IMPROVEMENT OF UNDERWATER IMAGE CONTRAST ENHANCEMENT TECHNIQUE BASED ON HISTOGRAM MODIFICATION

AHMAD SHAHRIZAN BIN ABDUL GHANI

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2015

IMPROVEMENT OF UNDERWATER IMAGE CONTRAST ENHANCEMENT

TECHNIQUE BASED ON HISTOGRAM MODIFICATION

by

AHMAD SHAHRIZAN BIN ABDUL GHANI

This thesis is submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 2015

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, all praise is only to Allah. I'm grateful to Allah s.w.t because of His chance that is given to me, I am able to complete my study. Without His permission, all the things that are achieved are impossible. A little knowledge that is obtained during my research in the field of underwater image processing, which is presented through this thesis, is dedicated to anyone who embarks the journey of expanding the collection of knowledge and transcendent passion for image processing.

This time, I want to grip the opportunity to thank everyone that involves in completing my study. Thus, I would like to express the deepest appreciation to those who make this thesis, research, and projects conducted throughout my study, possible. I am deeply indebted to my supervisor and advisor, Associate Professor Dr. Nor Ashidi Mat Isa, whose stimulating motivation and valuable ideas helped me to complete the research and this thesis. His guidance and patience throughout the tumultuous time of conducting scientific investigation related to this project are much appreciated. All his hardwork, advices, and extra time poured in have resulted in the completion of these research and projects.

Further thanks go to Fundamental Research Grant Schema (FRGS) which is awarded by Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia (MOHE), entitled 'The Investigation of New Color Image Illumination Estimation Concept for the Development of New Color-Correction Techniques'. This research is partially supported by this schema.

I'm particularly indebted to my parent, my family, my beloved wife, Iffah, and my lovely children, Ikhwan and Irsyad for inspiring me to finish all my jobs and my responsibilities during my study. Their unconditional support, love, understanding, encouragement, and faith encourage me to finish my study. Further thanks go to TATI University College (TATIUC) which provides financial support for my study. All thanks are also directed to all TATIUC staffs that support me during my journey of study.

In addition, I would like to take this opportunity to thank those people who spend their time and share their knowledge for helping and supporting me in completing my research, especially the members of Imaging and Intelligent System Research Team (ISRT): Sazali, Naim, Wei Hong, Manjur, Jing Rui, Eisha, Suheir, Rawia, Weng Chun, and Teck Long. Many thanks also to Naim and Ja for helping me to capture the underwater images. Special thank is also dedicated to Hafizi, Fauzi, and Faiz for their helps and friendships.

Further, I would like to appreciate the help given by oversea professors. Many thanks are addressed to Associate Professor Dr. Alessandro Rizzi from Universitá degli Studi di Milano, Italy for giving me advices and views in image processing. I appreciate all the shared information and opinions during our discussion. To Professor Dr. –Ing. Dieter Kraus from Institute of Water-Acoustics, Sonar-Engineering, and Signal-Theory (IWSS), Hochschule Bremen, I appreciate the support that you have given to me. You have opened another direction of study that links between the sonar and image processing in perspective of image enhancement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
ABSTRAK	XX
ABSTRACT	xxii

CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background	1
1.2 Underwater Image Processing and Its Problems	4
1.3 Problem Statement	8
1.4 Research Objective	9
1.5 Research Scope	10
1.6 Thesis Outline	12

CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction	14
2.2 Underwater Image Formation and Its Problems	16
2.2.1 Image Restoration	22
2.2.2 Image Enhancement and Color Correction Techniques	36
2.3 State-of-the-art Methods and Their Visual Implementation	51
2.4 Performance Analysis	63

2.4.1 Qualitative Analysis	64
2.4.2 Quantitative Analysis	70
2.5 Summary	80

CHAPTER 3 – UNDERWATER IMAGE QUALITY ENHANCEMENT THROUGH INTEGRATED COLOR MODEL WITH RAYLEIGH DISTRIBUTION

3.1 Introduction	82
3.2 Motivation	
3.3 Methodology of the Proposed ICM-RD Method	
3.3.1 Color Channel Decomposition and Histogram Stretching in the RGB Color Model	90
3.3.2 Contrast Stretching of the Inferior (e.g. Red) and Dominant (e.g. Blue) Channels	92
3.3.3 Contrast Stretching of the Green Channel	95
3.3.4 Contrast Correction in the HSV color model	97
3.4 Data Samples	98
3.5 Result and Discussion	99
3.6 Limitation of the Proposed ICM-RD Method	129
3.7 Summary	130

