

The association between school environment and children's general health and oral health outcomes in Australia

Katie Beckwith

A thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Dentistry, The University of Adelaide

November 2015

Supervised by Professor David Brennan

Co-supervised by Associate Professor Loc Do

Australian Research Centre for Population Oral Health

School of Dentistry, The University of Adelaide

Table of Contents

TABLE OF TABLES	iii
TABLE OF FIGURES	vii
ABSTRACT	ix
DECLARATION	x
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xi
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 THEORETICAL BACKGROUND.....	2
2.1 DEFINITION OF EDUCATION	2
2.2 RELEVANCE OF SCHOOLING IN SOCIETY	2
2.3 HISTORY OF SCHOOLING	3
2.4 POSITIVE OUTCOMES OF SCHOOLING	4
2.5 NEGATIVE OUTCOMES OF SCHOOLING	8
2.6 SIGNIFICANCE OF SCHOOLING	11
2.7 RESEARCH QUESTION.....	13
3 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	13
3.1 STATE OF ORAL HEALTH IN AUSTRALIA.....	13
3.1.1 <i>Oral health in children</i>	13
3.1.2 <i>Oral health impact and burden</i>	20
3.1.3 <i>Summary</i>	22
3.2 CHILD ORAL HEALTH AND SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT	22
3.2.1 <i>Conceptual model</i>	23
3.2.2 <i>Child oral health outcomes</i>	26
3.2.3 <i>School environment</i>	38
3.3 CHAPTER SUMMARY.....	48
3.4 AIM, OBJECTIVES AND HYPOTHESES	48
4 METHOD.....	49
4.1 SURVEY DATA COLLECTION	49
4.1.1 <i>Design</i>	49
4.1.2 <i>Participants</i>	49
4.1.3 <i>Methodology</i>	50
4.1.4 <i>Instrument</i>	51
4.1.5 <i>Pre-test</i>	55
4.1.6 <i>Ethics</i>	57
4.2 SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS ADMINISTRATIVE DATA COLLECTION	57
4.3 DATA METHODS	59
4.3.1 <i>Data items</i>	59
4.3.2 <i>Data analysis</i>	61
5 RESULTS	65
5.1 RESPONSE AND REPRESENTATIVENESS	65
5.1.1 <i>Schools</i>	65
5.1.2 <i>Children</i>	66

5.2	PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS AND DATA REDUCTION	72
5.2.1	<i>Phase one questionnaire</i>	72
5.2.2	<i>Phase two questionnaire - child-level</i>	74
5.2.3	<i>Phase two questionnaire - school-level</i>	82
5.2.4	<i>School characteristics collection</i>	86
5.2.5	<i>Summary</i>	89
5.3	FINAL ANALYSIS	90
5.3.1	<i>Univariable analysis</i>	90
5.3.2	<i>Bivariable analysis</i>	96
5.3.3	<i>Multivariable analysis</i>	120
5.4	SUMMARY	193
6	DISCUSSION.....	196
6.1	GENERAL CONTEXTUAL EFFECTS.....	196
6.1.1	<i>Summary of findings</i>	196
6.1.2	<i>Interpretations</i>	199
6.2	SPECIFIC EFFECTS	200
6.2.1	<i>Summary of findings</i>	200
6.2.2	<i>Interpretation</i>	204
6.3	MAIN FEATURES.....	211
6.4	IMPLICATIONS.....	212
6.5	FUTURE WORK	214
6.6	LIMITATIONS	215
6.7	CONCLUSION	217
7	APPENDICES	218
7.1	HUMAN RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE APPLICATION TO THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE	218
7.2	ADDITIONAL DATA METHODS INFORMATION	220
7.2.1	<i>Data management</i>	220
7.2.2	<i>Data analysis</i>	221
7.3	CALCULATION OF DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED SURFACES	222
7.4	DATA RULES FOR INPUT OF PARENT RESPONSES ON QUESTIONNAIRE ITEMS	223
7.4.1	<i>Phase one questionnaire questions</i>	223
7.4.2	<i>Phase two questionnaire questions</i>	225
7.5	COMPARISON OF NCOHS AND ABS CENSUS DATA ACROSS DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC GROUPS	228
7.6	PARENT RESPONSES TO PERCEPTIONS OF SCHOOL ITEMS - FREQUENCIES.....	230
7.7	DICHOTOMISATION OF DATA ITEMS FROM PHASE TWO QUESTIONNAIRE - CHILD LEVEL	236
7.8	PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ANALYSIS FOR PHASE TWO QUESTIONNAIRE - CHILD LEVEL	238
7.9	PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ANALYSIS FOR PHASE TWO QUESTIONNAIRE - SCHOOL LEVEL	241
7.10	PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ANALYSIS FOR SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS.....	245
8	REFERENCES	248

