

[Click here to view linked References](#)

## *Exophiala dermatitidis* endophthalmitis - case report and literature review

Mónika Homa<sup>§1,2</sup>, Palanisamy Manikandan<sup>§3,4,5</sup>, Veerappan Saravanan<sup>3</sup>, Rajaraman Revathi<sup>3</sup>,  
Raghavan Anita<sup>3</sup>, Venkatapathy Narendran<sup>3</sup>, Kanesan Panneerselvam<sup>6</sup>, Coimbatore Subramanian  
Shobana<sup>7</sup>, Mohammed Al Aidarous<sup>4</sup>, László Galgóczy<sup>2</sup>, Csaba Vágvölgyi<sup>2</sup>, Tamás Papp<sup>1,2\*</sup>,  
László Kredics<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MTA-SZTE “Lendület” Fungal Pathogenicity Mechanisms Research Group, Közép fasor 52.,  
H-6726 Szeged, Hungary

<sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science and Informatics, University of Szeged, Közép  
fasor 52., H-6726 Szeged, Hungary

<sup>3</sup>Aravind Eye Hospital and Postgraduate Institute of Ophthalmology, Coimbatore - 641 014,  
Tamilnadu, India

<sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Majmaah  
University, Majmaah 11952, Saudi Arabia

<sup>5</sup>Greenlink Analytical and Research Laboratory India Private Limited, Coimbatore - 641 014,  
Tamilnadu, India

<sup>6</sup>Research Department of Microbiology, M. R. Government Arts College, Mannargudi - 614 001,  
Tiruvarur District, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>7</sup>Department of Microbiology, PSG College of Arts & Science, Coimbatore - 641 014,  
Tamilnadu, India

<sup>§</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

### **\*Corresponding author:**

E-mail: [pappt@bio.u-szeged.hu](mailto:pappt@bio.u-szeged.hu); Tel: (+36) 62 544516

1  
2  
3  
4 **Acknowledgments**  
5

6 This study was supported by the “Lendület” Grant of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences  
7  
8 (LP2016-8/2016) and the project GINOP-2.3.2-15-2016-00035 (Széchenyi 2020 Programme).  
9

10  
11 LG is supported by the Postdoctoral Excellence Programme (PD 120808) of the Hungarian  
12  
13 National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NKFI Office). PM would like to thank  
14  
15  
16 Deanship of Scientific Research at Majmaah University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, for  
17  
18 supporting this work under Project no. 37/106.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 **Abstract**  
5

6 We report a case of a 59-year-old male patient with a postoperative fungal infection of the left  
7 eye. A dark-pigmented yeast, *Exophiala dermatitidis* (previously known as *Wangiella*  
8 *dermatitidis*) was identified from the culture of the biopsy taken from the posterior capsule. The  
9 infection was successfully eradicated by a combination of surgical and medical (*i.e.*,  
10 voriconazole and fluconazole) treatment. This is the first report of successfully treated *E.*  
11 *dermatitidis* endophthalmitis, which demonstrates that a prompt and aggressive antifungal  
12 therapy combined with surgical intervention is necessary to prevent vision loss in cases of  
13 endophthalmitis due to *Exophiala* species.  
14  
15

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Beside the case description we also aim to provide a literature review of previously reported eye infections caused by *Exophiala* species in order to help the future diagnosis and management of the disease.

**Keywords:** dematiaceous fungi, *Exophiala*, keratitis, endophthalmitis, antifungal susceptibility

## Background

Fungal eye infections are among the main causes of visual disability in tropical and subtropical countries, such as India. Besides hyaline fungal species, which are responsible for the majority of keratomycoses and fungal endophthalmitis in the country, dark-pigmented fungi are also associated with emerging cases [1,2]. The cosmopolitan genus *Exophiala* comprises dimorphic dematiaceous fungi commonly isolated from soil and plant debris [3,4]. These fungi are the clinically most relevant black yeasts causing various uncommon forms of cutaneous, subcutaneous and disseminated (e.g. pneumonia and brain abscess) human infections [5], but eye infections due to *Exophiala* species are extremely rare. Most cases occur after a penetrating injury or post eye surgery [6]. Though the presented case is the fourth documented case of endophthalmitis caused by *E. dermatitidis* across the globe according to a deep search of the available literature (**Table 1**) [7-18], it is unique, as it is the first report with a successful treatment outcome. Human ocular infection due to *E. dermatitidis* has not been documented in India before.

