World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2017 July 7; 23(25): 4473-4660





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

J G World Journal of Gastroenterology

Contents

Weekly Volume 23 Number 25 July 7, 2017

EDITORIAL

4473 Challenges of modern day transition care in inflammatory bowel disease: From inflammatory bowel disease to biosimilars

Hakizimana A, Ahmed I, Russell R, Wright M, Afzal NA

REVIEW

- 4480 Expression and role of nuclear receptor coregulators in colorectal cancer Triki M, Lapierre M, Cavailles V, Mokdad-Gargouri R
- 4491 Eubiotic properties of rifaximin: Disruption of the traditional concepts in gut microbiota modulation Ponziani FR, Zocco MA, D'Aversa F, Pompili M, Gasbarrini A

MINIREVIEWS

4500 Localization and role of metabotropic glutamate receptors subtype 5 in the gastrointestinal tract Ferrigno A, Berardo C, Di Pasqua LG, Siciliano V, Richelmi P, Vairetti M

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

- 4508 Treatment with dimethyl fumarate ameliorates liver ischemia/reperfusion injury Takasu C, Vaziri ND, Li S, Robles L, Vo K, Takasu M, Pham C, Farzaneh SH, Shimada M, Stamos MJ, Ichii H
- 4517 Enhanced electrogastrography: A realistic way to salvage a promise that was never kept? Poscente MD, Mintchev MP
- 4529 Glutamine prevents oxidative stress in a model of portal hypertension Zabot GP, Carvalhal GF, Marroni NP, Licks F, Hartmann RM, da Silva VD, Fillmann HS
- Hepatitis C virus NS5A region mutation in chronic hepatitis C genotype 1 patients who are non-responders 4538 to two or more treatments and its relationship with response to a new treatment Muñoz de Rueda P, Fuentes Rodríguez JM, Quiles Pérez R, Gila Medina A, Martín Álvarez AB, Casado Ruíz J, Ruíz Extremera Á, Salmerón J
- 4548 Distinct gut microbiota profiles in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis and ulcerative colitis Bajer L, Kverka M, Kostovcik M, Macinga P, Dvorak J, Stehlikova Z, Brezina J, Wohl P, Spicak J, Drastich P



4559 Anti-inflammatory and anti-apoptotic effects of rosuvastatin by regulation of oxidative stress in a dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis model

Shin SK, Cho JH, Kim EJ, Kim EK, Park DK, Kwon KA, Chung JW, Kim KO, Kim YJ

4569 miR-29a promotes hepatitis B virus replication and expression by targeting SMARCE1 in hepatoma carcinoma

Wu HJ, Zhuo Y, Zhou YC, Wang XW, Wang YP, Si CY, Wang XH

Retrospective Cohort Study

4579 Management and outcome of hepatocellular adenoma with massive bleeding at presentation *Klompenhouwer AJ, de Man RA, Thomeer MGJ, Ijzermans JNM*

Retrospective Study

- 4587 Chronic hepatitis B, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and physical fitness of military males: CHIEF study Chen YJ, Chen KW, Shih YL, Su FY, Lin YP, Meng FC, Lin F, Yu YS, Han CL, Wang CH, Lin JW, Hsieh TY, Li YH, Lin GM
- 4595 Comparison of short- and long-term outcomes of laparoscopic *vs* open resection for gastric gastrointestinal stromal tumors

Ye X, Kang WM, Yu JC, Ma ZQ, Xue ZG

Observational Study

- **4604** Functional lipidomics in patients on home parenteral nutrition: Effect of lipid emulsions *Pironi L, Guidetti M, Verrastro O, Iacona C, Agostini F, Pazzeschi C, Sasdelli AS, Melchiorre M, Ferreri C*
- 4615 Cryptogenic multifocal ulcerous stenosing enteritis: Radiologic features and clinical behavior Hwang J, Kim JS, Kim AY, Lim JS, Kim SH, Kim MJ, Kim MS, Song KD, Woo JY
- 4624 Partners of patients with ulcerative colitis exhibit a biologically relevant dysbiosis in fecal microbial metacommunities

Chen GL, Zhang Y, Wang WY, Ji XL, Meng F, Xu PS, Yang NM, Bo XC

Randomized Controlled Trial

4632 Long-term irritable bowel syndrome symptom control with reintroduction of selected FODMAPs Harvie RM, Chisholm AW, Bisanz JE, Burton JP, Herbison P, Schultz K, Schultz M

EVIDENCE-BASED MEDICINE

4644 Anti-apoptotic effect of banhasasim-tang on chronic acid reflux esophagitis Shin MR, An HJ, Seo BI, Roh SS



Contents

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

4654 Nosocomial spontaneous bacterial peritonitis antibiotic treatment in the era of multi-drug resistance pathogens: A systematic review

Fiore M, Maraolo AE, Gentile I, Borgia G, Leone S, Sansone P, Passavanti MB, Aurilio C, Pace MC



