1	First Archaeal rDNA sequences from coastal waters of Argentina:			
2	unexpected PCR characterization by using eukaryotic primers			
3	Running title: First Archaea rDNA sequences from the Argentine Sea			
4				
5	Primeras secuencias de ADNr de Archaea en aguas costeras de			
6	Argentina: inesperada caracterización por PCR usando cebadores para			
7	eucariotas			
8	Titulo corto: Primeras Secuencias de ADNr de Archaea del Mar Argentino			
9				
10	F Covacevich ^{1*} , RI Silva ² , AC Cumino ¹ , G Caló ¹ , RM Negri ² , GL Salerno ¹			
11				
12	¹ Centro de Estudios de Biodiversidad y Biotecnología (CEBB), Centro de Investigaciones			
13	Biológicas (CIB), FIBA, Vieytes 3103 - 7600 Mar del Plata – Argentina.			
14	*Corresponding author E-mail: fcovacevich@fiba.org.ar			
15	² Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero (INIDEP), Mar del Plata,			
16	Argentina			
17				
18	Present address: F Covacevich, Estación Experimental Agropecuaria INTA-FCA,			
19	CC 276, 7620 Balcarce, Buenos Aires, Argentina.			
20	AC Cumino, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Mar del			
21	Plata, Mar del Plata, Argentina			
22				

2 ABSTRACT. Many members of Archaea, a group of prokaryotes recognized three 3 decades ago, colonize extreme environments. However, new research is showing that 4 Achaeans are also quite abundant in the plankton of the open sea, where are fundamental 5 components that play a key role in the biogeochemical cycles. Although the widespread 6 distribution of Archaea the marine environment is well documented there are no reports 7 on the detection of Archaea in the Southwest Atlantic Ocean. During the search of 8 picophytoplankton sequences using eukaryotic universal primers, we retrieved archaeal 9 rDNA sequences from surface samples collected during Spring at the fixed EPEA Station 10 (38°28'S-57°41'W, Argentine Sea). From environmental DNA and using PCR 11 methodology, two DNA fragments of about 1,700 and 1,450 bp were visualized after 12 electrophoresis in agarose gels, which were separately purified, cloned and sequenced. 13 BLAST analysis showed that sequences of the highest size corresponded to eukaryotic 14 organisms and, unexpectedly, those of about 1,460 bp corresponded to Archaeal 15 organisms. Phylogenetic analysis showed that Archaeal sequences belong to 16 Euryarchaeota of the Marine Group II, which is characterized as a methanogenic lineage. 17 This is the first report on the presence of Euryarchaeota-Group II sequences in 18 environmental water samples of the Argentine Sea. The fact that Archaea sequences were 19 amplified with primers non specific for this group may suggest an unexpected abundance 20 of these organisms in the early spring in the Argentine Sea.

21

Keywords: Euryarchaeota, Argentine Sea, environmental rDNA, PCR methodology,
primer design

1 Resumen. Muchos miembros de las Archaea, un grupo de microroganismos descriptos 2 hace aproximadamente treinta años, colonizan ambientes extremos. Sin embargo, las 3 investigaciones más recientes han demostrado que las Archaeas también son abundantes 4 componentes del plankton marino, siendo algunos grupos de Archaeas componentes 5 fundamentales de los ecosistemas marinos debido a su rol clave en los ciclos 6 biogeoquímicos. Aunque la ubiquidad de las Archaeas ha sido bien documentada, hasta el 7 momento no hay reportes de la presencia de representantes de este grupo en el mar 8 Argentino. En un estudio de biodiversidad orientado a determinar secuencias de 9 picoeucariotas utilizando cebadores universales para eucariotas, encontramos secuencias 10 de ADNr de Archaeas en muestras recolectadas durante la primavera en la estación fija 11 EPEA (38°28'S-57°41'W, Mar Argentino). A partir de ADN ambiental y mediante el uso 12 de la metodología de PCR, obtuvimos dos fragmentos de aproximadamente 1.700 y 1.460 13 bases, los cuales fueron separados y visualizados después de electroforesis en geles de 14 azarosa, y luego purificados, clonados y secuenciados. El análisis del BLAST mostró que 15 las secuencias de tamaño superior correspondían a organismos eucariotas y las secuencias 16 de menor tamaño pertenecían a Archaea. El análisis filogenético mostró que las 17 secuencias de Archaea se agrupan con Euryarchaeota Marina Grupo II, caracterizado 18 como un linaje metanógeno. Éste es el primer reporte de la presencia de secuencias de 19 Euryarchaeota-Grupo II en aguas del mar Argentino. El hecho de que las secuencias de 20 Archaea hayan sido amplificadas con cebadores no específicos para este grupo, sugeriría 21 una inesperada abundancia de estos organismos durante los inicios de primavera en el 22 Mar Argentino.