## Case presentation

A 59-year-old male patient presented at the Cornea Department of Aravind Eye Hospital & Postgraduate Institute of Ophthalmology (Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India) in December 2012 with complaints of decreased vision, pain and redness in his left eye for the past 15 days. The patient gave a history of an uneventful cataract surgery with intraocular lens implantation in his left eye performed four months earlier. He had good vision in the first month following surgery; i.e. visual acuity (VA) was 6/6. Three months later, he presented with gradual deterioration of vision (VA 6/60). The patient was otherwise in good health and had no known allergies.

1  
2  
3  
4 On examination, the best corrected visual acuities were 6/6 in the right eye and 6/60 in the  
5  
6 left eye. Intraocular pressure as measured by Goldmann applanation tonometry was 15 mm in the  
7  
8 right eye and 13 mm in the left eye. Slit lamp examination of the right eye was within normal  
9  
10 limits except for an early nuclear sclerotic cataract of grade 1. Examination of the left eye  
11  
12 revealed circumcorneal congestion, a clear cornea and 3+ cells and 1+ flare in the anterior  
13  
14 chamber. Texture and color of the iris were normal. The pupil was pharmacologically dilated and  
15  
16 unresponsive to light. A posterior chamber intraocular lens was present, and a fluffy greyish  
17  
18 white growth was noted on the posterior capsule (**Fig. 1a, Fig. 1b**).  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 Indirect ophthalmoscopy of the right eye was within normal limits, but in the left eye  
24  
25 moderate vitritis was observed. The patient underwent vitreous tap with a 24-gauge needle  
26  
27 inserted into the central vitreous cavity along with intravitreal injections of moxifloxacin (500  
28  
29  $\mu\text{g}/0.1\text{ ml}$ ; Vigamox, Alcon Laboratories, USA) and voriconazole (100  $\mu\text{g}/0.1\text{ ml}$ ; Aurolab,  
30  
31 India). He was placed on topical moxifloxacin (0.5% (w/v); Vigamox, Alcon, India) and fortified  
32  
33 tobramycin (14 mg/ml; Toba, Sun Pharma Laboratories Ltd., India) eight times a day, plus  
34  
35 voriconazole (1% (w/v); Vozole, Aurolab, India) eye drops six times a day and homatropine (2%  
36  
37 (w/v); Homide, Warren, India) eye drops twice a day. The topical treatment continued till the  
38  
39 time of the intraocular lens removal (one month from the first vitreous tap).  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 Vitreous biopsies obtained during the procedure were plated on 5% sheep blood agar  
46  
47 (SBA; HiMedia Laboratories, India), potato dextrose agar (PDA; HiMedia Laboratories, India)  
48  
49 and thioglycolate broth (HiMedia Laboratories, India). A part of the sample was sent for  
50  
51 molecular identification (Xcyton Diagnostics Limited, Bengaluru, India). Gram stained smears  
52  
53 and 10% potassium hydroxide wet mount were also investigated. The smears as well as the  
54  
55 cultures proved to be negative for fungal filaments. Molecular analyses were negative for all the  
56  
57 tested bacteria and fungi. Postoperatively the same medications were continued. Prednisolone  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 acetate (1% (w/v); Predforte, Allergan, India) was added at a frequency of six times a day.

