PLOS ONE

IGFBP3 Methylation Is a Novel Diagnostic and Predictive Biomarker in Colorectal Cancer



Lucia Perez-Carbonell¹, Francesc Balaguer², Yuji Toiyama¹, Cecilia Egoavil³, Estefania Rojas³, Carla Guarinos⁴, Montserrat Andreu⁵, Xavier Llor⁶, Antoni Castells², Rodrigo Jover⁷, C. Richard Boland¹*, Ajay Goel¹*

1 Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, Charles Sammons Cancer Center and Baylor Research Institute, Baylor University Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, United States of America, **2** Gastroenterology Department, Hospital Clinic, CIBERehd, IDIBAPS, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, **3** Department of Pathology, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Alicante, Spain, **4** Research Unit, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Alicante, Spain, **5** Gastroenterology Department, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain, **6** Department of Medicine and Cancer Center, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, United States of America, **7** Unidad de Gastroenterología, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Alicante, Alicante, Spain

Abstract

Background and Aim: Aberrant hypermethylation of cancer-related genes has emerged as a promising strategy for the development of diagnostic, prognostic and predictive biomarkers in human cancer, including colorectal cancer (CRC). The aim of this study was to perform a systematic and comprehensive analysis of a panel of CRC-specific genes as potential diagnostic, prognostic and predictive biomarkers in a large, population-based CRC cohort.

Patients and Methods: Methylation status of the SEPT9, TWIST1, IGFBP3, GAS7, ALX4 and miR137 genes was studied by quantitative bisulfite pyrosequencing in a population-based cohort of 425 CRC patients.

Results: Methylation levels of all genes analyzed were significantly higher in tumor tissues compared to normal mucosa (p< 0.0001); however, cancer-associated hypermethylation was most frequently observed for *miR137* (86.7%) and *IGFBP3* (83%) in CRC patients. Methylation analysis using the combination of these two genes demonstrated greatest accuracy for the identification of colonic tumors (sensitivity 95.5%; specificity 90.5%). Low levels of *IGFBP3* promoter methylation emerged as an independent risk factor for predicting poor disease free survival in stage II and III CRC patients (HR = 0.49, 95% CI: 0.28–0.85, p = 0.01). Our results also suggest that stage II & III CRC patients with high levels of *IGFBP3* methylation do not benefit from adjuvant 5FU-based chemotherapy.

Conclusion: By analyzing a large, population-based CRC cohort, we demonstrate the potential clinical significance of *miR137* and *IGFBP3* hypermethylation as promising diagnostic biomarkers in CRC. Our data also revealed that *IGFBP3* hypermethylation may serve as an independent prognostic and predictive biomarker in stage II and III CRC patients.

Citation: Perez-Carbonell L, Balaguer F, Toiyama Y, Egoavil C, Rojas E, et al. (2014) *IGFBP3* Methylation Is a Novel Diagnostic and Predictive Biomarker in Colorectal Cancer. PLoS ONE 9(8): e104285. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104285

Editor: Hassan Ashktorab, Howard University, United States of America

Received April 4, 2014; Accepted July 7, 2014; Published August 15, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Perez-Carbonell et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The present work was supported by grant R01 CA72851 and CA181572 from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health and funds from the Baylor Research Institute. Lucia Perez-Carbonell is a recipient of a 2012 post-doctoral grant from Fundación Alfonso Martín Escudero. Francesc Balaguer is a recipient of a grant from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (P10/00384). CIBEREHD is funded by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III. Carla Guarinos is a recipient of a grant from the Generalitat Valenciana (ValI+D Acif/2010/018). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: Ajay Goel is a PLOS ONE Editorial Board Member. This does not alter the authors' adherence to PLOS ONE Editorial policies and criteria.

* Email: ajay.goel@baylorhealth.edu (AG); rickbo@baylorhealth.edu (CRB)

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common cancers in Western countries, and is the second leading cause of cancerrelated deaths in adults [1]. Accumulating evidence indicates that epigenetic changes play an essential role in the pathogenesis of CRC. Aberrant DNA methylation represents one of the most studied epigenetic alteration, and it is well understood that tumor suppressor genes are frequently silenced by methylation of CpG islands which commonly reside in the 5' regions of approximately half of all human genes [2,3,4]. Transcriptional silencing of tumor suppressor genes has emerged as an important step in the step-wise process of colorectal carcinogenesis. Epigenetic alterations, particularly DNA hypermethylation, can be used for the early detection of premalignant lesions, including adenomatous polyps in the colon, and is present in the non-neoplastic tissues adjacent to adenomatous polyps and cancers in the colon [5,6]. In addition to their use as diagnostic biomarkers, epigenetic changes show promise as determinants of cancer prognosis, as well as biomarkers for response to specific chemotherapies [7,8].

In CRC, systematic genome-wide approaches have identified several genes that show tumor-specific promoter hypermethylation



Figure 1. Comparison between levels of methylation in primary tumors vs. normal colonic mucosa. Bisulfite pyrosequencing results for methylation of *SEPT9* (A), *TWIST1* (B), *IGFBP3* (C), *ALX4* (D), *GAS7* (E) and *miR137* (F) genes comparing normal mucosa from healthy controls (N–N) and primary tumors from CRC patients. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104285.g001

that can potentially be developed into clinically relevant diagnostic, prognostic and predictive biomarkers. In the context of CRC, several such biomarkers have recently been described as potentially promising diagnostic biomarkers, including: SEPT9 (Septin 9), a member of the septin family involved in cytokinesis and cell cycle control [9,10,11]; ALX4 (Homeobox protein aristaless-like 4), a transcription factor involved in skull and limb development [12,13]; TWIST1 (Twist homolog 1), an antiapoptotic and prometastatic transcription factor [14,15]; IGFBP3 (Insulin-like grown factor binding protein 3), a member of the insulin-like growth factor binding protein family [16]; GAS7 (growth arrestspecific 7), which plays a putative role in neuronal development [17,18]; and miR137, a non-coding microRNA which is embedded in a CpG island [17,19,20,21]. However, to date, none of these methylation markers have undergone a systematic validation in a large cohort of CRC patients to fully ascertain their potential as clinically useful diagnostic, prognostic or predictive biomarkers. For this reason, we aimed at exploring the diagnostic, prognostic and predictive value of SEPT9, TWIST1, ALX4, IGFBP3, GAS7, and miR137 promoter hypermethylation in a large, well-characterized, population-based CRC cohort. Furthermore, we examined associations between the methylation status of individual markers and their combination with the clinicopathological features in these primary CRCs, and for the first time report that IGFBP3 hypermethylation is a promising diagnostic and predictive biomarker in CRC patients.