Contents	Vol	<i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> ume 23 Number 25 July 7, 2017	
ABOUT COVER	Editorial board member of <i>World Jo</i> Abenavoli, MD, MSc, PhD, Associate Magna Graecia, Campus Germaneto, Via	<i>urnal of Gastroenterology</i> , Ludovico Professor, Health Sciences, University ale Europa, 88100 Catanzaro, Italy	
AIMS AND SCOPE	World Journal of Gastroenterology (World J Gastroenterol, WJG, print ISSN 1007-9327, online ISSN 2219-2840, DOI: 10.3748) is a peer-reviewed open access journal. WJG was estab- lished on October 1, 1995. It is published weekly on the 7 th , 14 th , 21 st , and 28 th each month. The WJG Editorial Board consists of 1375 experts in gastroenterology and hepatology from 68 countries. The primary task of WJG is to rapidly publish high-quality original articles, reviews, and commentaries in the fields of gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endos- copy, gastrointestinal surgery, hepatobiliary surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, gastroin- testinal radiation oncology, gastrointestinal imaging, gastrointestinal interventional ther- apy, gastrointestinal infectious diseases, gastrointestinal pharmacology, gastrointestinal pathophysiology, gastrointestinal laboratory medicine, gastrointestinal molecular biol- ogy, gastrointestinal immunology, gastrointestinal microbiology, gastrointestinal translational medicine, gastrointestinal diagnostics, and gastrointestinal therapeutics. WJG is dedicated to become an influential and prestigious journal in gas- troenterology and hepatology, to promote the development of above disciplines, and to improve the diagnostic and therapeutic skill and expertise of clinicians.		
INDEXING/ABSTRACTING	<i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> (<i>WJG</i>) is now in Science Citation Index Expanded (also known a Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Centr 2017 edition of Journal Citation Reports [®] cites to impact factor: 3.176), ranking <i>WJG</i> as 29 th amo ogy (quartile in category Q2).	dexed in Current Contents [®] /Clinical Medicine, as SciSearch [®]), Journal Citation Reports [®] , Index al and Directory of Open Access Journals. The the 2016 impact factor for <i>WJG</i> as 3.365 (5-year ng 79 journals in gastroenterology and hepatol-	
FLYLEAF I-IX Editorial Board			
EDITORS FOR Respon THIS ISSUE Proofin	Responsible Assistant Editor: Xiang Li Responsible Science Editor: Ze-Mab Gong EDITORS FOR Responsible Electronic Editor: Fen-Fen Zbang Proofing Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang THIS ISSUE Proofing Editor-in-Chief: Lian-Sbeng Ma Proofing Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang		
NAME OF JOURNAL	CA 90822, United States	http://www.wjgnet.com	
World Journal of Gastroenterology ISSN ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)	EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS All editorial board members resources online at http:// www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm	PUBLICATION DATE July 7, 2017 COPYRIGHT	
LAUNCH DATE October 1, 1995	EDITORIAL OFFICE Jin-Lei Wang, Director Yuan Oi, Vice Director	© 2017 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. Articles pub- lished by this Open-Access journal are distributed under	
FREQUENCY Weekly	Ze-Mao Gong, Vice Director World Journal of Gastroenterology Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7901 Stoperidge Drive Suite 501	the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non- commercial License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non commercial and is	
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF Damian Garcia-Olmo, MD, PhD, Doctor, Profes- sor, Surgeon, Department of Surgery, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid; Department of General Sur- gery, Fundacion Jimenez Diaz University Hospital, Madrid 28040, Spain	Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-2238242 Fax: +1-925-2238243 E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk http://www.gnet.com	otherwise in compliance with the license. SPECIAL STATEMENT All articles published in journals owned by the Baishideng Publishing Group (BPG) represent the views and opin- ions of their authors, and not the views, opinions or policies of the BPG, except where otherwise explicitly indicated	
Stephen C Strom, PhD, Professor, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Division of Pathology, Karo- linska Institutet, Stockholm 141-86, Sweden	PUBLISHER Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Talaphang: ±1 905 2329242	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS Full instructions are available online at http://www. wignet.com/bgs/gerinfs/204	
Professor of Medicine, Chief Gastroenterology, VA Long Beach Health Care System, University of Cali- fornia, Irvine, CA, 5901 E. Seventh Str., Long Beach,	Fax: +1-925-2238243 Fax: +1-925-2238243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk	ONLINE SUBMISSION http://www.f6publishing.com	





Submit a Manuscript: http://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2017 July 7; 23(25): 4579-4586

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i25.4579

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

Management and outcome of hepatocellular adenoma with massive bleeding at presentation

Anne J Klompenhouwer, Robert A de Man, Maarten GJ Thomeer, Jan NM Ijzermans

Anne J Klompenhouwer, Jan NM Ijzermans, Department of Surgery, Erasmus MC, 3000 CA Rotterdam, the Netherlands

Robert A de Man, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Erasmus MC, 3000 CA Rotterdam, the Netherlands

Maarten GJ Thomeer, Department of Radiology, Erasmus MC, 3000 CA Rotterdam, the Netherlands

Author contributions: Klompenhouwer AJ, de Man RA and Ijzermans JNM designed the research and analyzed the data; Klompenhouwer AJ and Thomeer MGJ performed the research; Klompenhouwer AJ, de Man RA, Thomeer MGJ and Ijzermans JNM wrote the article; all authors read and approved the final article.

Institutional review board statement: The research was approved by the local institutional review board (No. MEC-2016-338).

Informed consent statement: Informed consent was waived by the local institutional review board.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Data sharing statement: Original data, images, and statistical code are available from the corresponding author at j.ijzermans@ erasmusmc.nl.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Unsolicited manuscript

Correspondence to: Jan NM Ijzermans, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Surgery, Erasmus MC, 3000 CA Rotterdam, the Netherlands. j.ijzermans@erasmusmc.nl Telephone: +31-10-7040704 Fax: +31-10-2250647

Received: January 10, 2017 Peer-review started: January 12, 2017 First decision: February 23, 2017 Revised: March 13, 2017 Accepted: April 12, 2017 Article in press: April 12, 2017 Published online: July 7, 2017

Abstract

AIM

To evaluate outcome of acute management and risk of rebleeding in patients with massive hemorrhage due to hepatocellular adenoma (HCA).

METHODS

This retrospective cohort study included all consecutive patients who presented to our hospital with massive hemorrhage (grade II or III) due to ruptured HCA and were admitted for observation and/or intervention between 1999-2016. The diagnosis of HCA was based on radiological findings from contrastenhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or pathological findings from biopsy or resection of the HCA. Hemorrhage was diagnosed based on findings from computed tomography or MRI. Medical records were reviewed for demographic features, clinical presentation, tumor features, initial and subsequent management, short- and long-term complications and patient and lesion follow-up.

RESULTS

All patients were female (n = 23). Treatment in the acute phase consisted of embolization (n = 9, 39.1%), conservative therapy (n = 13, 56.5%), and



other intervention (n = 1, 4.3%). Median hemoglobin level decreased significantly more on days 0-3 in the intervention group than in the patients initially treated conservatively (0.9 mmol/L *vs* 2.4 mmol/L respectively, P = 0.006). In total, 4 patients suffered severe shortterm complications, which included hypovolemic shock, acute liver failure and abscess formation. After a median follow-up of 36 mo, tumor regression in nonsurgically treated patients occurred with a median reduction of 76 mm down to 25 mm. Four patients underwent secondary (elective) treatment (*i.e.*, tumor resection) to address HCA size of > 5 cm and/or desire for future pregnancy. One case of rebleeding was documented (4.3%). None of the patients experienced long-term complication (mean follow-up time: 36 mo).

CONCLUSION

With a 4.3% risk of rebleeding, secondary (elective) treatment of HCA after massive hemorrhage may only be considered in patients with persistent HCA > 5 cm.

Key words: Hepatocellular adenoma; Management; Bleeding; Outcome; Hemorrhage

© **The Author(s) 2017.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: As massive bleeding due to ruptured hepatocellular adenoma (HCA) is rare, we present a unique series of 23 consecutive patients. To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the outcome and sequelae of the massive bleeding complication, including risk of rebleeding and need for elective tumor resection. In our series, risk of rebleeding was 4.3% and most HCAs regressed spontaneously. No long-term complications were documented. These cases suggest that a wait-and-watch policy may be legitimate and secondary (elective) treatment may only be considered for persistent HCA > 5 cm after follow-up and/or for patients with desire for future pregnancy.