5  
6 Dexamethasone (8 mg in 2 ml; Dexadran, Searle Labs Pvt. Ltd. India) was administered  
7  
8 intravenously twice a day for two days and was subsequently replaced by oral prednisolone (40  
9  
10 mg/day; Omnacortil, MacLeods Pharmaceuticals Ltd., India), tapered over a period of 15 days.

11  
12  
13 On subsequent follow ups, the visual acuity was gradually improving (i.e. VA 6/9 after one  
14  
15 week post-vitreous tap), but the anterior chamber inflammation persisted, and fluffy deposits on  
16  
17 posterior surface of the intraocular lens persisted. Oral steroids were discontinued and the topical  
18  
19 steroid dose (6 times per day; Predforte, Allergan, India) was tapered to four times. A repeated  
20  
21 vitreous biopsy was performed on the ninth day following the first vitreous tap under local  
22  
23 anesthesia using a 25-gauge vitreous cutter through the pars plana route. Care was taken to take  
24  
25 the sample at the location of the fluffy growth with localized posterior capsulectomy and anterior  
26  
27 vitrectomy. Specimens thus taken from the posterior capsule and anterior vitreous were plated on  
28  
29 SBA, chocolate agar (CA; HiMedia Laboratories, India), PDA and Lowenstein Jensen medium  
30  
31 (HiMedia Laboratories, India). A direct microscopic examination of Gram's smears clearly  
32  
33 revealed fungal filaments. The topical steroid therapy was stopped and the frequency of topically  
34  
35 applied voriconazole (1% (w/v)) was increased to hourly intervals. The therapy was  
36  
37 supplemented with oral fluconazole (150 mg; Zocon, FDC Limited, India) twice a day. On the  
38  
39 fifth day of incubation, a moderate-sized black, mucoid and yeast-like growth was noted on  
40  
41 SBA, CA and PDA plates. The fungus was initially identified as *E. dermatitidis* based on colony  
42  
43 morphology (**Fig. 2a**) and microscopic features (**Fig. 2b**) and was further subjected to molecular  
44  
45 identification. Medical treatment was continued for the next 10 days. The infiltrate reappeared in  
46  
47 the inferonasal quadrant in-between the lens and the iris. Intraocular lens explantation, capsular  
48  
49 bag removal with anterior vitrectomy was performed followed by an intravitreal voriconazole  
50  
51 injection (100 µg /0.1 ml). Postoperatively, corneal edema and keratic precipitates were noted  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 which resolved after two weeks. Intravitreal injections of voriconazole (100 µg /0.1 ml) were  
5  
6 repeated twice in the ensuing week for persistent exudates in the inferior vitreous. While topical  
7  
8 voriconazole (1% (w/v)) was continued and slowly tapered over two months, systemic  
9  
10 fluconazole (150 mg twice daily) was maintained for a month. After a month, topical steroids  
11  
12 (Predforte, Allergan, India) were started with a very low dose twice a day, and tapered very  
13  
14 slowly over the next four months. The best corrected Snellen's visual acuity with aphakic  
15  
16 correction was 6/9.  
17  
18  
19