Material and Methods

Patients

This study included 425 CRC patients that were enrolled as part of the Epicolon-I project, which is a population-based trial of CRC as described previously [22,23,24]. Since this study aimed to determine the prognostic and predictive potential of methylation biomarkers, the patient specimens included in this study were randomly selected from a previously described cohort of patients for whom follow-up data were available [7,25,26]. Demographic, clinical, and tumor-related characteristics of probands, as well as a detailed family history, were obtained using a previously established questionnaire [22]. Clinicopathological and molecular features of patients included in those studies are described in **Table S1.** The study was approved by the ethics committee of all participating hospitals in the EPICOLON cohort (Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid; Hospital Clinic, Barcelona; Hospital Clínico Universitario, Zaragoza; Hospital Cristal-Pinor, Complexo Hospitalario de Ourense; Parc de Salut Mar, Barcelona; Hospital Donostia, CIBERehd, University of Country Basque, San Sebastian; Hospital General Universitario de Alicante; Hospital General de Granollers; Hospital General de Vic; Hospital General Universitario de Guadalajara and Fundación para la Formación e Investigación Sanitarias Murcia; Hospital General Universitario de Valencia), and written informed consent was obtained from each patient. The promoter methylation status of six genes (SEPT9, TWIST1, IGFBP3, GAS7, ALX4 and miR-137) was analyzed in all 425 CRC patients, as well as in normal colonic mucosa from 21 healthy individuals with a normal colonoscopy.



IGFBP3 Methylation and Chemotherapy Response Colorectal Cancer

Figure 2. Levels of methylation in normal colonic mucosa and crc tumors from all tnm stage patients. Bisulfite pyrosequencing results of methylation of *sept9* (a), *twist1* (b), *igfbp3* (c), *alx4* (d), *gas7* (e) and *mir137* (f) comparing normal mucosa from healthy patients (n-n) and primary tumors from crc patients of all tnm stages i–iv. n (number of subjects); median of methylation (%). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104285.g002

DNA extraction and bisulfite modification

Genomic DNA was extracted from paraffin-embedded tumor tissue (FFPE) specimens in the Epicolon-I study. Under supervision of the study pathologist, tissue sections were carefully examined, tumor-enriched regions identified and carefully dissected for DNA extraction. Following paraffin removal by xylene, DNA was isolated using QiaAmp DNA Mini kits, as per the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The resultant genomic DNA was modified with sodium-bisulfite using the EZ Methylation Gold Kit (Zymo Research, Orange, CA).

DNA Methylation analysis

Bisulfite pyrosequencing was performed for quantitative methylation analysis as previously described (**Figure S1**) [7,25,26].

Table 1. Performance Characteristics Of Me	ylation Markers For The	Identification Of Colorectal Ca	ancer
--	-------------------------	---------------------------------	-------

Gene(s)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)	Accuracy (%)
SEPT9	70.5	95.2	99.6	16.4	65.8
TWIST1	75.6	93.8	99.6	14.7	69.3
IGFBP3	83.0	100.0	100.0	26.3	83.0
GAS7	42.9	94.4	99.4	7.3	37.3
ALX4	61.1	100.0	100.0	13.4	61.6
miR137	87.8	90.5	99.3	31.1	78.3
miR137+ <i>lGFBP3</i>	95.5	90.5	99.3	59.4	86.0
TWIST1+IGFBP3	92.2	90.5	99.3	45.2	82.7
<i>TWIST1</i> +miR137	92.8	85.7	98.9	45.0	78.5
miR137+IGFBP3+TWIST1	98.7	93.3	99.3	87.5	92.0

PPV, Positive Predictive Value; NPV, Negative Predictive Value.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104285.t001

I



Figure 3. Correlation between primary tumor methylation and age of the crc patients. linear regression analysis comparing tumor methylation of *sept9* (a), *twist1* (b), *igfbp3* (c), *alx4* (d), *gas7* (e) and *mir137* (f) vs age of crc patients (years). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104285.g003

Primers used were designed using the PyroMark 1.0 design software, and pyrosequencing assays were run on the bisulfitemodified DNA (**Table S2 and Information S1**). Mean percentage methylation for all the CpG sites in each assay was calculated for each gene/marker. Methylation cut-off values were determined for each marker based on the average methylation levels observed in normal colonic mucosa from healthy individuals +2 standard deviations. Additional confirmatory methylation analysis for *IGFBP3* was also undertaken by a quantitative MSP (qMSP) assay for the promoter/exon1 CpG island using the primers and PCR conditions as previously described [27].

CpG Island Methylator Phenotype (CIMP) and Microsatellite Instability (MSI) status

The CIMP status of the CRC samples from the Epicolon-I cohort was previously determined using bisulfite pyrosequencing of the CIMP markers *CACNAG1*, *SOCS1*, *RUNX3*, *NEUROG1*, and *MLH1*, as reported previously [7]. A CRC was considered CIMP-positive if at least 3/5 promoters were methylated [7]. Microsatellite instability (MSI) testing was performed using BAT26 and NR24 quasimonomorphic markers as previously described [28]. Tumors were classified as MSI-positive when either marker was mutated, and were deemed microsatellite stable (MSS) when neither marker demonstrated any evidence for genetic instability.