CHAPTER 4 – UNDERWATER IMAGE QUALITY ENHANCEMENT THROUGH RAYLEIGH-STRETCHING AND AVERAGING IMAGE PLANES

4.1 Introduction	132
4.2 Methodology: Rayleigh-Stretching and Averaging of Image Planes	133

4.2.1 Histogram Stretching in the RGB Color Model with Respect to the	
Rayleigh Distribution	135
4.2.2 Stacking and Averaging Using z-Projection	140
4.2.3 Stretching of the S and V Components in the HSV Color Model	141
4.3 Result and Discussion	143
4.4 Comparison with the Previous ICM-RD Method	171
4.5 Limitation of the Proposed RSAIP Method	183
4.6 Conclusion	184

CHAPTER 5 – ENHANCEMENT OF LOW QUALITY UNDERWATER IMAGE THROUGH INTEGRATED GLOBAL AND LOCAL CONTRAST CORRECTION

5.1 Introduction	186
5.2 Motivation	188
5.3 Methodology of DIRS-CLAHS Method.	192
5.4 Global Contrast Correction Stage	194
5.4.1 Calculation of Minimum, Maximum, and Mid-points of the Original Histogram	195
5.4.2 Division and Stretching of Original Histogram within Limits	196
5.4.3 Composition of Region and Dual-intensity Images	197
5.5 Local Contrast Stretching: Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Specification (CLAHS)	
5.5.1 Division of Image into Tiles	200
5.5.2 Applying Clip-limit	201
5.5.3 Remapping to Rayleigh Distribution	202
5.5.4 Composition of Image Tiles	202

5.6 Applying Color Correction	203
5.6.1 Dividing S and V Components at Mid-point	204
5.6.2 Stretching the S and V Components	204
5.6.3 Components Composition	206
5.7 Results and Discussion	
5.8 Comparative Study on the Proposed Contrast Enhancement Variants	
5.9 Conclusion	

CHAPTER 6 – CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

6.1 Conclusion	254
6.2 Future Works	
6.2.1 Recursive Local Histogram Specification	257
6.2.2 Reduction of the Algorithm Complexity and Computational Consumption	259
6.2.3 Enhancement of the Background Areas	259
REFERENCES	261
APPENDIX A	271
APPENDIX B	
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Summary of the proposed methods by previous researchers in the perspectives of underwater image restoration and enhancement techniques	35
Table 2.2	Summary of the proposed methods by previous researchers in the perspective of underwater image enhancement	48
Table 2.3	Default parameter setting for CLAHE-Mix (Hitam et al., 2013) and CLAHS (Eustice et al., 2002)	53
Table 2.4	The resultant images of <i>branch</i> using the current state-of-the-art techniques with their 3D RGB color model	58
Table 2.5	The resultant images of <i>green fish</i> using the current state-of-the-art techniques with their 3D RGB color model	60
Table 2.6	The resultant images of <i>yellow fish</i> using the current state-of-the-art techniques with their 3D RGB color model	62
Table 3.1	Summary of the improvement in the proposed ICM-RD method over the conventional ICM and UCM methods	88
Table 3.2	Hypothesis of the proposed ICM-RD method as compared to conventional ICM and UCM methods	88
Table 3.3	Quantitative results for sample images in Figures 3.7 to 3.10	110
Table 3.4	Average values of entropy, MSE, PSNR, Sobel count, and average gradient for 300 underwater images	111