Table of Tables

TABLE 3-1 CARIES EXPERIENCE OF 6-YEAR-OLD AUSTRALIAN CHILDREN, 1990 TO 2009	14
TABLE 3-2 AVERAGE NUMBER OF DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED PERMANENT TEETH (DMFT) FOR 12-YEAR-OLD CHILDREN BY COUNTRY, 1985 TO 2014.....	15
TABLE 3-3 AVERAGE NUMBER OF DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED PERMANENT TEETH (DMFT) FOR 12-YEAR-OLD CHILDREN BY COUNTRY (SELECTED OECD COUNTRIES), 2000–03 AND 2010–13	16
TABLE 3-4 PERCENTAGE OF YOUNG ADULTS WITH AND WITHOUT DENTAL CARIES EXPERIENCE (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS)	19
TABLE 3-5 EXPENDITURE ON DENTAL SERVICES IN 2011–12 BY SOURCE OF FUNDS (\$MILLIONS)	20
TABLE 3-6 PREVALENCE OF FINANCIAL BARRIERS TO DENTAL VISITING, 2010 (PER CENT)	21
TABLE 3-7 FAMILY-AND COMMUNITY-LEVEL INFLUENCES ON CHILDREN'S ORAL HEALTH FROM CONCEPTUAL MODEL BY FISHER-OWENS ET AL. (2007) AND CORRESPONDING SCHOOL-LEVEL INFLUENCES	24
TABLE 3-8 DETAILS OF STUDIES REVIEWED WITH PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH AS A DEPENDENT VARIABLE.....	29
TABLE 3-9 DETAILS OF STUDIES REVIEWED WITH SES AS AN INDEPENDENT VARIABLE.....	31
TABLE 3-10 DETAILS OF STUDIES REVIEWED WITH INCOME AS AN INDEPENDENT VARIABLE.....	32
TABLE 3-11 DETAILS OF STUDIES REVIEWED WITH CULTURAL BACKGROUND AS AN INDEPENDENT VARIABLE.....	33
TABLE 3-12 DETAILS OF STUDIES REVIEWED WITH DENTAL BELIEFS AND BEHAVIOURS AS INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	34
TABLE 3-13 DETAILS OF STUDIES REVIEWED WITH FAMILY FUNCTIONING AND/OR SOCIAL SUPPORT AS AN INDEPENDENT VARIABLE	37
TABLE 3-14 DETAILS OF STUDIES REVIEWED WITH SCHOOL SECTOR OR SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS AS AN INDEPENDENT VARIABLE.....	41
TABLE 3-15 DETAILS OF STUDIES REVIEWED WITH CLASS SIZE AS AN INDEPENDENT VARIABLE	42
TABLE 3-16 DETAILS OF STUDIES WITH SCHOOL ADOPTION OF THE HEALTH PROMOTING SCHOOL FRAMEWORK AS AN INDEPENDENT VARIABLE	45
TABLE 3-17 DETAILS OF STUDIES WITH SCHOOL SOCIAL FACTORS AS AN INDEPENDENT VARIABLE	47
TABLE 4-1 NCOHS SCHOOL AND CHILD TARGET RESPONDENT NUMBERS FOR NSW, SA AND ACT	50
