

Franz, Sebastian and Waurick, Marcus (2018) Resolvent estimates and numerical implementation for the homogenisation of one-dimensional periodic mixed type problems. ZAMM, Zeitschrift fur angewandte mathematik und mechanik. ISSN 0044-2267 , http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/zamm.201700329

This version is available at https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/64374/

Strathprints is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<u>https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/</u>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the Strathprints administrator: strathprints@strath.ac.uk

The Strathprints institutional repository (https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk) is a digital archive of University of Strathclyde research outputs. It has been developed to disseminate open access research outputs, expose data about those outputs, and enable the management and persistent access to Strathclyde's intellectual output.

Resolvent estimates and numerical implementation for the homogenisation of one-dimensional periodic mixed type problems

Sebastian Franz^{*} and Marcus Waurick[†]

April 2, 2018

Abstract

We study a homogenisation problem for problems of mixed type in the framework of evolutionary equations. The change of type is highly oscillatory. The numerical treatment is done by a discontinuous Galerkin method in time and a continuous Galerkin method in space.

AMS subject classification (2000): 35M10, 35B35, 35B27, 65M12, 65M60 Key words: evolutionary equations, homogenisation, numerical approximation

1 Introduction

A standard problem in engineering is the approximation of highly oscillatory coefficients by averaged ones. In fact, given a partial differential equation with variable coefficients, numerical procedures might be too involved for nowadays computing devices so that an effective model is often derived. The process of seeking effective coefficients as replacements for highly oscillatory ones is summarised under the umbrella term of homogenisation. The mathematical theory of homogenisation goes back to the late 1960s. We refer to the standard references [1,3] for a more detailed account.

Standard applications of homogenisation are elliptic, parabolic or hyperbolic divergence form equations. Only quite recently, [13] it has been noticed that for certain problems of mixed type, that is, differential equations changing their type from hyperbolic to parabolic to elliptic on different spatial domains in a highly oscillatory way, one can derive an effective model, which does not change its type anymore and consists of constant coefficients. In [13], only a qualitative convergence statement was derived. The techniques developed in [2,5], however, suggest that the rate of convergence can be quantified. It is one main

^{*}Institute of Scientific Computing, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany. e-mail: sebastian.franz@tu-dresden.de

 $^{^\}dagger {\rm Dept.}\,$ of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK. e-mail: marcus.waurick@strath.ac.uk

result of the present exposition – based on the rationale outlined in [2,5] – that a quantified convergence rate for problems of the type discussed in [13] can be derived. We refer to Section 2 for the precise equations.

Given the low dimensionality of the problem to be discussed in this paper, we will furthermore numerically study the partial differential equation with highly oscillatory coefficients and provide a quantitative convergence statement that for highly oscillatory coefficients the corresponding numerical solution approximates the true solution of the homogenised model. In fact, the results in [6] show that for mixed type equations one can derive a numerical scheme. It consists of a discontinuous Galerkin method in time, see e.g. [4,11,12], combined with a continuous Galerkin method in space. The framework developed in [6] for a slightly different setting can be extended to our present problem easily and approximation properties proved therein can be transferred.

In Section 2, we introduce the model under consideration and provide the desired convergence statement. In Section 3 we recall the numerical scheme derived in [6] and provide the estimate that the numerical solution of the equation with highly oscillatory coefficients approximates the solution of the effective equation in a certain controlled way. We conclude this paper with a short numerical example in Section 3.3.

2 Resolvent estimates for the continuous in-time homogenisation problem

In [6], we have already established the well-posedness of the Galerkin approximations and convergence to the original problem. What we aim to establish here is in spirit similar to the approach developed in [2,5]. The main ingredients for this one-dimensional situation can readily be found in [2]. The main difference between the cases treated in [2] or [5] is the underlying spatial domain. In fact, the cited work focused on \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^d as underlying spatial domain. In the present case, we treat the unit interval, instead. More precisely, using the formulation in [13], one can write the problem in question as the following 2×2 -block operator matrix system:

$$\left(\partial_t M_0(N\cdot) + M_1(N\cdot) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_\# \\ \partial_\# & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) U_N = F,$$
(1)

where $\partial_{\#}$ is the weak derivative on (0, 1) with periodic boundary conditions, M_0, M_1 are 1-periodic, measurable bounded $\mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ -valued functions with the additional property that $M_0(x) = M_0(x)^* \geq 0$ and that there exists $\rho > 0$ and c > 0 such that

$$\rho \langle M_0(x)\xi,\xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} + \operatorname{Re} \langle M_1(x)\xi,\xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} \ge c \langle \xi,\xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2}.$$