Klompenhouwer AJ, de Man RA, Thomeer MGJ, Ijzermans JNM. Management and outcome of hepatocellular adenoma with massive bleeding at presentation. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017; 23(25): 4579-4586 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet. com/1007-9327/full/v23/i25/4579.htm DOI: http://dx.doi. org/10.3748/wjg.v23.i25.4579

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular adenoma (HCA) is a rare benign liver tumor that occurs mostly in women in their reproductive phase. An association with the estrogencontaining oral contraceptive (OC) was first described in 1973^[1,2]. Currently, the estimated annual incidences are 30-40 per million in long-term (> 2 years) OC users and 1 per million in non-users or women with less than 2 years of OC use^[3].

HCAs are most often asymptomatic and discovered during radiologic imaging of the abdomen for unrelated reasons. The best way to diagnose HCA is with contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)^[4]. In the past decade, much has changed in terms of diagnosis and treatment of HCA, due to the discovery of various subtypes of this tumor^[5,6]. The change in treatment strategy is ongoing, due to the apparent differences in risks of complications for the various subtypes.

As HCAs are well vascularized tumors, hemorrhage as documented on imaging - is a common complication, occurring in approximately 25% of the patients with HCA^[7]. OC use, tumor size of > 5 cm, exophytic growth of the tumor and inflammatory subtype (I-HCA) are associated with a higher risk of bleeding^[7,8]. Most hemorrhages are intratumoral; however, in cases of massive bleeding, rupture of the HCA can occur, resulting in intraparenchymal hemorrhage and subcapsular hematoma. In some cases, the liver capsule can rupture, causing hemoperitoneum.

Hemorrhagic HCAs cause symptoms such as acuteonset right upper abdominal pain and discomfort. In cases of tumor rupture and excessive bleeding, patients may present with hemodynamically unstable conditions and even may show signs of hypovolemic shock. This complication can be life threatening^[3].

Another rare complication of HCAs, not related to risk of bleeding, is malignant degeneration to hepatocellular carcinoma, which occurs mostly in β -catenin-positive HCA^[9]. As both hemorrhage and malignant degeneration arise especially in adenomas > 5 cm in size, surgical resection is recommended for HCA which do not regress by 6 mo after cessation of OC and following lifestyle changes, such as weight reduction in overweight patients^[10-13].

The management policy for acute bleeding of ruptured HCA has changed over the past years. In the acute phase, a conservative management and hemodynamic stabilization is justified^[14]. In case of active bleeding with persistent hemodynamic instability or hemoperitoneum, intervention may be considered^[7]. Liver resection in the acute phase is associated with increased morbidity and mortality and, therefore, not advisable^[15]. Laparotomy and gauze packing of the liver had been recommended until the introduction of minimally-invasive techniques. The advent of selective arterial embolization (SAE) 10 years ago has now allowed for patients to be treated even less invasively^[16,17]. An unanswered question, however, remains in regards to the chance of rebleeding and, correspondingly, the need for elective tumor resection.

In this study, we evaluated the outcome of acute management in patients with massive hemorrhage due to ruptured HCA and its sequelae, including the risk of rebleeding and need for elective tumor resection.



Figure 1 Representative case of grade II bleeding hepatocellular adenoma involving a 23-year-old female who presented at the emergency department with an acute hematoma in the right liver lobe. A: Computed tomography without intravenous contrast shows a hyperdense fluid collection in the liver (triangle); B: Some months later after conservative treatment, the collection appeared hyperintense on T2-weighting, with a hemosiderin ring surrounding the collection (arrow); C: At the same time, the collection appeared hyperintense on T1-weighting.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

This study was a retrospective cohort study performed in a tertiary referral center for focal liver lesions. With the availability of a large HCA cohort, consisting of 449 patients, we selected all patients who presented with massive hemorrhage as a result of ruptured HCA and who were admitted to a hospital ward for observation and/or intervention between January 1999 (the start of the database) and April 2016, with follow-up time of at least 6 mo.

This study was approved by the accredited local institutional review board (No. MEC-2016-338) and informed consent was waived.

Patient selection

Massive hemorrhage was defined as intrahepatic (grade II) or intraperitoneal (grade III), as reported by Bieze *et al*^[8] in 2014. Patients with intratumoural hemorrhage (grade I) were excluded from this study. The diagnosis of HCA was based on radiological findings from contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or pathological findings from biopsy or resection of the HCA. Hemorrhage was diagnosed based on findings from computed tomography (CT) or MRI. Hepatocellular carcinoma - the main competing differential diagnosis - was excluded by occurrence of tumor regression after hemorrhage, absence of progression in diameter and lack of metastatic disease

over time.

On CT, the density of a hematoma is determined by the time elapsed after the initial event. In the acute phase, a hematoma is hyperdense, becoming more isodense in the chronic phase. On MRI, the intensity of a hematoma also changes over time. On T1-weighting, hematoma is hyperintense in the beginning, becoming more and more isointense in the chronic phase. On T2-weighting, a hematoma starts as hyperintense and resolves in the chronic phase with zones devoid of signal (visualized as black space) due to deposition of hemosiderin, and which occurs mostly in the periphery^[18] (Figures 1 and 2).

Data collection for analysis

HCA subtyping - for steatotic, inflammatory, β -cateninpositive and unclassified HCA - was determined based on findings of immunohistochemistry or of the typical MRI features^[19-21]. In cases that the HCA subtype was not able to be established by MRI or biopsy, previous available MRI scans were reviewed by an experienced radiologist.

Medical records were reviewed to collect each patient's demographic features, clinical presentation, tumor features, initial and subsequent management (including surgery or intervention techniques), shortand long term complications and patient and lesion follow-up. If patients had been referred to our center by another hospital, we requested the data from the referring hospital, with consent of the patient.



Figure 2 Representative case of grade III bleeding hepatocellular adenoma involving a 28-year-old female who presented at the emergency department. A: Coronal computed tomography (CT) image in arterial phase shows a large hematoma in the left liver lobe (arrow); B: On the axial CT slice different bleeding adenomas are present in both lobes (arrows). Extracapsular peritoneal bleeding around the stomach is seen (triangle); C: One year later on magnetic resonance imaging in the venous phase all adenomas have decreased significantly in size.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software, version 21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, United States). All non-normal distributed variables were summarized as median and interquartile range (IQR); binary variables were summarized as frequency (n). Differences between groups were investigated using the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables. A P value of < 0.05 was considered as the threshold for significance.

RESULTS

Study population and case characteristics

We assessed 23 consecutive patients who were admitted to hospital for massive intrahepatic hemorrhage or hemoperitoneum due to ruptured HCA (Table 1). All patients were female, with a median age of 34-years-old (IQR: 30-44 years). Fifteen of the patients presented with grade II hemorrhage, and 8 with grade III hemorrhage. The median lesion size was 76 mm (IQR: 55-92 mm), with 14/23 (60.8%) located in the right or left lateral liver and the remaining 9/23 (39.1%) located in the right medial or central liver (Table 2). Nineteen out of the 23 patients (82.6%) used OCs at the time of presentation. Two patients had an I-HCA, and a third patient had both an I-HCA as well as a H-HCA (steatotic), of which the I-HCA ruptured.

