Zoological Studies 50(6): 718-724 (2011)



Effects of Different Frequencies of Fire on an Epigeal Spider Community in Southern Caldenal, Argentina

Gabriel A. Pompozzi^{1,*}, F. Rodrigo Tizón², and Daniel V. Pelaéz³

¹Departamento de Biología, Bioquímica y Farmacia, San Juan 670, Univ. Nacional del Sur (UNS) (8000) Bahía Blanca, Buenos Aires, Argentina

²CERZOS (CONICET), UNS, San Andrés 850, 8000, Bahía Blanca, Buenos Aires, Argentina

³Departamento de Agronomía (UNS), CIC, CERZOS (CONICET), Altos del Palihue, (8000) Bahía Blanca, Buenos Aires, Argentina

(Accepted June 22, 2011)

Gabriel A. Pompozzi, F. Rodrigo Tizón, and Daniel V. Pelaéz (2011) Effects of different frequencies of fire on an epigeal spider community in southern Caldenal, Argentina. Zoological Studies 50(6): 718-724. Fire contributes to the selection and distribution of plant and animal species, and for a long time, its effects were considered to be negative. But recently, its role has been reinterpreted from a conservation standpoint. Fire, together with other environmental factors such as temperature and rainfall, affects the diversity and abundance of arthropods. Spiders comprise a group of potential ecological indicators, because they are diverse, abundant, and easy to sample, and they interact with their environment, potentially reflecting any ecological changes. Our goal was to assess the effects of controlled fires of different frequencies on the abundance, diversity, and composition of a community of epigeal spiders in southern Caldenal, La Pampa, Argentina. We obtained samples in May, Nov., and Dec. 2006 and Apr. 2007 in an area of approximately 12 ha. We conducted controlled burns every 3-4 and 6-7 yr since 1991, and used pitfall traps to collect specimens. Adults (554 spiders) were collected, and 56 species/morphospecies were recorded. Leprolochus birabeni Mello-Leitao 1942 (Zodariidae) was clearly the dominant species (19.9% of the total). We found significant differences in the abundances and species richness values between sampling months. However, we found no significant differences in the Shannon-Wiener (H') diversity index between burned and unburned areas. The species compositions were similar in burned and unburned areas. http://zoolstud.sinica.edu.tw/Journals/50.6/718.pdf

Key words: Araneae, Epigeic spiders, Controlled burns, South America.

Throughout the world, fire is considered an important environmental factor in many ecosystems (Whelan 1995), driving the evolution of species and vegetation dynamics in semiarid grasslands (Boó et al. 1996). Species selection and distribution, and vegetation composition are all altered by fire, thus promoting stability and the fluctuation of stages (Whelan 1995). In many cases, fire acts as a disseminator of species, and populations can recover (de Villalobos 2007). Moreover, physical, chemical, and biological features of the soil are affected by fire. The significance of such changes depends on the fire intensity and duration, and

also on the structure and moisture of the soil itself (Whelan 1995). The function of fire has been reinterpreted from the viewpoint of ecological disturbance and conservation biology (Moretti et al. 2002). Thus, an understanding of the effects of fire on the flora and fauna is important for successful management aimed at conserving biodiversity (Langlands et al. 2006).

In addition, other environmental factors, such as temperature, relative humidity, and rainfall, affect the diversity and abundance of different taxa and thus modify the ecosystem structure and composition (Giraldo et al. 2004). Many groups of

^{*}To whom correspondence and reprint requests should be addressed. Tel: 54-0291-4595130. E-mail:gabrielpompozzi@conicet.gov.ar

arthropods are used as ecological indicators due to their susceptibility to ecosystem disturbance, such as fire and pollution (Clausen 1986). Spiders comprise a group that may be useful as an ecological indicator due to their diversity, abundance, and ease of sampling (Clausen 1986, Scott et al. 2006). Also, their interactions with the environment may reflect ecological changes (Churchill 1997).