TABLE 4-2 DATA COLLECTED	60
TABLE 4-3 OUTCOME MEASURES	61
TABLE 4-4 EFFECT SIZE FOR TETRACHORIC CORRELATIONS.....	62
TABLE 4-5 MODELLING PROCESS FOR ADJUSTED REGRESSION MODELS.....	64
TABLE 5-1 NCOHS SCHOOL SAMPLE AND ABS SCHOOL POPULATION DATA BY TYPE AND SECTOR IN NSW, SA AND ACT	65
TABLE 5-2 NCOHS SCHOOL SAMPLE BY TYPE IN NSW, SA AND ACT	66
TABLE 5-3 NUMBER OF CHILDREN THAT PARTICIPATED IN PHASE ONE OF THE NCOHS IN NSW, SA AND ACT	66
TABLE 5-4 NUMBER OF CHILDREN INELIGIBLE TO PARTICIPATE IN NCOHS PHASE TWO QUESTIONNAIRE IN NSW, SA AND ACT	67
TABLE 5-5 NCOHS SAMPLE AND ABS POPULATION DATA PERCENTAGES BY AGE GROUP, SEX AND RESIDENTIAL REGION.....	68
TABLE 5-6 RESPONSE, REFUSAL, CONTACT AND COOPERATION RATES FOR NCOHS PHASE TWO QUESTIONNAIRE IN NSW, SA AND ACT	70
TABLE 5-7 NUMBER OF CHILDREN THAT PARTICIPATED IN NCOHS PHASE TWO QUESTIONNAIRE IN NSW, SA AND ACT	70
TABLE 5-8 NCOHS SAMPLE PERCENTAGES BY AGE GROUP, SEX AND RESIDENTIAL REGION	71
TABLE 5-9 ABS POPULATION DATA COMPARISON OF DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS - NSW, SA AND ACT COMBINED, TOTAL P2	72
TABLE 5-10 PARENT RESPONSES TO SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC QUESTIONS	73
TABLE 5-11 TETRACHORIC/POLYCHORIC CORRELATION MATRIX FOR SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES	74
TABLE 5-12 PARENT RESPONSES TO PERCEPTION OF AND INVOLVEMENT IN SCHOOL COMMITTEES (Q21).....	75
TABLE 5-13 DICHOTOMISED PARENT RESPONSES TO PERCEPTIONS OF SCHOOL QUESTIONS.....	78
TABLE 5-14 TETRACHORIC CORRELATION MATRIX FOR SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES - CHILD-LEVEL	79
TABLE 5-15 FINAL FACTOR PATTERN FROM PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ANALYSIS FOR PARENT PERCEPTION OF CHILD'S SCHOOL VARIABLES - CHILD-LEVEL	80
TABLE 5-16 CHILD-LEVEL PARENT PERCEPTIONS: MEAN, STANDARD ERROR OF THE MEAN AND RANGE OF CREATED FACTOR SCORES	81
TABLE 5-17 CATEGORISATION OF QUALITY OF BUILDINGS/GROUND AND CLASSROOMS (QUALBGC) FOR FINAL ANALYSIS	81
TABLE 5-18 CATEGORISATION OF QUALITY OF TEACHERS (QUALTCH) FOR FINAL ANALYSIS	82