- Table 3.5Quantitative evaluation of image quality in terms of MSSIM, EME,124EMEE, and NIQE with comparison between the state-of-the-artmethods
- Table 3.6Average values of quantitative evaluation of underwater images in125terms of MSSIM, EME, EMEE, and NIQE between the comparedstate-of-the-art methods for 300 underwater images
- Table 4.1Quantitative results of the compared state-of-the-art methods for153images in Figures 4.7 to 4.10
- Table 4.2Average values of entropy, MSE, PSNR, Sobel count, and average154gradient for 300 underwater images
- Table 4.3Quantitative evaluation of image quality in terms of MSSIM, EME,166EMEE, and NIQE with comparison between the proposed RSAIPand state-of-the-art methods
- Table 4.4Average values of quantitative evaluation of underwater images in167terms of MSSIM, EME, EMEE, and NIQE between the comparedstate-of-the-art methods and the proposed RSAIP method for 300underwater images
- Table 4.5Comparison values of entropy, MSE, PSNR, Sobel count, and176average gradient for the proposed methods (i.e. ICM-RD and RSAIP)
- Table 4.6Comparison values of MSSIM, EME, EMEE, and NIQE for the182proposed methods (i.e. ICM-RD and RSAIP)
- Table 4.7Comparison of average values for 300 identical underwater images183between the proposed methods (i.e. ICM-RD and RSAIP)
- Table 5.1
 Parameter setting for CLAHS process in the proposed DIRS-CLAHS
 200

 method
 200
- Table 5.2Quantitative comparison of resultant images in terms of entropy,216

MSE, PSNR, Sobel count, and average gradient as shown in Figures 5.10 to 5.13

- Table 5.3Average quantitative values of 300 underwater images in comparison217with state-of-the-art and the proposed DIRS-CLAHS methods
- Table 5.4Quantitative evaluation of image quality in terms of MSSIM, EME,228EMEE, and NIQE with comparison between the proposed DIRS-
CLAHS and state-of-the-art methods28
- Table 5.5Average values of image quality metrics for the 300 sample images229in terms of MSSIM, EME, EMEE, and NIQE
- Table 5.6Quantitative comparison of the resultant images for three proposed243methods (i.e. ICM-RD, RSAIP, and DIRS-CLAHS)
- Table 5.7Comparison of the average value for 300 identical underwater images244of the proposed methods
- Table 5.8Quantitative comparison of the resultant images as shown in Figures2505.14 to 5.24 in terms of quality assessment (i.e. MSSIM, EME,
EMEE, NIQE) for all three proposed methods (i.e. ICM-RD, RSAIP,
and DIRS-CLAHS)
- Table 5.9Average values of quantitative analysis for 300 identical underwater251images between the proposed methods in terms of MSSIM, EME,EMEE, and NIQE

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	Water surface effects (Shamsudin et al., 2012)	5
Figure 1.2	Illustration of diminishing underwater color (Hitam et al., 2013)	6
Figure 2.1	McGlamery model for underwater image formation through three basic components: direct, forward-scattered, and backscattered components (Schettini et al., 2010)	19
Figure 2.2	Coordicate system for McGlamery (1979) model of underwater image formation (Schettini et al., 2010)	21
Figure 2.3	Sequence of underwater images used by Rafael et al. (2002). (a) Original image sequences (b) Images enhanced through the illumination-reflectance model (multiplicative approach), using the Gaussian function; (c) Local histogram equalization considering a 61x61 neighborhood	28
Figure 2.4	Sequence of underwater images by Rafael et al. (2002) for correcting lighting artifacts. (a) Original image, (b) images enhanced through CLAHE, and (c) images corrected through homomorphic filtering	29
Figure 2.5	Result of non-uniform illumination removal by subtraction of additive shading effect (Rafael et al., 2002). (a) First sample image and (b) second sample image	30
Figure 2.6	Flowchart of the method proposed by Bazeille et al. (2006)	42
Figure 2.7	Sample image used by Bazeille et al. (2006) to evaluate their proposed method	43