20  
21 For the molecular identification, genomic DNA was extracted using the *MasterPure Yeast*  
22  
23 *DNA Purification Kit* (Epicentre Biotechnologies, USA) following the instructions of the  
24  
25 manufacturer. PCR amplification was carried out by targeting the internal transcribed spacer  
26  
27 (ITS) region using ITS1 and ITS4 primers [19]. The sequences were determined by LGC  
28  
29 Genomics GmbH (Germany), the consensus of the two reads was assembled by using the Staden  
30  
31 package (Pregap4 v.1.5 and Gap4 v4.10) [20] and manually edited in BioEdit [21]. The obtained  
32  
33 592 bp long fragment was compared with the available sequences in the Genbank using the  
34  
35 Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) [22]. The isolate was finally identified as *E.*  
36  
37 *dermatitidis*, as its ITS sequence displayed a 99% sequence homology with the ITS region of *E.*  
38  
39 *dermatitidis* CBS 149.90 (**Table 2**). While the isolate itself was deposited in the Szeged  
40  
41 Microbiological Collection (SZMC, Szeged, Hungary; <http://szmc.hu/>) under the strain number  
42  
43 of SZMC 21989, its ITS sequence was deposited in the EMBL Nucleotide Sequence Database  
44  
45 under the accession number of LN809939.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52  
53 The antifungal susceptibility profile of the case isolate was determined to 12 clinically  
54  
55 relevant antifungal agents. The Etest method (BioMérieux, France) was used to determine the  
56  
57 susceptibility to echinocandins (anidulafungin, caspofungin, micafungin), ketoconazole and  
58  
59 posaconazole according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Minimum inhibitory  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 concentration (MIC) values of the remaining seven antifungals were determined in accordance  
5  
6 with the instructions of the CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute) M38-A2 broth  
7  
8 microdilution method [23], with the involvement of the following commercially available  
9  
10 standard powders and eye drops: amphotericin B, (Amphocare injection, BPRL Pvt Ltd,  
11  
12 Bangalore, India), clotrimazole (Auroclot, 1% (w/v) suspension, Aurolab, Madurai, India),  
13  
14 econazole (Aurozole, 2% (w/v) suspension, Aurolab, Madurai, India), fluconazole (0.3% (w/v),  
15  
16 Zocon, FDC ltd, Aurangabad, India), itraconazole (Itral, 1% (w/v) suspension, Jawa  
17  
18 Pharmaceuticals, Guargon, India), natamycin (Natamet, 5% (w/v) suspension, Sun  
19  
20 Pharmaceuticals Ind. Ltd., India) and voriconazole (Vfend, Pfizer Inc., India). Three replicates  
21  
22 were involved in all the susceptibility tests. The obtained MIC values are summarized in **Table**  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 **3.** MIC was defined as the lowest antifungal concentration, which was required for the total  
29  
30 growth inhibition of the test isolate. Susceptibility testing revealed that the MICs of amphotericin  
31  
32 B, clotrimazole, econazole, fluconazole, itraconazole, ketoconazole, posaconazole and  
33  
34 voriconazole were >0.5 µg/ml, whereas, MICs for natamycin and echinocandin were 8 µg/ml  
35  
36 and >32 µg/ml, respectively.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

### 43 **Discussion and Conclusions**

44  
45 According to the available literature in the PubMed database  
46  
47 (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed>), only three *Exophiala* species, *E. jeanselmei*, *E.*  
48  
49 *dermatitidis* and *E. phaeomuriformis* have been identified from various human eye infections  
50  
51 such as keratitis, endophthalmitis and subconjunctival mycetoma in the last decades (**Table 1**).  
52  
53 Until now, six *Exophiala* endophthalmitis cases have been reported from different parts of the  
54  
55 world. The first case of endophthalmitis caused by *E. dermatitidis* was described by Margo *et al.*  
56  
57 in 1990 [14]. Since then, two other cases were reported by Benaoudia *et al.* in 1999 [15] and  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3  
4 Clamp *et al.* in 2014 [17]. Medical therapy included surgical intervention supplemented with  
5  
6 either intravitreal (2.5-5.0 µg) or intravenous (0.2-0.8 mg/kg) injections of amphotericin B in all  
7  
8 cases. Benaoudia *et al.* [15] also used oral itraconazole (200 mg b.i.d.) and amphotericin B eye  
9  
10 drops (0.15% (w/v) eight times a day). A failed therapeutic approach and consequent enucleation  
11  
12 of the blind eyes were reported in the cases of Margo *et al.* [14] and Clamp *et al.* [17].  
13

