms are trivial is called  *$n$ -multiparametric quantum space over  $R$* .

The algebra of quantum polynomials can be defined as a quasi-commutative bijective skew  $PBW$  extension of the  $r$ -multiparameter quantum torus, or also, as a localization of a quasi-commutative bijective skew  $PBW$  extension.

**Theorem 3.12.**  $R_{q,\sigma}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cong R[z_1; \theta_1] \cdots [z_n; \theta_n]$ , where

- i)  $\theta_1 = \sigma_1$ ,
- ii)  $\theta_i : R[z_1; \theta_1] \cdots [z_{i-1}; \theta_{i-1}] \rightarrow R[z_1; \theta_1] \cdots [z_{i-1}; \theta_{i-1}]$ ,
- iii)  $\theta_i(z_i) = q_{ij}z_i$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ,  $\theta_i(r) = \sigma_i(r)$  for  $r \in R$ .

In particular,  $R_{q,\sigma}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cong R[z_1] \cdots [z_n; \theta_n]$ .

*Proof.* See [12]. □

**Theorem 3.13.**  $R_{q,\sigma}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$  is a ring of fractions of  $B := R_{q,\sigma}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with respect to the multiplicative subset

$$S = \{rx^u; r \in R^*, x^u \in \text{Mon}\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}\},$$

i.e.,

$$R_{q,\sigma}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n] \cong S^{-1}B.$$

*Proof.* See [12]. □

**Remark 3.14.** Let  $Q_{q,\sigma}^{r,n}(R) := R_{q,\sigma}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$  and  $R$  be a left (right) Noetherian ring, then  $Q_{q,\sigma}^{r,n}(R)$  is left (right) Noetherian by Theorem 3.10. Moreover, if  $R$  is a domain, then  $Q_{q,\sigma}^{r,n}(R)$  is also a domain by Theorem 3.6. Thus, if  $R$  is a left (right) Noetherian domain, then  $Q_{q,\sigma}^{r,n}(R)$  is a left (right) Ore domain.

Thus,  $Q_{q,\sigma}^{r,n}(R)$  has a total division ring of fractions

$$Q(Q_{q,\sigma}^{r,n}(R)) \cong Q(A) := \sigma(R)(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $\sigma(R)(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  denotes the rational fractions of  $A := \sigma(R)\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ .

## 3.2 Some properties

**Definition 3.15.** Let  $N$  be the subgroup in the multiplicative group  $R^*$  of the ring  $R$  generated by the derived subgroup  $[R^*, R^*]$  and by the set of all elements of the form  $z^{-1}\sigma_i(z)$  where  $z \in R^*$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Remark 3.16.**  $N$  is a normal subgroup in  $R^*$ .

**Definition 3.17.** If the images of  $q_{ij}$  with  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  are independent in the multiplicative Abelian group  $\bar{R} = R^*/N$  then,  $R_{q,\sigma}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$  is a generic skew quantum polynomials ring.

**Remark 3.18.** If  $n=2$  in  $R_{q,\sigma}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$ , of the previous definition  $q = q_{12}$  is not a root of unity.

**Proposition 3.19.** For each  $a \in R^*$  and  $\sigma$  endomorphism over  $R$ ,  $\sigma^k(a) = an$  with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in N$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^k(a) &= a(a^{-1}\sigma(a))((\sigma(a))^{-1}\sigma^2(a))\dots((\sigma^{k-1}(a))^{-1}\sigma^k(a)) \\ &= an, \text{ with } n \in N.\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

□

**Proposition 3.20.** *If  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{N}^{n-r}$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in R^*$ , then*

$$(1) \quad x_i x^u = \left(\prod_{j=1}^n q_{ji}^{u_j}\right) n_u \cdot x^u x_i, \text{ for some } n_u \in N \text{ and for any } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$(2) \quad (x^u)(x^v) = \left(\prod_{i < j} q_{ji}^{u_j v_i}\right) n_{u+v} \cdot x^{u+v}, \text{ with } n_{u+v} \in N.$$

$$(3) \quad (\lambda x^u)(\mu x^v) = \lambda \mu \left(\prod_{i < j} q_{ji}^{u_j v_i}\right) n' \cdot x^{u+v}, \text{ with } n' \in N.$$

*Proof.* Applying the Proposition 3.19 and note that  $x_i x_j^{-1} = q_{ji}^{-1} x_j^{-1} x_i$  with  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . □

**Proposition 3.21.** *Let  $f := \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_u x^u$  be in  $R_{q,\sigma}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$  and  $x_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .*