The subtype was not defined in all other patients.

Initial presentation and treatment

In all 23 patients, hemorrhage was the first presentation, and none of the patients were diagnosed as having HCA before the bleeding. Fifteen out of the 23 patients (65.2%) were hemodynamically stable at presentation, and one patient presented with hypovolemic shock. A total of 13 patients (56.5%) were treated conservatively. Nine patients (39.1%) underwent SAE in the acute phase, and one of them also underwent an acute resection due to persistent bleeding after the SAE. One patient underwent ultrasound-guided drainage of a presumed liver abscess, through which massive bleeding was found. Additional imaging for this patient showed an undetermined liver tumor, which prompted the patient referral to our hospital, where we confirmed the tumor to be an HCA.

Comparison of the patients initially treated conservatively to those treated with intervention showed no statistically significant differences for age, median HCA diameter, median follow-up time, median hospital stay nor median hemoglobin level at presentation. However, the intervention group showed a statistically greater reduction in median hemoglobin level on day 0-3 compared to the conservative treatment group (0.9 mmol/L vs 2.4 mmol/L respectively, P = 0.006)

WJG | www.wjgnet.com

Table 1	Clinical fea	itures at presenta	ition					
Case no.	Age (yr)	OC use	Type of bleeding, grade	Initial management	ICU	Blood products	Hospital stay (d)	Short-term complications
Initially c	onservatively	r treated						
1	49	Yes	Π	Cons.	No	No	19	-
2	43	Yes	Ш	Cons.	Yes	Yes	7	-
3	30	Yes	П	Cons.	No	No	7	-
4	36	No	Π	Cons.	No	No	19	-
		Stopped 12 mo prior to bleeding						
5	33	Yes	Ш	Cons.	No	No	8	-
6	23	Yes	Ш	Cons.	Yes	Yes	28	-
7	30	Yes	Ш	Cons.	No	No	12	-
8	39	Yes	Ш	Cons.	No	No	9	-
9	43	Yes	Ш	Cons.	No	Yes	14	-
10	44	Yes	П	Cons.	No	No	13	-
11	49	Yes	Ш	Cons.	Yes	Yes	61	Hypovolemic shock, respiratory insufficiency, kidney failure, abdominal compartment
								syndrome
12	31	Yes	Ш	Cons.	No	No	10	-
13	33	Yes	Ш	Cons.	No	No	13	-
Initially ti	reated with ir	ntervention	_					
14	22	Unknown	Ш	SAE +	No	Yes	40	Postoperative abdominal abscess,
				resection				drainage pleural effusion
15	33	No Stopped 18 mo	Ш	SAE	Yes	Yes	Unknown	-
16	24	Voc	Π	SAE	Vos	Voc	18	
10	36	Voc	п	SAE	Vos	No	22	A cuto liver failure after SAE left
10	50	ies V		CAE	165	NO	10	and right hepatic artery
18	48	Yes	Ш	SAE	No	No	10	-
19	48	Yes	Ш	SAE	Yes	NO 11 1	6	-
20	34	No Stopped 7 mo prior to bleeding	Unknown	SAE	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	-
21	30	Yes	П	US-guided drainage	No	No	10	-
22	56	Yes	П	SAE	Yes	No	Unknown	-
23	20	Yes	Ш	SAE	No	Yes	33	Rebleed after 3 mo, drainage hepatic abscess, drainage pleural effusion

ICU: Intensive care unit; OC: Oral contraceptive; SAE: Selective arterial embolization; US: Ultrasound.

(Table 2).

Short-term complications

Of the 23 patients, 4 (17.4%) suffered severe short-term complications (Table 1). In 2 patients, abscesses developed after embolization or resection, necessitating additional percutaneous drainage and resulting in hospital stays of 33 and 40 d respectively. One patient suffered acute liver failure after SAE, affecting both the left and right hepatic artery, with laboratory tests showing increases in aspartate transaminase (350-fold), alanine transaminase (170-fold), bilirubin (5.4-fold), lactate (4.5-fold) and the international normalized ratio (INR; 1.8-fold). Spontaneous recovery occurred within 5 d, and the total duration of hospital stay for this patient was 22 d.

The patient who presented with major hypovolemic shock was treated conservatively and suffered major hemoperitoneum, resulting in respiratory insufficiency, kidney failure and abdominal compartment syndrome. This was a patient who had recent history of oral anticoagulants for treatment of deep vein thrombosis. Initially, her case was classified as venous hemorrhage due to an excessively high INR, and therefore she was treated conservatively. After recovery, she was referred to our hospital and the diagnosis of HCA was only made upon liver imaging after the hematoma had become, more or less, absorbed. The total duration of hospital stay for this patient was 61 d.

Elective treatment and follow-up

Median follow-up time was 36 mo (IQR: 15-79 mo). One patient underwent elective resection, 1 underwent elective SAE and 2 underwent elective radiofrequency ablation (RFA) to address residual HCA (Table 3). These patients either had residual HCA of > 5 cm in size or a smaller lesion but with an expressed desire for future pregnancy. Out of the total 23 patients in

Table 2 Demographics and features of patients treated conservatively or with intervention				
	Initial conservative, $n = 13$	Initial intervention, $n = 10$	Total, $n = 23$	P value
Median age (yr)	36 (30.5-43.5)	33.5 (23.5-48.0)	34 (30-44)	0.563
Median HCA diameter at	76 (55-101.5)	76.5 (51.3-92.5)	76 (55-92)	0.648
diagnosis (mm)				
Median follow-up time (mo)	66 (23-87)	22.5 (12.8-60.3)	36 (15-79)	0.257
Type of bleeding				0.349
Grade II	9	5	15	
Grade III	4	5	8	
Median hospital stay (d)	13 (8.5-19)	18 (10-33)	13 (9.3-21.3)	0.588
Median Hb level at	8.0 (6.0-8.4)	7.5 (7.0-8.0)	7.6 (7.0-8.1)	0.710
presentation (mmol/L)				
Median decrease Hb day 0-3	0.9 (0-1.7)	2.4 (1.6-3.5)	1.6 (0.4-2.4)	0.0061
(mmol/L)				

¹Statistically significant. Values are given as median (IQR).

the study, 18 (78.3%) were kept under surveillance for > 6 mo, all showing regression of the tumor from a median diameter of 76 mm (IQR: 55-92 mm) to 25 mm (IQR: 17.3-41.5 mm).

