2016

brought to you

# Effect of lactic acid bacteria and the potential probiotic Hafnia alvei on growth and survival rates of narrow clawed crayfish (Astacus leptodactylus Esch., 1823) stage II juveniles

Didinen B.I.<sup>1\*</sup>; Bahadır Koca S.<sup>1</sup>; Metin S.<sup>1</sup>; Diler O.<sup>1</sup>; Erol K.G.<sup>2</sup>; Dulluc A.<sup>1</sup>; Koca H.U.<sup>1</sup>; Yigit N.O.<sup>1</sup>; Ozkok R.<sup>2</sup>; Kucukkara R.<sup>2</sup>

Received: June 2013

Accepted: May 2016

#### Abstract

The aim of this study was to screen potential probiotic bacteria against Aeromonas hydrophila and determine the effects of antagonistic bacteria and a commercial product containing lactic acid bacteria on the survival and growth of stage II Astacus leptodactylus juveniles. For this purpose, a total of 110 bacterial strains were isolated from adult, stage II crayfish juveniles and rearing water screened for antagonistic activities against A. hydrophila with well diffusion agar assay. Hafnia alvei strain from stage II crayfish juveniles displayed the inhibition zone (10mm) against A. hydrophila. The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized design with four treatments for 60 days: (I) crayfish fed with live food without probiotics (control group); (II) crayfish fed with live food enriched with lactic acid bacteria (0.015  $gL^{-1}$ ); (III) crayfish fed with live food enriched with *Hafnia alvei* ( $10^6$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>); (IV) crayfish fed with control diet and *H. alvei* added to rearing water  $(10^6 \text{ CFU mL}^{-1})$ . As a result of this study, lactic acid bacteria and Hafnia alvei applications did not positively affect growth and survival of stage II A. leptodactylus juveniles. In the future, studies on screening potential probiotic bacteria should be used *in vitro* and *in vivo* tests. In addition, it will be useful to investigate the lactic acid bacteria and Bacillus spp. from indigenous microflora of crayfish.

Keywords: Astacus leptodactylus, Aeromonas hydrophila, Lactic acid bacteria, Hafnia alvei, Growth, Survival

<sup>1-</sup>Suleyman Demirel University, Egirdir Fisheries Faculty, Çünür, Isparta, Turkey

<sup>2-</sup> Fisheries Research Institute, Egirdir, Isparta, Turkey

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's email: behiredidinen@hotmail.com

#### Introduction

Astacids are considered valuable fishery resources, as there are no other commercially important species of Crustacea found in the fresh waters of Turkey. The only native cravfish species found in Turkey is Astacus Eschscholtz. leptodactylus 1823. Astacid culture is mainly based on semi-extensive systems in which stage II juveniles are stocked in natural earthen ponds or in artificial ponds. However, mortality of juveniles of Astacids is more than in warm water crayfish (e.g., cambarids) (Mazlum, 2007). One of the most important factors affecting survival and growth of these juveniles is nutrition. There have been a few studies describing nutrition (Cilbiz, 2011; Koca et al., 2011), and feed additives (Mazlum et al., 2011; Safari et al., 2014; Safari et al., 2015) for A. leptodactylus. There are a few studies on the effects of probiotic bacteria on growth and survival of fresh water crayfish (Didinen et al., 2008; Sajedi-Raad et al., 2010; Ambas et al., 2013; Mona et al., 2015). Hovewer, there is no published study on the effects of selected or commercial probiotic bacteria on growth and survival of stage II A. leptodactylus juveniles.

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are the most commonly applied probiotics in terrestrial animal nutrition, and their use as probiotics has been proposed for aquatic species (Gatesoupe, 1991; Ringo and Gatesoupe, 1998; Gatesoupe, 2002). Lactic acid bacteria are used as probiotics in aquaculture because of their properties such as low or no virulence. outcompeting harmful bacteria by producing antimicrobial substances. adherence capacity to colonize the digestive tract and competing with pathogens for adhesion sites (Farzanfar, 2006; Mota et al., 2006).

Α. hydrophia is a pathogenic bacterium for freshwater crayfish (Jiravanichpaisal et al., 2009). In addition, Wasow et al. (2004) reported that the incidence of plague in A. leptodactylus was accompanied by bacteremia caused by Pseudomonas Α. hvdrophila fluorescens. and Aeromonas caviae. However, there is no study looking for probiotic bacteria effective against this pathogen in A. leptodactylus.