In South America, little is known about how fire affects spider communities, with only a few studies conducted in Uruguay (Ghione et al. 2007) and Brazil (Baretta et al. 2007). In Argentina, knowledge of fire's effects on spider communities is scarce. Moreover, previous studies of these communities in this country focused on ecological aspects associated with natural (Corronca and Abdala 1994, Rubio et al. 2008, Ferretti et al. 2010) and altered areas (Beltramo et al. 2006, Ávalos et al. 2007, Armendano and González 2010). Due to this gap in knowledge, the aim of this study was to analyze the effects of controlled low-intensity fires on the abundance, diversity, and composition of a community of epigeal spiders in southern Caldenal, La Pampa, Argentina.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The study site is located in southeastern La Pampa Province (Caleu Caleu), which belongs to the phytogeographical district of Caldén (38°45'S, 63°45'W), Espinal Province (Cabrera and Willink 1973) (Fig. 1). The temperate climate is semiarid, with an average annual temperature of 15.3°C and average annual rainfall of 344 mm concentrated in autumn (Mar.-June) and spring (Sept.-Dec.) (Peláez 2000). The vegetation comprises 2 layers: Prosopis caldenia Burk. and P. flexuosa DC are the dominant woody species of the deciduous spring-summer cycle (Sept.-Feb.), and there are also evergreens, such as Condalia microphylla Cav., Chuquiraga erinacea Don., and Larrea divaricata Cav. and a herbaceous layer dominated by the perennial grasses Nasella tenuis Phil., Piptochaetium napostaense (Speg) Hack., Poa ligularis Nees, Nassella clarazii Phil., Pappostipa major Speg., and Jarava ichu Phil. (Peláez 2000).

Sample design

We conducted sampling in an area of

approximately 12 ha, which was divided into 6 experimental units of 1 ha each. Many controlled burns were carried out in the area since 1991 every 3-4 (HF, high frequency) or 6-7 yr (LF, low frequency). Two experimental units were burned twice (once in 1991 and once in 1999), 2 experimental units were burned 4 times (in 1994, 1996, 1999, and 2003), and 2 experimental units remained unburnt (controls) (Fig. 1). We conducted prescribed fires in autumn (Mar.-June) under the following conditions: a temperature of 22°C, relative humidity of 32%, wind speed of 15 km/h, and with a fine load fuel (diameter < 3 mm) of 2850 kg of dry matter/ha. We collected samples in May, Nov., and Dec. 2006 and Apr. 2007. We randomly distributed pitfall traps consisting of plastic cups of 8 cm in diameter and 10 cm high (Sutherland 1996) containing propylene glycol (30%), water, detergent, and salt. We placed 10 traps in each experimental unit per sampling period for a week. We preserved collected specimens in 70% alcohol, identified them to family and species/morphospecies level,

ARGENTINA 64° 35° 68° Santa Rosa LA PAMPA Caleu-Caleu Study site J 39° 64 50 100 m HF LF HF LF С

Fig. 1. Geographic location of the study area (Caleu-Caleu Department, La Pampa Province, Argentina) and the experimental design (HF, high frequency; LF, low frequency; C, control).

and then deposited them in the Laboratorio de Pastizales Naturales del Departamento de Agronomía (Univ. Nacional del Sur). Only adult specimens were used due to the difficulties in identifying immature specimens.

Data analysis

We used analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test to determine differences in mean abundances and species richness levels between dates. We tested the normality with the Shapiro-Wilks test. We statistically analyzed the data using the resampling bootstrap (B) method on Shannon-Wiener diversity indices (H'), associated with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). This analysis considers samples of any size and does not require normality of the data (Pla and Matteucci 2001). We conducted all statistical analyses using Infostat (Infostat 2008). To determine if each month was sufficiently sampled, we used the estimator, CHAO 1 (Colwell and Coddington 1994), in the program EstimateS 8.2 (Colwell 2009). We used the Coleman approximation for rarefaction estimates of species richness, using the sample-based method provided by EstimateS 8.2 (Colwell 2009).