BRAF mutation

Presence of the V600E BRAF mutation in CRC samples was detected using TaqMan probes and an ABI Prism 7500 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), with allelic discrimination, as previously described [29].

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are reported as mean + standard deviation (SD), while categorical variables are cited as frequency or percentages. Statistical differences of baseline characteristics between groups were analyzed using the χ^2 test for categorical data, followed by application of Yates' correction and the Mann-Whitney U test for quantitative data analysis. The primary outcomes of this study were overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS). Analyses of both outcomes were performed for the entire CRC cohort, and separately in the subset of stage II and III CRC patients in order to specifically evaluate the effect of methylation status on the prognosis and response to adjuvant chemotherapy. OS was defined as the time from enrollment to death, and DFS was defined as the time from enrollment to death from any cause or the first relapse. Data on OS and DFS were censored at 1100 days from the date of cancer diagnosis. CRC tumors were categorized into high and low methylation status groups using Receiver Operating Characteristics curve (ROC) analysis. Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed to estimate the distributions of DFS and OS in stage II and III patients. Survival distributions were compared using the log rank test. A multivariate analysis for determination of hazard ratios for death or tumor recurrence was performed using several variables, including, lymph node metastasis, TNM stage, MMR status, tumor differentiation grade, age and adjuvant chemotherapy. Further analyses were performed using Cox's proportional hazards regression in a stepwise manner to test the effect of methylation status of a given gene/marker with DFS and its interaction with chemotherapy. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for death were computed using Cox survival modeling. All reported p values are two sided, and p values <0.05 were considered significant. All calculations were performed using SPSS 10.0 or GraphPad Prism 4.0 statistical software.



Figure 4. Correlation between colon mucosa methylation and age of healthy controls. linear regression analysis comparing tumor methylation of *sept9* (a), *twist1* (b), *igfbp3* (c), *alx4* (d), *gas7* (e) and *mir137* (f) vs age of the healthy control patients (years). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104285.g004

Results

In our series of 425 CRC cases, the mean \pm SD age of the patients was 70.4 \pm 11 years, and there were more male patients than females (252/425; 60.3%). Of the 425 tumors, 117 (27.9%) were located in the proximal colon, 151 (36.2%) were in the distal colon, and 150 (35.9%) were located in the rectum. Approximately 12.8% of the cases were stage I, 33.7% stage II, 39.7% stage III, and 13.7% stage IV tumors. We found that 35/425 (8.2%) CRCs were MSI, 90/303 (29.7%) were CIMP-positive, and 21/191 (10.9%) harbored a somatic V600E mutation in the *BRAF* gene. As expected, the CIMP-positive phenotype was more frequently associated with MSI and the presence of *BRAF* V600E mutation (22.3% vs. 2.3%, p<0.0001 for the MSI phenotype, and 31% vs. 1.5%, p<0.0001 for the *BRAF* V600E mutation).

Diagnostic significance of methylation markers in CRC

The mean methylation levels for each gene in primary CRC tissues were: 23.8% for *SEPT9*, 50.5% for *TWIST1*, 44.9% for *IGFBP3*, 50.2% for *GAS7*, 36.5% for *ALX4*, and 35.3% for *miR137*. As shown in **Figure 1**, methylation levels of each gene were significantly higher in CRC versus normal mucosa from healthy subjects (p<0.0001 to p<0.0005 for all comparisons). These results demonstrate the cancer-specificity for hypermethylation of these genes and establish a rationale for their exploitation as potential diagnostic biomarkers for CRC, considering that all 6 markers demonstrated hypermethylation in all stages of CRCs (**Figure 2**).

In order to assess the performance of each methylation marker individually for CRC diagnosis, methylation results were analyzed as a categorical variable. Accordingly, each gene was classified as methylated when mean methylation levels were higher than 7.1% for *SEPT9*, 35.7% for *TWIST*, 27.5% for *IGFBP3*, 53.5% for GAS7, 28.5% for ALX4, and 11.9% for miR137. Based upon these analyses, the most frequently methylated markers in CRC tissues were the miR-137 gene (302/344, 87.7%), followed by IGFBP3 (289/348, 83%), TWIST1 (269/356, 75.6%), SEPT9 (244/346, 70.5%), ALX4 (214/350, 61.1%), and GAS7 (164/378, 43.3%). To further assess whether a combination of markers would further enhance the diagnostic accuracy of the assay, we analyzed combination marker panels and found that the miR137+ IGFBP3 combination yielded an diagnostic accuracy of 86%, followed by TWIST1+IGFBP3 (82.7%) and TWIST1+miR137 (78.5%). Furthermore, we found that the combination of miR137+ IGFBP3+TWIST1 methylation had the highest diagnostic accuracy, 92%, as shown in **Table 1**.

Clinicopathological features associated with methylation biomarkers

We next investigated the relationship between various clinicopathological and molecular features and their association with aberrant methylation of all six genes analyzed in this study. The clinicopathological variables included age, gender, TNM staging and tumor location, and the molecular factors included the MMR status, CIMP phenotype and the *BRAF* mutational status. Tumor location and TNM stages did not associate with the methylation status of any of the gene markers. Curiously, *SEPT9* methylation was significantly associated with male gender (p<0.05; **Table S3**). When methylation was analyzed as a continuous variable, we observed a trend for higher methylation of *TWIST1*, *IGFBP3*, *ALX4*, and *GAS7* (p<0.05) and older patients with CRC; however, we did not find a positive correlation between normal colonic mucosa methylation in these genes and age in healthy individuals (**Figures 3 & 4**).