(1) *If  $\lambda_u \in R$ , then*

$$x_i f x_i^{-1} = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sigma_i(\lambda_u) \lambda'_u x^u,$$

$$\text{where } \lambda'_u := \left(\prod_{j=1}^n q_{ji}^{u_j}\right) n_u \in R^*.$$

(2) *If  $\lambda_u \in R^*$ , then*

$$x_i f x_i^{-1} = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda'_u x^u,$$

$$\text{where } \lambda'_u \in R^*.$$

*Proof.* (1) Note that  $N \subseteq R^*$  and

$$\begin{aligned}x_i f x_i^{-1} &= \sum \sigma_i(\lambda_u) x_i x^u x_i^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sigma_i(\lambda_u) \left(\prod_{j=1}^n q_{ji}^{u_j}\right) n_u x^u,\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } n_u \in N.$$

(2) By item (1),  $\sigma_i(\lambda_u) \lambda'_u \in R^*$ .

□

**Remark 3.22.** If  $Q(Q_{q,\sigma}^{r,n}(R))$  exists and  $G$  denotes the multiplicative subgroup in  $Q(Q_{q,\sigma}^{r,n}(R))^*$  generated by  $R^*$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Then  $R^* \triangleleft G$  and  $G/R^*$  is a free abelian group with the base  $x_1R^*, \dots, x_nR^*$ .

**Proposition 3.23.** Let  $R$  be a left Ore domain and  $\sigma$  automorphisms over  $R$ , then  $\sigma$  can be extended to  $Q(R)$  and is also an automorphism.

*Proof.* By universal property we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Q(R) \\
 \sigma \downarrow & & \swarrow \tilde{\sigma} \\
 R & & \\
 \psi \downarrow & & \swarrow \\
 Q(R) & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $\psi, \sigma$  are injective and  $\tilde{\sigma}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \frac{\sigma(a)}{\sigma(b)}$  for  $a, b \neq 0 \in R$ . Therefore,  $\psi \circ \sigma$  is injective and so is  $\tilde{\sigma}$ .

If  $\frac{a}{b} \in Q(R)$ , then  $\frac{a}{b} = \psi(b)^{-1}\psi(a) = \psi(\sigma(b_0))^{-1}\psi(\sigma(a_0))$  for  $a_0, b_0 \neq 0 \in R$ , consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{a}{b} &= \psi(\sigma(b_0))^{-1}\psi(\sigma(a_0)) \\
 &= \tilde{\sigma}(\psi(b_0))^{-1}\tilde{\sigma}(\psi(a_0)) \\
 &= \tilde{\sigma}(\psi(b_0))^{-1}\psi(a_0) \\
 &= \tilde{\sigma}\left(\frac{a_0}{b_0}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.24.** Let  $R$  be a left Ore domain and  $S = R - \{0\}$ , then

$$S^{-1}(R_{q,\sigma}[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \cong Q(R)_{\tilde{q},\tilde{\sigma}}[x_1, \dots, x_n],$$

where  $\tilde{q} = \left(\frac{q_{ij}}{1}\right) \in \mathcal{M}(n, Q(R))$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.12  $R_{q,\sigma}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cong R[z_1; \theta_1] \cdots [z_n; \theta_n]$ , with each  $\theta_i$  bijective. Thus, if  $S = R - \{0\}$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
 S^{-1}(R_{q,\sigma}[x_1, \dots, x_n]) &\cong S^{-1}(R[z_1; \theta_1] \cdots [z_n; \theta_n]) \\
 &\cong S^{-1}(R)[z_1; \tilde{\theta}_1] \cdots [z_n; \tilde{\theta}_n] \\
 &= Q(R)[z_1; \tilde{\theta}_1] \cdots [z_n; \tilde{\theta}_n]
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\theta}_1 : Q(R) &\rightarrow Q(R) \\ \frac{a}{b} &\mapsto \tilde{\theta}_1\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \frac{\theta_1(a)}{\theta_1(b)} = \frac{\sigma_1(a)}{\sigma_1(b)} = \widetilde{\sigma}_1\left(\frac{a}{b}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{\theta}_i : Q(R)[z_1; \tilde{\theta}_1] \cdots [z_{i-1}; \widetilde{\theta_{i-1}}] \rightarrow Q(R)[z_1; \tilde{\theta}_1] \cdots [z_{i-1}; \widetilde{\theta_{i-1}}]$$

with

$$\tilde{\theta}_i\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \widetilde{\sigma}_i\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \text{ y } \tilde{\theta}_j(z_i) = \frac{q_{ij}}{1}z_i.$$