As the latter equation is formulated on (0, 1), the continuous Gelfand transformation used in [2] to divide the problem on the whole space has to replaced by its discrete analogue. In the next two subsections, we will derive an estimate for the static case, which will eventually be applied to the dynamic case by going into the frequency domain.

2.1 The static case

We start out with the discrete analogue of the Gelfand transformation as introduced in [5].

Definition. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$. Then we define

$$\mathcal{V}_N f(\theta, y) \coloneqq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(y+k) e^{-i\theta k} \quad (y \in [0, 1), \theta \in \{2\pi k/N; k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}).$$

Proposition 2.1. The operator $\mathcal{V}_N \colon L^2_{\#}(0,N) \to L^2(0,1)^N$ given by

$$f \mapsto \left(\mathcal{V}_N f(2\pi(k-1)/N, \cdot) \right)_{k \in \{1, \dots, N\}}$$

is unitary, where $L^2_{\#}(0,N) \coloneqq \{f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}); f(\cdot + Nk) = f \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z})\}$ endowed with the norm of $L^2(0,N)$.

Proof. Let $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be bounded, continuous with $f(\cdot + Nk) = f$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, we compute with $\theta_{\ell} = 2\pi \ell/N$

$$N \|\mathcal{V}_N f\|_{L^2(0,1)^N}^2 = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \|\mathcal{V}_N f(2\pi\ell/N, \cdot)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2$$
$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k_1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{N-1} e^{-i\theta_\ell(k_1-k_2)} \int_{(0,1)} f(y+k_1)\overline{f(y+k_2)} dy$$

We shall argue next that for all $k_1, k_2 \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$ with $k_1 \neq k_2$, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} e^{-i\theta_{\ell}(k_1-k_2)} = 0.$$
⁽²⁾

For this, denote $n \coloneqq k_1 - k_2 \neq 0$ and consider the homomorphism

$$\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z}_N \to G \coloneqq \{ e^{-i\frac{2\pi n}{N}\ell}; \ell \in \{0, \dots, N-1\} \}$$
$$\ell \mapsto e^{-i\frac{2\pi n}{N}\ell}.$$

By the fundamental theorem on homomorphisms, $G = \operatorname{ran}(\varphi) \cong \mathbb{Z}_N / \ker(\varphi)$. In particular, |G| divides N. Furthermore, since \mathbb{Z}_N is cyclic, we obtain that $\mathbb{Z}_N / \ker(\varphi)$ is cyclic and thus G is cyclic. Let $z_* \in G$ generate G. Thus, $G = \{z_*^0, \ldots, z_*^{k-1}\}$ are the k unique, distinct kth unit roots. In particular, we obtain for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$z^{k} - 1 = (z - z_{*}^{0}) \cdot \dots (z - z_{*}^{k-1}).$$

Expanding the right-hand side and comparing the coefficient of z^{k-1} of both sides, we deduce that

$$\sum_{z \in G} z = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} e^{-i\theta_{\ell}n} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \varphi(\ell) = \frac{N}{|G|} \sum_{z \in G} z = 0,$$

which settles (2). Therefore, we obtain

$$N \|\mathcal{V}_N f\|_{L^2(0,1)^N}^2 = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k_1=0}^{N-1} \int_{(0,1)} f(y+k_1) \overline{f(y+k_1)} dy = N \|f\|_{L^2(0,N)}^2.$$

Moreover, note that for $\varphi \in C_c(0,1)^N$, we have that the *N*-periodic extension of f given by $f(x) = e^{i2\pi k/N}\varphi_{k+1}(x)$ for $x \in [k, k+1)$ with $k \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$ leads to $N\mathcal{V}_N f = \varphi$. Hence, \mathcal{V}_N has dense range. Thus, \mathcal{V}_N is unitary. \Box

We shall furthermore introduce the following unitary scaling transformation that scales a problem on (0, 1) onto (0, N):