The aim of this study was to isolate potential probiotic bacteria strains from healthy narrow clawed crayfish (*A. leptodactylus*) and rearing water based on antimicrobial activities against *A. hydrophila.* This study also evaluated the impact of the potential probiotic and a commercial probiotic containing lactic acid bacteria on the growth and survival of stage II *A. leptodactylus* juveniles.

#### Materials and methods

*Isolation of potential probiotic bacteria* The samples were taken from hepatopancreas, gills and intestine of adult crayfish and the whole body of stage II crayfish juveniles; rearing water of adult and juvenile crayfish at Suleyman Demirel University, Egirdir Aquaculture Unit. Fisheries Faculty, The samples were homogenized, serially diluted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Sigma), plated onto Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA, Merck) and Plate Agar Count (Merck) and incubated for 2-3 day at an ambient temperature of approximately 25 °C. Each isolate was stored at -80 °C in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB, Merck) with 15% (v/v) glycerol.

Inhibitory activity of the isolates

All bacteria isolated from the samples were tested for antagonistic activity by a well diffusion agar assay (WDAA) against A. hydrophila. Target organisms were grown in 4mL TSB for 2 d at 25°C, and 10 µL of each culture was mixed into 10 mL of melted TSA (43.5–44°C). After solidifying and drying for 15-20 minutes, wells were punched (diameter, 3 mm) and 10 µL of a 2 day old potential probiotic bacterial culture (approx.  $10^8 - 10^9$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) grown in TSB at 25°C was added to wells in triplicates. Plates were incubated at 25°C for one day and observed for clearing zones around the wells. Strains causing clearing zones in the WDAA were tested once more in TSA to ensure that the antagonistic activity was stable after storage and sub-culture (Hjelm et al., 2004).

# *Identification of potential probiotic bacterium*

Identification of antagonistic bacterium was based on the following criteria: Gram reaction, catalase reaction (3%  $H_2O_2$ ), motility, oxsidase reaction, ability to metabolize glucose bv oxidation and/or fermentation in OF basal medium supplemented with 1.5% glucose, growth at 41°C in TSB, methyl red test, fermentation of carbohydrates (cellobiose. maltose. mannose. trehalose, xylose, raffinose, salicin, sorbitol, lactose). Additional tests were performed using API 20 E system (bioMérieux) (Holt et al., 1994; Austin and Austin, 2007).

# Pathogenicity test of potential probiotic bacteria on stage II crayfish juveniles

The juveniles were distributed into groups of 25 animals each in 10 L plastic troughs containing 5 L of ground water. Animals were challenged by immersion at the final concentration of  $10^7$ CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> with *H. alvei* for a period of 2 days. The experiment was carried out in triplicate and juvenile mortality was monitored for 14 days (Austin *et al.*, 1995).

# Production of stage II A. leptodactylus juveniles for experiment

In this study for the production of stage II juvenile crayfish, females carrying fertilized eggs were obtained from Egirdir Lake. For adaptation, oviferous females were placed into circular fiberglass tanks (bottom area 1.5 m<sup>2</sup>, volume 400 L) at a rate of 15 individuals per tank. The tank system was placed inside a room with natural photoperiod, and each tank was supplied with continuous aeration. A static system was used and 30% of the water in each tank was exchanged every day. Water temperature inside the tank ranged from 17 to  $20^{\circ}$ C. Dissolved oxygen ranged from 5 to 7 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, while the pH ranged from 7 to 7.6 during production for stage II juveniles.

### Experimental groups

The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized design with four treatments for 60 days: (I) crayfish fed with live food without probiotics (control group); (II) crayfish fed with live food enriched with commercial probiotics  $(0.015 \text{ gL}^{-1})$ ; (III) crayfish fed with live food enriched with commercial probiotics  $(10^{6} \text{ CFU mL}^{-1})$ ; (IV) crayfish fed with control diet and potential probiotics added to rearing water  $(10^{6} \text{ CFU mL}^{-1})$ .