14  
15 Endophthalmitis cases due to another species, *E. jeanselmei* were also treated with systemic and  
16  
17 intraocular amphotericin B, but ocular atrophy developed in both cases [16]. These five, above  
18  
19 mentioned patients suffered from different underlying disorders such as diabetes, Crohn's disease  
20  
21 and purpura. Based on these prior reports of *Exophiala* eye infections (**Table 1**), underlying  
22  
23 conditions seem to increase the chances of a failed therapy. Infections were eradicated in almost  
24  
25 all seven cases of keratitis. Conversely, subconjunctival mycetoma and endophthalmitis cases  
26  
27 usually had poor visual outcome. In comparison to previous reports, our patient had no other  
28  
29 complaints, and after vitrectomy he was successfully treated with intravitreal injections and eye  
30  
31 drops of voriconazole and oral fluconazole. Based on the experience, authors recommend prompt  
32  
33 removal of the intraocular lens and capsular bag along with vitrectomy to eradicate the infection.  
34  
35 Also in eyes with localized growth (like in our case), care should be taken to reach the area of  
36  
37 growth when taking specimens for culture and staining to increase the chance of getting a  
38  
39 positive yield unlike in routine endophthalmitis cases where the specimen is usually taken from  
40  
41 the center of the vitreous cavity. In the presented case, the surgeon took utmost care to get the  
42  
43 repeat specimen from the suspected area of the exudate as the first specimen proved to be  
44  
45 negative.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

54  
55 While in the 1990s mainly amphotericin B was chosen for the treatment of *Exophiala* eye  
56  
57 infections, it was replaced in the last few years by new azole compounds, like voriconazole  
58  
59 (**Table 1**). Unfortunately, limited data are available about the *in vitro* antifungal activity of these  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 new agents against *Exophiala* isolates derived from human eye infections. Based on the *in vitro*  
5  
6 antifungal susceptibility tests, the case isolate had variable susceptibility to different antifungal  
7  
8 drugs (**Table 3**). Basically, the obtained results agreed with the previous report of Chowdhary *et*  
9  
10 *al.* [5], except from the finding that echinocandins (*e.g.*, anidulafungin, caspofungin, micafungin)  
11  
12 proved to be ineffective against the tested isolate in the investigated concentration range (MIC  
13  
14 >32 µg/ml), while Chowdhary *et al.* [5] found a much lower MIC range (0.25-8 µg/ml) for *E.*  
15  
16  
17  
18 *dermatitidis* strains.

19  
20  
21 In conclusion, endophthalmitis due to *E. dermatitidis* is a rare but serious infection of the  
22  
23 eye. Its management requires a radical surgical intervention for a successful outcome and also  
24  
25 utmost care is necessary in collecting vitreous sample in eyes with localized infection to achieve  
26  
27 higher culture positivity rate. The subsequent application of a carefully chosen, effective  
28  
29 antifungal therapy is critical for a successful outcome. This case obviously demonstrates that  
30  
31 besides *Aspergillus* [24], *Curvularia* [25] and *Fusarium* [26,27] species, *Exophiala dermatitidis*  
32  
33 should also be taken into consideration as a causative agent of eye infections in South India.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

#### 40 **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

41  
42 **Ethical approval** This article does not contain any studies with animals performed by any of the  
43  
44 authors.  
45

46  
47 **Informed consent** For this type of study formal consent is not required.  
48  
49

#### 50 51 **Conflict of interest**

52  
53  
54  
55 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 **References**  
5