xi

Figure 2.8	Sample of underwater image, <i>coral</i> with respective histogram of color channels (a) original image, (b) pixels distribution of R, G, and B color channels, (c) pixels distribution of red color channel, (d) pixels distribution of green color channel, and (e) pixels distribution of blue color channel	54
Figure 2.9	Sample of underwater image with representation of 3D RGB color model. (a) Original image <i>branch</i> and (b) representation of image <i>branch</i> in 3D RGB color model	55
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of the proposed ICM-RD method	89
Figure 3.2	Rayleigh distribution wherein most of the pixels are concentrated around the middle range of the intensity level	91
Figure 3.3	Histogram stretching of red color channels toward the upper side with the minimum of 5% from the 0 point	93
Figure 3.4	Histogram stretching of blue color channels toward the lower side with the minimum of 5% from the maximum point of 255	95
Figure 3.5	Histogram stretching of green color channels toward the lower and upper sides within the limits of [0, 255]	96
Figure 3.6	HSV color model: (a) Illustration of Hue, Saturation, and Value components of HSV color model (b) New range of S and V components at 1% from minimum and maximum limits which is applied in the proposed ICM-RD method	98
Figure 3.7	Image of a <i>small fish</i> with the 3D RGB color model and visual diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image; the rest are the images processed using the following methods: (b) HE, (c) ICM, (d) UCM, (e) CLAHE-Mix, (f) CLAHS, (g) PDSCC, and (h) ICM-RD	101

Figure 3.8 Image of a *blue coral* with the 3D RGB color model and visual 103 diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image; the rest are the images processed using the following methods: (b) HE, (c) ICM, (d) UCM, (e) CLAHE-Mix, (f) CLAHS, (g) PDSCC, and (h) ICM-RD

Figure 3.9 Image of a *stone wall* with the 3D RGB color model and visual 105 diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image; the rest are the images processed using the following methods: (b) HE, (c) ICM, (d) UCM, (e) CLAHE-Mix, (f) CLAHS, (g) PDSCC, and (h) ICM-RD

Figure 3.10 Image of *branch* with the 3D RGB color model and visual diagram 107 of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image; the rest are the images processed using the following methods: (b) HE, (c) ICM, (d) UCM, (e) CLAHE-Mix, (f) CLAHS, (g) PDSCC, and (h) ICM-RD

Figure 3.11 Possible range of stretching process of the original image 130 histogram

- Figure 4.2 Original histogram is divided into two separate histograms at its 139 mid-point and stretched to produce two independent histograms
- Figure 4.3 Illustration of image channels decomposition and composition 139
- Figure 4.4 Combination of the under- and over-enhanced images through 140 stacking and averaging along the z-axis to produce the contrastenhanced output image
- Figure 4.5New range of the V component of the HSV color model with 5%142limit from the minimum value as implemented in RSAIP method

Figure 4.6 Comparison between the original (left) and stretched-histograms 142 (right) of S and V components in HSV color model

- Figure 4.7 Image of *jellyfish* with the 3D RGB color model and visual 145 diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image; the rest are the images processed using the following methods: (b) HE, (c) ICM, (d) UCM, (e) CLAHE-Mix, (f) CLAHS, (g) PDSCC, and (h) RSAIP
- Figure 4.8 Image of *blue fish* with the 3D RGB color model and visual 147 diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image; the rest are the images processed using the following methods: (b) HE, (c) ICM, (d) UCM, (e) CLAHE-Mix, (f) CLAHS, (g) PDSCC, and (h) RSAIP
- Figure 4.9 Image of *soft coral* with the 3D RGB color model and visual 149 diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image; the rest are the images processed using the following methods: (b) HE, (c) ICM, (d) UCM, (e) CLAHE-Mix, (f) CLAHS, (g) PDSCC, and (h) RSAIP
- Figure 4.10 Image of *red fish* with the 3D RGB color model and visual 151 diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image; the rest are the images processed using the following methods: (b) HE, (c) ICM, (d) UCM, (e) CLAHE-Mix, (f) CLAHS, (g) PDSCC, and (h) RSAIP
- Figure 4.11 Comparison of resultant images among the compared methods 165 with concentration on a certain area for image *jellyfish*
- Figure 4.12 Image of *small fish* with the 3D RGB color model and visual 172 diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image, (b) ICM-RD, and (c) RSAIP
- Figure 4.13 Image of *blue coral* with the 3D RGB color model and visual 173 diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image, (b) ICM-RD, and (c) RSAIP

Figure 4.14	Image of stone wall with the 3D RGB color model and visual	174
	diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image, (b) ICM-RD,	
	and (c) RSAIP	