 MSI Status ('	% methylation)		CIMP Status (%	methylation)		BRAF Mutation (% methylation)	
Mean + SD			Mean + SD			Mean + SD		
MSI	MSS	P-value*	Positive	Negative	P-value*	Mutated	WT	P-value*
20.9 + 18.9	24.5 ± 20.6	NS	24.5 + 20.5	25.3 + 21.4	NS	17.1 + 14.3	23.4 + 20.8	NS
34.6 + 20.2	36.6 + 19.5	NS	44.5 ± 17.6	35.8 + 18.3	<0.0001	51.8 + 6.2	35.8 + 17.7	< 0.001
40.3 + 51.1	51.0 + 18.5	< 0.05	53.4 ± 17.2	50.2 + 17.2	NS	52.3 + 12.6	51.6 + 17.4	NS
47.5 + 18.7	44.8 + 17.3	NS	51.4 ± 17.2	43.5 + 17.2	<0.001	54.5 + 13.1	43.7 + 17.7	< 0.05
49.9 + 17.9	48.3 + 17.0	NS	56.0 + 10.1	48.6 + 15.5	<0.0001	54.1 + 13.7	49.1 + 15.9	NS
38.4 + 21.6	35.1 + 17.9	NS	43.1 ± 15.4	34.4 + 17.7	<0.001	42.2 + 14.4	35.4 + 17.7	NS

no significan S: vpe: N ¥ phenotype; nethvlator p slar Cimp: cpg stable. mss: microsatellite instability: Standard deviation; l; mmr: mismatch repair; msi: microsatellite doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104285.t002 ä

IGFBP3 Methylation and Chemotherapy Response Colorectal Cancer

With regards to molecular associations, *TWIST1* methylation levels were higher in MSS compared to MSI CRCs (51% vs 40.3%; p<0.05) (**Table 2**). Methylation of four genes, *ALX4*, *IGFBP3*, *GAS7*, and *miR137*, was significantly correlated with CIMP-positive tumors (p<0.001). Furthermore, as shown in **Table 2**, CRCs with *ALX4* and *IGFBP3* methylation were more frequently associated with a somatic *BRAF* V600E mutation (13.5% vs. 1.8%, p<0.05 for *ALX4*, and 12.4% vs. 0%, p<0.05 for *IGBFP3*).

Aberrant hypermethylation of genes and its influence on CRC patient prognosis

The median follow-up for the series of 425 patients included in this study was 1268 days (3.4 years; range 0–2204 days). At the end of the follow-up period, 169 patients had died (39.7%), and the median follow-up for this group was 671 ± 513 days (1.8 ± 1.4 years). Information regarding the cause of death was available in 99 patients; 67.7% (67/99) died due to complications of tumor progression, 18.2% (18/99) died due to complications of chemotherapy, and 14.1% (14/99) succumbed to death from other causes, including post-operative complications. Tumor recurrence was observed in 116/370 patients (31.3%), with a median time duration of 644 ± 448 days (1.7 ± 1.2 years) post-surgery.

To determine the prognostic significance of the methylation status for each gene, all CRCs were categorized into two groups based on their methylation levels (high versus low), and the doseresponse relationship between the degree of methylation and event-free survival was examined by ROC curve analysis. Overall, high levels of methylation of the GAS7 (prognosis cut-off value = 52.62%; high-methylation: 43.3%, low-methylation: 56.7%; χ^2 p = 0.03) and ALX4 genes (prognosis cut-off value = 25.8%; high-methylation: 67.1%, low-methylation: 32.9%; χ^2 p = 0.005) associated with poor DFS in CRC patients (data not shown). In contrast, low levels of IGFBP3 methylation demonstrated an association with significantly worse DFS according to unadjusted analysis (prognosis cut-off value = 53.1%; highmethylation: 30.9%, low-methylation: 69.1%; χ^2 p = 0.01; Figures 5A and B). Following multivariate Cox regression analysis where multiple variables were analyzed, only low levels of IGFBP3 methylation emerged as an independent risk factor for poor DFS in stage II and III CRC patients (HR = 0.49, 95% CI: 0.28-0.85, p<0.01). Furthermore, the methylation status of the IGFBP3 gene was the only statistically significant risk factor for stage II CRC, after adjustment for other prognostic factors such as age, adjuvant 5-FU (5-Fluorouracil) chemotherapy or MSI status (HR = 0.28, 95% CI: 0.12–0.70, p = 0.006; **Table 3**).

Aberrant gene hypermethylation and its influence on patient survival following chemotherapy treatment

Chemotherapeutic treatment was given to 257/425 patients (60.5%), mainly using 5FU+leucovorin (236; 91.8% of patients). Among the entire cohort, 315 patients (74.1%) had either a stage II or III disease, and 155 (49.2%) of these received 5FU-based adjuvant chemotherapy. Only 9 stage II and III patients received other chemotherapeutic treatments, and were excluded from further analysis. After a median follow-up of 1221 days (3.3 years), 92 (31.2%) patients with stage II and III showed tumor recurrence, and by the end of the follow-up period, 101 (34.2%) patients from these groups had died.

Adjuvant chemotherapy provided a significant improvement in OS in stage II and III CRC patients compared to patients who did not receive chemotherapy (chemotherapy: 49.1%, no-chemother-



Figure 5. Relationship between igfbp3 methylation and prognostic and predictive response in stage ii and iii crc patients. (a) Disease-free survival of patients with stage ii and iii crc, according to *igfbp3* methylation status (high methylation, n = 73, (30.3%) low methylation, n = 168, (69.7%). (b) Disease-free survival of patients with stage ii disease, according to *igfbp3* methylation (high methylation, n = 45, (32.4%); low methylation, n = 94, (67.6%). (c) Disease-free survival of patients with stage ii and iii disease, in high *igfbp3* methylation tumors based on adjuvant chemotherapy (chemotherapy, n = 20, (22.5%); no chemotherapy (Chemotherapy n = 83, (52.7%); NO Chemotherapy, n = 74, (47.2%). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104285.q005

apy: 50.9%; χ^2 p = 0.0001). These differences in OS were also present in multivariate analysis which controlled for age, gender, lymph node metastasis, MMR, and CIMP phenotype status (HR = 0.55, 95% CI: 0.36–0.85, p = 0.007).