- 6 [1] Bharathi MJ, Ramakrishnan R, Meenakshi R, Padmavathy S, Shivakumar C, Srinivasan M.  
7  
8 Microbial keratitis in South India: influence of risk factors, climate, and geographical variation.  
9 Ophthalmic Epidemiol. 2007;14:61–9.  
10  
11 [2] Chakrabarti A, Shivaprakash MR, Singh R, Tarai B, George VK, Fomda BA, Gupta A.  
12  
13 Fungal endophthalmitis: fourteen years' experience from a center in India. Retina 2008;28:1400–  
14  
15 7.  
16  
17 [3] Zeng JS, Sutton DA, Fothergill AW, Rinaldi MG, Harrak MJ, de Hoog GS. Spectrum of  
18  
19 clinically relevant *Exophiala* species in the United States. J Clin Microbiol. 2007;45:3713–20.  
20  
21 [4] Kondori N, Gilljam M, Lindblad A, Jönsson B, Moore ERB, Wennerås C. High rate of  
22  
23 *Exophiala dermatitidis* recovery in the airways of patients with cystic fibrosis is associated with  
24  
25 pancreatic insufficiency. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49:1004–9.  
26  
27 [5] Chowdhary A, Meis JF, Guarro J, de Hoog GS, Kathuria S, Arendrup MC, Arikan-Akdagli S,  
28  
29 Akova M, Boekhout T, Caira M, Guinea J, Chakrabarti A, Dannaoui E, van Diepeningen A,  
30  
31 Freiburger T, Groll AH, Hope WW, Johnson E, Lackner M, Lagrou K, Lanternier F, Lass-Flörl  
32  
33 C, Lortholary O, Meletiadis J, Muñoz P, Pagano L, Petrikos G, Richardson MD, Roilides E,  
34  
35 Skiada A, Tortorano AM, Ullmann AJ, Verweij PE, Cornely OA, Cuenca-Estrella M; European  
36  
37 Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Fungal Infection Study Group.;  
38  
39 European Confederation of Medical Mycology. ESCMID and ECMM joint clinical guidelines  
40  
41 for the diagnosis and management of systemic phaeohyphomycosis: diseases caused by black  
42  
43 fungi. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2014;20:47–75.  
44  
45 [6] Ben-Simon GJ, Barequet IS, Grinbaum A. More than tears in your eyes (*Exophiala*  
46  
47 *jeanselmei* keratitis). Cornea 2002;21:230–1.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4 [7] Pospíšil L, Skorkovská S, Moster M. Corneal phaeohyphomycosis caused by *Wangiella*  
5  
6 *dermatitidis*. *Ophthalmologica* 1990;201:128–32.  
7  
8  
9 [8] Al-Hedaithy SS, Al-Kaff AS. *Exophiala jeanselmei* keratitis. *Mycoses* 1993;36:97–100.  
10  
11 [9] Patel SR, Hammersmith KM, Rapuano CJ, Cohen EJ. *Exophiala dermatitidis* keratitis after  
12  
13 laser *in situ* keratomileusis. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2006;32:681–4.  
14  
15  
16 [10] Leung EH, Moskalewicz R, Parada JP, Kovach KJ, Bouchard C. *Exophiala jeanselmei*  
17  
18 keratitis after laser *in situ* keratomileusis. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2008;34:1809–11.  
19  
20  
21 [11] Saeedi OJ, Iyer SA, Mohiuddin AZ, Hogan RN. *Exophiala jeanselmei* keratitis: case report  
22  
23 and review of literature. *Eye Contact Lens.* 2013;39:410–2.  
24  
25  
26 [12] Aggarwal S, Yamaguchi T, Dana R, Hamrah P. *Exophiala phaeomuriformis* fungal keratitis:  
27  
28 case report and *in vivo* confocal microscopy findings. *Eye Contact Lens* 2015;  
29  
30 doi:10.1097/ICL.0000000000000193  
31  
32  
33 [13] Li EY, Yuen HK, Lung DC. Subconjunctival mycetoma as an unusual cause of tears with  
34  