RESULTS

Total numbers of species and individuals

In total, we collected 554 adult spiders, including 56 species/morphospecies belonging to 20 families. Spiders were significantly more abundant in Dec. (220 individuals (ind.)) and Apr. (203 ind.) than in May (31 ind.) and Nov. (100 ind.) (F = 10.94; p = 0.0002) (Table 1). In addition, Dec. was the most speciose month (Fig. 2, Table 1). However, the species richness was lower than the value estimated by CHAO 1 in each month (Table 1). The most abundant

families were the Linyphiidae (29.7% of the total), Lycosidae (20.4%), Zodariidae (20%), Salticidae (9.8%), and Gnaphosidae (6.2%). These families represented 86.1% of the total number of spiders collected. The families with the highest number of species were the Lycosidae (9 species), Linyphiidae (8 species), Salticidae (7 species), Gnaphosidae (6 species), and Anyphaenidae (4 species). Leprolochus birabeni Mello-Leitao 1942 (Zodariidae) was the dominant species in all samples, reaching 20% (110 ind.) of all spiders captured. This study showed higher abundances at the control site (218 ind.), followed by HF treatment (193 ind.), and a lower number of spiders in the LF treatment (143 ind.). The family Linyphiidae was the most abundant in the control, followed by the Lycosidae and Zodariidae. In the HF treatment, the family Zodariidae was the most abundant, followed by the Linyphiidae and Lycosidae. The LF treatment showed the same abundance distribution of families as the control.

Diversity of spiders

The Shannon-Wiener (H') diversity indices were H' = 2.89 for the control, H' = 2.46 for HF



Fig. 2. Rarefied curve of species richness for spiders (Araneae) based on individuals in each month sampled: May, Nov., Dec., and Apr.

Table 1. Mean abundance (± standard error), species richness (no. of species/morphospecies), rarefied species richness (± standard deviation), and the CHAO 1 estimator of spiders in each month sampled in southern Caldenal, Argentina

	May 2006	Nov. 2006	Dec. 2006	Apr. 2007
Mean abundance	5.2 ± 0.95	16.7 ± 4.36	36.7 ± 6.59	33.8 ± 4.17
Species richness	17	23	33	24
Rarefied species richness	16.32 ± 0.8	19.59 ± 1.57	34.74 ± 0.50	22.56 ± 1.13
CHAO 1	28.3	27.8	46.4	32.7

treatment, and H' = 2.72 for LF treatment. We observed the highest diversity in the control in Dec. (H' = 2.32), while the lowest diversity was with HF treatment during May (H' = 0.65). We found no significant differences ($p \ge 0.05$) among the control, HF, and LF treatments in May, Nov., and Apr. We only found significant differences between the control and HF treatments in Dec. (Fig. 3).

Species composition of spiders

We observed 38 species in the controls, of which 14 were singletons (36.8%), and 8 were doubletons (21.1%). The most abundant species was Scolecura sp. (Linyphiidae) with 34 ind. (15.5%) (see Appendix I). Leprolochus birabeni and Lycosidae-sp1 each represented 15% of the control. Nine species in the control were not present in the other treatments (Fig. 4). We found 32 species in the HF treatment, including 18 singletons (56.3%) and 4 doubletons (12.5%). We recorded 8 species that were only found in the HF treatment (Fig. 4). The most abundant species was L. birabeni (29.5%), followed by Scolecura sp. (17%) and Lycosidae-sp1 (10.8%). The LF treatment comprised 31 species, including 17 singletons (54.8%) and 4 doubletons (12.9%). Scolecura sp. was the dominant species (17%),

(B) (A) 3 3 2.5 2 Shannon index 1.7 C 2.5 2 1.5 1 0.5 0.5 0-0 С HF LF С LF HF (C) (D) 3 3 2.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 1 2.5 2 1 1 1.5 1 0.5 0.5 0 0 С HF LF С HF LF

Fig. 3. Shannon-Wiener diversity index with 95% bootstrap confidence intervals (CIs) of control (C) and high- (HF) and low-frequency (LF) treatments for each date: (A) May, (B) Nov., (C) Dec., and (D) Apr. An asterisk indicates a significant difference (p < 0.05).