23

Palabras clave: Euryarchaeota, Mar Argentino, ADNr ambiental, metodología PCR,
diseño de cebadores.

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Microbial diversity is clearly a topic of considerable importance and interest. In the past decades the most surprising discoveries in biodiversity arose from studies on the 3 4 distribution of microbial communities in the ocean. Marine ecosystems are continually 5 subject to oscillations in environmental conditions. It is now widely recognized that 6 climate change and biodiversity are interconnected (Bowland 2006). Because global 7 warming is expected to have a significant influence on hydrologic cycle over the next 8 several centuries and thus on species composition, the analysis of the current biodiversity 9 is urgent. Increasing amount of knowledge has been reported in the last decades; 10 however, the introduction of molecular methodologies and metagenomic analyses opened 11 new avenues in the understanding of marine microbial diversity. Using these tools, the 12 ubiquitous presence of completely novel lineages, with no representatives in cultures, has 13 been established for the three domains of life: Bacteria (Giovannoni et al. 1990), Archaea 14 (Delong 1992, Fuhrman et al. 1992), and more recently Eukaryota (Díez et al. 2001, 15 López-García et al. 2001a, Massana et al. 2002, Romari and Vaulot 2004, Groisillier et 16 al. 2006, Lovejoy et al. 2006).

17 Achaeans are microscopic single-celled organisms that constitute a group of prokaryotes, recognized in 1977 as an independent monophyletic group. Although 18 19 initially they were believed to be limited to anaerobic, hyperthermal, and highly saline 20 habitats, they were also found in both marine and freshwaters environments (DeLong 21 1992, Fuhrman et al. 1992, Massana et al. 1997 and 1998, Murray et al. 1999, Massana et 22 al. 2000, Karner et al. 2001, Auguet and Casamayor 2008). Thus, it is recognized that 23 marine archaeal populations are diverse, complex and widespread (Danovaro 2010). 24 There is now increasing evidence that marine Archaea make an important contribution to 25 the biogeochemical nitrogen and carbon cycles (Bartossek et al. 2010).

1 Based on 16S rDNA phylogeny from cultivated organisms, marine Archaea are 2 phylogenetically distributed through four main taxonomical clusters: one cluster of Crenarchaeota, the Marine group I (MGI), and three clusters of Euryarchaeota, group II, 3 4 III and IV (Galand et al. 2009). Members of the marine Group I have a key role on the 5 biogeochemical cycles, being a fundamental component of the marine ecosystem. 6 Although Crenarchaeota consist mainly of thermophilic species, the genome 7 Cenarchaeum symbiosum and Nitrosopumilus maritimus, two non-thermophilic strains 8 were completely sequenced (Preston et al. 1996, Könneke et al. 2005, Bartossek et al. 9 2010). Archaea of Group II of planktonic Euryarchaeota have more varied metabolisms 10 (hence the name "eury-," meaning variable), but most biochemical studies have focused 11 on methanogenesis, a unique property of some Archaea (comprising Halobacteriales, 12 Thermoplasmales, Thermococcales, Sulfolobales, Pyrodictiales, Archaeoglobus, 13 Methanobacteriales). Representatives of Group III are restricted to deep waters, having 14 been found in waters below the photic zone (Galand et al. 2009). Group IV was first 15 discovered by Rodriguez-Valera (1979) and sequences of its members were clearly 16 distinct from all known planktonic Archaea (López García et al. 2001a).

17 Analyses of rDNA sequences from environmental samples have revealed that 18 Archaea are ubiquitous and far more abundant than previously assumed (Stein and Simon 19 1996, Karner et al. 2001, DeLong 2003). Culture-independent techniques based on 16S 20 rDNA analyses showed the existence of Archaea in the open-ocean, marine sediments, 21 soils and freshwater lake sediments (Massana et al. 2000, Schleper et al. 2005, Galand et 22 al. 2009, Bartossek et al. 2010). Particularly, marine Archaea have been shown to reside 23 in coastal and offshore temperate and cold waters worldwide (DeLong 1992, Fuhrman et 24 al. 1992, Massana et al. 1997, Galand et al. 2010). Karner et al. (2001) found that pelagic 25 Crenarchaeota form North Pacific Ocean Gyre comprising more than 30% of the total

1 microbial cells from 200 m to 5,000 m. Herndl et al. (2005) estimated that at depth of 100 2 m Euryarcheota contributed about 17% of picoplankton abundance of the North Atlantic 3 Sea while the contribution of Crenarchaeota was about 18.5%. Primers to detect archaeal 4 sequences by PCR approach have been designed to amplify all prokaryotic 16S rDNA 5 genes and are referred to as 'universal' (DasSarma and Fleischmann 1995, Reysenbach 6 1995, and Pace Vetriani al. 1999, Baker al. 2003. et et 7 http://bioinfo.unice.fr/454/VC/archaea_primers_sorted_by_Fsequences.html) or for taxa 8 specific detection (Baker et al. 2003). López-García et al. (2001 a and b) found 9 Euryarchaeota sequences belonging to Marine Group II and III of the Antarctic Polar 10 Front sea water by using and designed different primer sets for 16S rDNA amplification.

