



# *The fatty acid composition of Estonian and Latvian retail milk; implications for human nutrition compared with a designer milk*

Article

Accepted Version

Henno, M., Ariko, T., Kaart, T., Kuusik, S., Ling, K., Kass, M., Jaakson, H., Leming, R., Givens, D. I., Sterna, V. and Ots, M. (2018) The fatty acid composition of Estonian and Latvian retail milk; implications for human nutrition compared with a designer milk. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 85 (2). pp. 247-250. ISSN 0022-0299 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029918000183> Available at <http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/76979/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022029918000183>

Publisher: Cambridge University Press

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in

the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

## **CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 **The fatty acid composition of Estonian and Latvian retail milk; implications for human**  
2 **nutrition compared with a designer milk**

3

4 Merike Henno<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Tiia Ariko<sup>2</sup>, Tanel Kaart<sup>1,2</sup>, Sirje Kuusik<sup>1,2</sup>, Katri Ling<sup>2</sup>, Marko Kass<sup>1,2</sup>,  
5 Hanno Jaakson<sup>2</sup>, Ragnar Leming<sup>2</sup>, D. Ian Givens<sup>3</sup>, Vita Sterna<sup>4</sup> and Meelis Ots<sup>1,2</sup>

6

7 <sup>1</sup> BioCC LLC, Tartu, Estonia

8 <sup>2</sup> Department of Animal Nutrition, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Tartu, Estonia

9 <sup>3</sup> Institute for Food, Nutrition and Health, University of Reading, United Kingdom

10 <sup>4</sup> Institute of Agricultural Resources and Economics, Latvia

11

12

13

14 Short title: **The fatty acid composition of retail milk**

15

16

17

18 \*Correspondence: Merike Henno

19 Department of Animal Nutrition

20 Estonian University of Life Sciences

21 Fr. R. Kreutzwaldi 46

22 51006 Tartu

23 Estonia

24 phone +372 731 3471

25 *E-mail:* [merike.henno@emu.ee](mailto:merike.henno@emu.ee)

## 26 **Summary**

27 The study reported in this Research Communication compared retail milks' FA profiles from  
28 two neighbouring countries, estimated the potential contributions of these milks and a designer  
29 milk (achieved by changing the diet of the dairy cow) to the recommended human dietary intake  
30 of FA, and predicted (based on the milk FA profile) methane emission from dairy cows. Retail  
31 milk in Estonia and Latvia was purchased from supermarkets monthly for one year. To compare  
32 the FA composition of retail milk with designer milk with an increased PUFA content, the bulk  
33 milk FA profile from a separate field trial was used. Milk FA concentrations of two  
34 neighbouring countries were affected by state, season, and their interaction, while the main  
35 influence on all these factors were different feeding practices (grazing availability, forage to  
36 concentrate ratio and legume-rich silages vs. maize silages). Three cups (600 ml; fat content  
37 2.5 g/100g) of Estonian, or Latvian retail milk or designer milk per day contributed more to the  
38 recommended intakes of saturated FA (SFA) (42.5%, 42.7%, 38.7%, respectively) than other  
39 FA. Compared to the retail milks,  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid estimated intake was almost doubled by  
40 designer milk consumption (19.7% of adequate intake) without influencing summed intakes of  
41 SFA and *trans* FA. There were state and seasonal differences in the predicted methane outputs  
42 of dairy cattle based on retail milk FA. Although the FA profiles of retail milks in the two  
43 neighbouring countries were affected by state and season, an appreciable increase in human  
44 dietary intakes of beneficial fatty acids from milk, and concomitant reduction in methane  
45 emissions from dairy cows, can be achieved only by targeted feeding.

46 **Keywords:** Milk, retail milk, milk fatty acids, dietary intake.

47

48

49 Milk and dairy products are important sources of fat and fatty acids (FA) in the human diet.

50 When choosing healthier eating patterns, it is recommended to reduce the intake of SFA and

51 TFA, and to increase the consumption of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) (EFSA, 2010;  
52 USHHS and USDA, 2010). It is well established that the diet of dairy cows, and their genetic  
53 variation, are the main factors influencing milk FA composition (Shingfield *et al.*, 2013).  
54 Altering the diet of dairy cows offers the opportunity to reduce milk medium-chain and total  
55 SFA content, and increase C18:1 *cis*-9, total PUFA and conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) contents  
56 in milk, although to a variable extent.