Interestingly, among all 6 methylation markers investigated, only *IGFBP3* methylation levels were predictive of the response to 5FU-based chemotherapy. According to our results, patients with low *IGFBP3* methylation levels had longer OS (p = 0.0007) and DFS (p = 0.05) when they received chemotherapy. Conversely, in patients with high *IGFBP3* methylation, adjuvant chemotherapy did not improve OS (p = 0.4) or DFS (p = 0.3; **Figure 5C and D**). Using multivariate Cox regression analysis (**Table 4**), in patients with low-*IGFBP3* methylation, adjuvant chemotherapy independently predicted better OS (HR = 0.49, 95%CI: 0.29–0.80, p = 0.004); however, it did not significantly influence DFS

(p = 0.08). On the other hand, MMR status was the only variable that independently predicted OS (p = 0.05) and DFS (p = 0.04) in patients with high *IGFBP3* methylation (**Table 4**). In the patient cohort treated with 5-FU adjuvant chemotherapy, *IGFBP3* methylation status did not independently predict OS and DFS. In contrast, DFS was positively affected by *IGFBP3* methylation status in patients who did not receive adjuvant chemotherapy (HR = 0.41, 95% CI: 0.18–0.91, p = 0.02).

Discussion

In the recent years, a number of promising gene methylationbased diagnostic biomarkers including *SEPT9*, *TWIST 1*, *IGFBP3*, *GAS7*, *ALX4*, and *miR137* have been proposed for the early detection of colorectal neoplasia. However, the majority of these markers have been confined to initial small-scale discovery Table 3. Multivariate analysis of disease-free survival based on IGFBP3 promoter methylation in stage II and III CRC patients.

Stage II and III CRC Patients	Hazard Ratios (HR)	95% CI	P-value
Tumor size (T3/4 vs. T1/2)	1.479	0.46-4.69	0.5
Lymph Node metastasis (Positive vs. Negative)	1.605	1.01–2.54	0.04
MMR Status (MSI vs. MSS)	0.739	0.26-2.06	0.5
Differentiation Grade (Poor vs. Well/Moderate)	0.86	0.34–2.16	0.7
IGFBP3 Methylation (High vs. Low)	0.479	0.26-0.87	0.01
Stage II CRC Patients	Hazard Ratios (HR)	95% CI	P-value
Age (> Median vs. < Median)	0.774	0.36–1.70	0.5
Serosal invasion (Yes vs. No)	1.485	0.62–3.51	0.3
Chemotherapy (Yes vs. No)	0.61	0.27–1.36	0.2
MMR Status (MSI vs. MSS)	0.612	0.13-2.73	0.5
Differentiation Grade (Poor vs. Well/Moderate)	0.548	0.20–1.47	0.2
IGFBP3 Methylation (High vs. Low)	0.28	0.12-0.70	0.006

CI: Confidence interval; mmr: mismatch repair; msi: microsatellite instability; mss: microsatellite stable.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104285.t003

studies, and have not been developed into clinical practice as they have not been systematically validated in large, independent cohorts of CRC patients. In view of this gap in knowledge, we designed this study to confirm the diagnostic potential of these biomarkers, and to ascertain their prognostic and predictive values in CRC. In addition, we were interested in examining the associations between aberrant hypermethylation of these genes and clinicopathological features by analyzing a large, wellcharacterized, population-based cohort of CRC patients.

Based on our quantitative methylation analysis, all six genes interrogated fulfilled the criteria for potential clinical use as diagnostic biomarkers of CRC. These criteria included: a) the methylation of each gene occurred in a tumor-specific manner; b) the methylation levels of each gene in neoplastic tissues were significantly higher than in normal colonic mucosa; and c) none of the genes showed an age-dependent increase in DNA methylation in normal colonic mucosa [30,31]. Methylation levels of each gene allowed us to classify colorectal tissues as normal or neoplastic. Among all markers investigated, *IGFBP3* and *miR137* methylation levels showed the highest diagnostic accuracy as individual gene markers for the identification of primary CRCs (83% and 78.3%, respectively). This diagnostic accuracy was further improved by combining the methylation status of two gene markers; in particular, the methylation status of *IGFBP3+TWIST1* or *IGFBP3+miR137* had the highest accuracy

Table 4. Multivariate analysis of disease-free survival and overall survival in stage ii and iii crc patients and *igfbp3* promoter methylation status.

	DEC			05		
	DF3					
	HR	95%Cl	P-value	HR	95%Cl	P-value
High-IGFBP3 Methylation Group						
Age (>65, vs. <65)	1.697	0.66-4.35	0.3	1.218	0.49-3.02	0.7
Gender (Male vs. Female)	1.802	0.91-3.55	0.08	1.457	0.77-2.74	0.2
MMR Status (MSI vs MSS)	0.371	0.14-0.98	0.04	0.391	0.14-1.02	0.05
Lymph Node Metastasis (positive vs. negative)	0.666	0.31-1.41	0.3	0.98	0.59–1.66	0.9
CIMP (positive vs. negative)	1.141	0.57–2.26	0.7	1.443	0.74-2.82	0.3
Adjuvant Chemotherapy (yes vs. no)	0.721	0.35-1.47	0.4	0.52	0.25-1.05	0.07
Low-IGFBP3 Methylation Group						
Age (>65 vs. <65)	0.995	0.45-2.16	0.9	1.135	0.66-1.92	0.6
Gender (Male vs. Female)	0.897	0.6–1.34	0.6	0.901	0.6–1.34	0.6
MMR Status (MSI vs. MSS)	0.65	0.29–1.33	0.2	0.593	0.27-1.26	0.2
Lymph Node Metastasis (positive vs. negative)	1.372	0.91-2.05	0.1	1.429	1.05-1.93	0.02
CIMP (positive vs. negative)	0.828	0.48-1.41	0.5	0.844	0.49-1.43	0.5
Adjuvant Chemotherapy (yes vs. no)	0.655	0.41-1.05	0.08	0.489	0.29-0.80	0.004