Rebleeding was reported in one patient (4.3%), which occurred 3 mo after the initial hemorrhage. This was the only patient in whom OC was continued after the first bleeding because the underlying etiology of the hemorrhage had not yet been established. After the rebleeding, the patient was referred to our tertiary center and the diagnosis of HCA was made. The rebleeding was also managed with a wait-and-watch policy, and cessation of OC lead to regression of the HCA.

None of the patients in this study had long-term complications. Out of the 9 patients who did not have any treatment (initial or elective), 2 became pregnant. In the first patient, the HCA showed growth from 46 mm to 65 mm, but no hemorrhage occurred during pregnancy and after the delivery the HCA regressed. In the second patient, the lesion remained stable in size (30 mm) throughout the pregnancy.

DISCUSSION

In this retrospective cohort study, we evaluated the outcome of acute management of patients with massive hemorrhage due to ruptured HCA and confirmed that a conservative approach is justified in the acute situation if there is a hemodynamically stable condition. In the case of persistent bleeding, SAE can be a solution. Our cohort showed a significant difference in median decrease in hemoglobin level when the conservatively-treated group was compared to the group of patients who underwent initial intervention, with a greater decrease (median: 2.4 mmol/L) occurring in the intervention group. Four patients in our study suffered the following severe short-term complications: 1 patient who had used oral anticoagulants and then presented with hypovolemic shock developed respiratory insufficiency, kidney failure and abdominal compartment syndrome; 2 patients who developed complications after SAE; and 1 patient who developed postoperative complications after both SAE and resection in the acute phase. All complications resulted in longer hospital stay; however, no long-term complications were documented.

After a median follow-up time of 36 mo, all tumors under surveillance showed spontaneous regression, with a median size decrease from 76 mm at presentation to 25 mm at last follow-up. This finding is comparable to the regression reported for non-hemorrhagic HCA after OC discontinuation^[10,11]. Only 1 case of rebleeding was reported (4.3%) in a patient who did not discontinue OC, and this occurred at 3 mo after the initial bleed. Secondary treatment, such as elective tumor resection, SAE or RFA, was only performed in patients with HCA > 5 cm in size after follow-up and/or in patients with an expressed desire for future pregnancy.

This study, however, did not establish whether hemorrhagic HCA should remain in regular follow-up or when, if ever, it will be safe to end surveillance. Most lesions are > 5 cm at the moment of hemorrhage, causing at least a part of the vital adenoma tissue to become necrotic. Therefore, we are unsure if the higher risk of malignant degeneration in HCA > 5 cm is still present in hemorrhagic HCA; indeed, it might be advisable to keep these patients in regular follow-up.

It is important to establish an optimal treatment plan for patients with ruptured HCA, as hemorrhage is a frequent complication of lesions $> 5 \text{ cm}^{[7]}$. In 2006, Erdogan et al^[14] conducted a study assessing management and outcome in patients treated for ruptured HCA, in which they compared laparotomy and gauze packing with observation. Their results suggested that stable patients could be treated conservatively and that resection of the HCA in the acute situation is accompanied by a higher morbidity. With the advent of SAE a decade ago, Stoot *et al*^[16]</sup>conducted a small cohort study including 11 patients, which established the safety and efficacy of SAE for the treatment of ruptured HCA. Recently, the European Association for Study of the Liver issued a European clinical practice guideline for the management of