# Live food culture and probiotic enrichment

Live food organisms (*Daphnia magna* and Chrinomid larvae) were cultured in outdoor tanks (700 L). *Chlorella* sp. was introduced in culture tanks fertilized with horse manure (200 gL<sup>-1</sup>). *Daphnia magna* and Chrinomid larvae were grown in culture tanks containing *Chlorella sp.* culture at a cell density of  $2x10^5$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup>.

The probiotic (consisting of *Lactobacillus plantarum, L. delbrueckii* sub sp. *bulgaricus, L. acidophilus, L. rhamnosus, Bifidobacterium bifidium, Streptococcus salivarius* subsp. *thermophilus, Enterococcus faecium, Aspergillus oryza, Candida pintolopesii* and Ascorbic acid) was used according to manufacturer's instructions.

The commercial probiotic and potential probiotic culture was added to live food (*Daphnia magna* and Chrinomid larvae) tanks every day at the final concentrations of  $0.015 \text{ gL}^{-1}$  and  $10^6 \text{ CFU mL}^{-1}$ , respectively.

## Experimental conditions

The experiment was performed using A. leptodactylus Stage II juvenile at the Aquaculture Department of Egirdir Fisheries Faculty, Suleyman Demirel University. A total of 450 juveniles (initial weight, carapax and total length were 0.035±0.003 g, 6.25±0.24 mm and 12.13±0.29 mm, respectively) were stocked into each fiberglass tank  $(3x0.5x0.5 \text{ m}, \text{ bottom area } 1.5 \text{ m}^2)$ filled with 300 L of ground water. The experiment groups were run in triplicates. Several bricks (27.5x17.5x13 cm) with 32 holes were placed in each tank as shelter. The tank system was housed inside a room with natural photoperiod and each tank was supplied with continuous aeration. A static system was used and 30% of the water in each tank was exchanged every day. The calcium concentration of water during the production of juveniles was 156  $mgL^{-1}$ . Water temperature and dissolved oxygen ranged from 19 to  $21^{\circ}$ C, and 6 to 7 mgL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively during the experiment. The juveniles were fed live food ad libitum every 24 h.

### Measurements

The measurements of the carapax, total length, and weight of the animals were

performed both at the beginning (100 individuals) and at the end of the experiment (all individuals). The number of surviving juveniles in each tank was counted at the end of the experiment.

The specific growth rate (SGR, % day<sup>-1</sup>) and survival rate were calculated as follows:

SGR (% day<sup>-1</sup>)=100(ln $W_t$ -ln $W_0$ )/t, where  $W_0$  and  $W_t$  are the initial mean weight and final mean weights, and t is the time in days (t=60 day)

Survival rate (SR, %) = [(final number of crayfish/initial number of crayfish)  $\times 100$ ]

### Statistical analysis

The final carapax and the total length, weight, specific growth rate, and survival rate of *A. leptodactylus* juveniles were analyzed with one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine if significant differences occurred among the probiotic treatments and control group. Duncan's multiple-range test was used to compare differences among individual means. The data were analyzed with SPSS 11.05. All statistical computations were performed at the probability level of p < 0.05.

### Results

Antagonistic activities against A. hydrophila of 110 bacterial isolates were tested by the well diffusion agar assay. H. alvei strain isolated from crayfish Π juveniles stage was displayed inhibition zone (10mm) against A. hydrophila. H. alvei didn't showed pathogenic effects on crayfish juveniles. Phenotypic characteristics of Hafnia alvei was given in Table 1.

Gr staining	-	Acid production from:	
-			
Oxidase	-	Glucose <sup>a</sup>	
Catalase	+	Mannitol <sup>a</sup>	
O/F Test	+/+	Inositol <sup>a</sup>	
Motility	+	Rhamnose <sup>a</sup>	
Growth at 41°C	+	Saccharose <sup>a</sup>	
β-alactosidase(ONPG) <sup>a</sup>	+	Mellibiose <sup>a</sup>	
Arginine dihydrolase <sup>a</sup>	-	Amygdalin <sup>a</sup>	
Lysine decarboxylase <sup>a</sup>	+	Arabinose <sup>a</sup>	
Ornithine decarboxylase <sup>a</sup>	+	Cellobiose	
Production of $H_2S^a$	-	Maltose	+
Urease <sup>a</sup>	-	Mannose	+
TDA <sup>a</sup>	-	Trehalose	+
Production of indole <sup>a</sup>	-	Xylose	+
VP <sup>a</sup>	+	Raffinose	-
Gelatinase <sup>a</sup>	-	Salicin	-
NO <sub>3</sub> reduction <sup>a</sup>	+	Sorbitol	-
Methyl red	+	Lactose	-

 Table 1: Phenotypic characterization of Hafnia alvei isolated from juvenile crayfish.

