2017

The effects of different dietary levels of organic and inorganic selenium on some growth performance and proximate composition of juvenile rainbow trout (*Onchorhyncus mykiss*)

Nazari K.¹; Shamsaie M.^{1*}; Eila N.²; Kamali A.¹; Sharifpour I.³

Received: December 2015 Accepted: August 2016

Abstract

Selenium, a trace mineral complement is used as cofactor of antioxidant enzymes that protects fishes against environmental stress agents and enhances performance in fishes. In this study the different levels of organic and inorganic source of selenium were included in juvenile rainbow trout diet to evaluate feed conversion ratio (FCR), specific growth rate (SGR), weight gain percent (WG), condition factor (CF), survival rate (SR) and proximate analysis of the fillet during 60 days of the experiment. The fishes were allotted to 9 treatment groups including, Tc the fishes were fed diet without any selenium, control group, To1, To2, To3 and To4 the fishes were fed different dosages of inorganic selenium and Ti1, Ti2, Ti3 and Ti4 those were fed different dose of inorganic Se in their diet. Results showed that To4, showed the highest level of WG from 50 ± 2.8 to 168.54 ± 25.56 g in comparison to Tc (134.38± 27.26g) (p<0.05). Average initial total length of fishes (19± 1.12 centimeters) increased to 21.1± 1.12 cm in Tc and 22.46 \pm 1.25 cm in To4 significantly (p<0.05). Among all treatments, FCR, SGR, WG, CF and SR were improved in To3 group. Also carcass protein increased in To 4 (32.58 \pm 1.22%) on the contrary of Ti 4 (22.43 \pm 1.51%) (p<0.05). As a general conclusion, dietary incorporation of organic selenium at 0.45 mg/kg showed satisfactory results in some growth parameters and was a useful supplement in salmonid fish diets.

Keywords: Organic selenium, Inorganic selenium, Rainbow trout, Proximate composition, Growth

¹⁻ Department of Fisheries Science, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

²⁻ Department of Poultry Science, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Alborz, Iran

³⁻ Department of Aquatic Animal Health and Disease, Iranian Fisheries Science Research Institute, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization, Tehran, Iran

^{*} Corresponding author's Email: drshamsaie@gmail.com

Introduction

In our country a viable way to increase production of fish and other aquatic organisms is the widely developed intensive farming systems, technique confirmed with high performances in Western Europe (Bud et al., 2007). In these systems the role of additional food is crucial for achieving good performance economically (Esmaeili et al.. 2014), quantitatively qualitatively, in order to solve one of the biggest problems in the fish diet: anti-oxidation. A solution is to use organic selenium (Se). In nature selenium can be found in two forms: inorganic and organic (Surai, 2006) and as a part of multiple components. It is generally accepted that selenium in biological systems is part of the amino constituent of proteins: cysteine, methionine and derivatives, selenocystein (seleno-methionine) (Jacob et al., 2003). Organic selenium which is now the main form of selenium supplementation for livestock in many countries and had been tested in fishes (Alina et al., 2010), sheep (Davis et al., 2008), goats (Kachuee et al., 2013), pigs (Horky et al., 2013), horse (Jancikova et al., 2013) or rats (Sochor et al., 2012), and its effect on selenium in blood serum. The objective of this study was to compare the effects of supplemented organic and inorganic selenium to juvenile rainbow trout on measures of selenium status in the rainbow trout muscle. When juvenile trout (Salmo salar) was given selenomethionine. compounds its were