TABLE 5-19 TOTAL SAMPLE DATA COMPARISON OF DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS WITH RECORDS LOST FROM THE CREATION OF SCHOOL-LEVEL PARENT PERCEPTION VARIABLES.....	82
TABLE 5-20 SCHOOL-LEVEL PARENT PERCEPTIONS: MEAN, STANDARD ERROR OF THE MEAN AND RANGE OF SCORES	83
TABLE 5-21 SPEARMAN CORRELATION MATRIX FOR SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES - SCHOOL-LEVEL	84
TABLE 5-22 FINAL FACTOR PATTERN FROM PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ANALYSIS FOR PARENT PERCEPTION OF CHILD'S SCHOOL VARIABLES - SCHOOL-LEVEL.....	85
TABLE 5-23 SCHOOL-LEVEL PARENT PERCEPTIONS: MEAN, STANDARD ERROR OF THE MEAN AND RANGE OF CREATED FACTOR SCORES.....	86
TABLE 5-24 SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS DATA	87
TABLE 5-25 SPEARMAN CORRELATION MATRIX FOR SCHOOL CHARACTERISTIC VARIABLES.....	87
TABLE 5-26 FINAL FACTOR PATTERN FROM PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ANALYSIS FOR SCHOOL CHARACTERISTIC VARIABLES	88
TABLE 5-27 SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS: MEAN, STANDARD ERROR OF THE MEAN AND RANGE OF CREATED FACTOR SCORE.....	88
TABLE 5-28 INDEPENDENT DATA ITEMS FOR FINAL ANALYSIS	89
TABLE 5-29 UNIVARIABLE STATISTICS FOR INDEPENDENT VARIABLES: TOTAL SAMPLE, DECIDUOUS SUBSET AND PERMANENT SUBSET, PART 1	91
TABLE 5-30 UNIVARIABLE STATISTICS FOR INDEPENDENT VARIABLES: TOTAL SAMPLE, DECIDUOUS SUBSET AND PERMANENT SUBSET, PART 2	92
TABLE 5-31 UNIVARIABLE STATISTICS FOR INDEPENDENT VARIABLES: TOTAL SAMPLE, DECIDUOUS SUBSET AND PERMANENT SUBSET, PART 3	93
TABLE 5-32 UNIVARIABLE STATISTICS FOR INDEPENDENT VARIABLES: TOTAL SAMPLE, DECIDUOUS SUBSET AND PERMANENT SUBSET, PART 4	94
TABLE 5-33 UNIVARIABLE STATISTICS FOR OUTCOME MEASURES: TOTAL SAMPLE, DECIDUOUS SUBSET AND PERMANENT SUBSET	95
TABLE 5-34 BIVARIABLE STATISTICS: SUBOPTIMAL PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), TOTAL SAMPLE	97
TABLE 5-35 BIVARIABLE STATISTICS: SUBOPTIMAL PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), TOTAL SAMPLE	99
TABLE 5-36 BIVARIABLE STATISTICS: SUBOPTIMAL PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET.....	101
TABLE 5-37 BIVARIABLE STATISTICS: SUBOPTIMAL PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET	103
TABLE 5-38 BIVARIABLE STATISTICS: PRESENCE OF CARIES (<i>POC</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET.....	105
TABLE 5-39 BIVARIABLE STATISTICS: DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED SURFACES (<i>DMFS</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET	107
TABLE 5-40 BIVARIABLE STATISTICS: UNTREATED DECAYED SURFACES (<i>UD</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET.....	109
TABLE 5-41 BIVARIABLE STATISTICS: SUBOPTIMAL PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET.....	111
TABLE 5-42 BIVARIABLE STATISTICS: SUBOPTIMAL PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET.....	113
TABLE 5-43 BIVARIABLE STATISTICS: PRESENCE OF CARIES (<i>POC</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET	115
TABLE 5-44 BIVARIABLE STATISTICS: DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED SURFACES (<i>DMFS</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET.....	117
TABLE 5-45 BIVARIABLE STATISTICS UNTREATED DECAYED SURFACES (<i>UD</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET.....	119
TABLE 5-46 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), TOTAL SAMPLE, REFERENCE MODEL (MODEL 0)	120
TABLE 5-47 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), TOTAL SAMPLE, CHILD-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 1–3)	121
TABLE 5-48 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), TOTAL SAMPLE, SCHOOL-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 4–6)	123
TABLE 5-49 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), TOTAL SAMPLE, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8)	125
TABLE 5-50 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), TOTAL SAMPLE, REFERENCE MODEL (MODEL 0).....	126
TABLE 5-51 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), TOTAL SAMPLE, CHILD-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 1–3) .	127
TABLE 5-52 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), TOTAL SAMPLE, SCHOOL-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 4–6)	129
TABLE 5-53 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), TOTAL SAMPLE, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8) ..	131
TABLE 5-54 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, REFERENCE MODEL (MODEL 0).....	132
TABLE 5-55 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, CHILD-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 1–3)....	133
TABLE 5-56 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, SCHOOL-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 4–6)..	135
TABLE 5-57 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8) ..	137
TABLE 5-58 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, REFERENCE MODEL (MODEL 0) ...	138
TABLE 5-59 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, CHILD-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 1–3)	139