 Characterization of Hafnia alvei isolated from juvenile crayfish.

The end of the experiment, final weights, total body lengths, specific growth rates and survival rates of crayfish juveniles was ranged 0.50-0.57 g, 26.49–28.3 mm, 3.02 - 3.08%, 18.32-26.04% in all groups, respectively. The survival rates of the groups treated with

*H. alvei* was found relatively high levels. However, there was no significant differences between survival and growth parameters of all groups (p>0.05) (Table 2).

Table 2: Growth	parameters, survival and mortal	ity rates of the cra	yfish in the end of experiment.
		<b>C</b>	

	Groups				
Parameters	I (Control)	II	III	IV	
Total length (mm)	28.3±5.4	26.49±4	26.54±4.34	27.02±4.04	
Carapax length (mm)	$14.44 \pm 2.69$	$13.49 \pm 1.97$	$13.22 \pm 2.21$	13.77±1.97	
Final weight (g)	0.57±0.31	$0.50 \pm 0.26$	0.53±0.25	$0.55 \pm 0.27$	
SGR (% day <sup>-1</sup> )	$3.08 \pm 0.0028$	$3.02 \pm 0.0028$	2.96±0.0021	$3.06 \pm 0.056$	
Survival rate (%)	23.87±2.07	$18.32 \pm 5.88$	$25.4{\pm}6.22$	26.04±6.55	

In each parameter was not shown non-significant difference between groups (p>0.05)

#### Discussion

In this study, H. alvei strain isolated from stage II A. leptodactylus juveniles the antagonistic property showed towards A. hydrophila. There is only study on probiotic bacteria one effective against bacterial pathogens in fresh water crayfish. Ambas et al. (2015) found that Bacillus mycoides A10 and Shewanella sp. A12 isolated gastrointestinal tract (GIT) of from Cherax cainii inhibited growth of pathogen Vibrio mimicus and Vibrio cholerae, respectively. In adddition, a few reports about selection of potential probiotic bacterial strains in shrimp have been documented. Gullian et al. (2004) reported inhibitory effects of Vibrio P62, Vibrio P63 and Bacillus P64 isolated from the hepatopancreas of healthy wild shrimp (Penaeus vannamei) against V. harveyi (S2). Rattanachuay et al. (2007) noted Pseudomonas sp. isolated from water samples of intensive shrimp ponds showed high antagonistic activity

against shrimp Litopenaeus vannamei pathogen V. harveyi. Balcázar et al. (2007)demonstrated vitro in antagonistic effects of V. alginolyticus UTM 102, B. subtilis UTM 126, Roseobacter gallaeciensis SLV03, and P. aestumarina SLV22 from the gastrointestinal tract of adult shrimp L. vannamei against the shrimpbacterium, pathogenic Vibrio parahaemolyticus PS-017. Liu et al. (2014) also found that *Bacillus* sp. obtained from the gastrointestinal tract of healthy L. vannamei displayed antimicrobial activity against aquatic pathogens A. hydrophila, A. sobria and A. caviae.

One of the most important features of a probiotic is that it must not be pathogenic or toxic to its host (Kersarcodi-Watson *et al.*, 2008). *H. alvei* did not cause any harmful effects to crayfish stage II juveniles upon challenge even at a dose of  $10^{-7}$ cells mL<sup>-1</sup> introduced by immersion in this study. Capkin and Altinok (2009) noted antagonistic effect of *Enterobacter cloacae* isolated from rainbow trout intestinal microflora against *Yersinia ruckeri* and it is safe for rainbow trout. Burbank *et al.* (2011), the two *Enterobacter* sp. (C6-6 and C6-8) also identified as non-pathogenic to rainbow trout, inhibit *Flavobacterium psychrophilum in vitro.* 