present in muscles and all over the body, unlike selenite which is treated almost entirely in the liver (Lorentzen 1994) Selenomethionine assimilated more easily in the body because it is absorbed as amino acid. and methionine is similar (Schrauzer. 2000). Some is used immediately for synthesis of seleno-methionine and another part of organic selenium is incorporated into newly synthesized proteins. Dissimilar, the selenite is passively absorbed in the intestine as mineral used for the synthesis of seleno-protein and the remainder is excreted in faeces and urine (Surai, 2004) selenium stored during the process of protein turnover is recovered from tissues. A protein is of good quality when its chain is complete: incorporates in its constitution the nine amino acids. These nine amino acids are called essential because they cannot synthesized. But they are of insufficient quantities in the fish body. Unlike protein amino acids cannot be stored in the fish body, and when there is a need for a certain amino acid first this is consumed from blood, but after that proteins are destroyed in order to amino acids deliver (Guillamune, Consequently, 2007). amino acids supplementation is needed. In the present research methionine supplementation was made through the management of organic selenium, improving the fodder with Sel-Plex. Selenium binds methionine and fish treats the body as amino acid resulting in a better assimilation. The most important peroxidases (important enzymes for living organisms) also selenium depend on (Sibonani, 2003). Therefore peroxidases have to be present in fish food in an amount of 0.1-0.5 mg/g food, as it is an extremely important enzyme (Lenzi et al., 2000; Sen and Paker, 2000) found in all tissues where oxidative processes occur. Peroxidase it is also considered the ''emergency enzyme'' responsible for preventing oxidative stress (Pierce et al., 2004). The elimination of oxidative stress trough the administration of organic selenium was also among the concerns of this research: the organic selenium will keep the balance of oxidative stress. **Symptoms** in case ofselenium deficiency in fish are multiple: reduced growth, anemia, muscular dystrophy and even mortality (Bell and Cowey, 1989). Dietary intake varies selenium in fish flesh ranging between 1.32 and 4.6µg per 100µg. Selenium may affect meat quality due to degradation of lipids (Surai, 2006). The chemical meat composition of fish according to age, season, type of food, body region, Administration of 0.25 ppm selenium in the form of Sel-Plex. to Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) may lower the amount of fat to 6.93%, (Delyons, 1998) Regardless of the accuracy of recommendations, it appears that the RDI (Recommended Daily Intake) is greater than the optimum levels of This means deficiency of intake. selenium. Approximately 40 diseases and physiological states have been

associated with considerable deficiencies from country to country, but the overall intake of Selenium is low. This led to establishing a standard of reference for selenium daily intake in different countries (Surai, 2007). A study was conducted on 11 species of fish in Turkey and the amount of selenium, among which: arthritis. cardiovascular cancer. disease. cataracts, cystic fibrosis, diabetes. (Reilly, 1998). Thus increasing demand for higher quality food provides an excellent opportunity produce to Selenium enriched functional food.

Material and method

The experiment was carried out for a period of 60 days at the Fisheries Research Station, Khojir, Iran. A total of 1080 juvenile rainbow trout (50 \pm 2.8 g) were randomly divided into 9 treatment groups with three replicates of 30 fish per replicate. Treatments were Tc: 0 mg/kg selenium in basal diet (BD); To1: 0.15 mg/kg organic selenium; To2: 0.3 mg/kg organic selenium; To3: 0.45 mg/kg organic selenium and To4; 0.6 mg/kg organic selenium also; Ti1: mg/kg inorganic selenium: Ti2: 0.3 mg/kg inorganic selenium; Ti3: 0.45 mg/kg inorganic selenium and Ti4: 0.6 mg/kg inorganic selenium in similar basic diet. The juveniles were distributed into 800 liter concrete ponds at a stocking rate of 30 fish per pond. During experiment, the water temperature was 16± 1°C, dissolved oxygen 8.3 ± 0.81 mg/L and pH 6.3 ± 0.15 . Basal

diet was prepared according to National Research Council recommendation for rainbow trout (Table 1).

Table 1: Ingredients of Basal diet contain and Selenium content.

| Ingredients | Percent |
|-------------------|------------|
| Fish Meal | 34.9 |
| Soybean Meal | 20.5 |
| Wheat | 20 |
| Canola oil | 9.9 |
| Corn | 0.8 |
| DCP | 2.05 |
| Carboxy Methyl | 2 |
| Cellulose | |
| Caco ₃ | 1.89 |
| Mineral premix | 0.25 |
| Vitamin premix | 0.4 |
| Vitamin C | 0.08 |
| Colin | 0.15 |
| Digestible Energy | 3.8 Kcal/g |
| Protein | 38 |
| Fish Meal Protein | 25 |
| Calcium | 2 |
| Phosphorous | 1.2 |
| Arg | 2.2 |
| Lys | 2.6 |
| Leu | 2.8 |
| Tre | 1.52 |
| Metionin+Cystein | 1.3 |

To prepare experimental diets, at first, all ingredients were pulverized and then mixed to homogenize. Then they were mixed again with some 60°C water for 30 min. At the end of the trial, dry pellets, 6 mm in diameter, were made by pellet-making machine (Mitsubishi Mr-720, Japan). The feeding ratio was 2.5% Kg⁻¹ of the fish body weight at 16°C water temperature.