TABLE 5-60 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, SCHOOL-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 4–6)	141
TABLE 5-61 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8)	143
TABLE 5-62 ADJUSTED MODELS: PRESENCE OF CARIES (<i>POC</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, REFERENCE MODEL (MODEL 0)	144
TABLE 5-63 ADJUSTED MODELS: PRESENCE OF CARIES (<i>POC</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, CHILD-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 1–3)	145
TABLE 5-64 ADJUSTED MODELS: PRESENCE OF CARIES (<i>POC</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, SCHOOL-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 4–6)	147
TABLE 5-65 ADJUSTED MODELS: PRESENCE OF CARIES (<i>POC</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8)	149
TABLE 5-66 ADJUSTED MODELS: DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED SURFACES (<i>DMFS</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, REFERENCE MODEL (MODEL 0)	150
TABLE 5-67 ADJUSTED MODELS: DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED SURFACES (<i>DMFS</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, CHILD-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 1–3)	151
TABLE 5-68 ADJUSTED MODELS: DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED SURFACES (<i>DMFS</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, SCHOOL-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 4–6)	153
TABLE 5-69 ADJUSTED MODELS: DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED SURFACES (<i>DMFS</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8), PART 1	154
TABLE 5-70 ADJUSTED MODELS: DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED SURFACES (<i>DMFS</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8), PART 2	155
TABLE 5-71 ADJUSTED MODELS: UNTREATED DECAYED SURFACES (<i>UD</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, REFERENCE MODEL (MODEL 0)	156
TABLE 5-72 ADJUSTED MODELS: UNTREATED DECAYED SURFACES (<i>UD</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, CHILD-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 1–3)	157
TABLE 5-73 ADJUSTED MODELS: UNTREATED DECAYED SURFACES (<i>UD</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, SCHOOL-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 4–6) .	159
TABLE 5-74 ADJUSTED MODELS: UNTREATED DECAYED SURFACES (<i>UD</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8), PART 1	160
TABLE 5-75 ADJUSTED MODELS: UNTREATED DECAYED SURFACES (<i>UD</i>), DECIDUOUS SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8), PART 2	161
TABLE 5-76 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, REFERENCE MODEL (MODEL 0).....	162
TABLE 5-77 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, CHILD-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 1–3) ...	163
TABLE 5-78 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, SCHOOL-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 4–6) .	165
TABLE 5-79 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD HEALTH (<i>PRH</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8)	167
TABLE 5-80 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, REFERENCE MODEL (MODEL 0) ..	168
TABLE 5-81 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, CHILD-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 1–3)	169
TABLE 5-82 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, SCHOOL-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 4–6)	171
TABLE 5-83 ADJUSTED MODELS: PARENT-RATED CHILD ORAL HEALTH (<i>PROH</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8)	173
TABLE 5-84 ADJUSTED MODELS: PRESENCE OF CARIES (<i>POC</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, REFERENCE MODEL (MODEL 0)	174
TABLE 5-85 ADJUSTED MODELS: PRESENCE OF CARIES (<i>POC</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, CHILD-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 1–3)	175
TABLE 5-86 ADJUSTED MODELS: PRESENCE OF CARIES (<i>POC</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, SCHOOL-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 4–6)	177
TABLE 5-87 ADJUSTED MODELS: PRESENCE OF CARIES (<i>POC</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8).....	179
TABLE 5-88 ADJUSTED MODELS: DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED SURFACES (<i>DMFS</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, REFERENCE MODEL (MODEL 0)	180
TABLE 5-89 ADJUSTED MODELS: DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED SURFACES (<i>DMFS</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, CHILD-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 1–3)	181
TABLE 5-90 ADJUSTED MODELS: DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED SURFACES (<i>DMFS</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, SCHOOL-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 4–6)	183
TABLE 5-91 ADJUSTED MODELS: DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED SURFACES (<i>DMFS</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8), PART 1	184