In the present study, addition of H. alvei to rearing water and live food did not affect growth and survival of A. leptodactylus juveniles. Similarly, Ambas et al. (2013) also reported that dietary supplementation of *B. mycoides* (A10) and Shewanella sp. isolated and selected from Cherax tenuimanus had no significant impact on growth and survival of the marron. Rattanachuay et al. (2007) also noted that the addition of the potential probiotic *Pseudomonas sp.* to rearing water in shrimp did not affect growth in shrimp. In contrast, Balcázar et al. (2007), evaluated four bacterial strains isolated from the gastrointestinal tract of adult shrimp (L.vannamei), V. alginolyticus UTM 102, B. subtilis UTM 126, R. gallaeciensis SLV03, and P. aestumarina SLV22 for potential use for shrimp. Feeding as probiotics shrimp with diets containing all potential probiotics showed the best growth in comparison with the control groups. Gullian et al. (2004) also shrimps reported that Penaeus vannamei fed with probiotic (Vibrio P62, Vibrio P63 and Bacillus P64) showed significantly higher weight (p < 0.05) than the control group. In addition, use of dietary S. haliotis D4, B. cereus D7 and A. bivalvium D15

isolated from the gut of shrimp has improved growth of white shrimp (*L. vannamei*) (Hao *et al.*, 2014).

In this study, growth and survival of crayfish juveniles were not affected by feeding lactic acid bacteria enriched live food for 60 days. There is only one study on lactic acid bacteria in cravfish. Didinen et al. (2008) also reported that feeding lactic acid bacteria did not affect survival and growth in crayfish juveniles (average 15 g). However, the positive effects of lactic acid bacteria on growth of shrimp were noted in previous studies. Venkat et al. (2004) pointed out significantly higher growth performance in Macrobrachium rosenbergii post larvae fed Artemia bioencapsulated L. sporogenes over the control group. Similarly, Dash et al. (2014) indicated that L.plantarum can be used to improve growth in M. rosenbergii. Javadi et al. (2011) reported that the use of commercial probiotic containing different lactic acid bacteria in P. indicus led to a significant increase in growth parameters. Ajitha et al. (2004) noted that growth was superior in *Penaeus (Fenneropenaeus)* indicus fed lactic acid bacteria (L. acidophilus, S. cremoris, L. bulgaricus and L. bulgaricus) in comparison to the control group. Kongnum and Hongpattarakere (2012) also reported that use of L. plantarum supplemented diet in Litopenaeus vannamei improved relative growth rate (% RGR).

As a result of this study, *H. alvei* strain isolated from stage II narrow clawed crayfish juveniles displayed antimicrobial activity against crayfish pathogen A. hydrophila. However, H. alvei and the commercial probiotic containing lactic acid bacteria have not shown positive effect on growth parameters and survival in stage II A. leptodactylus juveniles. In the future further studies should be used in vitro (tolerance to high bile and low pH conditions, hydrophobicity, antibiotic susceptibility) and in vivo [total haemocytes counts (THC), proportion of granular cells (GC) and determination of bacterial load in the haemolymph] tests. In addition, it will be useful to investigate the lactic acid bacteria and Bacillus species from indigenous microflora of narrow clawed crayfish in these studies.

## Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a grant from Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) (Project no: TOVAG-106 O 353)

# References

- Ajitha, S., Sridhar, M., Sridhar, N., Singh, I.S.B. and Varghese, V., 2004. Probiotic effect of lactic acid against bacteria Vibrio alginolyticus in Penaeus (*Fenneropenaeus*) indicus (H. Milne Edwards). Asian Fish Sciences, 17, 71-80.
- Ambas, I., Buller, N. and Fotedar, R.,
  2015. Isolation and screening of probiotic candidates from marron, *Cherax cainii* (Austin, 2002)
  gastrointestinal tract (GIT) and

commercial probiotic products for the use in marron culture. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, 38(**5**), 467-476.