57 Milk production is often considered to have a substantial environmental impact due to methane  
58 (CH<sub>4</sub>) emissions by cattle as a result of rumen fermentation. The moderate relationship between  
59 milk FA profile and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (van Lingen *et al.*, 2014), both influenced by feeding of  
60 dairy cows, indicates that the FA profile of retail milk could be used as a non-invasive method  
61 to estimate CH<sub>4</sub> production in dairy cows.

62 The objectives of this study were, (i) to compare the detailed FA profiles of retail milks of two  
63 neighbouring EU countries (Estonia and Latvia) with similar climate conditions but with some  
64 differences in dairy cows' management and feeding practice, (ii) to compare how Estonian and  
65 Latvian milks, and milk with a modified FA profile ('designer' milk) achieved by targeted  
66 feeding of the dairy cow, are related to the recommended human dietary intakes of different FA  
67 and FA groups, and (iii) to assess CH<sub>4</sub> emissions via prediction equations based on milk FA  
68 composition.

69

70

## 71 **Material and methods**

72

### 73 *Retail milk collection and milk fatty acid analysis*

74 Homogenised and pasteurised retail milk (2.5 g/100 g fat, 1 l carton or bag) was purchased  
75 from supermarkets in Estonia (Tartu) and Latvia (Riga) once a month for one year (March 2011

76 – February 2012). All seven brands from three processors (including supermarket own labels)  
77 on sale in Estonia (total sample n=84) and six processors' brands in different regions in Latvia  
78 (70% of the market; total n=72) were included in the study. Samples were kept frozen (–20 °C)  
79 and analysed at the milk quality laboratory of the Estonian University of Life Sciences, Tartu,  
80 Estonia.

81 The milk samples were prepared and analysed for FA profiles as described by Meremäe *et al.*  
82 (2012). Results for all FA were expressed as g/100 g of total FA. Estimated contributions (%)  
83 of recommended daily milk consumption to the recommended or adequate intakes of FA were  
84 calculated.

85

#### 86 *Designer milk production and sampling*

87 In a trial (April – May, 2007) on a dairy farm in Estonia (300 Estonian Holstein dairy cows,  
88 loose housed, milked twice per day, average milk yield 26.8 kg per cow per day) cows were  
89 fed a total mixed ration (TMR) *ad libitum* in three feeding groups based on days in milk (DIM):  
90 1–100, 101–250 and 251 up to end of the lactation. The TMR for all feeding groups contained  
91 grass-clover (50:50) silage, barley and maize meal, heat treated rapeseed cake (crude fat 100  
92 g/kg DM), cold-pressed linseed cake (crude fat 200 g/kg DM) and a mineral-vitamin mixture.  
93 Concentrate to forage ratio, metabolisable energy, crude protein and crude fat contents in DM  
94 in the three diets were respectively 59:41, 50:50, 34:66; 11.8 MJ/kg, 11.2 MJ/kg, 10.5MJ/kg;  
95 169 g/kg, 156 g/kg, 147 g/kg and 57.7 g/kg, 45.4 g/kg, 41.6 g/kg. The mean FA profile of four  
96 bulk milk samples collected was used for the comparison.

97

#### 98 *Prediction of methane production*

99 The equation of Van Lingen *et al.* (2014) was used for CH<sub>4</sub> prediction (CH<sub>4</sub> (g/kg of FPCM,  
100 fat-protein corrected milk) =  $21 \cdot 13 - 1 \cdot 38 \times C4:0 + 8 \cdot 53 \times C16:0\text{-iso} - 0 \cdot 22 \times \text{cis-9 C18:1} -$   
101  $0 \cdot 59 \times \text{trans-10+11 C18:1}$ ;  $R^2 = 0 \cdot 47$ ). All FA in the equation were as g/100 g FA.

102

### 103 *Statistical analysis*

104 The effects of country, season (summer = May – Oct. vs winter = Nov. – April) and country by  
105 season interaction on the FA composition of retail milks and predicted CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were  
106 tested using fixed effect analysis of variance (ANOVA) including also the random effect of  
107 milk product brand (to consider any potential correlation between milk samples of the same  
108 brand) and two replicate measures of the same sample as repeated measures. The denominator  
109 degrees of freedom in ANOVA were calculated according to the Kenward-Roger method. To  
110 identify common patterns in milk FA profiles, and analyse their differences, principal  
111 component analysis (PCA) was performed. Additionally the FA compositions, predicted CH<sub>4</sub>  
112 emissions and estimated contributions (%) of recommended daily milk consumption to the  
113 recommended or adequate intakes of FA were compared between Estonian and Latvian retail  
114 milks and designer milk using the t-tests with degrees of freedom equal to number of samples  
115 and followed by Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. The modelling was carried out using  
116 SAS 9.4 procedure MIXED and PCA with R 3.2.3 package ade4. The results were considered  
117 statistically significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