Case no.Diameter HCA at diagnosis (mm)Location of HCAElective treatment of HCALast known treatment of HCA1200Right lateral (sV1/VII)Surveillance61200Right lateral (sV1/VII)Surveillance22276Right lateral (sV1/VII)Surveillance26360Right lateral (sVI)Surveillance26480Right medial (sVI)Surveillance53580Right lateral (sVII)Resection-675Right lateral (sVI/VII)RFA0775Right medial (sVI/VII)Surveillance35945Right medial (sVI/VII)Surveillance401039Right lateral (sVI)Surveillance181150Right medial (sVI)Surveillance211292Right lateral (sVI)Surveillance2113111Left lateral (sVI/VII)Surveillance221490Central (sVI/VII)No adenoma-1555Right medial (sVI/VII)Surveillance201640Right medial (sVI/VII)Surveillance241773Central (sVI/VII)Surveillance241880Right medial (sVI/VIII)Surveillance2419100Left lateral (sIV/VIII)Surveillance2419100Cen	Table 3	Tumor features	s and follow-u	ıp	
Initially conservatively treatively1200Right lateralSurveillance6(sVI/VII)276Right lateralSurveillance22276Right lateralSurveillance26(sVI)(sVI)11360Right medialSurveillance26(sVI)1Surveillance77880Right lateralResection-775Right lateralSurveillance8(sVI/VII)1Surveillance8775Right medialSurveillance81039Right medialSurveillance18775Right lateralSurveillance181150Right medialSurveillance181292Right lateralSurveillance9213111Left lateralSurveillance921490CentralNo adenoma-1516Kight medialSurveillance241640Right medialREA01773CentralSurveillance2418Right medialSurveillance2419101Left lateralSurveillance4319111Surveillance24101Surveillance1415111Left lateralSurveillance24111Surveillance14151213 <td< th=""><th>Case no.</th><th>Diameter HCA at diagnosis (mm)</th><th>Location of HCA</th><th>Elective treatment of HCA</th><th>Last known HCA diamete (mm)</th></td<>	Case no.	Diameter HCA at diagnosis (mm)	Location of HCA	Elective treatment of HCA	Last known HCA diamete (mm)
1200Right lateral (sVI/VII)Surveillance (sVI/VII)6276Right lateral (sVI/VII)Surveillance22360Right medial (sVI)Surveillance26360Right medial (sVII)Surveillance23480Right medial (sVII)Surveillance53580Right lateral (sVI)Resection (sVI)-675Right lateral (sVI/VII)Surveillance8775Right medial (sVI/VII)Surveillance358143Right medial (sVI/VII)Surveillance40945Right medial (sV/VII)Surveillance181039Right medial (sVI/VII)Surveillance211150Right medial (sVI/VII)Surveillance211292Right lateral (sVI/VII)Surveillance9213111Left lateral (sIV/VII)Surveillance921490Central (sVI/VII)No adenoma - (sIV/VII)19100Left lateral (sVI/VII)Surveillance241555Right medial (sV/VIII)Surveillance43241640Right medial 	Initially co	nservatively trea	ted		
276Right lateral (sVI/VII)Surveillance (sVI)22360Right lateral (sVI)Surveillance26 (sVI)480Right medial (sVIII)Surveillance53 (sVIII)580Right lateral (sVI/VII)REA0 (sVI/VII)675Right lateral (sVI/VII)RFA0 (sVI/VII)775Right medial (sVI/VII)Surveillance8 (sVI/VII)8143Right medial (sVI/VII)Surveillance40 (sV/VIII)945Right medial (sVI)Surveillance18 (sVI)1039Right medial (sVI)Surveillance21 (sVI)1150Right medial (sVI)Surveillance21 (sVI)1292Right lateral (sVI/VII)Surveillance43 (sVI/VII)13111Left lateral (sVV/VIII)Surveillance20 (sVI/VII)1490Central (sVV/VIII)No adenoma - tissue after resection-1555Right medial (sV/VIII)Surveillance20 (sV/VIII)1640Right medial (sV/VIII)Surveillance41 (sV/VIII)1773Central (sV/VIII)Surveillance42 (sV/VIII)1880Right lateral (sV/VVIII)Surveillance43 (sIV/VVIII)2155Right lateral (sIV/VVIII)Surveillance38 (sVII)2155Right lateral (sVIV)Surv	1	200	Right lateral (sVI/VII)	Surveillance	6
360Right lateral (sVI)Surveillance (sVI)26480Right medial (sVI)Surveillance53580Right lateral 	2	76	Right lateral (sVI/VII)	Surveillance	22
480Right medial (sVII)Surveillance53580Right lateral (sVI)Resection-675Right lateral (sVI/VII)RFA0675Right lateral 	3	60	Right lateral (sVI)	Surveillance	26
580Right lateral (sVI)Resection (sVI)675Right lateral (sVI/VII)RFA0 	4	80	Right medial (sVIII)	Surveillance	53
675Right lateral (sVI/VII)RFA0775Right lateral (sVI/VII)Surveillance88143Right medial (sVI/VII)Surveillance35945Right medial 	5	80	Right lateral	Resection	-
775Right lateral (sVI/VII)Surveillance88143Right medial (sVIII)Surveillance35 (sVIII)945Right medial (sV/VIII)Surveillance40 (sV/VIII)1039Right lateral (sVI)Surveillance18 (sVII)1150Right medial (sVI)Surveillance21 (sV)1292Right medial (sVI/VII)Surveillance92 (sII/VII)13111Left lateral (sV/VIII)Surveillance92 (sII/VIII)1490Central (sVVIII)No adenoma tissue after resection-1555Right medial (sVVIII)Surveillance20 (sVIII)1640Right medial (sV/VIII)RFA0 (sV/VIII)1773Central (sVI/VIII)Surveillance24 (sV/VIII)19100Left lateral (sIV/VVIII)Surveillance45 (sII/III)20100Central (sVV/VIII)SAE42 (sIV/VVIII)2155Right lateral (sIVI)Surveillance38 (sVII)2225Left lateral (sIII)Surveillance17 (sIII)2387Right lateral (sVII)Surveillance62 (sVII)	6	75	Right lateral	RFA	0
8143Right medial (sVIII)Surveillance35945Right medial (sV/VIII)Surveillance401039Right lateral (sVI)Surveillance181150Right medial (sVI)Surveillance211292Right lateral (sVI/VII)Surveillance4313111Left lateral (sVI/VII)Surveillance921490Central (sIV/VIII)No adenoma tissue after resection-1555Right medial (sVVIII)Surveillance201640Right medial (sVVIII)RFA01773Central (sIV/VIII)Surveillance241880Right lateral (sVVVIII)Surveillance2419100Left lateral (sIV/VIII)Surveillance4520100Central (sIV/VIII)SAE422155Right lateral (sIV/VIII)Surveillance352225Left lateral (sIII)Surveillance172387Right lateral (sVII)Surveillance62	7	75	Right lateral	Surveillance	8
945Right medial (sV/VIII)Surveillance401039Right medial (sVII)Surveillance181150Right medial (sVII)Surveillance211150Right medial (sV)Surveillance431292Right lateral (sV/VII)Surveillance4313111Left lateral (sIV/VII)Surveillance921490Central (sIV/VIII)No adenoma tissue after-1490Central (sVIVII)No adenoma tissue after-1555Right medial (sVVIII)Surveillance201640Right medial (sVVVIII)RFA01773Central (sVVVIII)Surveillance401880Right lateral (sIV/VIII)Surveillance2419100Left lateral (sIV/VIII)Surveillance4519100Central (sIV/VIII)SAE4220100Central (sIV/VIII)SAE422155Right lateral (sIV/VVIII)Surveillance382225Left lateral (sIII)Surveillance172387Right lateral (sVII)Surveillance62	8	143	Right medial	Surveillance	35
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	9	45	Right medial	Surveillance	40
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } 11 & 50 & \operatorname{Right} \operatorname{medial} & \operatorname{Surveillance} & 21 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	10	39	Right lateral	Surveillance	18
1292Right lateral (sVI/VII)Surveillance43 (sVI/VII)13111Left lateral (sII/III)Surveillance92 (sII/III)13111Left lateral (sII/VIII)Surveillance92 (sII/III)1490Central (sIV/VIII)No adenoma tissue after resection-1490Central (sIV/VIII)No adenoma tissue after resection-1555Right medial (sVIVII)Surveillance20 (sVIII)1640Right medial (sV/VIII)RFA0 (sV/VIII)1773Central (sIV/VIII)Surveillance40 (sIV/VIII)1880Right lateral (sII/III)Surveillance45 (sII/III)20100Central (sII/III)SAE42 (sIV/V/VIII)2155Right lateral (sVII)Surveillance38 (sVII)2387Right lateral (sVII)Surveillance62 (sVII)	11	50	Right medial	Surveillance	21
13111Left lateral (sII/III)Surveillance92 (sII/III)11111Left lateral (sII/III)Surveillance92 (sII/III)1190Central (sIV/VIII)No adenoma tissue after resection-1490Central (sIV/VIII)No adenoma tissue after resection-1555Right medial (sVIII)Surveillance20 (sVIII)1640Right medial (sV/VIII)RFA0 (sV/VIII)1773Central (sIV/VIII)Surveillance40 (sIV/VIII)1880Right lateral (sII/III)Surveillance24 (sVI/VIII)20100Central (sII/III)SAE42 (sIV/V/VIII)2155Right lateral (sIII)Surveillance38 (sVII)2225Left lateral (sIII)Surveillance17 (sIII)2387Right lateral (sVII)Surveillance62 (sVII)	12	92	Right lateral	Surveillance	43
Initially treated with intervention 14 90 Central No adenoma - (sIV/VIII) tissue after resection 15 55 Right medial Surveillance 20 (sVIII) 16 40 Right medial RFA 0 (sV/VIII) 17 73 Central Surveillance 40 (sIV/VIII) 18 80 Right lateral Surveillance 24 (sV/VIII) 19 100 Left lateral Surveillance 45 (sII/III) 20 100 Central SAE 42 (sIV/V/VIII) 21 55 Right lateral Surveillance 38 (sVI) 22 25 Left lateral Surveillance 17 (sIII) 23 87 Right lateral Surveillance 62 (sVII)	13	111	Left lateral	Surveillance	92
1490Central (sIV/VIII)No adenoma tissue after resection1555Right medial (sVIII)Surveillance20 (sVIII)1640Right medial (sV/VIII)RFA0 (sV/VIII)1773Central (sIV/VIII)Surveillance40 (sIV/VIII)1880Right lateral (sVI/VIII)SAE24 (sV/VIII)19100Left lateral (sII/III)SAE42 (sIV/V/VIII)20100Central (sII/VII)SAE42 (sIV/V/VIII)2155Right lateral (sVIII)Surveillance38 (sVII)2225Left lateral (sIII)Surveillance17 (sIII)2387Right lateral (sVII)Surveillance62 (sVII)	Initially tr	eated with interv	ention		
$\begin{array}{c c} (SIV/VIII) & \text{Hissue arter} \\ resection \\ \hline rese$	14	90	Central	No adenoma	-
1535Right literial (sVIII)Surveillance261640Right medial (sVVIII)RFA01773Central (sIV/VIII)Surveillance401880Right lateral (sIV/VIII)Surveillance2419100Left lateral (sII/III)SAE4220100Central (sIV/V/VIII)SAE422155Right lateral (sVII)Surveillance382225Left lateral (sIII)Surveillance172387Right lateral (sVII)Surveillance62	15	55	Right medial	resection	20
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } & 40 & \operatorname{Right medial} & \operatorname{RFA} & 0 \\ & (\mathrm{sV/VIII}) & & & & \\ & (\mathrm{sV/VIII}) & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & $	15	55	(sVIII)	Survemance	20
1773Central (sIV/VIII)Surveillance40 (sIV/VIII)1880Right lateral (sIV/VIII)Surveillance24 (sVI/VIII)19100Left lateral (sII/III)Surveillance45 (sII/VIII)20100Central (sIV/V/VIII)SAE42 (sIV/V/VIII)2155Right lateral (sVII)Surveillance38 (sVII)2225Left lateral (sIII)Surveillance17 (sIII)2387Right lateral (sVII)Surveillance62 (sVII)	16	40	Right medial	RFA	0
18 80 Right lateral Surveillance 24 (sVI/VIII) (sVI/VIII) 19 100 Left lateral Surveillance 45 19 100 Left lateral Surveillance 45 20 100 Central SAE 42 (sIV/V/VIII) 21 55 Right lateral Surveillance 38 22 25 Left lateral Surveillance 17 23 87 Right lateral Surveillance 62	17	73	Central	Surveillance	40
19 100 Left lateral Surveillance 45 (sII/III) 20 100 Central SAE 42 (sIV/V/VIII) 21 55 Right lateral Surveillance 38 (sVI) 22 25 Left lateral Surveillance 17 (sIII) 23 87 Right lateral Surveillance 62	18	80	Right lateral	Surveillance	24
20100CentralSAE42(sIV/V/VIII)2155Right lateralSurveillance38(sVII)2225Left lateralSurveillance17(sIII)	19	100	Left lateral	Surveillance	45
2155Right lateralSurveillance382225Left lateralSurveillance172387Right lateralSurveillance62(sVII)	20	100	Central	SAE	42
22 25 Left lateral Surveillance 17 (sIII) 23 87 Right lateral Surveillance 62 (sVII)	21	55	Right lateral	Surveillance	38
23 87 Right lateral Surveillance 62 (sVII)	22	25	Left lateral	Surveillance	17
	23	87	Right lateral (sVII)	Surveillance	62