To our knowledge there are no reports on the detection of Archaea in the Southwest Atlantic Ocean. In this study, we report the presence of rDNA archaeal sequences in surface water samples of the Argentine Sea that constitute the beginning of more comprehensive studies to understand the contribution of prokaryotes to biogeochemical cycles of marine ecosystems.

16

17 MATERIAL AND METHODS

18

19 Sample collection

20

Water samples were collected by the cruises conducted monthly on-board the RV "Capitan Canepa" (INIDEP). Surface water samples were collected in September, October and November at the fixed EPEA Station in the Argentine Sea (38°28'S -57°41'W at 27 nautical miles south of Mar del Plata, Argentina). Environmental characteristics of sampling site (photosynthetically active radiation, temperature and salinity) were described by Silva *et al.* (2009). Water samples (2.5 liters) were taken
using a bucket at the surface, prefiltered through a 25 μm pore size to eliminate
microplankton components, passed through a polycarbonate membrane of 3 μm pore size
(Nuclepore) to remove nanoplankton components, and finally filtered through a 0.2 μm
pore size (Durapore). Filters were transferred into a cryovial tube, immediately frozen in
liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until nucleic acid extraction.

7

8 Nucleic acid extraction

9

10 Genomic DNA was extracted from marine samples (4 subsamples at each collect) 11 according to standard protocols (Sambrook and Russell 2001). Nucleic acid extraction began with the addition of lysozyme (1 mg mL⁻¹) to the filter unit and incubation at 37° C 12 for 30 min. Then, proteinase K (0.5 mg mL⁻¹) and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, 1%) 13 14 were added, and the filter was incubated for 2 h at 55°C. The lysate was recovered from 15 the filter, which in turn was rinsed with 1 mL of lysis buffer (Tris-HCl 50 mM, pH 8; 16 EDTA 40 mM, pH 8; sucrose 0.75 M; nuclease-free water) with lysozyme (1 mg/mL). 17 All centrifugations were performed at 13,000 rpm and at 4°C. After centrifugation for 7 18 min, the upper phase was transferred to a clean tube. The pooled lysates were extracted 19 twice with an equal volume of phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1; pH 8.0) and once with chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1). After removing any residual phenol by 20 21 centrifugation, the aqueous phase was transferred into a new 1.5 mL tube containing 750 µL cold isopropanol and 1/10 volume sodium acetate (0.3 M final concentration, pH 5.2). 22 23 Tubes were placed in -20°C freezer overnight. After a centrifugation for 30 min the 24 supernatant was decanted into a beaker and the DNA pellet washed with 200 μ L 70% ethanol at -20°C. The DNA pellet was dried and re-suspended in 50 µL PCR water and 25

stored at -20°C until use. DNA concentration was determined by measuring absorbance at
 260 nm.

3

4 PCR amplification, cloning and sequencing

5

6 Extracted DNA was used as template in PCR reactions using eukaryotic 18S ribosomal 7 DNA (rDNA)-specific primers to Eukarya EukA 5'-AACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGT-8 3'; EukB 5'-TGATCCTTCTGCAGGTTCACCTAC-3' (Medlin et al. 1988). The PCR 9 conditions were as follows: initial DNA denaturizing for 3 min at 94°C, followed by 30 10 cycles of denaturizing for 45 s at 94°C, annealing for 1 min at 55°C, extension for 3 min 11 at 72°C, plus one additional cycle with a final 10-min chain elongation at 72°C. The 25-12 µL reaction volume contained 50 ng of DNA and 5 pmol of each primer. Following 13 amplification, the PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis on a 0.8% agarose gel 14 and DNA fragments were visualized with ethidium bromide. DNA from the agarose gel 15 was extracted using the QIAGEN MinElute gel extraction kit. The purified PCR products 16 were cloned into the pGemTeasy cloning Vector kit (Promega). The recombinant plasmid 17 was inserted into Escherichia coli DH5a competent cells, which were grown in LB 18 medium at 37°C for 20 min. Cultures were sprayed on LB/Ampicillin/IPTG/X-Gal (1 mL 100 mg mL⁻¹ ampicillin, 0.12 g isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) in 5 mL 19 deionised water; 0.10 g 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoly-β-D-galactoside (X-gal) in 2 mL 20 21 N,N-dimethylformamide). Twenty colonies of each sample (a total of 100 positive white 22 colonies) were separately grown (37°C, over night) in LB medium with ampicillin. The 23 presence of rDNA inserts was confirmed by colony PCR using the same primers and amplification conditions. The PCR products were digested with the restriction 24 25 endonuclease HaeIII. All digestions were completed independently and performed in 15