followed by *L. birabeni* (14.6%), *Tutaibo* sp. (Linyphiidae) (12.5%), and Lycosidae-sp1 (11.8%). This treatment included 7 species not found in the other treatment or control (Fig. 4). HF treatment and the control shared 7 species, which did not appear in the LF treatment, while the control and LF shared 7 species not present in the HF treatment. The species *Actinopus* sp. (Actinopodidae) and Araneidae-sp2 were recorded in the HF and LF treatments but not in the control (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

The species richness and abundance of epigeic spiders were higher in the control than in the burned areas, so these results might agree with those of arid or semiarid regions (Langlands et al. 2006), which exhibit similar climatic conditions as the southern Caldenal in Argentina. Conversely, in an *Eucalyptus* forest of Australia, York (1999) found a reduction in species richness and abundance of arthropods in areas with frequent fires. Brennan et al. (2006) found that there was an immediate decline in spider abundance and taxa richness following a fire, and ecosystem recovery took < 3 yr, which is fast. However, in this study, neither the spider abundance nor species richness



Fig. 4. Venn diagram representing the number of unique and shared species for each treatment: Control (C), low frequency (LF), and high frequency (HF).

increased after \geq 3 yr of burning. Reductions in the number of species and abundance in burned areas could be attributed to a decrease in litter and soil moisture, as well as simplification of the habitat structure (York 1999). The Shannon-Wiener (H') diversity index showed greater diversity in the control than in the LF and HF treatments. Since there were more species and greater abundance in the HF than LF treatment, the lowest value of H' in the HF treatment could be explained by the presence of 2 dominant species (*L. birabeni* and *Scolecura* sp.).

The families Linyphiidae and Lycosidae, that were very abundant in this study, also showed higher abundances when pitfall traps were used (Koponen 1993 2005, Moretti et al. 2002). The family Zodariidae, the 3rd most abundant, in this study, was the most abundant family in an Australian desert (Langlands et al. 2006); however, this family was not among the most dominant families in various studies carried out in Europe (Moretti et al. 2002, Urones and Majadas 2002, Koponen 2005). The abundance of the Lycosidae (20.4% compared to the Linyphiidae with 29.7% of the total) recorded in burned treatments contrasts with results obtained by Koponen (2005), who found that spiders of this family are often dominant in burned areas. However, in unburned areas, Koponen (1993) and Moretti et al. (2002) recorded the family Linyphiidae as being dominant. The dominant species in this study was not influenced by the effects of fire, as it was dominant in all experimental units (control, HF, and LF).

The abundance and richness of spiders in this study decreased after the fire, in contrast to results obtained in Europe and Canada (Koponen 1993 2005, Moretti et al. 2002, Urones and Majadas 2002). Burned sites showed greater species richness than unburned sites in forests of Switzerland, Canada, and Finland (Koponen 1993 2005, Moretti et al. 2002). Similarly, the abundance and richness of other arthropods such as the Orthoptera, increased in burned sites (Swengel 2001). Conversely in forests of Western Australia, Abbott et al. (2003) reported minimal changes in assemblage structure of the spider species composition due to logging and burning compared to controls. Also, Baretta et al. (2007) found a decrease in the spider abundance and taxa richness in burned areas in a Brazilian forest. These results are more in agreement with the findings in this study. The intensity and different frequencies of fire could be the cause of differences between this study and

others (Koponen 1993 2005, Moretti et al. 2002). Moreover, the percentages of singletons and doubletons in the HF and LF treatments showed high values, and so there may have been an underestimation of species richness in the burned areas (Scharff et al. 2003).