Therefore,

$$S^{-1}(R_{q,\sigma}[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \cong Q(R)_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{\sigma}}[x_1, \dots, x_n],$$

where  $\tilde{q} = \left(\frac{q_{ij}}{1}\right) \in \mathcal{M}(n, Q(R))$ . □

**Proposition 3.25.** *Let  $R$  be a left Ore domain, there exists*

$$\phi : R_{q,\sigma}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow Q(R)_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{\sigma}}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$$

*an injective ring homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $B_R := R_{q,\sigma}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $B_{Q(R)} := Q(R)_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{\sigma}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be, by Theorem 3.13  $R_{q,\sigma}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}] \cong S_1^{-1}B_R$  with  $S_1 = \{rx^u; r \in R^*, x^u \in \text{Mon}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\}$ , and  $Q(R)_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{\sigma}}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}] \cong S_{1'}^{-1}B_{Q(R)}$  with  $S_{1'} = \{rx^u; r \in Q(R)^*, x^u \in \text{Mon}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\}$ .

Now, consider the following diagram of ring homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R & \xrightarrow{\quad} & R_{q,\sigma}[x_1, \dots, x_n] & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & S_1^{-1}B_R \\ \psi \downarrow & & \psi' \downarrow & & \varphi \downarrow \\ Q(R) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q(R)_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{\sigma}}[x_1, \dots, x_n] & \xrightarrow{\psi_{1'}} & S_{1'}^{-1}B_{Q(R)} \end{array}$$

where  $\psi$  is the injection for the localization of  $R$  to the total ring fractions  $Q(R)$ ,  $\psi'$  the injection determined by the isomorphism of Theorem 3.24 where  $\psi'(ax^u) = \frac{a}{1}x^u$ , and  $\psi_1, \psi_{1'}$  injections determined by the localizations for  $B_R$  and  $B_{Q(R)}$  respectively.

As  $\psi'(S_1) \subseteq S_{1'}$ , then  $\psi_{1'}(\psi'(S_1)) \subseteq \psi_{1'}(S_{1'}) \subseteq (S_{1'}^{-1}B_{Q(R)})^*$ , therefore, by universal property there exists  $\varphi$ . If  $f = \sum a_u x^u \in R_{q,\sigma}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $rx^v \in S_1$  then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(\frac{f}{rx^v}\right) &= \varphi\left(\frac{\sum a_u x^u}{rx^v}\right) \\
&= \psi_{1'}(\psi'(rx^v))^{-1} \psi_{1'}\left(\psi'\left(\sum a_u x^u\right)\right) \\
&= \psi_{1'}\left(\frac{r}{1}x^v\right)^{-1} \psi_{1'}\left(\sum \frac{a_u}{1}x^u\right) \\
&= \frac{\frac{1}{1} \sum \frac{a_u}{1}x^u}{\frac{r}{1}x^v} \\
&= \frac{\sum \frac{a_u}{1}x^u}{\frac{r}{1}x^v} \\
&= \frac{\psi'(f)}{\psi'(rx^v)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also,  $\varphi$  is injective by  $\psi'$  and  $\psi_{1'}$  are injective. □

Need the following result for the subsequent theorem:

**Proposition 3.26.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $S \subset R$  a multiplicative subset. If  $Q := S^{-1}R$  exists, then any finite set  $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$  of elements of  $Q$  posses a common denominator, i.e., there exists  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$  and  $s \in S$  such that  $q_i = \frac{r_i}{s}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* See [13], Lemma 2.1.8. □

**Theorem 3.27.** *Let  $R$  be a left Ore domain, then  $Q(Q_{q,\sigma}^{n,n}(R)) \cong Q(Q_{q,\sigma}^{n,n}(Q(R)))$ .*

*Proof.* With the notation of the proof in the Proposition 3.25 consider the following diagram of ring homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S_1^{-1}B_R & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & Q(S_1^{-1}B_R) \\
\varphi \downarrow & & \varphi' \downarrow \\
S_{1'}^{-1}B_{Q(R)} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{2'}} & Q(S_{1'}^{-1}B_{Q(R)})
\end{array}$$

where  $\psi_2, \psi_{2'}$  are injections determined by the localizations of  $S_1^{-1}B_R$  and  $S_{1'}^{-1}B_{Q(R)}$  respectively and  $\varphi$  the injection of the Proposition 3.25.