most likely the result of the hemorrhage itself, which makes it very difficult to distinguish HCA subtypes according to imaging characteristics. As we only knew the subtype for 3 of the patients in our study population (all I-HCA), we cannot make a judgement about the distribution of the various subtypes and the relationship to the different variables. Previous studies have shown that the risk for hemorrhage is the greatest in I-HCA^[8], which was confirmed in our population.

patients, the HCA subtype remained unknown. This is

It was noticed that in our study 60.8% of the ruptured HCA were located in the right or left lateral liver, and the remaining 39.1% were located medial or central. This does not entirely correspond to the study by Bieze *et al*^[8] that was conducted in 2014, in which those authors identified HCA located in the left lateral liver as a risk factor for hemorrhage. It is thought that the medial or central location and maximum surrounding liver tissue prevent rupture of the HCA by tamponade. Our study likewise suggests that medial or centrally located HCA may cause hemorrhage as well.

The biggest limitation of this retrospective study is the design, which has inherent bias. By requesting data from all of the treatment hospitals, the proportion of missing data was kept to a minimum. In addition, hemorrhage makes the measurement of HCA diameter more difficult and less reliable. Therefore, the reported median diameter of 76 mm for the HCAs at presentation might be an overestimation.

In conclusion, this study confirmed that patients with massive hemorrhage due to ruptured HCA but with cessation of bleeding and in stable condition may be treated conservatively in the acute situation. SAE can be a solution for unstable patients with persistent bleeding and decreasing hemoglobin levels. No longterm complications were documented. As the risk of rebleeding is very low after cessation of OC and most HCAs regress spontaneously, secondary treatments, such as tumor resection, SAE or RFA, may only be considered in patients with HCA > 5 cm after followup or in patients with an expressed desire for future pregnancy. However, regular follow-up by imaging in these patients should be considered.

COMMENTS

Background

Hepatocellular adenoma (HCA) is a rare benign liver tumor that may present with spontaneous massive hemorrhage.

with massive hemorrhage due to ruptured HCA are Research frontiers recommended to be transferred to a center with an This study provides unique insights into the outcome of acute management interventional radiology department and the possibility of these patients and the sequelae of massive bleeding, including risk of

to perform SAE. To the best of our knowledge, this study presented herein is the first to assess outcome and risk of rebleeding in patients with massive hemorrhage due to ruptured HCA. Unfortunately, for most of the included

RFA: Radiofrequency ablation; s: Liver segment; SAE: Selective arterial

benign liver tumors^[13]. In this guideline, patients

embolization. HCA: Hepatocellular adenoma.

Innovations and breakthroughs

rebleeding and need for elective tumor resection.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study assessing the outcome and the sequelae of massive bleeding, including the risk of rebleeding and need for

Klompenhouwer AJ et al. (Outcome of massive bleeding in HCA
---------------------------	------------------------------------



elective tumor resection, in this patient population. As massive bleeding due to ruptured HCA is rare, we present a unique series of 23 cases.

Applications

This study confirms that patients with massive hemorrhage due to ruptured HCA, but with cessation of bleeding and in stable condition, may be treated conservatively in the acute situation. Embolization can be a solution for unstable patients with persistent bleeding and decreasing hemoglobin levels. As the risk of rebleeding is very low after cessation of OC and most HCAs regress spontaneously, secondary treatment may only be considered in patients with HCA > 5 cm after follow-up or in patients with an expressed desire for pregnancy. However, regular follow-up by imaging in these patients should be considered.