118

119

## 120 **Results and discussion**

121

122 *The fatty acid composition of retail milks*

123 Even though Estonia and Latvia are neighbouring countries with similar landscape and climate,  
124 farming systems and feeding practices are different with grazing availability (16% and 60% of  
125 herds, respectively), forage to concentrate ratio (F:C) (55:45 and 70:30, respectively), use of  
126 legume-rich forage (40% of silages) and a lower proportion of maize silages in Estonia (5% vs  
127 19% in Latvia). These differences were reflected in the FA composition of retail milks. The FA  
128 concentrations of most milk FA, as well as FA groups, were affected by state and season, and  
129 many by the interaction of state and season (online Supplementary Table S1). The effect of  
130 season on the FA composition of retail milk was more pronounced than the effect of state.

131 Results of PCA of the whole dataset are presented in online Supplementary Fig. S1, and the  
132 patterns of FA concentrations described by the first and the second PC according to state, season  
133 and state by season in Fig. 1.

134 (*Figures 1 near here*)

135 Relative to the overall FA pattern of Estonian retail milk, the FA pattern of Latvian retail milk  
136 was shifted towards a positive correlation with PC1 (Fig. 1A), which was related to the higher  
137 proportions of ruminal biohydrogenation intermediates including C18:1 *trans*-11, CLA and  
138 branched-chain FA (BCFA) originating from rumen microbes. The overall summer milk FA  
139 pattern was shifted towards the first and the second (C18:0, most of ruminal biohydrogenation  
140 intermediates, CLA and majority of the n-3 FA, n-6 FA) quarters (Q) of the plot (Fig. 1B). The  
141 same distinctive feature was present in both Estonian and Latvian summer milk reflecting the  
142 higher dietary supply of PUFA, especially that of C18:3 n-3, from fresh grass compared to  
143 winter diets (Dewhurst *et al.*, 2006). Compared to Estonian summer milk, the FA pattern of  
144 Latvian summer milk was shifted towards the first and fourth (BCFA, C15:0 and C17:0)  
145 quarters of the plot (Fig. 1C). Regarding winter milk, the FA pattern of Latvian winter milk was  
146 shifted towards the higher proportions of BCFA, C15:0 and C17:0 (IVQ) compared to Estonian  
147 winter milk and lower proportions of *de novo* synthesised FA (IIIQ) also FA clustered in the



148 second quarter (Fig. 1C). The higher concentrations of BCFA and linear odd-chain FA (C15:0,  
149 C17:0) in Latvian winter milk are in line with a previously reported (Vlaeminck *et al.*, 2006)  
150 effect of higher F:C ratio increasing the proportions of bacteria-derived FA leaving the rumen.

151

### 152 *Human consumption of health-related fatty acids*

153 The estimated contribution of Estonian and Latvian retail milk to the recommended or adequate  
154 intakes of FA for adults (Table 1) confirmed previous suggestions (Shingfield *et al.*, 2013) that  
155 milk fat is an important source for SFA (~43% of the recommended upper limit) if the  
156 recommended amount (600 mL, fat content 2.5 g/100g; Tervise Arengu Instituut, 2017) is  
157 consumed. Estimated contributions for desirable  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (ALA; C18:3 n-3) were  
158 relatively low but still provided above 8% of adequate intake (1.1 g or 0.5% of energy intake).  
159 Regarding the sum of long-chain n-3 PUFA (eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA; C20:5 n-3) and,  
160 docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; C22:6 n-3), the latter was not detected in this study, but estimated  
161 intake of long-chain n-3 PUFA was enhanced (~3.5% vs ~9.0% of 250 mg, Table 1) by  
162 docosapentaenoic acid (DPA; C22:5 n-3), the concentration of which was greater than EPA  
163 (online Supplementary Table S1). The function of dietary DPA remains uncertain, although  
164 some reports indicate it may be beneficial to health (Howe *et al.*, 2007)

165 In line with the results of feeding trial with dairy cow diet supplemented with linseed (Stergiadis  
166 *et al.*, 2014) the FA profile of designer milk differed substantially from retail milk profiles (Fig.  
167 1). Our designer milk contained more ALA, long-chain n-3 FA, *trans* FA and less SFA  
168 compared with retail milks (online Supplementary Table S1). Designer milk consumption  
169 would increase the estimated intake of C18:3 n-3,  $\Sigma$  n-3 and sum of essential FA [ALA+linoleic  
170 acid (LA; C18:2 n-6)] but also EPA and DPA (Table 1). The estimated contribution of milk fat  
171 to the recommended upper limit for dietary intake of SFA was lower for designer milk  
172 compared with retail milk, and it was also lower for designer milk for SFA+TFA.