Definition. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then define for $f \in L^2(0,1)$

$$\mathcal{T}_N f \coloneqq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} f\left(\frac{\cdot}{N}\right)$$

and $\mathcal{G}_N \coloneqq \mathcal{V}_N \mathcal{T}_N$

Moreover, we define

$$\partial_{\theta} \colon H^1_{\theta}(0,1) \subseteq L^2_{\#}(0,1) \to L^2_{\#}(0,1), f \mapsto f'$$

and $H^1_{\theta}(0,1) = \{ f \in H^1(0,1); f(1) = e^{i\theta} f(0) \}$. We use $\partial_{\#}$ and $H^1_{\#}(0,1)$, if $\theta = 0$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

- (a) $\mathcal{T}_N \partial_{\#} = N \partial_{\#,N} \mathcal{T}_N$, where $\partial_{\#,N}$ is the weak derivative with periodic boundary conditions,
- (b) $\mathcal{G}_N \partial_{\#} = N \operatorname{diag} \left((\partial_{\theta_k})_{k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}} \right) \mathcal{G}_N$, where $\theta_k = 2\pi k/N$.
- (c) For all $a \in L^{\infty}_{\#}(0,1)$ we obtain $\mathcal{G}_N a(N \cdot) = \operatorname{diag}\left((a(\cdot))_{k \in \{0,\dots,N-1\}}\right) \mathcal{G}_N$.

Proof. The proof follows along elementary calculations. Note that for (a) and (b) it suffices to prove the assertions for smooth functions, only. \Box

Next, we introduce a static version of the problem in question:

Definition. Let c > 0 and

$$\mathcal{M}_c \coloneqq \{ M \in L^{\infty}(0, 1)^{2 \times 2}_{\#}; \operatorname{Re}M \ge c \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \},\$$

where $L^{\infty}(0,1)_{\#} := \{ a \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}); a(\cdot + k) = a \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}) \}.$

For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, find $\begin{pmatrix} u_N \\ v_N \end{pmatrix} \in L^2(0,1)^2$ such that $\begin{pmatrix} M(N \cdot) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_{\#} \\ \partial_{\#} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_N \\ v_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$ (3)

for some $f, g \in L^2(0, 1)^2$. Note that (3) is well-posedness by [5, Lemma 2.5]. With the help of Proposition 2.2, we obtain an equivalent formulation of (3)

Corollary 2.3. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_N & 0\\ 0 & \mathcal{G}_N \end{pmatrix} \left(M(N \cdot) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_{\#}\\ \partial_{\#} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_N & 0\\ 0 & \mathcal{G}_N \end{pmatrix}^*$$
$$= \left(\operatorname{diag}(M(\cdot))_{k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}} + N \operatorname{diag} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_{\theta_k}\\ \partial_{\theta_k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)_{k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}} \right).$$

As it has been demonstrated in [5, Section 3], we obtain that [5, Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.2] applies to the setting in [5, Equation (10)]. Here we recall the results found there for the particular case of n = d = 1. Note that by [5, Remark 4.6] the one-dimensional homogenised coefficient is given by the integral mean.

Theorem 2.4. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| M(\cdot) + N \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_{\theta_k} \\ \partial_{\theta_k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \left(\int_{(0,1)} M(y) dy + N \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_{\theta_k} \\ \partial_{\theta_k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left(2 \left(1 + \frac{\|M\|_{\infty}}{c} \right)^2 + 1 \right) \frac{1}{N} \end{split}$$

2.2 The dynamic case

With the estimate in the latter theorem, we obtain also result for the full time-dependent problem. The strategy has been outlined in the concluding sections of [2] already. We will, however, provide the necessary notions and a corresponding estimate in this exposition, as well. For $\rho > 0$ and a Hilbert space H, we define

$$L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R};H) \coloneqq \{f \colon \mathbb{R} \to H; f \text{ measurable}, \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t)\|_H^2 \exp(-2\rho t) dt < \infty\}$$

endowed with the obvious scalar product. Employing the usual identification of functions being equal almost everywhere, we obtain that $L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}; H)$ is a Hilbert space. We denote by $H^1_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}; H)$ the first Sobolev space of weakly differentiable functions with weak derivative being representable as an element of $L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}; H)$. Then we put

$$\partial_t \colon H^1_\rho(\mathbb{R}; H) \subseteq L^2_\rho(\mathbb{R}; H) \to L^2_\rho(\mathbb{R}; H), f \mapsto f'.$$