- Ambas, I., Suriawan, A. and Fotedar,
  R., 2013. Immunological responses of customised probiotics-fed marron, *Cherax tenuimanus*,(Smith 1912) when challenged with *Vibrio mimicus*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 35(2), 262-270.
- Austin, B. and Austin, D., 2007. Bacterial fish pathogens: Diseases of farmed and wild fish, 4th edn, UK: Springer-Praxis.
- Austin, B., Stuckey, L.F., Robertson, P.A.W., Effendi, J. and Griffith D.R.W., 1995. A probiotic reducing diseases caused by *Aeromonas salmonicida*, Vibrio anguillarum and Vibrio ordali. Journal of Fish Diseases, 18, 93-96.
- Balcázar, J.L., Rojas-Luna, T. and Cunningham, D.P., 2007. Effect of the addition of four potential probiotic strains on the survival of pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) following immersion challenge, with *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 96, 147-150.
- Burbank. **D.R.** LaPatra, **S.E.** Fornshell, G. and Cain, K.D., 2012. Isolation of bacterial candidates probiotic from the gastrointestinal tract of rainbow **Oncorhynchus** trout mykiss (Walbaum) and screening for inhibitory activity against

Flavobacterium psychrophilum. Journal of Fish Diseases, 35(11), 809-16.

- Capkin, E. and Altinok, I., 2009. Effects of dietary probiotic supplementations on prevention/treatment of yersiniosis disease. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 106, 1147–1153.
- Cilbiz, M., 2011. Effect of the different dietary calcium levels on growth, survival rate and moulting of the fresh water crayfish (*Astacus leptodactylus*, esch., 1823). Master Thesis. Suleymen Demirel University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Isparta-TURKIYE.
- Dash, G., Raman, R.P., Prasad, K.P., Makesh, M., Pradeep, M.A. and Sen, S., 2014. Evaluation of *Lactobacillus plantarum* as feed supplement on host associated microflora, growth, feed efficiency, carcass biochemical composition and immune response of giant freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de Man, 1879). *Aquaculture*, 432, 225-236.
- Didinen, B.I., Bahadir Koca, S., Diler, Ö., Koca, H. U., Dulluc, A., Ekici, S., Gulle, İ. and Özkok, R., 2008. Effect of dietary supplementation commerical probiotic (protexin) on growth and survival of narrow-clawed crayfish (Astacus leptodactylus Esch.) The symposium on interactions between social economic and ecological objectives of inland commercial and recreational

fisheries and aquaculture. Turkey. Antalya.

- Farzanfar, A., 2006. The use of probiotics in shrimp aquaculture. International Journal of Environmental Health Research, 48, 149–158.
- Gatesoupe, F.J., 1991. The effect of three strains of lactic bacteria on the production rate of rotifers, *Brachionus plicatilis*, and their dietary value for larval turbot, *Scophthalmus maximus*. *Aquaculture*, 96, 335–342.
- Gatesoupe, F.J., 2002. Probiotic and formaldehyde treatments of *Artemia nauplii* as food for larval pollock, *Pollachius pollachius*. *Aquaculture*, 212, 347–360.
- Gullian, M., Thompson, F. and Rodriguez, J., 2004. Selection of probiotic bacteria and study of their immunostimulatory effect in *Penaeus vannemei. Aquaculture*, 233, 1-14.
- Hao, K., Liu, J.Y., Ling, F., Liu, X.
  L., Lu, L., Xia, L. and Wang,
  G.X., 2014. Effects of dietary administration of *Shewanella haliotis* D4, *Bacillus cereus* D7 and *Aeromonas bivalvium* D15, single or combined, on the growth, innate immunity and disease resistance of shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture*, 428, 141-149.
- Hjelm, M., Bergh, O., Riaza, A., Nielsen, J., Melcheiorsen, J., Jensen, S., Duncan, H., Ahrens, P., Birkbeck, H. and Gram, L., 2004. Selection and identification of autochthonous potential

probiotic bacteria from turbot larvae (*Scophthalmus maximus*) rearing units. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, 27, 360-371.