To investigate the growth and survival rates of the fishes and also to determine feeding size, biometry parameters were carried out twice per a month during the trial. In each biometry, all of the fish were captured, anesthetized by clove powder (220 ppm) and then some parameters including average weight and total length, specific growth rate (SGR), weight gain (WG), condition factor (CF), body weight gain (BWG), daily growth rate (DGR), feed conversion ratio (FCR) and survival rate (SR) were calculated as follows:

$$SGR = \frac{Ln \; BWF - lN \; BWI}{T} \times 100$$

(Helland et al., 1996)

Where BWF refers to the final weight of rainbow trout, and BWI refer to the initial weight of rainbow trout.

$$WG = BWF - BWI$$
 (Ghosh et al., 2003)

BWG= BWF- BWI / BWI
$$\times$$
 100

$$BWG = \frac{BWF - BWI}{BWI} \times 100$$

(Ghosh et al., 2003)

$$CF = \frac{BW}{TL^3} \times 100 \text{ (Ghosh } et al., 2003)$$

Where BW refers to the weight of rainbow trout, and TL refers to the total length of rainbow trout.

$$FCR = \frac{F}{WF - Wi}$$
 (Helland *et al.*, 1996)

Where F refers to the value of consumed food

$$SR = rac{ ext{total number of alive fish}}{ ext{total number of fish}} imes 100$$

(Helland et al., 1996)

$$DGR = \frac{BWF - BWI}{T}$$
 (Helland *et al.*, 1996)

Where BWF refers to the final weight of rainbow trout, and BWI refers to the initial weight of rainbow trout.

Body composition assays

After 60 days of the experiment, 4 samples from each treatment were selected randomly for analysis of body composition and total selenium. Body chemical composition parameters were carried out according to procedures described by AOAC (1995). Total crude protein was performed by Kjeldahls method with the multiplier 6.25; lipid content by Soxhlet method, with petroleum ether as solvent for 8h; total ash content was measured by the mineralization of sample at temperature of 550°C for 8 hours (Linn Electrothermal Furnace, Germany). All data were replicated 4 times and subjected to one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means were separated by Duncan's tests at 95% level by using SPSS software version 20.

Results

There were significant differences between experimental treatments and control group in terms of weight (Fig. 1), Length (Fig. 2), DGR (Fig. 3), BWG (Fig. 6), and FCR (Fig. 8). Accordingly, weight and total length average during 2 months statistically higher in To4 with significant difference (p<0.05). In this regard, the values of SR, CF were statistically higher in organic treatments. The FCR values were statistically different between To3, 4 Ti2 with control group (p<0.05) (Fig. 8). There is no significant differences observed in carcass protein content, lipid, ash moisture between and

treatments and control group (Table 2) (p>0.05). There were significant differences between selenium content (Fig. 9) of To1, 2, 3 and control (p<0.05). It should be noted that the allowance of selenium is 3.5 mg/kg meat (FDA, 2003).

Discussion

The present research confirms some of the positive effects of organic selenium compared with inorganic selenium in trout. Moreover, there is not enough information about adding Selenium in trout species as a growth stimulator. The research is valuable from both technological and economical point of view (Bud, 2007), because it allows an inside view on the influence of organic selenium on the fish body (Surai, 2006) helped to test Sel-Plex on carp; and demonstrates (Lemly, 2008) organic selenium enriched fodder leads higher production fish and economical effectiveness (functional food, a food conversion ratio lower, increasing body mass in less time and better quality at lower costs). The showed that the performances of fish fed with selenium is higher than that in the control group. Because selenium is a structural component of the physiological antioxidant properties (Talas et al., 2007; Ates et al., 2008; Orun et al., 2011) and it acts as a growth promoter, caused extensive tissue lipid peroxidation in fish (Berntssen et al., 2003).




Figure 1: Comparison of weight values in treatment groups fed by various levels of organic and inorganic selenium during 2 months. Bars (Mean \pm SD) with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).




Figure 2: Comparison of total length values between experimental fish groups fed by various levels of organic and inorganic selenium during 2 months. Bars (Mean \pm SD) with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).




Figure 3: Comparison of DGR values between experimental fish groups fed by various levels of organic and inorganic selenium. Bars (Mean \pm SD) with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).