35 black deposits. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2010;128:1371–2.  
36  
37  
38 [14] Margo CE, Fitzgerald CR. Postoperative endophthalmitis caused by *Wangiella dermatitidis*.  
39  
40 *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1990;110:322–33.  
41  
42  
43 [15] Benaoudia F, Assouline M, Pouliquen Y, Bouvet A, Guého E. *Exophiala (Wangiella)*  
44  
45 *dermatitidis* keratitis after keratoplasty. *Med Mycol.* 1999;37:53–56.  
46  
47  
48 [16] Hofling-Lima AL, Freitas D, Fischman O, Yu CZ, Roizenblatt R, Belfort R Jr. *Exophiala*  
49  
50 *jeanselmei* causing late endophthalmitis after cataract surgery. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1999;128:512–  
51  
52 4.  
53  
54  
55 [17] Clamp MF, Jumper JM, Ku CW, McDonald HR, Johnson RN, Fu AD, Lujan BJ,  
56  
57 Cunningham ET Jr. Chronic exogenous *Exophiala dermatitidis* endophthalmitis. *Retin Cases*  
58  
59 *Brief Rep.* 2014;8:265–8.  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4 [18] Quintero-Estades JA, Walter S, Valenzuela F, Amescua G. Delayed-onset postoperative  
5 endophthalmitis secondary to *Exophiala*. BMJ Case Rep. 2015; doi:10.1136/bcr-2014-208680  
6  
7  
8  
9 [19] White TJ, Burns S, Lee S, Taylor J. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal  
10 ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ,  
11 editors. PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. New York: Academic Press Inc;  
12 1990. pp. 315–22.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 [20] Bonfield JK, Smith KF, Staden R. A new DNA sequence assembly program. Nucleic Acids  
18 Res. 1995;23:4992–9.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23 [21] Hall TA. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis  
24 program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser. 1999;41:95–8.  
25  
26  
27  
28 [22] Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J  
29 Mol Biol. 1990;215:403–10.  
30  
31  
32  
33 [23] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal  
34 susceptibility testing of filamentous fungi. In: Approved standard CLSI document M38-A2.  
35 Wayne: CLSI; 2008.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40 [24] Manikandan P, Varga J, Kocsubé S, Anita R, Revathi R, Németh TM, Narendran V,  
41 Vágvölgyi C, Panneer Selvam K, Shobana CS, Babu Singh YR, Kredics L. Epidemiology of  
42 *Aspergillus* keratitis at a tertiary care eye hospital in South India and antifungal susceptibilities of  
43 the causative agents. Mycoses 2013;56:26–33.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50 [25] Krizsán K, Tóth E, Nagy LG, Galgóczy L, Manikandan P, Chandrasekaran M, Kadaikunnan  
51 S, Alharbi NS, Vágvölgyi C, Papp T. Molecular identification and antifungal susceptibility of  
52 *Curvularia australiensis*, *C. hawaiiensis* and *C. spicifera* isolated from human eye infections.  
53 Mycoses 2015;58:603–9.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 [26] Homa M, Shobana CS, Singh YR, Manikandan P, Selvam KP, Kredics L, Narendran V,  
5  
6 Vágvölgyi C, Galgóczy L. *Fusarium* keratitis in South India: causative agents, their antifungal  
7  
8 susceptibilities and a rapid identification method for the *Fusarium solani* species complex.  
9