TABLE 5-92 ADJUSTED MODELS: DECAYED, MISSING AND FILLED SURFACES (<i>DMFS</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8), PART 2.....	185
TABLE 5-93 ADJUSTED MODELS: UNTREATED DECAYED SURFACES (<i>UD</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, REFERENCE MODEL (MODEL 0)	186
TABLE 5-94 ADJUSTED MODELS: UNTREATED DECAYED SURFACES (<i>UD</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, CHILD-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 1–3) ..	187
TABLE 5-95 ADJUSTED MODELS: UNTREATED DECAYED SURFACES (<i>UD</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, SCHOOL-LEVEL MODELS (MODELS 4–6) ..	189
TABLE 5-96 ADJUSTED MODELS: UNTREATED DECAYED SURFACES (<i>UD</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8), PART 1	190
TABLE 5-97 ADJUSTED MODELS: UNTREATED DECAYED SURFACES (<i>UD</i>), PERMANENT SUBSET, MULTILEVEL MODELS (MODELS 7–8), PART 2	191
TABLE 5-98 VARIANCE INFLATION FACTORS (VIF) FOR INDEPENDENT VARIABLES, MODELS 7 AND 8, <i>PRH</i> IN THE TOTAL SAMPLE.....	192
TABLE 5-99 SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY AND DATA REDUCTION ANALYSES, PART 1.....	193
TABLE 5-100 SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY AND DATA REDUCTION ANALYSES, PART 2	194
TABLE 6-1 SUMMARY OF GENERAL CONTEXTUAL EFFECTS: GENERALISED LOGISTIC MODELS (DICHOTOMISED OUTCOME MEASURES)	196
TABLE 6-2 SUMMARY OF GENERAL CONTEXTUAL EFFECTS: LINEAR MODELS (CONTINUOUS OUTCOME MEASURES)	197
TABLE 6-3 SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC EFFECTS: CHILD-LEVEL PARENT PERCEPTIONS OF SCHOOL VARIABLES	201
TABLE 6-4 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS: SCHOOL CHARACTERISTIC VARIABLES	202
TABLE 6-5 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS: SCHOOL-LEVEL PARENT PERCEPTIONS OF SCHOOL VARIABLES	203
TABLE 7-1 MATRIX OF RECORD OUTCOMES BY EMAIL AND TELEPHONE CONTACT OUTCOMES FOR RECORDS WITH NO ADDRESS OR THAT RECEIVE A RETURN TO SENDER	222
TABLE 7-2 ABS POPULATION DATA COMPARISON OF DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS - NSW, TOTAL P2 SAMPLE	228
TABLE 7-3 ABS POPULATION DATA COMPARISON OF DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS - SA, TOTAL P2 SAMPLE	229
TABLE 7-4 ABS POPULATION DATA COMPARISON OF DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS - ACT, TOTAL P2 SAMPLE	230
TABLE 7-5 PCA FOR P2 QUESTIONNAIRE - CHILD-LEVEL, ITERATION 1: FIVE-FACTOR SOLUTION, 18 ITEMS	239
TABLE 7-6 PCA FOR P2 QUESTIONNAIRE - CHILD-LEVEL, ITERATION 2: THREE-FACTOR SOLUTION, 18 ITEMS	239
TABLE 7-7 PCA FOR P2 QUESTIONNAIRE - CHILD-LEVEL, ITERATION 3: TWO-FACTOR SOLUTION, 18 ITEMS	240
TABLE 7-8 PCA FOR P2 QUESTIONNAIRE - CHILD-LEVEL, ITERATION 4: THREE-FACTOR SOLUTION, 16 ITEMS	241
TABLE 7-9 PCA FOR P2 QUESTIONNAIRE - SCHOOL-LEVEL, ITERATION 1: FIVE-FACTOR SOLUTION, 18 ITEMS	242
TABLE 7-10 PCA FOR P2 QUESTIONNAIRE - SCHOOL-LEVEL, ITERATION 2: THREE-FACTOR SOLUTION, 18 ITEMS.....	243
TABLE 7-11 PCA FOR P2 QUESTIONNAIRE - SCHOOL-LEVEL, ITERATION 3: FIVE-FACTOR SOLUTION, 18 ITEMS	244
TABLE 7-12 PCA FOR P2 QUESTIONNAIRE - SCHOOL-LEVEL, ITERATION 4: THREE-FACTOR SOLUTION, 15 ITEMS.....	244
TABLE 7-13 PCA FOR P2 QUESTIONNAIRE - SCHOOL-LEVEL, ITERATION 5: THREE-FACTOR SOLUTION, 14 ITEMS.....	245
TABLE 7-14 PCA FOR SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS, ITERATION 1: THREE-FACTOR SOLUTION, 8 ITEMS	246
TABLE 7-15 PCA FOR SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS, ITERATION 2: TWO-FACTOR SOLUTION, 8 ITEMS	246
TABLE 7-16 PCA FOR SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS, ITERATION 3: ONE-FACTOR SOLUTION, 8 ITEMS	247
TABLE 7-17 PCA FOR SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS, ITERATION 4: ONE-FACTOR SOLUTION, 5 ITEMS	247
TABLE 7-18 PCA FOR SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS, ITERATION 5: ONE-FACTOR SOLUTION, 5 ITEMS (ONE ITEM REVERSED)	247