Moreover, the large number of particular species found in each treatment and control (between 7 and 9) indicates the need for a gradient of fire frequencies to avoid the loss of species. Heterogeneity of burning regimes could increase the biodiversity of spiders even on a small scale (Martin and Sapsis 1992). In countries with welldeveloped fire management, such as the US, Australia, and South Africa, this concept has been formalized as "patch mosaic burning" (Parr and Andersen 2006). This type of fire management creates a mosaic of patches representative of a range of fire histories so that heterogeneity is generated across space and time. The key to maintaining high diversity and conservation of endemic or rare species is evaluating the complexity of interactions among the fire regime (e.g., frequency, intensity, season, and scale), climatic variables (e.g., rainfall), and spider responses (Langlands et al. 2006).

It should be noted that controlled fires are of relatively low intensity. They are conducted within ranges of temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed that minimize escape risk and maximize the desired effects on vegetation (Wright and Bailey 1982). Furthermore, we used pitfalls traps as the capture technique; thus, the inferences in relation to the spider community may have been affected by the use of this technique. Another important factor is that we could not take any samples in other years in order to make comparisons and detect possible effects of seasonality. In any case, more-comprehensive studies are needed to improve our understanding of fire's effects on spiders in southern Caldenal, maybe with different capture techniques and sampling for a longer period than a year. However, this ecological study has produced a 1st look at the spider fauna of the southern Caldenal, being the 1st contribution from this region.

Acknowledgments: We thank N. Ferretti and R. Scoffield for their helpful comments on an early draft of the manuscript. We are appreciative of M. Maldonado for her invaluable help in the laboratory stage. C. Grismado, M. Ramírez, and L. Piacentini from Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales (MACN, Buenos Aires, Argentina) are thanked for

their help with spider identification. G.P. is a fellow of CONICET.

REFERENCES

- Abbott I, T Burbidge, K Strehlow, A Mellican, A Willis. 2003. Logging and burning impacts on cockroaches, crickets and grasshoppers, and spiders in Jarrah forest, western Australia. For. Ecol. Manage. **174:** 383-399.
- Armendano A, A González. 2010. Comunidad de arañas (Arachnida, Araneae) del cultivo de alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) en Buenos Aires, Argentina. Rev. Biol. Trop. 58: 757-767.
- Ávalos G, GD Rubio, ME Bar, A González. 2007. Arañas (Arachnida: Araneae) asociadas a dos bosques degradados del Chaco húmedo en Corrientes, Argentina. Rev. Biol. Trop. 55: 899-909.
- Baretta D, AD Brescovit, I Knysak, EJB Nogueira Cardoso. 2007. Trap and soil monolith sampled edaphic spiders (Arachnida: Araneae) in *Araucaria angustifolia* forest. Sci. Agric. (Piracicaba, Braz.) 64: 375-383.
- Beltramo J, I Bertolaccini, A González. 2006. Spiders of soybean crops in Santa Fé Province, Argentina: influence of surrounding spontaneous vegetation on lot colonization. Braz. J. Biol. 66: 891-898.
- Bóo RM, DV Peláez, SC Bunting, OR Elia, MD Mayor. 1996. Effect of fire on grasses in central semiarid Argentina. J. Arid Environ. 32: 259-269.
- Brennan KEC, L Ashby, JD Majer, ML Moir, JM Koch. 2006. Simplifying assessment of forest management practices for invertebrates: How effective are higher taxon and habitat surrogates for spiders following prescribed burning? Forest Ecology and Management. 231: 138-154.
- Cabrera AL, A Willink. 1973. Biogeografía de América Latina. Serie de Biología, Monografía N° 13. Washington DC: Programa Regional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico, Secretaría General de la OEA, 120 pp.
- Churchill T. 1997. Spiders as ecological indicators: an overview for Australia. Mem. Mus. Victoria **56:** 331-337.
- Clausen IH. 1986. The use of spiders (Araneae) as ecological indicators. Br. Arachnol. Soc. **7**: 83-86.
- Colwell RK. 2009. EstimateS: statistical estimation of species richness and shared species from samples. Vers. 8.2. User's guide and application. Available at http://purl.oclc. org/estimates Accessed 7 June 2010.
- Colwell RK, JA Coddington. 1994. Estimating terrestrial biodiversity through extrapolation. Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. Lond. Ser. B 345: 101-118.
- Corronca JA, CS Abdala. 1994. La fauna araneológica de la Reserva Ecológica "El Bagual", Formosa, Argentina. Aracnología 9: 1-6.
- de Villalobos AE, DV Peláez, RM Boó, MD Mayor, OR Elía. 2007. Effect of a postfire environment on the establishment of Prosopis caldenia seedlings in central semiarid Argentina. Austral Ecology 32: 581-591.
- Ferretti N, F Pérez-Miles, A González. 2010. Mygalomorph spiders of the Natural and Historical Reserve of Martín García Island, Río de la Plata River, Argentina. Zool. Stud. 49: 481-491.
- Ghione S, A Aisenberg, FG Costa, L Montes de Oca, F Pérez-Miles, R Postiglioni et al. 2007. Efecto de los incendios sobre la aracnofauna criptozoica (Araneae, Scorpiones y Opiliones) en una zona de serranías de Sierra de las