By Remark 3.14,  $S_1^{-1}B_R$  and  $S_{1'}^{-1}B_{Q(R)}$  are domain, now, if  $\frac{p_1}{q_1}, \frac{p_2}{q_2} \in S_1^{-1}B_R$  with  $\frac{p_1}{q_1} \neq 0$ , then  $p_1 \neq 0$  and there exist  $f_1 \neq 0$  and  $f_2 \in B_R$  such that  $f_1 p_1 = f_2 p_2$ . Then,  $\frac{f_1 q_1}{1} \frac{p_1}{q_1} = \frac{f_1 p_1}{1} = \frac{f_2 q_2}{1} = \frac{f_2 q_2}{1} \frac{p_2}{q_2} \neq 0$ , therefore  $S_1^{-1}B_R$  is a Ore domain, similarly it has to  $S_{1'}^{-1}B_{Q(R)}$ . Thus, if  $S_2 = S_1^{-1}B_R - \{0\}$  and  $S_{2'} = S_{1'}^{-1}B_{Q(R)} - \{0\}$  as  $\varphi(S_2) \subseteq S_{2'}$ , then

$\psi_{2'}(\varphi(S_2)) \subseteq \psi_{2'}(S_{2'}) \subseteq (Q(S_{1'}^{-1}B_{Q(R)}))^*$ , hence, by universal property there exists  $\varphi'$  injective ring homomorphism.

Note that if  $f, g \in B_R$  and  $ax^u, bx^v \in S_1$ , then

$$\frac{\frac{f}{ax^u}}{\frac{g}{bx^v}} = \left(\frac{g}{bx^v}\right)^{-1} \frac{f}{ax^u} = \frac{bx^v}{g} \frac{f}{ax^u} = \frac{f'}{g'}$$

and

$$\frac{f'}{g'} = \frac{1}{g'} \frac{f'}{1} = \left(\frac{g'}{1}\right)^{-1} \frac{f'}{1} = \frac{\frac{f'}{1}}{\frac{g'}{1}},$$

where  $f', g' \in B_R$  by Remark 3.14 with  $r = 0$ . Similarly is obtained for  $Q(S_{1'}^{-1}B_{Q(R)})$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi' \left( \frac{f}{g} \right) &= \psi_{2'} \left( \varphi \left( \frac{g}{1} \right) \right)^{-1} \psi_{2'} \left( \varphi \left( \frac{f}{1} \right) \right) \\ &= \psi_{2'} \left( \frac{\psi'(g)}{\frac{1}{1}} \right)^{-1} \psi_{2'} \left( \frac{\psi'(f)}{\frac{1}{1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{1}}{\psi'(g)} \frac{\psi'(f)}{\frac{1}{1}} \\ &= \frac{\psi'(f)}{\psi'(g)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if  $f, 0 \neq g \in S_{1'}'B_{Q(R)}$ , applying Theorem 3.26 must be

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f}{g} &= \frac{\sum \frac{a_u}{b_u} x^u}{\sum \frac{c_v}{d_v} x^v} = \frac{\frac{1}{s} \sum \frac{a'_u}{1} x^u}{\frac{1}{s'} \sum \frac{c'_v}{1} x^v} = \left( \sum \frac{c'_v}{1} x^v \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{s'} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{s} \sum \frac{a'_u}{1} x^u \\ &= \left( \sum \frac{c'_v}{1} x^v \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{s' 1}{1 s} \right) \sum \frac{a'_u}{1} x^u = \left( \sum \frac{c'_v}{1} x^v \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{r'}{r} \right) \sum \frac{a'_u}{1} x^u \\ &= \left( \sum \frac{c'_v}{1} x^v \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1 r'}{r 1} \right) \sum \frac{a'_u}{1} x^u = \left( \frac{r}{1} \sum \frac{c'_v}{1} x^v \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{r'}{1} \sum \frac{a'_u}{1} x^u \right) \\ &= \left( \sum \frac{r c'_v}{1} x^v \right)^{-1} \left( \sum \frac{r' a'_u}{1} x^u \right) \\ &= \frac{\sum \frac{r' a'_u}{1} x^u}{\sum \frac{r c'_v}{1} x^v} = \frac{\psi'(f')}{\psi'(g')} \\ &= \varphi' \left( \frac{f'}{g'} \right). \end{aligned}$$

where  $f' = \sum (r' a'_u) x^u$  y  $g' = \sum (r c'_v) x^v$ , then  $\varphi$  is surjective. Hence  $Q(Q_{q,\sigma}^{n,n}(R)) \cong Q(Q_{\tilde{q},\tilde{\sigma}}^{n,n}(Q(R)))$ . □

### 3.3 Valuations of skew quantum polynomials.

**Theorem 3.28.** *Let  $R$  be a left Ore domain and  $\nu : Q(Q_{\mathbf{q},\sigma}^{n,n}(R))^* \rightarrow \Gamma$  is a valuation with  $\nu(Q(R)^*) = 0$ , then  $\Gamma$  is Abelian.*