 μ L of volume with 3 μ L of PCR product, 10X buffer and 3 units of restriction 1 2 endonuclease. The solution was incubated at 37°C for one hour. Digested samples were 3 run by electrophoresis (80 V, 3 h) in agarose gels (2.5%) (Meta Phor. Cambrex Bio 4 Science Rockland Inc. Me USA). Agarose gels were stained with ethidium bromide and 5 the restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) products were visualized with UV 6 transillumination. Size of inserts was confirmed by *EcoR*1 restriction enzyme (Promega, 7 Madison, USA) by plasmid digestion. The inserts of clones with different RFLP patterns 8 sequenced (Macrogen, Korea). Sequence was deposited in were GenBank 9 (BankIt1405479, uncultured HQ541865).

10

11 **Phylogenetic analysis and rDNA thermodynamic properties prediction**

12

13 Comparisons of rDNA sequences were performed using nucleotide sequences available in the 14 databases National Center Biotechnology Information at the for (NCBI. 15 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST). Sequence alignments were generated using the 16 CLUSTAL W software and graphic representations of phylogenetic trees were performed 17 using the MEGA4 software (Tamura et al. 2007). The trees were statistically evaluated with 18 non-parametric bootstrap analysis (number of replicates = 1,000). The secondary structures for 19 RNA predicted using RNADRAW (Vienna ribosomal were **RNA** package; 20 http://www.rnadraw.com) and RNAfold program (available at http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/cgi-21 bin/RNAfold.cgi).

22

1 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

2

In our study of picophytoplankton diversity of the Argentine Sea we used EukA/EukB 3 4 primers to PCR amplify eukaryotic small subunit ribosomal rDNA genes (Medlin et al. 5 1988) from DNA extracted from surface-sea samples collected during spring in the 6 Argentine Sea. Diez et al. (2001) had been demonstrated the specificity of EukA/EukB 7 primer pair to construct clone libraries of eukaryotes and the ability of the primers to 8 recover distant phylogenetically-related eukaryotic groups (Stramenopiles, Alveolates, 9 Prasinophytes as well as Chrysomonad, Cercomonads and Fungi) from North Atlantic, 10 Antarctica, and Mediterranean Sea surface waters. Moreover, those primers were 11 successfully used in most recent biodiversity studies of marine picoeukaryotes (Groisillier 12 et al. 2006, Guillou et al. 2008, Hoppenrath et al. 2009, Not et al. 2009). The analysis of 13 the amplification products using EukA/EukB primers after separation by electrophoresis 14 on agarose gel, revealed that while a DNA fragment of expected size (1,700 bp) was 15 present in all the samples, an additional band of 1,460 bp was visualized in all samples 16 collected in September and October (fig. 1a). About 66% of the analyzed samples showed 17 the second band. The two DNA amplified fragments were separately purified and cloned 18 in E. coli cells (fig. 1b). Further sequencing of the inserts revealed that nucleotide 19 sequences of 1,700-bp bands corresponded to eukaryotic organisms. In the case of 20 samples collected in September, the sequences were ascribed mainly to Stramenopiles 21 (e.g. Bolidomonas sp.) and Alveolata (e.g. Laboea sp.) whereas those of October matched 22 with sequences belonging to Stramenopiles (e.g. Pedinella sp.) and Chlorophyta 23 Prasinophyceae (e.g. Bathycoccus sp.). Amplified DNA of samples collected in November produced only one band of about 1,700 bp and sequences matched with 24 25 sequences belonging to Alveolata (e.g. *Noctiluca* sp.). Surprisingly, nucleotide sequences

of the 1,460-bp inserts corresponded to archaeal rDNA, whose RFLP patterns were
compared (fig. 2). The sequences of the inserts matched with an uncultured marine
Archaea grouped with the Marine Group II of Euryarchaeota (fig. 2).

4 The sequence alignments of Archaea 16S rDNA and 18S rDNA universal primers 5 (EukA/EukB) used for PCR amplification confirmed that the primer pair shares 100 % 6 identity with archaeal rDNA regions. Analyses with the SILVA rRNA database 7 (http://www.arb-silva.de) indicated that EukA and EukB primers would amplify a very 8 small number of Archaea sequences (2 out of 11,954 Archaea available sequences). 9 Therefore, the fact that Archaeal sequences could be recovered from the environmental 10 DNA with primers designed for eukaryotes suggests that some scarce Archaea strains of 11 Marine Group II were very abundant at least during September and October, considering 12 the obvious competition in the annealing step between Archaea and Eukaryotic sequences 13 for the primers.