DFS: Disease-free survival; os: overall survival; hr: hazard ratio; ci : confidence interval; mmr: mismatch repair; msi: microsatellite instability; mss: microsatellite stable; cimp: cpg island methylator phenotype.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104285.t004



Figure 6. Comparison between methylation levels within igfbp3 promoter in crc tissues. (a) Schematic overview of the insulin-like grown factor binding protein 3 (igfbp3) gene. exons are represented by blue boxes, introns with blue lines, and the promoter region by blue dashed lines. the *igfbp3* gene has one cytosine-phosphate-guanine (cpg) island at in the promoter region and exon1 underscoring the potential of modulating gene expression by means of cpg hypermethylation, and this is represented by a green box. we performed two different assays covering both cpgs islands (indicated by green arrows) using two quantitative methods: pyrosequencing and qmsp in order to correlate methylation levels of these two locations. (b) Linear regression analysis comparing *igfbp3* methylation levels by pyrosequencing (% methylation) in 348 patient samples and qmsp (pmr) assays in crc tissues (n = 197). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104285.g006

cy values of 86% and 82.7% respectively, with sensitivity and specificity values > 80%.

We investigated the molecular features associated with tumor methylation levels of these genes. This was of particular interest as molecular classification based on MSI and CIMP status has become increasingly important [32] for its prognostic [33,34,35] and predictive role in CRC patients [7,34,36]. In this study, four of the six genes were positively associated with the CIMP phenotype (ALX4, IGFBP3, GAS7, and miR137). Furthermore, a positive correlation between BRAF V600E mutation and methylation of the ALX4 and IGFBP3 genes was associated with CIMP-high in CRC [37,38]. As expected, methylation of the ALX4 and IGFBP3 genes was associated with the presence of the BRAF gene mutation. TWIST1 methylation levels were higher in patients with MMR-proficient tumors compared to MSI tumors; however, in our cohort, only a small proportion of CRCs were MMR-deficient (5%), and the correlations between MMR status and methylation markers may have been lost when analyzing the group as a whole.

In stage II and III CRC patients who have undergone potentially curative resection, prognosis depends on disease recurrence, which is primarily associated with distant metastasis. In addition, the benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy, especially for stage II CRC patients has remained an area of controversy and chemotherapeutic resistance remains a major problem in these patients. Therefore, the discovery of novel prognostic and predictive biomarkers that can help identify stage II and III patients that are at high risk of recurrence and metastasis, may improve the current strategy for CRC patient stratification and therapy management. To the best of our knowledge, no previous study has examined the relationship between methylation of the SEPT9, IGFBP3, TWIST1, GAS7, ALX4, and miR137 genes with prognosis in patients with CRC, as well as the ability of these biomarkers to predict response to 5-FU-based adjuvant chemotherapy. Based upon our results in this large, well-characterized population-based cohort, stage II and III CRC patients with low levels of IGFBP3 promoter methylation had poorer OS and DFS compared to those with high levels of DNA hypermethylation. With regards to response to chemotherapy, when 5-FU based adjuvant chemotherapy was administered to stage II and III CRC patients, only those patients with low levels of IGFBP3-methylated tumors were positively affected, resulting in longer DFS and OS times, indicating that the benefit of chemotherapy was limited to this group of CRC patients. Curiously, this effect of IGFBP3 methylation was independent of CIMP status. Nevertheless it is important to point out that although IGFBP3 methylation status emerged as the only independent factor that predicted poor DFS probability among stage II CRC patients, additional independent clinical studies are required to prove that IGFBP3 methylation levels in CRC could represent a potential routine test for prognostication of CRC patients, and in improving the management of patients with localized disease in combination with current [21,44],

clinicopathological and molecular tools. Other studies have observed a relationship between high levels of IGFBP3 methylation and poor clinical outcome in lung and ovarian cancers [39,40], and more recently in CRC patients [27]. Discrepant reports using methylation markers may be due to the use of different methodologies and the analysis of different sequence locations within CpG Islands. In this study, we used bisulfite pyrosequencing for methylation analysis, which is a reliable quantitative approach for robust DNA methylation analysis compared to the conventional Methylation Specific PCR (MSP), which lacks the quantification provided by bisulfate pyrosequencing [27,39,40]. In addition, to further ensure the accuracy of our methylation results, we also conducted a quantitative-MSP (qMSP) analysis within the CpG island region previously studied in CRC [41]. As shown in Figure 6, we confirmed that our bisulfite pyrosequencing methylation results were positively correlated to the results obtained within the CpG island region previously studied [27,39,40].