*Proof.*  $Q(R)$  is a division ring and  $Q(Q_{\mathbf{q},\sigma}^{n,n}(R)) \cong Q(Q_{\tilde{\mathbf{q}},\tilde{\sigma}}^{n,n}(Q(R)))$ , by Theorem 1.10.  $\Gamma$  is Abelian.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.29.** *Let  $R$  be a left Ore domain,  $\nu : Q(Q_{\mathbf{q},\sigma}^{n,n}(R))^* \rightarrow \Gamma$  a valuation with  $\nu(Q(R)^*) = 0$  and  $Q_{\tilde{\mathbf{q}},\tilde{\sigma}}^{n,n}(Q(R))$  generic, then  $\Gamma$  is Abelian.*

**Theorem 3.30.** *Let  $R$  be a left Ore domain, a valuation  $\nu : Q(Q_{\mathbf{q},\sigma}^{n,n}(R))^* \rightarrow \Gamma$  with  $\nu(Q(R)^*) = 0$  and  $Q_{\tilde{\mathbf{q}},\tilde{\sigma}}^{n,n}(Q(R))$  generic. The valuation  $\nu$  has maximal rank if only if  $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.27.  $Q(Q_{\mathbf{q},\sigma}^{n,n}(R)) \cong Q(Q_{\tilde{\mathbf{q}},\tilde{\sigma}}^{n,n}(Q(R)))$  with  $Q(R)$  a division ring, by Theorem 1.13 the valuation  $\nu$  has maximal rank if only if  $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ .  $\square$

### 3.4 Valuations of skew PBW extension.

**Theorem 3.31.** *Let  $A = \sigma(R) \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  be a bijective and quasi-commutative skew PBW extension of a ring  $R$ . If  $R$  is a left Ore domain and  $\nu : Q(A)^* \rightarrow \Gamma$  a valuation with  $\nu(Q(R)^*) = 0$ , then  $\Gamma$  is Abelian*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.8  $A$  is an Ore domain then,  $Q(A)$  exists and is a division ring, by Remark 3.14.  $Q(A) \cong Q(Q_{\mathbf{q},\sigma}^{r,n}(R))$  (in particular  $r = 0$ ) and by Theorem 3.28  $\Gamma$  is abelian.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.32.** *Let  $A$  be a bijective skew PBW extension of a ring  $R$ . If  $R$  is a left Ore domain and  $\nu : Q(Gr(A))^* \rightarrow \Gamma$  a valuation with  $\nu(Q(R)^*) = 0$ , then  $\Gamma$  is Abelian.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.9  $Gr(A)$  is bijective and quasi-commutative.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Artamonov V. A., *Serre's Quantum Problem*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. 53 (1998), N 4, 3-76.
- [2] Artamonov V. A., *General quantum polynomials: irreducible modules and Morita-equivalence*, Izv. RAN, Ser. Math. 63 (1999), N 5, 3-36.
- [3] Artamonov V. A., *Automorphisms of the skew field of rational quantum functions*, Sbornik: Mathematics 191: 12 (2000).
- [4] Artamonov V. A., *Valuations on quantum fields*, Commun. Algebra, 29 (2001), N 9.



- [5] Artamonov V. A., *Actions of Hopf algebras on general quantum Mal'tsev power series and quantum planes*. J. Math. Sci. (2006). 134, N 1. p. 1773 - 1798.
- [6] Artamonov V. A., *Quantum polynomial*, Advances in Algebra and Combinatorics, World Scientific, (2008).
- [7] Cohn P. M., *Algebraic Numbers and Algebraic Functions* . Chapman & Hall, 2 – 6 boundary Row, London, 1991.
- [8] Cohn P. M., *Skew Fields Theory of General Division Rings*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [9] Freddy Van Oystaeyen, *Algebraic geometry for associative algebras*. Marcel Dekker Inc. NY, (2000), 302 pp.
- [10] Kokorin A. I. & Kopytov V. M., *Linearly ordered groups*. Moscow: Nauka, (1972).
- [11] Lezama O. & Gallego C., *Gröbner bases for ideals of sigma-PBW extensions*, Communications in Algebra, 39 (1), 2011, 50-75.
- [12] Lezama O. & Reyes M., *Some homological properties of skew PBW extensions*, Communications in Algebra, Vol. 42, 2014, 1200–1230.
- [13] McConnell J. & Robson J., *Non-commutative Noetherian Rings*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, AMS, 2001.
- [14] Schilling O. F., *Noncommutative Valuation*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Volume 51, Number 4 (1945), 229-324.