173 Even though the effect of the state on the FA composition of retail milk was observed for most  
174 FA, only small differences were observed in estimated consumption of discussed FA and FA  
175 groups at the recommended milk intake level of 600 mL/d. However, while consuming designer  
176 milk ALA intake would be almost doubled to 19.7% of AI.

177

#### 178 *Predicted CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in dairy cows*

179 Although van Lingen et al. (2014) indicated that milk FA composition has only a moderate  
180 potential for CH<sub>4</sub> prediction per unit of milk, the method still enables to roughly assess regional  
181 differences, modify feeding strategies and mitigate CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. Despite the dissimilarities  
182 in feeding strategies, there were no differences in yearly mean CH<sub>4</sub> output values from dairy  
183 cows (g/kg FPCM;  $P = 0.51$ ) between the two states. The predicted CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were higher  
184 ( $P < 0.001$ ) during the winter period compared to the summer 12.19 vs 11.92 and 12.62 vs  
185 11.65 in Estonia and Latvia, respectively. Our simulation showed notably lower enteric CH<sub>4</sub>  
186 emissions when producing designer milk (11.06;  $P < 0.001$ ) compared to conventional  
187 production (12.06 for Estonia and 12.11 for Latvia). Lower predicted CH<sub>4</sub> emission while  
188 producing designer milk, caused by feeding oilseed (Meale *et al.*, 2013), shows that production  
189 of favourable for human health designer milk with higher PUFA content has also environmental  
190 advantages.

191

192

193 The research was financed by EU Regional Development Fund in the framework of the  
194 Competence Centre Program of Estonia under Projects EU30002 and EU 48686 of BioCC LLC;  
195 institutional research funding of Estonian Research Council, project IUT8-1.

196 **References**

197

198 Dewhurst RJ, Shingfield KJ, Lee MRF & Scollan ND 2006 Increasing the concentrations of  
199 beneficial polyunsaturated fatty acids in milk produced by dairy cow in high-forage  
200 systems. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **131** 168–206

201 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) 2010 Scientific opinion on dietary values for fats,  
202 including saturated fatty acids, polyunsaturated fatty acids, monounsaturated fatty acids,  
203 *trans* fatty acids, and cholesterol. *EFSA Journal* **8** 1–107

204 Howe P, Buckley J & Meyer B 2007 Long-chain omega-3 fatty acids in red meat. *Nutrition &*  
205 *Dietetics*, **64** (Suppl 4) S135–S13

206 Meale SJ, McAllister TA, Beauchemin KA, Harstad OM & Chaves AV 2013 Strategies to  
207 reduce greenhouse gases from livestock. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A —*  
208 *Animal Science* **62** 199–211

209 Meremäe K, Roasto M, Kuusik S, Ots M & Henno M 2012 *Trans* fatty acid contents in  
210 selected dietary fats in the Estonian market. *Journal of Food Science* **77** 163–168

211 Nordic Council of Ministers 2014 Nordic Nutrition Recommendations 2012: Integrating  
212 nutrition and physical activity <http://dx.doi.org/10.6027/Nord2014-002>

213 Shingfield KJ, Bonnet M & Scollan ND 2013 Recent developments in altering the fatty acid  
214 composition of ruminant-derived foods. *Animal* **7** 132–162

215 Stergiadis S, Leifert C, Eyre CJ, Steinshamn H & Butler G 2014 Improving the fatty acid profile  
216 of winter milk from housed cows with contrasting feeding regimes by oilseed  
217 supplementation. *Food Chemistry* **164** 193–300

218 Tervise Arengu Instituut [National Institute for Health Development] 2017 Eesti toitumis- ja  
219 liikumissoovitused [Estonian nutrition and physical activity recommendations] 2015

220 [https://intra.tai.ee/images/prints/documents/149019033869\\_eesti%20toitumis-](https://intra.tai.ee/images/prints/documents/149019033869_eesti%20toitumis-)  
221 [%20ja%20liikumissoovitud.pdf](https://intra.tai.ee/images/prints/documents/149019033869_eesti%20toitumis-%20ja%20liikumissoovitud.pdf)

222 USHHS and USDA (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and U.S. Department of  
223 Agriculture) *2015–2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans*. 8th Edition. December  
224 2015. [2015–2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans - health.gov](https://www.health.gov)