14 The identification of Archaea sequences led us to analyze the primers reported to 15 specifically retrieve sequences of these organisms. We compare EukA-EukB sequences 16 with the Archaea primers and with the more abundant sequence we obtained in this study 17 (HQ541865) (table 1). Whereas some of the reported primers align with the HQ541865 18 sequence in more internal positions than EukA-EukB, others have poor or no 19 complementation with HQ541865. Baker et al. (2003) proposed two new primer pairs (A571F 5'-GCYTAAAGSRICCGTAGC-3'/UA1204R 5'-TTMGGGGGCATRCIKACCT-20 21 3' A751F 5'-CCGACGGTGAGRGRYGAA-3'/UA1406R 5'and 22 ACGGGCGGTGWGTRCAA-3') to amplify sequences from Crenarchaeote and 23 Euryarchaeota type strains. Also Gantner et al. (2011) presented two new archaeal 24 primers (340F 5'-CCCTAYGGGGGGGCASCAG -3'and 1000R 5'-25 GGCCATGCACYWCYTCTC-3') which were designed from 8,500 aligned archaeal

1 sequences by using the SILVA database. They reported that designed primers showed a 2 high archaeal specificity (< 1% bacteria amplification) covering 93 and 97% of available 3 sequences for Crenarchaeota and Euryarchaeota respectively. However, these primers 4 have a high level of degeneracy, which could lead to amplify non-target genes or 5 domains. From non-degenerative primers used to the comparison (table 1), only one 6 aligns with one of the primers used in this study. The specific eukaryotic EukA primer matches with EK4F primer, designed by Robb et al. (1995) and later reported as very 7 8 high specific for methanogen sequences (Baker et al. 2003), but which does not match 9 with any other Archaeal group. Remarkably, EukA primer has four additional bases than 10 the EK4F which allowed the specific retrieval of the Marine Group II of Euryarchaeota 11 sequences.

12 It has been shown that the two major groups of planktonic Archaea (Crenarchaeota 13 and Euryarchaeota) might account for about one-third of all prokaryotic cells in the global 14 ocean (Karner et al. 2001). Sequences of the Marine Group II of Euryarchaeota have been 15 found in both, the Sta Barbara Channel, California, from 0 to 200 m (Massana et al. 16 1997) as well in the Artic and Antartic surface waters (Murray et al. 1999, Bano et al. 17 2004). Although they were reported as more abundant in surface waters in Pacific and 18 Beaufort Sea, their presence was also reported in different oceanic regions sampled at 19 depths between 5 and 200 m (Massana et al. 2000, Karner et al. 2001, Herndl et al. 2005, 20 DeLong et al. 2006, Galand et al. 2009). In the South Atlantic Sea, however, the presence 21 of Archaea remained undescribed until the present report.

22

23 Conclusion

1 Euryarchaeota sequences affiliating with the known Group II are recognized to be widespread in the oceans worldwide (Stein and Simon 1996, DeLong 2003) and this 2 3 study contributes with the identification of the first 16S rDNA sequences belonging to 4 Euryarchaeota-Marine Group II in environmental surface water samples of the Argentine 5 Sea, where could play an important role in biogeochemical cycles. The casual way that 6 led to these results, in turn, gives more weight to the finding, since it highlights the 7 relative abundance of these Archaea in certain months of the year, and how unlikely it 8 would have been to detect them using reported information.

9

10 Acknowledgments

11

12 This project was supported by Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica

13 (ANPCyT, grant PICT 2002 N $^{\circ}$ 12233), INIDEP, CONICET, and FIBA.

14

15 **References**

16 Auguet JC, Casamayor EO. 2008. A hotspot for cold crenarchaeota in the neuston of high

17 mountain lakes. Environ. Microbiol. 10: 1080–1086.

Baker GC, Smith JJ, Cowan DA. 2003. Review and re-analysis of domain-specific 16S
primers. J. Microbiol. Meth. 55: 541-555.

20 Bano N, Ruffin S, Ransom B, JT Hollibaugh. 2004. Phylogenetic Composition of Arctic

- 21 Ocean Archaeal Assemblages and Comparison with Antarctic Assemblages. Appl.
- 22 Environm. Microbiol. 70: 781-789.
- 23 Bartossek R, Nicol GW, Lanzen A, Klenk HP, Schleper C. 2010. Homologues of nitrite
- 24 reductases in ammonia-oxidizing archaea: diversity and genomic contexte. Environ.
- 25 Microbiol. 12: 1075–1088.