Although there are some differences between this study and previously published studies, we believe this work is more robust and comprehensive, as ours employs the largest patient cohort, is population-based, and includes a control group of non-treated CRC patients for comparison. The biological role and timing of IGFBP3 methylation in CRC is poorly understood; however, recent in vitro studies have reported that methylation-induced silencing of IGFBP3 may lead to significant resistance to cisplatin treatment in lung cancer [42,43]. Conversely, it is also possible that this event is merely a consequence of CIMP, and IGFBP3 methylation consequently serves as a surrogate marker of this phenotype and may be an important determinant of poor responses to 5-FU-based adjuvant chemotherapy in CRC, as previously shown by our laboratory [7]. It is very likely that IGFBP3 methylation alone may not provide requisite sensitivity and specificity for a clinically viable test, and inclusion of additional biomarkers may further improve the clinical significance of this biomarker. Although work from our laboratory and other laboratories have previously provided data for the functional

References

- Smith RA, Cokkinides V, Brooks D, Saslow D, Brawley OW (2010) Cancer screening in the United States, 2010: a review of current American Cancer Society guidelines and issues in cancer screening. CA Cancer J Clin 60: 99–119.
- Kondo Y, Issa JP (2004) Epigenetic changes in colorectal cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev 23: 29–39.
- Ushijima T (2005) Detection and interpretation of altered methylation patterns in cancer cells. Nat Rev Cancer 5: 223–231.
- Petko Z, Ghiassi M, Shuber A, Gorham J, Smalley W, et al. (2005) Aberrantly methylated CDKN2A, MGMT, and MLH1 in colon polyps and in fecal DNA from patients with colorectal polyps. Clin Cancer Res 11: 1203–1209.
- Ibrahim AE, Arends MJ, Silva AL, Wyllie AH, Greger L, et al. (2011) Sequential DNA methylation changes are associated with DNMT3B overexpression in colorectal neoplastic progression. Gut 60: 499–508.
- Regueiro CR (2005) AGA Future Trends Committee report: Colorectal cancer: a qualitative review of emerging screening and diagnostic technologies. Gastroenterology 129: 1083–1103.
- Jover R, Nguyen TP, Perez-Carbonell L, Zapater P, Paya A, et al. (2011) 5-Fluorouracil adjuvant chemotherapy does not increase survival in patients with CpG island methylator phenotype colorectal cancer. Gastroenterology 140: 1174–1181.
- Min BH, Bae JM, Lee EJ, Yu HS, Kim YH, et al. (2011) The CpG island methylator phenotype may confer a survival benefit in patients with stage II or III colorectal carcinomas receiving fluoropyrimidine-based adjuvant chemotherapy. BMC Cancer 11: 344.
- Lofton-Day C, Model F, Devos T, Tetzner R, Distler J, et al. (2008) DNA methylation biomarkers for blood-based colorectal cancer screening. Clin Chem 54: 414–423.

role of miR-137 and IGFBP3 methylation in colorectal cancer [21,44], additional *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* studies in this regard may further facilitate in gathering a better understanding of the biological roles of these biomarkers in colorectal carcinogenesis.

In summary, this study performed a comprehensive analysis of a large, population-based CRC cohort and clearly highlighted the potential roles of the methylation status of *miR137* and *IGFBP3* as diagnostic biomarkers in CRC patients. In addition, our data revealed that *IGFBP3* promoter methylation serves as an independent prognostic biomarker in stage II and III colorectal cancer patients. Our results also suggest that stage II&III CRC patients with high levels of *IGFBP3* methylation do not benefit from adjuvant 5FU-based chemotherapy.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Representative pyrograms of methylation markers in the sept9, twist1, alx4, igfbp3, gas7, and mir137.

(DOCX)

Information S1 Supplementary patients and methods. (DOCX)

Table S1Clinicopathological and molecular features ofepicolon-i patients.

(DOCX)

Table S2Primer sequences for pyrosequencing.(DOCX)

Table S3 Clinicopathological features associated with
gene methylation.(DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AG FB LPC AC CRB. Performed the experiments: LPC FB. Analyzed the data: AG LPC YT FB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: CE ER YT AC CG RJ MA XL. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: LPC FB AG RJ CRB.

- He Q, Chen HY, Bai EQ, Luo YX, Fu RJ, et al. (2010) Development of a multiplex MethyLight assay for the detection of multigene methylation in human colorectal cancer. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 202: 1–10.
- Grutzmann R, Molnar B, Pilarsky C, Habermann JK, Schlag PM, et al. (2008) Sensitive detection of colorectal cancer in peripheral blood by septin 9 DNA methylation assay. PLoS One 3: e3759.
- Tanzer M, Balluff B, Distler J, Hale K, Leodolter A, et al. (2010) Performance of epigenetic markers SEPT9 and ALX4 in plasma for detection of colorectal precancerous lesions. PLoS One 5: e9061.
- Ebert MP, Model F, Mooney S, Hale K, Lograsso J, et al. (2006) Aristaless-like homeobox-4 gene methylation is a potential marker for colorectal adenocarcinomas. Gastroenterology 131: 1418–1430.
- Valdes-Mora F, Gomez del Pulgar T, Bandres E, Cejas P, Ramirez de Molina A, et al. (2009) TWIST1 overexpression is associated with nodal invasion and male sex in primary colorectal cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 16: 78–87.
- Gort EH, Suijkerbuijk KP, Roothaan SM, Raman V, Vooijs M, et al. (2008) Methylation of the TWIST1 promoter, TWIST1 mRNA levels, and immunohistochemical expression of TWIST1 in breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 17: 3325–3330.
- Kawasaki T, Nosho K, Ohnishi M, Suemoto Y, Kirkner GJ, et al. (2007) IGFBP3 promoter methylation in colorectal cancer: relationship with microsatellite instability, CpG island methylator phenotype, and p53. Neoplasia 9: 1091– 1098.
- Ronneberg JA, Fleischer T, Solvang HK, Nordgard SH, Edvardsen H, et al. (2011) Methylation profiling with a panel of cancer related genes: association with estrogen receptor, TP53 mutation status and expression subtypes in sporadic breast cancer. Mol Oncol 5: 61–76.