Figure 4.15 Image of *branch* with the 3D RGB color model and visual diagram 175 of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image, (b) ICM-RD, and (c) RSAIP

Figure 4.16 Comparison of image *small fish* with concentration on certain area 178 between two proposed methods (a) ICM-RD and (b) RSAIP

Figure 4.17 Comparison of image *blue coral* between two proposed methods. 179 (a) ICM-RD and (b) RSAIP

- Figure 4.18Comparison between two proposed methods (ICM-RD and RSAIP)179and the ability of Sobel edge detection to detect the object's edge
- Figure 4.19 Zooming in the pixel value for the resultant image of the proposed 180 ICM-RD method in Chapter 3
- Figure 4.20 Zooming in the pixels value for the proposed RSAIP method 181
- Figure 5.1 Comparison of the resultant image of *stone wall* between the 188 proposed methods: (a) Original image, and the other are resultant images produced by (b) ICM-RD and (c) RSAIP
- Figure 5.2 Original image histograms (red, green, and blue) of *stone wall* 189
- Figure 5.3 Illustration of dividing and stretching process of image histogram 190 for the proposed RSAIP method
- Figure 5.4 Flow chart of the implementation of the proposed DIRS-CLAHS 193 technique
- Figure 5.5 Illustration of the minimum, maximum, and mid-points of original 195 input histogram

- Figure 5.6 Illustration of division and stretching of original histogram of red 197 color channel to produce lower- and upper-stretched regions
- Figure 5.7 Integration of under- and over-enhanced images by means of 198 average value
- Figure 5.8 Illustration of applying clip-limit to the image histogram 201
- Figure 5.9 HSV color model (a) Illustration of Hue, Saturation, and Value 206 components of HSV color model (b) New range of S and V components at 5% from minimum and 1% from maximum limits which is applied in the proposed DIRS-CLAHS method
- Figure 5.10 Image of *colorful coral* with the 3D RGB color model and visual 208 diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image; the rest are the images processed using the following methods: (b) HE, (c) ICM, (d) UCM, (e) CLAHE-Mix, (f) CLAHS, (g) PDSCC, and (h) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.11 Image of *green coral* with the 3D RGB color model and visual 210 diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image; the rest are the images processed using the following methods: (b) HE, (c) ICM, (d) UCM, (e) CLAHE-Mix, (f) CLAHS, (g) PDSCC, and (h) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.12 Image of *coral stone* with the 3D RGB color model and visual 212 diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image; the rest are the images processed using the following methods: (b) HE, (c) ICM, (d) UCM, (e) CLAHE-Mix, (f) CLAHS, (g) PDSCC, and (h) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.13 Image of *blue fish* with the 3D RGB color model and visual 214 diagram of Sobel edge detection: (a) original image; the rest are the images processed using the following methods: (b) HE, (c) ICM, (d) UCM, (e) CLAHE-Mix, (f) CLAHS, (g) PDSCC, and (h) DIRS-CLAHS

- Figure 5.14 Image of *small fish*. (a) Original image, and the rest is the resultant 232 images produced by the following methods: (b) ICM-RD, (c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.15 Image of *blue coral*. (a) Original image, and the rest is the 233 resultant images produced by the following methods: (b) ICM-RD,(c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.16 Image of *stone wall*. (a) Original image, and the rest is the 234 resultant images produced by the following methods: (b) ICM-RD,(c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.17 Image of *branch*. (a) Original image, and the rest is the resultant 235 images produced by the following methods: (b) ICM-RD, (c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.18 Image of *jellyfish*. (a) Original image, and the rest is the resultant 236 images produced by the following methods: (b) ICM-RD, (c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.19 Image of *blue fish*. (a) Original image, and the rest is the resultant 237 images produced by the following methods: (b) ICM-RD, (c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.20 Image of *soft coral*. (a) Original image, and the rest is the resultant 238 images produced by the following methods: (b) ICM-RD, (c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.21 Image of *red fish*. (a) Original image, and the rest is the resultant 239 images produced by the following methods: (b) ICM-RD, (c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.22 Image of *colorful coral*. (a) Original image, and the rest is the 240 resultant images produced by the following methods: (b) ICM-RD,
 (c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS

- Figure 5.23 Image of *green coral*. (a) Original image, and the rest is the 241 resultant images produced by the following methods: (b) ICM-RD, (c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.24 Image of *coral stone*. (a) Original image, and the rest is the 242 resultant images produced by the following methods: (b) ICM-RD,(c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.25 Comparison of image *small fish* between the proposed methods. 245 (a) Original image, and the rest are the resultant images produced by other proposed methods, (b) ICM-RD, (c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.26 Comparison of image *colorful coral* between the proposed 248 methods. (a) Original image, and the rest are the resultant images produced by other proposed methods, (b) ICM-RD, (c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS
- Figure 5.27 Comparison of image *green coral* between the proposed methods. 249 (a) Original image, and the rest are the resultant images produced by other proposed methods, (b) ICM-RD, (c) RSAIP, and (d) DIRS-CLAHS

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACE	Automatic Color Equalization
CDF	Cumulative Distribution Function
CIELab	Also known as Lab color model. L=luminance/lightness, a
	and b are color component dimensions
CLAHE	Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization
CLAHS	Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Specification
DOG	Difference of Gaussian
EME	Measure of Enhancement
EMEE	Measure of Enhancement by Entropy
GW	Gray World
HE	Histogram Equalization
HSI	Hue-Saturation-Intensity
HSV	Hue-Saturation-Value
HVS	Human Visual System
ICM	Integrated Color Model
IQM	Image Quality Metric
LUT	Look-Up Table
MSE	Mean Squared Error
MSSIM	Mean of Structural Similarity
MVG	Multivariate Gaussian
NIQE	Natural Image Quality Evaluator
NSS	Natural Scene Statistic
PDF	Probability Distribution Function
PDSCC	Pixel Distribution Shifted Color Correction
PSNR	Peak Signal to Noise Ratio
RGB	Red-Green-Blue
ROC	Receiver Operating Characteristic
SSIM	Structural Similarity
UCM	Unsupervised Color Correction Method
WCAG	Weighted Contrast Average Grad
WP	White Patch
YCbCr	Color model: <i>Y</i> =luminance, <i>Cb</i> =blue difference, and <i>Cr</i> =red
	difference Chroma component

PENAMBAHBAIKAN TEKNIK PENINGKATAN KONTRAS IMEJ BAWAH AIR BERASASKAN MODIFIKASI HISTOGRAM

ABSTRAK

Degradasi kontras adalah salah satu masalah imej bawah air yang mengakibatkan pengurangan keamatan cahaya. Kontras yang rendah menyumbang kepada masalah imej yang mempunyai kurang maklumat. Objek dalam imej dilihat tidak jelas. Tambahan juga, penyerapan cahaya menyebabkan imej yang diambil kelihatan berwarna biru-kehijauan seterusnya warna objek akan disalah tafsir. Selain itu, kewujudan kawasan yang gelap dan terlalu cerah menyebabkan pengurangan keperincian imej. Oleh itu, untuk mengurangkan masalah yang dinyatakan di atas, tiga teknik untuk meningkatkan kontras imej di bawah air telah dicadangkan dalam kajian ini, iaitu model warna bersepadu dengan pengagihan Rayleigh (ICM-RD), Rayleigh-regangan dan purata paksi imej (RSAIP), dan regangan-Rayleigh dua imej spesifikasi histogram penyesuaian terhad (DIRS-CLAHS). ICM-RD meningkatkan kontras imej di bawah air dengan mengintegrasikan pengagihan Rayleigh dalam proses regangan yang terhad. Seterusnya, pembetulan warna imej melalui model warna Hue-Ketepuan-Nilai (HSV) memperbaiki keseluruhan warna imej. Di samping itu, kaedah RSAIP dicadangkan bagi menyelesaikan masalah had regangan bagi proses regangan yang dihadapi oleh kaedah ICM-RD. Kaedah RSAIP menyediakan satu alternatif baharu bagi proses regangan, yang mana imej histogram akan dibahagi kepada dua bahagian dan diregangkan secara berasingan bagi memenuhi ruang dinamik imej yang ditetapkan. Proses pembahagian dan regangan ini menghasilkan dua imej yang berbeza keamatan. Kedua-dua imej yang dihasilkan akan digabungkan berdasarkan nilai purata dan diaplikasikan dengan kaedah pembetulan warna bagi menghasilkan imej akhir. Kaedah yang ketiga, DIRS-CLAHS, dicadangkan meningkatkan keupayaan kaedah RSAIP dalam bagi