1	Bowland JA. 2006. Climatic Change: Melting away marine biodiversity in the Canadian				
2	Arctic. In: Atlantic Canada Marine Biodiversity Essay Content. Available in				
3	http://www.marinebiodiversity.ca/en/pdfs/Biodiversity_Bowland.pdf (Accession				
4	February 2011).				
5	Casamayor, R. Massana, S. Benlloch, L. Overeas, B. Diez and V. Goddard et al., 2002.				
6	Changes in archaeal, bacterial, and eukaryal assemblages along a salinity gradient by				
7	comparison of genetic fingerprinting methods in a multipond solar saltern scaling				
8	plots, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 4: 338–348.				
9	Danovaro R, Editor. 2010. Archaeal Diversity Analysis Using 16S rDNA T-RFLP				
10	(Terminal-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms) In: Methods for the Study of				
11	Deep-Sea Sediments, Their Functioning and Biodiversity, Chapter 21. Taylor and				
12	Francis Group. 415 pp.				
13	DasSarma S, Fleischmann EF. 1995. Archaea: a laboratory manual – Halophiles. Cold				
14	29 Spring Harbour Laboratory Press, New York, pp. 269-272.				
15	DeLong EF . 1992. Archaea in coastal marine environments. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA				
16	89: 5685–5689.				
17	DeLong EF, Preston CM, Mincer T, Rich V, Hallam SJ, Frigaard NU Martinez A,				
18	Sullivan MB, Edwards R, Brito BR, et al. 2006. Community genomics among				
19	stratified microbial assemblages in the oceans interior. Science 311: 496–503.				
20	DeLong EF. 2003. Oceans of Archaea: Abundant oceanic Crenarchaeota appear to derive				
21	from thermophilic ancestors that invaded low-temperature marine environments.				
22	ASM News 69: 503-511.				
23	Díez B, Pedrós-Alió C, Massana R. 2001. Study of genetic diversity of eukaryotic				
24	picoplankton in different oceanic regions by small-subunit rRNA gene cloning and				
25	sequencing. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67: 2932–2941.				

1	Embley TM, Finlay BJ, Thomas RH, Dyal PL. 1992. The use of rRNA sequences and
2	fluorescent probes to investigate the phylogenetic positions of the anaerobic ciliate
3	Metopus palaeformis and its archaeobacterial endosymbiont, J. Gen. Microbiol.
4	138:1479–1487.
5	Fuhrman J, McCallum K, Davis AA. 1992. Novel major archaebacterial group from
6	marine plankton. Nature 356:148-149.
7	Galand PE, Casamayor EO, Kirchman DL, Potvin M, Lovejoy C. 2009. Unique archaeal
8	assemblages in the Arctic Ocean unveiled by massively parallel tag Sequencing.
9	ISME J. 3: 860–869.
10	Galand PE, Gutierrez-Provecho C, Massana R, Gasol JM, Casamayor EO. 2010. Inter-
11	annual recurrence of archaeal assemblages in the coastal NW Mediterranean Sea
12	(Blanes Bay Microbial Observatory). Limnol. Oceanogr. 55: 2117–2125.
13	Gantner S, Andersson AF, Alonso-Sáez L, Bertilsson S. 2011. Novel primers for 16S
14	rRNA-based archaeal community analyses in environmental samples. J. Microbiol.
15	Methods 84: 12-18.
16	Giovannoni SJ, Britschgi TB, Moyer CL, Field KG. 1990. Genetic diversity in Sargasso
17	Sea bacterioplankton. Nature 345: 60-63.
18	Groisillier A, Massana R, Valentin K, Vaulotl D, Guilloul L. 2006. Genetic diversity and
19	habitats of two enigmatic marine alveolate lineages. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 42: 277-
20	291.
21	Guillou L, Viprey M, Chambouvet A, Welsh RM, Kirkham AR, Massana R, Scanlan D J,
22	Worden AZ. 2008. Widespread occurrence and genetic diversity of marine parasitoids
23	belonging to Syndiniales (Alveolata). Environm. Microbiol. 10: 3349–3365.

1	Herndl GJ, Reinthaler T, Teira E, van Aken H, Veth C, Pernthaler A, J Pernthaler. 2005.
2	Contribution of Archaea to Total Prokaryotic Production in the Deep Atlantic Ocean.
3	Appl. Env. Microbiol. 2303–2309.
4	Hoppenrath M, Bachvaroff TR, Handy SM, Delwiche CF and Leander BS. 2009.
5	Molecular phylogeny of ocelloid-bearing dinoflagellates (Warnowiaceae) as inferred
6	from SSU and LSU rDNA sequences. BMC Evolutionary Biology 9: 116.
7	Huber JA, Mark Welch DB, Morrison HG, Huse SM, Neal PR, Butterfield DA, Sogin
8	ML. 2007. Microbial population structures in the deep marine biosphere. Science 318:
9	97–100.
10	Karner MB, DeLong EF, Karl DM. 2001. Archaeal dominance in the mesopelagic zone
11	of the Pacific Ocean. Nature 409: 507-510.
12	Könneke M, Bernhard AE, Torre JR, Walker CB, Waterbury JB, Stahl DA. 2005.
13	Isolation of an autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing marine archaeon. Nature 437: 543-
14	546.
15	López-García P, López-López A, Moreira D, Rodríguez-Valera F. 2001b. Diversity of
16	free-living prokaryotes from a deep-sea site at the Antartic Polar Front. FEMS Microb.
17	Ecol. 1251: 1-10.
18	López-García P, Moreira D, López-López A, Rodríguez-Valera F. 2001a. A novel
19	haloarchaeal-related lineage is widely distributed in deep oceanic regions. Environ.
20	Microbiol. 3: 72-78.
21	Lovejoy C, Massana R, Pedrós-Alió C. 2006. Diversity and Distribution of Marine
22	Microbial Eukaryotes in the Arctic Ocean and Adjacent Seas. Appl. Environ.
23	Microbiol. 72: 3085-3095.