- IGFBP3 Methylation and Chemotherapy Response Colorectal Cancer
- Kim YH, Lee HC, Kim SY, Yeom YI, Ryu KJ, et al. (2011) Epigenomic analysis of aberrantly methylated genes in colorectal cancer identifies genes commonly affected by epigenetic alterations. Ann Surg Oncol 18: 2338–2347.
- Bandres É, Ágirre X, Bitarte N, Ramirez Ň, Zarate R, et al. (2009) Epigenetic regulation of microRNA expression in colorectal cancer. Int J Cancer 125: 2737–2743.
- Silber J, Lim DA, Petritsch C, Persson AI, Maunakea AK, et al. (2008) miR-124 and miR-137 inhibit proliferation of glioblastoma multiforme cells and induce differentiation of brain tumor stem cells. BMC Med 6: 14.
- Balaguer F, Link A, Lozano JJ, Cuatrecasas M, Nagasaka T, et al. (2010) Epigenetic silencing of miR-137 is an early event in colorectal carcinogenesis. Cancer Res 70: 6609–6618.
- Pinol V, Andreu M, Castells A, Paya A, Bessa X, et al. (2004) Frequency of hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer and other colorectal cancer familial forms in Spain: a multicentre, prospective, nationwide study. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 16: 39–45.
- Perez-Carbonell L, Ruiz-Ponte C, Guarinos C, Alenda C, Paya A, et al. (2012) Comparison between universal molecular screening for Lynch syndrome and revised Bethesda guidelines in a large population-based cohort of patients with colorectal cancer. Gut 61: 865–872.
- Moreira L, Balaguer F, Lindor N, de la Chapelle A, Hampel H, et al. (2012) Identification of Lynch syndrome among patients with colorectal cancer. Jama 308: 1555–1565.
- Jover R, Zapater P, Castells A, Llor X, Andreu M, et al. (2009) The efficacy of adjuvant chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil in colorectal cancer depends on the mismatch repair status. Eur J Cancer 45: 365–373.
- Pinol V, Castells A, Andreu M, Castellvi-Bel S, Alenda C, et al. (2005) Accuracy of revised Bethesda guidelines, microsatellite instability, and immunohistochemistry for the identification of patients with hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. Jama 293: 1986–1994.
- Yi JM, Dhir M, Van Neste L, Downing SR, Jeschke J, et al. (2011) Genomic and epigenomic integration identifies a prognostic signature in colon cancer. Clin Cancer Res 17: 1535–1545.
- Xicola RM, Llor X, Pons E, Castells A, Alenda C, et al. (2007) Performance of different microsatellite marker panels for detection of mismatch repair-deficient colorectal tumors. J Natl Cancer Inst 99: 244–252.
- Benlloch S, Paya A, Alenda C, Bessa X, Andreu M, et al. (2006) Detection of BRAF V600E mutation in colorectal cancer: comparison of automatic sequencing and real-time chemistry methodology. J Mol Diagn 8: 540–543.
- Toyota M, Ahuja N, Ohe-Toyota M, Herman JG, Baylin SB, et al. (1999) CpG island methylator phenotype in colorectal cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96: 8681–8686.
- Issa JP (2002) Epigenetic variation and human disease. J Nutr 132: 23888– 2392S.

- Jass JR (2007) Classification of colorectal cancer based on correlation of clinical, morphological and molecular features. Histopathology 50: 113–130.
- Wright CM, Dent OF, Barker M, Newland RC, Chapuis PH, et al. (2000) Prognostic significance of extensive microsatellite instability in sporadic clinicopathological stage C colorectal cancer. Br J Surg 87: 1197–1202.
- Popat S, Hubner R, Houlston RS (2005) Systematic review of microsatellite instability and colorectal cancer prognosis. J Clin Oncol 23: 609–618.
- Gryfe R, Kim H, Hsieh ET, Aronson MD, Holowaty EJ, et al. (2000) Tumor microsatellite instability and clinical outcome in young patients with colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 342: 69–77.
- Ribic CM, Sargent DJ, Moore MJ, Thibodeau SN, French AJ, et al. (2003) Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracilbased adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N Engl J Med 349: 247–257.
- Weisenberger DJ, Siegmund KD, Campan M, Young J, Long TI, et al. (2006) CpG island methylator phenotype underlies sporadic microsatellite instability and is tightly associated with BRAF mutation in colorectal cancer. Nat Genet 38: 787–793.
- Samowitz WS, Albertsen H, Herrick J, Levin TR, Sweeney C, et al. (2005) Evaluation of a large, population-based sample supports a CpG island methylator phenotype in colon cancer. Gastroenterology 129: 837–845.
- Wiley A, Katsaros D, Fracchioli S, Yu H (2006) Methylation of the insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3 gene and prognosis of epithelial ovarian cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer 16: 210–218.
- Chang YS, Wang L, Liu D, Mao L, Hong WK, et al. (2002) Correlation between insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-3 promoter methylation and prognosis of patients with stage I non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 8: 3669–3675.
- Hanafusa T, Yumoto Y, Nouso K, Nakatsukasa H, Onishi T, et al. (2002) Reduced expression of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3 and its promoter hypermethylation in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Lett 176: 149–158.
- Cortes-Sempere M, de Miguel MP, Pernia O, Rodriguez C, de Castro Carpeno J, et al. (2013) IGFBP-3 methylation-derived deficiency mediates the resistance to cisplatin through the activation of the IGFIR/Akt pathway in non-small cell lung cancer. Oncogene 32: 1274–1283.
- Ibanez de Caceres I, Cortes-Sempere M, Moratilla C, Machado-Pinilla R, Rodriguez-Fanjul V, et al. (2010) IGFBP-3 hypermethylation-derived deficiency mediates cisplatin resistance in non-small-cell lung cancer. Oncogene 29: 1681– 1690.
- Borinstein SC, Conerly M, Dzieciatkowski S, Biswas S, Washington MK, et al. (2010) Aberrant DNA methylation occurs in colon neoplasms arising in the azoxymethane colon cancer model. Mol Carcinog 49: 94–103.