mempertingkatkan kontras imej dengan mengintegrasikan pembetulan kontras global dan Proses DIRS-CLAHS bermula dengan pembetulan kontras global yang tempatan. diperkenalkan dalam kaedah RSAIP. Pembetulan kontras tempatan dilaksanakan dengan membahagikan imej kepada bahagian yang lebih kecil. Akhirnya, proses ini diaplikasikan dengan proses pembetulan warna yang merupakan modifikasi daripada proses pembetulan warna yang diperkenalkan dalam kaedah RSAIP dan ICM-RD. Secara prinsipnya, semua teknik yang dicadangkan mengatasi kualiti teknik terbaharu yang diperkenalkan secara kualiti dan kuantiti. Daripada tiga teknik yang dicadangkan, kaedah DIRS-CLAHS menunjukkan satu peningkatan yang baik dalam meningkatkan kontras imej bawah air dan warnanya. Secara kuantiti, perbandingan dengan enam teknik terbaharu yang diperkenalkan bagi 300 sampel imej, kaedah DIRS-CLAHS menghasilkan nilai purata entropi yang tertinggi iaitu 7.624 dan nilai purata MSE yang terendah iaitu 646.32. Malah, dari segi pengukuran peningkatan (EME) dan pengukuran peningkatan berdasarkan entropi (EMEE), DIRS-CLAHS menghasilkan nilai purata tertinggi iaitu masing-masing 27.096 dan 9.670.

IMPROVEMENT OF UNDERWATER IMAGE CONTRAST ENHANCEMENT TECHNIQUE BASED ON HISTOGRAM MODIFICATION

ABSTRACT

Contrast degradation is one of the problems of underwater image that resulted from the light attenuation. Low contrast contributes towards the less usable image where less information could be extracted from the image. The objects seen in the image are unclear. In addition, light absorption phenomenon causes the underwater image to be dominant by the blue-green illumination, resulting in misinterpretation of objects color. Therefore, to reduce the aforementioned problems of underwater image and increases underwater image contrast, three techniques of improving underwater image contrast are proposed in this study, namely integrated color model with Rayleigh distribution (ICM-RD), Rayleigh-stretching and averaging image planes (RSAIP), and dual-images Rayleigh-stretched contrast limited adaptive histogram specification (DIRS-CLAHS). ICM-RD improves the underwater image contrast by integrating the Rayleigh distribution in the limited stretching process. The correction of image color through Hue-Saturation-Value (HSV) color model further improves the overall image color. On the other hand, RSAIP method solves the limitation of stretching process that faced by ICM-RD method. The RSAIP method provides an alternative stretching technique, where the histogram of the original image is divided into two independent regions and stretched independently to occupy the limited dynamic intensity range. The dividing and stretching processes produce two different intensity images. These images are then combined by means of average value and applied with color correction technique to produce final resultant image. The third proposed method, DIRS-CLAHS method is designed to improve the capability of the RSAIP method in enhancing image contrast by integrating global and local contrast correction. DIRS-CLAHS is first applied with global contrast correction which is introduced in the RSAIP method. Local contrast correction is then applied by dividing the image into smaller tiles. Finally, the method is applied with a new color correction process which is a modification of color correction process introduced in RSAIP and ICM-RD methods. All proposed techniques, principally outperform the state-of-the-art methods, qualitative and quantitatively. Out of the three proposed methods, DIRS-CLAHS method, is the best method and demonstrates a significant enhancement in improving the underwater image contrast and its color. Quantitatively, in comparison with six state-of-the-art methods for 300 samples of underwater images, the proposed DIRS-CLAHS produces the highest average entropy of 7.624 and the lowest average MSE value of 646.32. In addition, in terms of measure of enhancement (EME) and measure of enhancement by entropy (EMEE), DIRS-CLAHS produces the highest average values which are 27.096 and 9.670, respectively.