Figure 4: Comparison of SR values between experimental fish groups fed by various levels of organic and inorganic selenium. Bars (Mean \pm SD) with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).




Figure 5: Comparison of FCR values between experimental fish groups fed by various levels of organic and inorganic selenium. Bars (Mean \pm SD) with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).




Figure 6: Comparison of BWG values between experimental fish groups fed by various levels of organic and inorganic selenium. Bars (Mean \pm SD) with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).




Figure 7: Comparison of CF values between experimental fish groups fed by various levels of organic and inorganic selenium. Bars (Mean \pm SD) with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).




Figure 8: Comparison of SGR values between experimental fish groups fed by various levels of organic and inorganic selenium. Bars (Mean \pm SD) with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).




Figure 9: Comparison of Selenium content between experimental fish groups fed by various levels of organic and inorganic selenium. Bars (Mean \pm SD) with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

Table 2: Proximate composition of rainbow trout at the end of experiment. Means within each column with different letters differ significantly (n < 0.05)

| column with different letters differ significantly ($p < 0.05$). | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | Lipid | Moisture | Protein | Ash | |
| Tc | 6.98±0.55 ^a | 71.28±1.48 ^a | 22.43±1.51 ^b | 1.40±0.10 ^a | |
| To1 | 6.24 ± 0.96^{a} | 70.19 ± 1.55^{a} | 22.47 ± 1.08^{b} | 1.34 ± 0.09^{a} | |
| To2 | 6.46 ± 0.85^{a} | 72.01 ± 0.94^{a} | 22.72 ± 0.29^{b} | 1.36 ± 0.05^{a} | |
| To3 | 5.91 ± 0.51^{a} | 69.86 ± 1.36^{a} | 32.58 ± 0.90^{a} | 1.40 ± 0.42^{a} | |
| To4 | 6.36 ± 0.42^{a} | 70.48 ± 1.32^{a} | 29.49 ± 1.22^{a} | 1.37 ± 0.09^{a} | |
| Ti1 | 6.33 ± 0.49^{a} | 71.52 ± 0.96^{a} | 20.99 ± 0.52^{b} | 1.38 ± 0.03^{a} | |
| Ti2 | 6.36 ± 0.85^{a} | 71.55 ± 0.90^{a} | 20.83 ± 1.33^{b} | 1.35 ± 0.07^{a} | |
| Ti3 | 6.55 ± 0.93^{a} | 71.27 ± 0.65^{a} | 21.93 ± 0.42^{b} | 1.40 ± 0.12^{a} | |
| Ti4 | 6.30 ± 0.80^{a} | 71.45 ± 0.56^{a} | 21.40 ± 1.02^{b} | 1.39 ± 0.02^{a} | |

Similar results were obtained by Alina et~al~(2010) that indicate Protein (18.72±0.12), Fat (7.71±0.17), selenium (174.6±12.25), length (43±0.9), Weight (1510 g), FCR (1.79) in common carp. Selenium is a component of several seleno-proteins with essential biological functions (Van Cauwenbergh et~al., 2004). This element acts as a cofactor

of the GPx family of enzymes which against oxidative stress. protect Specifically, Se-dependent GPx enzyme glutathione, reducing lipid recycles catalyzing peroxidation by the peroxides, reduction of including hydrogen peroxide. In general all these enzymes at their reduced state catalyze the breakdown of lipid hydroperoxides and hydrogen peroxides in human cells (NavarroAlarcon and López-Martínez, 2000; Van Cauwenbergh et al., 2004; Hartikainen, 2005; Navarro-Alarcon et al., 2005). From all these associated enzymes, GPx and selenoprotein P are also involved in the regulation of the response inflammatory (Van Cauwenbergh et al., 2004). Moreover, the antioxidative function of selenium can help to ameliorate the damage induced by the ultraviolet-β radiation in humans. In farm animals diseases associated with selenium deficiency have been an important problem. White muscle disease is a nutritional muscular dystrophy that is the most common selenium deficiency disease (Peter and Costa, 1992). Usually actively growing animals suffer from this disease. showing symptoms weakness, problems with feeding, and cardiac implications that very often produce death. On the other hand, subclinical deficiency levels are associated with poor growth, impairment of animal production, and decrease in immune efficiency (Peter and Costa, 1992; Chahardeh Baladehi and Hedayati, 2017). On the other hand, the selenoprotein P is a plasma protein whose source is the liver and kidney. This protein constitutes the main plasma selenium carrier carrying more than 60% of plasma selenium. Besides, it is known that the protein levels depend on the body's selenium status, such that it has been used as a biomarker of body selenium content. Particularly, the selenoprotein P acts as an extra cellular antioxidant associated with the vascular endothelium which diminishes the peroxinitrile level that represents reactive nitrogen species (Orun *et al.*, 2005; Orun *et al.*, 2008; Li *et al.*, 2007; Ates *et al.*, 2008).