Table of Figures

FIGURE 2-1 SENSITIVE PERIODS IN EARLY BRAIN DEVELOPMENT	11
FIGURE 3-1 PROPOSED EARLY CHILDHOOD CAVITIES MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY PYRAMID	17
FIGURE 3-2 PERMANENT TEETH: CHILDREN WITH DMFT > 0 BY AGE, 2007	18
FIGURE 3-3 CHAINS OF ORAL HEALTH RISK THROUGH THE LIFE COURSE	19
FIGURE 3-4 THE IMPACT OF ORAL DISEASE.....	22
FIGURE 3-5 CHILD, FAMILY, AND COMMUNITY INFLUENCES ON ORAL HEALTH OUTCOMES OF CHILDREN.....	23
FIGURE 3-6 CONCEPTUAL MODEL: CHILD AND SCHOOL INFLUENCES ON ORAL HEALTH OUTCOMES OF CHILDREN.	25
FIGURE 3-7 REVISED MODEL WITH STANDARDISED PATH COEFFICIENTS IN A SAMPLE OF 6-YEAR-OLD CHILDREN FROM THE NETHERLANDS	36
FIGURE 3-8 INTEGRATED THEORY OF SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT INFLUENCES ON STUDENT	39
FIGURE 3-9 HYPOTHETICAL MODEL PREDICTING STUDENTS' RESILIENCE AS A FUNCTION OF HPS INTERVENTION AND THE PROTECTIVE FACTORS ($R^2 = 0.37$)	44
FIGURE 3-10 DETAILED CONCEPTUAL MODEL: CHILD AND SCHOOL INFLUENCES ON ORAL HEALTH OUTCOMES OF CHILDREN.	48
FIGURE 4-1 PRELIMINARY QUESTIONS FROM THE SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS FOR PARENTS	51
FIGURE 4-2 HEALTH FOCUS QUESTIONS FROM THE SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS FOR PARENTS	52
FIGURE 4-3 SCHOOL RESOURCES QUESTION FROM THE SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS FOR PARENTS	52
FIGURE 4-4 STUDENT MORALE QUESTION FROM THE SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS FOR PARENTS	53
FIGURE 4-5 STUDENT SICK LEAVE QUESTION FROM THE SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS FOR PARENTS	53
FIGURE 4-6 PARENT INVOLVEMENT IN THE SCHOOL QUESTIONS FROM THE SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS FOR PARENTS	54
FIGURE 4-7 SAFETY QUESTIONS FROM THE SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS FOR PARENTS	54
FIGURE 4-8 SOCIAL PROBLEMS QUESTIONS FROM THE SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS FOR PARENTS	55
FIGURE 4-9 DISPUTES QUESTION FROM THE SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS FOR PARENTS	55
FIGURE 5-1 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF NCOHS CHILD SAMPLE	69
FIGURE 5-2 SCREE PLOT FROM PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ANALYSIS FOR PARENT PERCEPTION OF CHILD'S SCHOOL VARIABLES - CHILD-LEVEL	80
FIGURE 5-3 SCREE PLOT FROM PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ANALYSIS FOR PARENT PERCEPTION OF CHILD'S SCHOOL VARIABLES - SCHOOL- LEVEL.....	85
FIGURE 5-4 SCREE PLOT FROM PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ANALYSIS FOR SCHOOL CHARACTERISTIC VARIABLES	88
FIGURE 7-1 SCREE PLOT AND VARIANCE EXPLAINED FOR PCA FOR P2 QUESTIONNAIRE - CHILD-LEVEL.....	238
FIGURE 7-2 SCREE PLOT AND VARIANCE EXPLAINED FOR PCA FOR P2 QUESTIONNAIRE - SCHOOL-LEVEL.....	242
FIGURE 7-3 SCREE PLOT AND VARIANCE EXPLAINED FOR PCA FOR SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS.....	246

Abstract

Schooling forms a large part of a child's life experience and schools are recognised as an appropriate setting for health promotion activities. Characteristics of schools have been associated with various health outcomes. The association between aspects of schools and child oral health outcomes was assessed for a sample of children from New South Wales, South Australia and the Australian Capital Territory.

Parents of a random sample of 5,418 children aged 5–14 years responded to self-complete surveys, and children participated in a dental examination. Parent perceptions of their child's school were collected as were administrative data for participating schools from the MySchool website (including school type, socioeconomic information, number of students and teachers and percentage of students from non-English speaking background). Various health outcome measures were assessed across three sample populations: full sample (children aged 5–14 years), deciduous dentition subset (children aged 5–10 years, n=3,477) and permanent dentition subset (children aged 9–14 years, n=3,044). These included parent-rated health and oral health (*PRH* and *PROH*), presence of deciduous and permanent caries (*poc* and *POC*), deciduous and permanent decayed, missing and filled surfaces (*dmfs* and *DMFS*), and deciduous and permanent untreated decayed surfaces (*ud* and *UD*). Multilevel, multivariable logistic regression analyses were conducted on outcome measures, using child sociodemographic information, MySchool information and parent perception of schools at individual-level (collected) and at school-level (amalgamated).