Terminology

HCA is a rare benign liver tumor related to use of estrogen-containing oral contraceptives, and which may present with spontaneous massive hemorrhage.

Peer-review

This is an interesting clinically relevant study. It is well conducted and well written. The outcome is useful in the clinical management of HCA.

REFERENCES

- Baum JK, Bookstein JJ, Holtz F, Klein EW. Possible association between benign hepatomas and oral contraceptives. *Lancet* 1973; 2: 926-929 [PMID: 4126557 DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(73)92594-4]
- Baek S, Sloane CE, Futterman SC. Benign liver cell adenoma associated with use of oral contraceptive agents. *Ann Surg* 1976; 183: 239-242 [PMID: 176959 DOI: 10.1097/00000658-197603000 -00003]
- 3 Rooks JB, Ory HW, Ishak KG, Strauss LT, Greenspan JR, Hill AP, Tyler CW Jr. Epidemiology of hepatocellular adenoma. The role of oral contraceptive use. *JAMA* 1979; 242: 644-648 [PMID: 221698 DOI: 10.1001/jama.242.7.644]
- 4 De Kock I, Mortelé KJ, Smet B, Gillardin P, Pauwels W, De Backer AI. Hepatic adenomatosis: MR imaging features. *JBR-BTR* 2014; 97: 105-108 [PMID: 25073243 DOI: 10.5334/jbr-btr.25]
- 5 Bioulac-Sage P, Balabaud C, Bedossa P, Scoazec JY, Chiche L, Dhillon AP, Ferrell L, Paradis V, Roskams T, Vilgrain V, Wanless IR, Zucman-Rossi J; Laennec and Elves groups. Pathological diagnosis of liver cell adenoma and focal nodular hyperplasia: Bordeaux update. *J Hepatol* 2007; **46**: 521-527 [PMID: 17239484 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2006.12.007]
- 6 Nault JC, Bioulac-Sage P, Zucman-Rossi J. Hepatocellular benign tumors-from molecular classification to personalized clinical care. *Gastroenterology* 2013; 144: 888-902 [PMID: 23485860 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2013.02.032]
- 7 van Aalten SM, de Man RA, IJzermans JN, Terkivatan T. Systematic review of haemorrhage and rupture of hepatocellular adenomas. *Br J Surg* 2012; 99: 911-916 [PMID: 22619025 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.8762]
- Bieze M, Phoa SS, Verheij J, van Lienden KP, van Gulik TM. Risk factors for bleeding in hepatocellular adenoma. *Br J Surg* 2014; 101: 847-855 [PMID: 24760723 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.9493]
- 9 Pilati C, Letouzé E, Nault JC, Imbeaud S, Boulai A, Calderaro J,

Poussin K, Franconi A, Couchy G, Morcrette G, Mallet M, Taouji S, Balabaud C, Terris B, Canal F, Paradis V, Scoazec JY, de Muret A, Guettier C, Bioulac-Sage P, Chevet E, Calvo F, Zucman-Rossi J. Genomic profiling of hepatocellular adenomas reveals recurrent FRK-activating mutations and the mechanisms of malignant transformation. *Cancer Cell* 2014; **25**: 428-441 [PMID: 24735922 DOI: 10.1016/j.ccr.2014.03.005]

- 10 Edmondson HA, Reynolds TB, Henderson B, Benton B. Regression of liver cell adenomas associated with oral contraceptives. Ann Intern Med 1977; 86: 180-182 [PMID: 835939 DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-86-2-180]
- 11 Bühler H, Pirovino M, Akobiantz A, Altorfer J, Weitzel M, Maranta E, Schmid M. Regression of liver cell adenoma. A followup study of three consecutive patients after discontinuation of oral contraceptive use. *Gastroenterology* 1982; 82: 775-782 [PMID: 6277724]
- 12 Dokmak S, Belghiti J. Will weight loss become a future treatment of hepatocellular adenoma in obese patients? *Liver Int* 2015; 35: 2228-2232 [PMID: 26216699 DOI: 10.1111/liv.12925]
- 13 European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL). EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines on the management of benign liver tumours. *J Hepatol* 2016; 65: 386-398 [PMID: 27085809 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2016.04.001]
- 14 Erdogan D, Busch OR, van Delden OM, Ten Kate FJ, Gouma DJ, van Gulik TM. Management of spontaneous haemorrhage and rupture of hepatocellular adenomas. A single centre experience. *Liver Int* 2006; 26: 433-438 [PMID: 16629646 DOI: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2005.01244.x]
- 15 Huurman VA, Schaapherder AF. Management of ruptured hepatocellular adenoma. *Dig Surg* 2010; 27: 56-60 [PMID: 20357452 DOI: 10.1159/000268427]
- 16 Stoot JH, van der Linden E, Terpstra OT, Schaapherder AF. Lifesaving therapy for haemorrhaging liver adenomas using selective arterial embolization. *Br J Surg* 2007; 94: 1249-1253 [PMID: 17696216 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.5779]
- 17 Terkivatan T, de Wilt JH, de Man RA, van Rijn RR, Tilanus HW, IJzermans JN. Treatment of ruptured hepatocellular adenoma. Br J Surg 2001; 88: 207-209 [PMID: 11167868 DOI: 10.1046/ j.1365-2168.2001.01648.x]
- 18 Parizel PM, Makkat S, Van Miert E, Van Goethem JW, van den Hauwe L, De Schepper AM. Intracranial hemorrhage: principles of CT and MRI interpretation. *Eur Radiol* 2001; 11: 1770-1783 [PMID: 11511901 DOI: 10.1007/s00330000800]
- 19 van Aalten SM, Thomeer MG, Terkivatan T, Dwarkasing RS, Verheij J, de Man RA, Ijzermans JN. Hepatocellular adenomas: correlation of MR imaging findings with pathologic subtype classification. *Radiology* 2011; 261: 172-181 [PMID: 21875850 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.11110023]
- 20 Ronot M, Bahrami S, Calderaro J, Valla DC, Bedossa P, Belghiti J, Vilgrain V, Paradis V. Hepatocellular adenomas: accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging and liver biopsy in subtype classification. *Hepatology* 2011; 53: 1182-1191 [PMID: 21480324 DOI: 10.1002/hep.24147]
- 21 Laumonier H, Bioulac-Sage P, Laurent C, Zucman-Rossi J, Balabaud C, Trillaud H. Hepatocellular adenomas: magnetic resonance imaging features as a function of molecular pathological classification. *Hepatology* 2008; 48: 808-818 [PMID: 18688875 DOI: 10.1002/hep.22417]

P- Reviewer: Bayraktar Y, El-Salhy M, Lee HC, Perez-Cuadrado-Robles E S- Editor: Ma YJ L- Editor: A E- Editor: Zhang FF





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk http://www.wjgnet.com





© 2017 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.