- Holt, J.G., Krieg, N.R. and Sneath,
  P.H.A., Staley JT, Williams ST,
  1994. Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology. 9th edn. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins.
- Javadi, A., Mirzaei, H, Khatibi, S.
  A., Zamanirad, M., Forogeefard,
  H. and Abdolalian, I., 2011.
  Effect of dietary probiotic on survival and growth of *Penaeus indicus* cultured shrimps. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 10, 2271-2274.
- Jiravanichpaisal, P, Roos, S, Edsman, L., Liu, H. and Söderhäll, K., 2009. A highly virulent pathogen, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, from the freshwater crayfish *Pacifastacus leniusculus*. Journal of Invertebrate *Pathology*, 101(1), 56-66.
- Koca, S.B., Yigit, N.O., Dulluç, A., Erol, G., Cılbız, N. and Kucukkara, R., 2011. Appropriate usage level of shrimp waste meal as chitin source for feeding young crayfish (Astacus leptodactylus Esch. 1823). Pakistan Veterinary Journal, 31(3), 207-210.
- Kongnum, K. and Hongpattarakere, T., 2012. Effect of *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from digestive tract of wild shrimp on growth and survival of white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) challenged

with Vibrio harveyi. Fish & Shellfish Immunology, 32(1), 170-177.

- Kersarcodi-Watson, A., Kaspar, H., Lategan, M.J. and Gibson, L., 2008. Probiotics in aquaculture: the need, principles and mechanisms of action and screening processes. *Aquaculture*, 274, 1–14.
- Liu, H., Li, Z., Tan, B., Lao, Y., Duan, Z., Sun, W. and Dong, X., 2014. Isolation of a putative probiotic strain S12 and its effect on growth performance, nonspecific immunity and diseaseresistance of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei. Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 41(2), 300-307.
- Mazlum, Y., 2007. Stocking density affects the growth, survival, and cheliped injures of third instars of narrow-clawed crayfish, *Astacus leptodactylus* Eschscholtz, 1823 juveniles, *Crustaceana*, 80(7), 803-815.
- Mazlum, Y., Yılmaz, E., Genç, M.A. and Guner, O., 2011. A preliminary study on the use of manan oligosaccharides (MOS) in freshwater crayfish, *Astacus leptodactylus* Eschscholtz, 1823, juvenile diets. *Aquaculture International*, 19, 111-119.
- Mona, M.H., Rizk, E.S.T., Salama, W.M. and Younis, M.L., 2015. Efficacy of probiotics, prebiotics, and immunostimulant on growth performance and immunological parameters of *Procambarus clarkii*

juveniles. *The Journal of Basic and Applied Zoology*, 69, 17-25.

- Mota, R., Moreira, J.L., Souza, M., Horta, F., Teixeira, S., Neumann, E., Nicoli, J. and Nunes, A., 2006. Genetic transformation of novel isolates of chicken Lactobacillus bearing probiotic features for expression of heterologous proteins: a tool to develop live oral vaccines. *BMC Biotechnology*, 6, 1–11.
- Rattanachuay, P., Kantachote, D. and Suntinanalert, P., 2007. Selection of proteolytic bacteria with ability to inhibit Vibrio harveyi during white shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei) cultivation.Songklanakarin Journal of Science Technology, 29(2), 235-243.
- Ringo, E. and Gatesoupe, F.J., 1998. Lactic acid bacteria in fish: a review. *Aquaculture*, 160, 177– 203.
- Safari, O., Shahsavani, D., Paolucci, M. and Atash, M.M.S., 2014. Single or combined effects of fructo-and mannan oligosaccharide supplements on the growth performance, nutrient digestibility, immune responses and stress juvenile resistance of narrow clawed cravfish, Astacus leptodactylus leptodactylus

Eschscholtz, 1823. *Aquaculture*, 432, 192-203.

- Safari, O., Atash, M.M.S. and Paolucci, M., 2015. Effects of dietary L-carnitine level on growth performance, immune responses and stress resistance of juvenile narrow clawed crayfish, Astacus leptodactylus leptodactylus Eschscholtz, 1823. Aquaculture, 439, 20-28.
- A., Zamini. Sajedi-Raad, A.A.. Valipour, A.R. and Bakh, M.H., The effect 2010. of adding probiotic protexin in the diet of freshwater crayfish (Astacus *leptodactylus*) on growth and indices. survival Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 2(4), 29-36.
- Venkat, H.K, Sahu, N.P. and Jain, K. Effect K.. 2004. of feeding lactobacillus-based probiotics on the gut microflora, growth and postlarvae survival of of Macrobrachium rosenbergii (de Man). Aquaculture Research, 35, 501-507.
- Wasow,T., Bernad, A., Krzywosz, T. and Hul, M., 2004. Some notices on the incidence of crayfish plague in Poland, Bulletin - VÚRH Vodnany, 40(3), 95-100.