Animas, Maldonado, Uruguay. Bol. Soc. Zool. Uruguay **16:** 26-31.

- Giraldo A, D Pérez, G Arellano. 2004. Respuesta de la comunidad de arañas epígeas (Araneae) en las Lomas de Lachay, Perú, ante la ocurrencia del evento El Niño 1997-98. Ecol. Aplicada **3:** 45-58.
- InfoStat. 2008. InfoStat versión 2008. Grupo InfoStat, FCA, Univ. Nacional de Córdoba Córdoba, Argentina.
- Koponen S. 1993. Ground-living spiders (Araneae) one year after fire in three subarctic forest types, Québec (Canada). Mem. Queensl. Mus. 33: 575-578.
- Koponen S. 2005. Early succession of a boreal spider community after forest fire. J. Arachnol. **33:** 230-235.
- Langlands PR, K Brennan, D Pearson. 2006. Spiders, spinifex, rainfall and fire: long-term changes in an arid spider assemblage. J. Arid Environ. **67:** 36-59.
- Martin RE, DB Sapsis. 1992. Fires as agents of biodiversity: pyrodiversity promotes biodiversity. *In* HM Kerner, ed. Proceedings of the Symposium on Biodiversity in Northwestern California. Berkeley, CA: Wildland Resources Center, Univ. of California, pp. 150-157.
- Moretti M, M Conedera, P Duelli, P Edwards. 2002. The effects of wildfire on ground-active spiders in deciduous forests on the Swiss southern slope of the Alps. J. Appl. Ecol. **39**: 321-336.
- Parr CL, AN Andersen. 2006. Patch mosaic burning for biodiversity conservation: a critique of the pyrodiversity paradigm. Conserv. Biol. 20: 1610-1619.
- Peláez DV. 2000. Respuestas de gramíneas perennes nativas del Caldenal a distintas intensidades de fuego. PhD dissertation in agronomía., Univ. Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina, 108 pp.
- Pla L, SD Mateucci. 2001. Intervalos de confianza bootstrap del índice de Biodiversidad de Shannon. Rev. Facul. Agron. (LUZ) 18: 222-234.
- Rubio GD, JA Corronca, MP Damborsky. 2008. Do spider diversity and assemblages change in different contiguous habitats? A case study in the protected habitats of the Humid Chaco ecoregion, north-east Argentina. Environ. Entomol. **37**: 419-430.
- Scharff N, JA Coddington, CE Griswold, G Hormiga, PP Bjorn. 2003. When to quit? Estimating spider species richness in a northern European deciduous forest. J. Arachnol. 31: 246-273.
- Scott AG, GS Oxford, PA Selden. 2006. Epigeic spiders as ecological indicators of conservation value for peat bogs. Biol. Conserv. 27: 420-428.
- Sutherland WJ. 1996. Ecological census techniques: a handbook. Cambridge UK: Cambridge Univ. Press, 336 pp.
- Swengel AB. 2001. A literature review of insect responses to fire, compared to other conservation managements of open habitat. Biodivers. Conserv. 10: 1141-1169.
- Urones C, A Majadas. 2002. Cambios en la comunidad de Araneae durante la sucesión postfuego en matorrales mediterráneos de montaña. Rev. Ibérica Aracnol. 5: 19-28.
- Whelan RJ. 1995. The ecology of fire. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press, 346 pp.
- Wright HA, AW Bailey. 1982. Fire ecology. New York: J. Wiley and Sons, 501 pp.
- York A. 1999. Long-term effects of frequent low-intensity burning on the abundance of litter-dwelling invertebrates in coastal blackbutt forests of southeastern Australia. J. Ins. Conserv. **3:** 191-199.