10  
11 Mycoses 2013;56:501–11.  
12

13 [27] Hassan AS, Al-Hatmi AM, Shobana CS, van Diepeningen AD, Kredics L, Vágvölgyi C,  
14

15  
16 Homa M, Meis JF, de Hoog GS, Narendran V, Manikandan P; IHFK Working Group.  
17

18 Antifungal susceptibility and phylogeny of opportunistic members of the genus *Fusarium*  
19


20  
21 causing human keratomycosis in South India. Med Mycol. 2016;54:287–94.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65


**Figure Legends:**

**Fig. 1** Slit lamp biomicroscopy **(a)** with retro illumination **(b)** showing the fungal colonies on the posterior surface of the intraocular lens

**Fig. 2** Colony **(a)** and microscopic morphology **(b)** of *Exophiala dermatitidis* SZMC 21989 cultured on Sabouraud's agar for 7 days. White arrows indicate terminal and intercalary phialids while black arrows indicate the yeast-like cells







**Table 1** A retrospective summary of *Exophiala* eye infections reported since 1990.

Aetiological agent	Age/Gender <sup>a</sup>	Country	Therapy <sup>b</sup>	Underlying condition <sup>c</sup>	Outcome	Reference
<b>Keratitis</b>						
<i>E. dermatitidis</i>	35/M	Czechoslovakia	AMB	NF1, immunodeficiency	Leukoma	[7]
<i>E. jeanselmei</i>	42/M	Saudi Arabia	NTM, MCN, AMB	-	Improved	[8]
<i>E. jeanselmei</i>	58/F	Israel	NTM, AMB	-	Improved	[6]
<i>E. dermatitidis</i>	52/M	USA	AMB	-	Improved	[9]
<i>E. jeanselmei</i>	39/F	USA	AMB, ITC	-	Improved	[10]
<i>E. jeanselmei</i>	41/M	USA	VRC, NTM, KTC, SI	-	Improved	[11]
<i>E. phaeomuriformis</i>	84/F	USA	VRC, SI	-	Improved	[12]
<b>Subconjunctival mycetoma</b>						
<i>E. dermatitidis</i>	44/F	China	SI	-	Improved	[13]
<b>Endophthalmitis</b>						
<i>E. dermatitidis</i>	75/F	USA	AMB, SI	Diabetes	Enucleation	[14]
<i>E. dermatitidis</i>	31/M	France	ITC, AMB, SI	-	Failed	[15]
<i>E. jeanselmei</i>	67/F	Brazil	AMB	Purpura	Atrophy	[16]
<i>E. jeanselmei</i>	52/F	Brazil	AMB	Diabetes	Atrophy	[16]
<i>E. dermatitidis</i>	60/M	USA	AMB,SI	Crohn's disease, Herpes zoster keratitis	Enucleation	[17]
<i>Exophiala</i> sp.	65/F	Puerto Rico	VRC, FLC, SI	Diabetes	Improved	[18]
<i>E. dermatitidis</i>	59/M	India	VRC, FLC, SI	-	Improved	Present case

<sup>a</sup>**F:** female; **M:** male.

<sup>b</sup>**AMB:** amphotericin B; **FLC:** fluconazole; **ITC:** itraconazole; **KTC:** ketoconazole; **MCN:** miconazole; **NTM:** natamycin; **PSC:** posaconazole; **SI:** surgical intervention; **VRC:** voriconazole.

<sup>c</sup>**NF1:** neurofibromatosis type I.

**Table 2** The top five results of nucleotide-nucleotide BLAST search performed with the ITS sequence of the case isolate in the National Center for Biotechnology Information database (NCBI, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>).

<b>Species</b>	<b>Isolate</b>	<b>GenBank Accession No.</b>	<b>Identities bp/bp</b>	<b>%</b>
<i>Exophiala dermatitidis</i>	CBS 149.90	AF050268	597/604	99%
<i>Exophiala dermatitidis</i>	PUMY063	JN391328	595/605	98%
<i>Exophiala dermatitidis</i>	WM 07.305	KP132044	594/605	98%
<i>Exophiala dermatitidis</i>	PWQ2207	KP132041	594/605	98%
<i>Exophiala dermatitidis</i>	PMM10-90L	KP132040	594/605	98%

**Table 3** Antifungal susceptibility profile of *Exophiala dermatitidis* isolate SZMC 21989.

<b>Antifungal agent</b>	<b>Amphotericin B</b>	<b>Anidulafungin</b>	<b>Caspofungin</b>	<b>Clotrimazole</b>	<b>Econazole</b>	<b>Fluconazole</b>
<b>MIC (µg/ml)</b>	0.33	>32	>32	0.33	0.83	2.67
<b>Antifungal agent</b>	<b>Itraconazole</b>	<b>Ketoconazole</b>	<b>Micafungin</b>	<b>Natamycin</b>	<b>Posaconazole</b>	<b>Voriconazole</b>
<b>MIC (µg/ml)</b>	0.25	0.25	>32	8	0.38	0.25