Thus increasing demand from consumers for higher quality foods provides an excellent opportunity to produce functional foods rich in selenium. Functional foods will give the most benefits because they can be adapted to the needs and lifestyle of country. Modernization intensification in fisheries production lead to the need to focused attention to use with maximum efficiency the production capacity, to adopt new technologies for mining, scientific organization of production and labor in order to increase their while lowering cost price.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge head and staff of Fisheries Khojir Research Center, for technical assistance throughout the course of study. Thanks are also due to Dr. S.P. Hosseini-Shekarabi of Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch for valuable guidance.

References

AOAC., 1995. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Official methods of analysis. 16 edition, AOAC, Arlington, VA. 1832P.

Alina, R., Aurel, S., Aurelian, B. and Mihai, B., 2010. Organic selenium (Sel-Plex) and its impact on the

- indices of growth, consumption and meat quality of carp (*Cyprinus Carpio*). Animal Science and Biotechnologies, 43 (1), 22-36.
- Ates, B., Orun, I., Talas, Z.S., Durmaz, G. and Yilmaz, I., 2008. Effects of sodium selenite on some biochemical and hematological parameters of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum, 1792) exposed to Pb²⁺ and Cu²⁺. *Fish Physiology Biochemistry*, 34, 53-59.
- Bell, J.G. and Cowey, C.B., 1989. Digestibility and bioavailability of dietary selenium from fish meal, selenite, selenomethionine and selenocysteine in Atlantic salmon (*Salmon salari*), *Aquaculture*, 81, 61-68.
- Berntssen, M.H., Aatland, A. and Handy, R.D., 2003. Chronic dietary mercury exposure causes oxidative stress, brain lesions, and altered behaviour in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) parr. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 65, 55-72.
- Bud, I., Diaconescu, S., Mudure, M., Bucureşti, C., Guillamune, J., Kausihik, S., Bergot, P. and Metailler, R., 2007. Nutrition et alimentation des poissons et crustaces. Institut National de la Recherch Agronomique, 21-31.
- Chahardeh Baladehi, E. and 2017. Hedayati S.A.A., The hematological improvement of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus during mykiss) dietary supplementation with vitamin C after

- exposure to zinc nano-particles E. *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 16(1), 162-169.
- De-Lyons, M.S., 1998. Organic selenium as a supplement for Atlantic salmon: effect on meat quality. Biotechnology in food Industry. Proceedings of Alltech's 14 Annual Symp., Ed. By Lyons T.P. and Jacques, 505-508.
- Esmaeili, B. and Khara, H., 2014. Growth performance, hematology and immunological parameters of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, fed with diets containing different levels of vitamin E and folic acid. *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 13(4), 931-943.
- **FDA** (Federal Drug Administration), 2003. Food additives permitted in feed and drinking water of animals: Se yeast. *Federal Register*, 68 (170), 52339-52340.
- **Ghosh, K., Kumar, S.K. and Kumar, R.A., 2003.** Supplementation of an isolated fish gut bacterium, *Bacillus circulans*, in: Formulated diets for Rohu, *Labeo rohita*, fingerlings. *Aquaculture-Bamidgeh*, 55, 13-21.
- Hartikainen, H., 2005. Biogeochemistry of selenium and its impact on food chain quality and human health. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology*, 18, 309-18.
- Helland, S.J., GrisdaleHelland, B. and Nerland, S., 1996. A simple method for the measurement of daily feed intake of groups of fish in tanks. *Aquaculture*, 139, 157-163.