Reference models for all outcome measures showed significant school-level variation. Among dichotomised outcome measures the Median Odds Ratio (MOR) was between 1.09 (deciduous *PROH*) and 1.50 (deciduous *PRH*). Among continuous outcome measures, the Intraclass Correlation (ICC) was between 2.5% (*dmfs*) and 5.3% (*UD*). The effects were small but have the potential for large consequences when considering population-level impact. In adjusted models, child-level parent perceptions of school variables demonstrated a higher number of significant associations with outcome measures in the permanent rather than the deciduous subset, particularly among clinical outcome measures. School socioeconomic status was persistently associated with outcome measures in the deciduous but not the permanent subset. The opposite was seen for teacher workload. Of school-level parent perceptions of school variables, school relations demonstrated the most persistent associations with outcomes. Better parent perceptions of school were generally associated with better oral health outcomes among children. Outcomes in the permanent subset saw more school-level variation explained in models than outcomes in the deciduous subset, potentially representing effects of longer exposure to school environment among older than younger children. School-level parent perception variables explained more variance than individual-level, supporting the concept of relevant contextual differences in school environment.

There was significant variation across schools for all outcome measures indicating the presence of a general contextual effect of the school environment on child general and oral health outcomes. There were numerous significant specific effects seen in the univariable, bivariable and multivariable analyses. Better parent perceptions of school were generally associated with better health and oral health outcomes among children. Well-considered policy instigating appropriate change in school environment could help alleviate children's oral disease experience.

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signature _____

Date _____/_____/_____

Acknowledgements

My thanks goes first and foremost to both my supervisors for their general belief in my ability to complete a PhD project and thesis. Specifically I am thankful to David Brennan for the enormous amount of help given at various times on various matters and quick turnaround times for provision of feedback on drafts, enabling me to get through the thesis writing process quickly and seamlessly. Thank you to Loc Do particularly for the expertise given during the analytical process.

I would like to express my gratitude to the contributors to the NCOHS project, without which I would have had no data to analyse. These include the NSW, SA and ACT health department personnel comprising NCOHS project coordinators, clinical coordinators and teams of dental examiners and clinical exam data recorders.

I would like to acknowledge the regular members of my crack team of mail out preppers (thank you Annie Nguyen, Brady Stanton, Melissa Crosato, Rachel Varricchio, Olivia Cirillo and Monique Varricchio) who worked with such speed, consistency and precision, ensuring my mail outs went out on schedule each time with all material where it should be. I'd like to make a special mention of Annie for also being a tremendous friend throughout the entire PhD process.

Many thanks go to the administrative team responsible for inputting the vast quantity of data included in this project. Thank you Gemma Pilkington, Rose Thomas, Bev Ellis, Nikkita Dodds and Sarah Harman.

I am thankful for the various input and general support I received from colleagues/students at ARCPOH. I would specifically like to make mention of Kaye Roberts-Thomson for offering me the opportunity to undertake postgraduate research while working at ARCPOH, Liana Luzzi for providing consistent support and friendship throughout the duration of the project, Serge Chrisopoulos for providing information and assistance surrounding the NCOHS methods and Ali White for providing advice on editing and proofing. I also thank the ARCPOH executive group for allowing me access to the NCOHS data to use for my PhD project.

I would like to acknowledge those that contributed data for my project: schools, parents and children participating in the NSW, SA and ACT NCOHS.

A special thank you goes to my friends and family. Specifically I thank my wonderful mother Didi who helped me proof my work and provided constant love and support, particularly in the trying end times of the project. I also thank my stepfather Kent for providing reliability and stability through some difficult times during my candidature. And I would like to express my thanks to the Australian blues dance community for providing a dependable source of joy, lifting my spirits as nothing else can and keeping me in touch with what is important in life.

This research was supported by a Centre for Research Excellence (CRE) Grant (1031310) from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC), a Partnership Grant (1016326) from the NHMRC and a Research Grant (10-2012) from the Australian Dental Research Fund (ADR). The contents are solely the responsibility of the administering institution and authors, and do not reflect the views of NHMRC or ADR. Approval to conduct this research was granted by The University of Adelaide Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC), and the NSW Health, SA Health and ACT Health HRECs.