1	Massana R, DeLong EF, Pedros-Alio C. 2000. A few cosmopolitan phylotypes dominate			
2	planktonic archaeal assemblages in widely different oceanic provinces. Appl. Environ.			
3	Microbiol. 66: 1777–1787.			
4	Massana R, Murray A, Preston CM, DeLong EF. 1997. Vertical distribution and			
5	phylogenetic characterization of marine planktonic Archaea in the Santa Barbara			
6	channel. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63: 50–56.			
7	Massana R, Taylor LT, Murray AE, Wu KY, Jeffrey WH, DeLong EF. 1998. Vertica			
8	distribution and temporal variation of marine planktonic Archaea in the Gerlache			
9	Strait, Antarctica, during early spring. Limnol. Oceanogr. 43: 607-617.			
10	Massana R, Guillou L, Díez B, Pedrós-Alió C. 2002. Unveiling the Organisms behind			
11	Novel Eukaryotic Ribosomal DNA Sequences from the Ocean. Appl. Environ.			
12	Microbiol. 68: 4554-4558.			
13	Medlin L, Elwood HJ, Stickel S, Sogin ML. 1988. The characterization of enzymatically			
14	amplified eukaryotic 16S-like rRNA-coding regions. Gene 71: 491–499.			
15	Murray AE, Wu KY, Moyer CL, Karl DM, DeLong EF. 1999. Evidence for circumpolar			
16	distribution of planktonic Archaea in the Southern Ocean. Aquat. Microbiol. Ecol. 18:			
17	263–273.			
18	Not F, del Campo J, Balague' V, de Vargas C, Massana R. 2009. New Insights into the			
19	Diversity of Marine Picoeukaryotes. PLoS ONE (www.plosone.org) 4: e7143.			
20	Ovreas L, Forney L, Daae FL, Torsvik V. 1997. Distribution of bacterioplankton in			
21	meromictic Lake Saelenvannet, as determined by denaturing gradient gel			
22	electrophoresis of PCR amplified gene fragments coding for 16S rRNA. Appl.			
23	Environ. Microbiol. 63: 3367–3373.			

1	Preston CM, Wu KY, Molinski TF, DeLong EF. 1996. A psychrophilic crenarchaeon
2	inhabits a marine sponge: Cenarchaeum symbiosum gen. nov., sp. nov. Proc. Natl.
3	Acad. Sci. USA 93: 6241-6246.
4	Reysenbach A-L, Pace NR. 1995. In: Robb FT, Place AR (eds.) Archaea: A Laboratory 6
5	Manual – Thermophiles. Cold Spring Harbour Laboratory Press, New York, pp. 101-
6	107.
7	Robb FT, Sowers KR, DasSharma S, Place AR, Schreier. HJ. 1995. Thermophiles, In:
8	Fleischmann EM (ed.), Archaea: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold
9	Spring Harbor, N.Y. pp. 202-203.
10	Rodriguez-Valera F, Ruiz-Berraquero F, Ramos-Cormenzana A. 1979. Isolation of
11	extreme halophiles from seawater. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 38: 164-165.
12	Romari K, Vaulot D. 2004. Composition and temporal variability of picoeukaryote
13	communities at a coastal site of the English Channel from 18S rDNA sequences.
14	Limnol. Oceanogr. 49: 784-798.
15	Sambrook J, Russell DW. 2001. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, 3rd edn, Cold
16	Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, NY.
17	Schleper C, Jurgens G, Jonuscheit M. 2005. Genomic studies of uncultivated archaea.
18	Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 3: 479-488.
19	Silva RI, Negri RM, Lutz VA. 2009. Summer succession of ultraphytoplankton at the
20	EPEA coastal station (Northern Argentina). J. Plankton Res. 31: 447–458.
21	Stahl DA, Amann R. 1991. Development and application of nucleic acid probes in
22	bacterial systematics. In: Stackebrandt E, Goodfellow M (Eds.), Nucleic acid
23	techniques in bacterial systematics, John Wiley and Sons Ltd., Chichester, UK
24	Stein JL, Simon MI. 1996. Archaeal ubiquity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 93: 6228–6230.