- Jacob, C., Giles, GI., Giles, N.M. and Sies, H., 2003. Sulfur and selenium: the role of oxidation state in protein structure and funcțion. *Angewandte Chemie*, 42, 4742-4758.
- **Lemly, A.D., 2008.** Aquatic hazard of selenium releases from coal mining in the mud river ecosystem, West Virgina. Springer- Verlag, New York, Inc, pp. 1-29.
- Lenzi, A., Gandini, L., Picardo, M., Tramer, F., Sandri, G. and Panfili, E, 2000. Lipoperoxidation damage of spermatozoa polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA): Scavenger mechanisms and possible scavenger therapies. *Frontiers in Bioscience*, 5, 1-15.
- Li, N., Gao, Z., Luo, D., Tang, X., Chen, D. and Hu, Y., 2007. Selenium level in the environment and the population of Zhoukoudian area, Beijing, China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 381, 105-11.
- **Lorentzen, M., Amund, M. and Kaare, J., 1994.** Effect of dietary selenite or seleno methionine on tissue Selenium levels of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Aquaculture*, 121(4), 359-367.
- Navarro-Alarcon, M. and López-Martínez, M.C., 2000. Essentiality of selenium in the human body: relationship with different diseases. *Scientific Total Environmental*, 249, 347-371.
- Navarro-Alarcon, M., Gil-Hernández, F. and Gil-Hernandez, A., 2005. Selenio, manganeso, cromo, molibdeno, yodio y otros

- oligoelementos minoritarios. In: Gil-Hernández, A. (eds). Tratado de Nutrición Tomo I: Bases fisiológicas y Bioquímicas de la Nutrición. Madrid: Acción Medica, 997-1036.
- Orun, I., Ates, B., Selamoglu, Z., Yazlak, H., Ozturk, E. and Yilmaz, I., 2005. Effects of various sodium selenite concentrations on some biochemical and hematological parameters of rainbow trout (Onchorhynchus mykiss). Fresenius Environmental Bulletin, 14, 18-22.
- Orun, I., Talas, Z.S., Ozdemir, I. Alkan, A. and Erdogan, K., 2008. Antioxidative role of selenium on some tissues of (Cd²⁺, Cr³⁺)- induced rainbow trout. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 71, 71-75.
- Orun, I., Talas Z.S. and Alkan, A., 2011. Modulating effect of selenium on gills of fish exposed to heavy metals. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 20, 104-108.
- Peter, D.W. and Costa, N.D., 1992.
 Selenium in animal production in Australia. *Processing Nutritional Society of Australia*, 17, 99–108.
- Pierce, J., Amanda, C. and Melinda, A., 2004. Why should you care about free radicals. *Modern Medicine*, 38-42.
- **Reilly, C., 1998.** A new entrant into the functional food area. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 9, 114-118.
- Schrauzer, G. N., 2000. Selenomethionine: a review of its nutritional significance, metabolism and toxicity. *The Journal of nutrition*, 130(7), 1653-1656.

- **Sen, C.K. and Paker, L., 2000.** Thiol homeostasis and supplement in physical exercise. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 72, 553-669.
- Sibonani, S.M., 2003. Active biomonitoring (ABM) of the Rietvlei Wetland system using antioxidant enzymes, non-enzymatic antioxidants and histopatology as biomarkers. Aquatic Health in the Faculty of Sciences at the Rand Afrikans University, 1-43.
- **Surai, P.F., 2004.** Ale suplimentării cu seleniu. Explorând noi orizonturi. Al 18-lea turnu de conferințe Alltech pentru Europa, Orientul Mijlociu și Africa de Nord.
- **Surai ,P.F., 2006.** Selenium in nutrition and health. University Press, Nottingham.
- Surai, P.F., 2007. Utilizarea produsului Sel-Plex pentru îmbunătățirea sănătății umane și animale. Nutriția și gena. Performanță Profitabilitate. Turneul

- de Conferințe pentru Europa, Orientul Mijlociu și Africade Nord, Alltech.
- Talas, Z.S., Orun, I., Ozdemir, I., Erdogan, K., Alkan, A. and Yılmaz, I., 2007. Antioxidative role of selenium against the toxic effect of heavy metals (Cd²⁺, Cr³⁺) on liver of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum, 1792). *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 34, 217-222.
- Van Cauwenbergh, RV., Robberectht, H. and Va Vlaslaer, V., 2004. Comparison of the serum selenium content of healthy adults living in the Antwerp region (Belgium) with recent literature data. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology*, 18, 99-112.