225 Van Lingen HJ, Crompton LA, Hendriks WH, Reynolds CK and Dijkstra J 2014 Meta-analysis  
226 of relationships between enteric methane yield and milk fatty acid profile in dairy cattle.  
227 *Journal of Dairy Science* **97** 7115–7132

228 Vlaeminck B, Fievez V, Cabrian ARJ, Fonseca AJM & Dewhurst RJ 2006 Factors affecting  
229 odd- and branched-chain fatty acids in milk: A review. *Animal Feed Science and*  
230 *Technology* **131** 389–417

231

232 **Table 1.** Estimated contribution (%) of recommended daily consumption (600 mL, fat content  
 233 2.5 g/100g) of Estonian and Latvian retail milk and designer milk, to the recommended or  
 234 adequate intakes of FA for females with energy intake of ~8.37 MJ/d

Fatty acid	Recommendations, adequate intake (AI <sup>†</sup> )	Means of retail milk		Designer milk, %
		Estonia, %	Latvia, %	
SFA	< 10% of energy intake <sup>‡, §, ¶</sup>	42.5 <sup>a</sup>	42.7 <sup>a</sup>	38.7 <sup>b</sup>
SFA+TFA	≤ 10% of energy intake <sup>††</sup>	45.2 <sup>a</sup>	45.6 <sup>b</sup>	43.4 <sup>c</sup>
<i>cis</i> PUFA	5-10% of energy intake <sup>§, ¶</sup>	3.62-1.81	3.39-1.69	5.53-2.76
<i>cis</i> MUFA	10-20% of energy intake <sup>§, ¶</sup>	15.7-10.4	15.2-10.2	16.6-11.1
C18:3 n-3	AI: 1.1 g <sup>‡</sup>	8.57 <sup>a</sup>	8.70 <sup>a</sup>	19.7 <sup>b</sup>
C18:3 n-3	AI: 0.5% of energy intake <sup>§, ††¶</sup>	8.49 <sup>a</sup>	8.62 <sup>a</sup>	19.5 <sup>b</sup>
C18:2 n-6	AI: 11 g <sup>‡</sup>	2.14 <sup>a</sup>	1.93 <sup>b</sup>	2.93 <sup>c</sup>
C18:2 n-6	AI: 4% of energy intake <sup>††¶</sup>	2.65 <sup>a</sup>	2.39 <sup>b</sup>	3.62 <sup>c</sup>
EPA+DHA	AI: 250 mg <sup>‡‡</sup>	3.44 <sup>a</sup>	3.59 <sup>b</sup>	5.03 <sup>c</sup>
EPA+DHA+DPA	AI: 250 mg <sup>††</sup>	8.81 <sup>a</sup>	8.92 <sup>a</sup>	12.2 <sup>b</sup>
Σ n-3	≥ 1% of energy intake <sup>§, ¶</sup>	5.36 <sup>a</sup>	5.44 <sup>a</sup>	11.3 <sup>b</sup>
n-3 + n-6 (essential)	3% of energy intake <sup>§</sup>	4.94 <sup>a</sup>	4.62 <sup>b</sup>	8.07 <sup>c</sup>

235 <sup>†</sup>AI, adequate intake.

236 <sup>‡</sup>2015–2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans (USHHS and USDA, 2015).

237 <sup>§</sup>Nordic Nutrition Recommendations 2012. Recommended intake of macronutrients  
 238 (excluding energy from alcohol) (Nordic Council of Ministers, 2014).

239 <sup>¶</sup>Estonian nutrition and physical activity recommendations 2015 (Tervise Arengu Instituut,  
 240 2017).

241 <sup>††</sup>Not used in cited recommendations.

242 <sup>‡‡</sup>Scientific opinion on dietary values for fats, including saturated fatty acids, polyunsaturated  
243 fatty acids, monounsaturated fatty acids, *trans* fatty acids, and cholesterol (EFSA, 2010).

244 <sup>a,b,c</sup> Means with different superscript letters are statistically significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ , t-  
245 tests with degrees of freedom equal to number of samples and followed by Bonferroni  
246 correction for multiple testing).

247 **Figure legend:**

248

249 **Fig. 1:**

250 The patterns of FA concentrations described by the first and the second principal component:

251 (A) fatty acid patterns by national state, (B) fatty acid patterns by season, (C) fatty acid patterns

252 by national state and season. In all figures, also the location of designer milk samples is

253 presented. The factor loadings showing the relative importance of fatty acids in first two

254 principal components are presented in Supplementary Figure S1.