1	Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S. 2007. MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
2	Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 24: 1596-1599.
3	Vetriani C, Jannasch HW, McGregor BJ, Stahl DA, Reysenbach A-L. 1999. Population
4	structure and phylogenetic characterisation of marine benthic Archaea in deep-sea
5	sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65: 4375-4384.



Figure 1. Agarose gel electrophoresis of DNA fragments amplified by PCR: a) from genomic DNA from representative surface samples collected at the Argentine Sea during spring (lane 1, in Septembrer; lane 2, in October; and lane 3, in November) using the primer pair EukA-EukB; b) from colonies of transformed *Escherichia coli* (colony-PCR) harbouring amplified DNA fragments mentioned in a). Amplification produts from samples collected in September (lanes 1-3), in October (lanes 4-6) and in November (lanes 7-9). MM, 500-bp DNA ladder (MeBep Bioscience). Arrows indicate the two amplicons obtained. The 1,460-bp fragment corresponds to archaeal rDNA sequences. DNA fragments were visualized with ethidium bromide.

- 1 **Figure 2.** RFLP patterns obtined from 1,460-bp fragments. The PCR products were
- 2 incubated with *Hae*III and the digestion products were separated by electrophoresis in
- 3 agarose gels (2.5%). DNA fragments were visualized after ethidium bromide staining.





Figure 2. Phylogenetic 16S rDNA-based tree for partial sequences showing the phylogenetic position of novel archaeal 16S rRNA sequence identified from surface water samples of the Argentine Sea. All genomic information was downloaded from the Microbial Genomes resource of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Crenarchaeota-Group I: *Pyrobaculum aerophiluml* (L07510), *Sulfolobus solfataricus* (D26490), Uncultured crenarchaeote (U63339); Korarchaeota: Unidentified korarchaeote (L25303), Korarchaeota (AF255604); Nanoarchaeota: *Nanoarchaeum*

1 equitans (AJ318041); Euriarchaeota; Other Groups: Archaeoglobus fulgidus (Y00275), 2 Pyrococcus furiosus (U20163), Thermococcus peptonophilus (D37982) Thermococcus 3 Euryarchaeota-Group IV: celericrescens (AB107768); Haladaptatus litoreus 4 (EU887285), Haloarchaeon (AB291225), Halobacter utahensis (AF071880), Uncultured 5 marine euryarchaeote (AF257279); Euryarchaeota-Group III: Uncultured archaeon 6 (AJ133621), Uncultured marine euryarchaeote (AF257278); Euryarchaeota-Group II: 7 Uncultured marine euryarchaeote (FJ002864) Uncultured marine euryarchaeote 8 (DQ156395); Uncultured marine euryarchaeote (DQ156380), Uncultured marine 9 euryarchaeote (AF257277), Uncultured marine euryarchaeote (EU650264), Unidentified 10 euryarchaeote (U78206), Uncultured marine euryarchaeote (AY856357), Uncultured 11 marine euryarchaeote (EU650240). Marine alphaproteobacteria Rhodobium marinum 12 (D30791) was used as an out group.

Table 1. Comparison of coverage of Archaea retrieved sequence-with commonly used non-degenerate Archaea primers included those for Eukarya (EukA and EukB) used at this study. Positions where each primer matches within the Archaea sequence HQ541865 are indicated.

Primer name	Sequence (5`-3`)	Commentary	Position in HQ541865 sequence of this study	Reference
Foward				
EukA	AACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGT		1	Medlin <i>et al.</i> (1988)
21F	TTCCGGTTGATCCYGCCGGA		None	DeLong (1992)
958R	YCCGGCGTTGAMTCCAATT		None	DeLong (1992)
1100A	TGGGTCTCGCTCGTTG		None	Embley <i>et al.</i> (1992)
Ab787F	ATTAGATACCCGGGTA		715	DasSarma and Fleischmann (1995)
PARCH 340f	CCCTACGGGG(C/ <i>T</i>)GCA(<i>G</i> /C)CAG	T and G to	307	Ovreas <i>et al</i> . 1997
		match		
EK4F	CTGGTTGATCCTGCCAG		3	Robb et al. (1995).
A344F	ACGGGGTGCAGCAGGCGCGA		311	Casamayor et al. (2002)
958arcF	AATTGGANTCAACGCCGG	N=T	893	Huber et al. (2007)
Reverse				
EukB	TGATCCTTCTGCAGGTTCACCTAC		1436	Medlin <i>et al.</i> (1988)
ARCH 915R	GTGCTCCCCGCCAATTCCT		849	Stahl and Amann (1991)
PARCH519r	TTACCGCGGC(G/T)GCTG	<i>G</i> to match	448	Ovreas <i>et al.</i> 1997
PREA1100r	(T/C)GGGTCTCGCTCGTT(G/A)CC		None	In Ovreas et al. 1997
1048arcRmajor	CGRCGGCCATGCACCWC	R and W=A	976	Huber et al. (2007)