Family	Species/morphospecies	Control	LF	HF	Total
Actinopodidae	Actinopus sp.	0	1	1	2
Anyphaenidae	Sanogasta sp.	1	0	1	2
Anyphaenidae	sp-2	1	3	6	10
Anyphaenidae	sp-3	1	0	0	1
Anyphaenidae	sp-4	0	0	1	1
Araneidae	sp-1	0	1	0	1
Araneidae	sn-2	0	1	1	2
Corinnidae	sp-1	0	0	1	1
Dictynidae	sp-1	1	2	1	4
Dictynidae	sp-1	0	0	1	1
Gnanhosidao	Sp-z Aff Echemus cipii	10	6	5	21
Gnaphosidae	an 2	10	1	0	21
Chaphosidae	Sp-2	2	1	0	5
Gnaphosidae	echemoldes argentinus	2	0	0	2
Gnaphosidae	sp-4	2	0	2	4
Gnaphosidae	sp-5	0	1	0	1
Gnaphosidae	sp-6	1	0	2	3
Hahniidae	sp-1	6	4	/	1/
Linyphiidae	sp-1	6	3	0	9
Linyphiidae	<i>Tutaibo</i> sp.	21	18	4	43
Linyphiidae	sp-3	2	1	0	3
Linyphiidae	Scolecura sp.	34	24	33	91
Linyphiidae	sp-5	4	3	7	14
Linyphiidae	sp-6	1	2	0	3
Linyphiidae	sp-7	1	0	0	1
Linyphiidae	sp-8	0	1	0	1
Lycosidae	sp-1	32	17	21	70
Lycosidae	Pardosa plumipedata	3	0	0	3
Lycosidae	sp-3	1	2	1	4
Lvcosidae	sp-4	0	0	1	1
Lvcosidae	Lvcosa pampeana	2	1	1	4
Lycosidae	sp-6	3	0	1	4
Lycosidae	Hogna bivittata	9	9	9	27
Lycosidae	sp-8	1	0	0	1
Lycosidae	sn-9	0	0	1	1
Nemesiidae	Diplothelonsis bonaeriensis	0	1	0	1
Philodromidae	sn-1	Õ	0	1	1
Salticidae	sp-1	10	12	18	40
Salticidae	sp-1	2	1	1	40
Salticidae	3p-2	2	0	0	-
Sallicidae	sp-3	3	1	0	3
Salticidae	5p-4	0	1	1	2
Sallicidae	sp-5	0	0	1	1
Salticidae	sp-o	2	0	1	3
Salticidae	sp-7	0	1	0	1
Scytodidae	Scytodes sp.	0	0	1	1
Sparassidae	Polybetes sp.	0	1	0	1
Theraphosidae	Plesiopelma longisternale	1	1	0	2
Theridiidae	<i>Guaraniella</i> sp.	7	1	0	8
Theridiidae	<i>Euryopis</i> sp.	3	0	2	5
Theridiidae	sp-3	1	0	0	1
Theridiidae	Thymoites sp.	0	1	0	1
Thomisidae	Misumenops sp.	2	1	2	5
Titanoecidae	Goeldia sp.	5	0	1	6
Uloboridae	sp-1	1	0	0	1
Uloboridae	sp-2	1	0	0	1
Zodariidae	Leprolochus birabeni	32	21	57	110
Total		218	143	193	554

Appendix I. List of spider families and species/morphospecies belonging to the control (C) and different fire treatments (LF, low frequency; HF, high frequency)