A spectral representation of ∂_t as multiplication operator is given by the Fourier-Laplace transformation, that is, the unitary extension of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\rho} \colon L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}:H) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}:H)$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho}\varphi(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \exp(-it\xi - \rho t) dt \quad (\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}; H)),$$

where $C_c(\mathbb{R}; H)$ is the space of continuous functions with compact support. The spectral representation reads as follows:

Theorem 2.5 ([7, Corollary 2.5]). Let $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\partial_t = \mathcal{L}^*_{\rho}(im + \rho)\mathcal{L}_{\rho}$$

where

$$m: \{ f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}; H); (t \mapsto tf(t)) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}; H) \} \subseteq L_2(\mathbb{R}; H) \to L_2(\mathbb{R}; H)$$
$$f \mapsto (t \mapsto tf(t))$$

is the multiplication by the argument operator with maximal domain.

Next, we recall an elementary version of the well-posedness theorem for evolutionary equations, which is particularly relevant to the case studied here. For this, note that we will use the same notation for an operator acting in H and its corresponding lift as an abstract multiplication operator on $L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}; H)$.

Theorem 2.6 ([9, Solution Theory], [10, Theorem 6.2.5]). Let A be a skew-selfadjoint operator in H, $0 \le M_0 = M_0^*$, $M_1 \in L(H)$. Assume there exists $c, \rho > 0$ with

$$\rho\langle M_0\varphi,\varphi\rangle + Re\langle M_1\varphi,\varphi\rangle \ge c\langle\varphi,\varphi\rangle \quad (\varphi\in\mathcal{H}).$$
(4)

Then the operator $\mathcal{B} \coloneqq \partial_t M_0 + M_1 + A$ with $D(\mathcal{B}) = D(\partial_t) \cap D(\mathcal{A})$ is closable in $L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}; H)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{S}_{\rho} \coloneqq \overline{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$ is well-defined, continuous and bounded with $\|\mathcal{S}_{\rho}\|_{L(L^2_{\rho})} \leq 1/c$.

We can now state and prove the full time-dependent version of Theorem 2.4. We shall also refer to [2, Theorem 7.1] for a corresponding result with \mathbb{R} instead of (0, 1) as underlying state space.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\rho > 0$, $M_0, M_1 \in L^{\infty}(0, 1)^{2 \times 2}_{\#} (\subseteq L(L^2(0, 1)^2))$, $M_0 = M_0^* \ge 0$. Assume there exists c > 0 such that

$$\rho \langle M_0 \varphi, \varphi \rangle + Re \langle M_1 \varphi, \varphi \rangle \ge c \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle \quad (\varphi \in L^2(0, 1)^2),$$

set $A \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_{\#} \\ \partial_{\#} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $H = L^2(0,1)^2$. Then, there exists $\kappa \ge 0$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\|\left((\partial_t M_0(N\cdot) + M_1(N\cdot) + A)^{-1} - (\partial_t M_0^{\mathrm{av}} + M_1^{\mathrm{av}} + A)^{-1}\right)\partial_t^{-2}\|_{L(L^2_\rho(\mathbb{R};H))} \le \frac{\kappa}{N},$$

where $M_j^{\text{av}} := \int_{(0,1)} M_j(y) dy$ for all $j \in \{0,1\}$.

·

Proof. Applying the unitarity of the Fourier–Laplace transformation and the spectral representation of ∂_t , we deduce that the claim is equivalent to showing that there exists $\kappa \geq 0$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\|\left(((i\xi+\rho)M_0(N\cdot)+M_1(N\cdot)+A)^{-1}-((i\xi+\rho)M_0^{\mathrm{av}}+M_1^{\mathrm{av}}+A)^{-1}\right)(i\xi+\rho)^{-2}\|_{L(H)} \le \frac{\kappa}{N}$$
(5)

For this, we deduce from the positive definiteness estimate imposed on M_0 and M_1 that

$$(i\xi + \rho)M_0(\cdot) + M_1(\cdot) \in \mathcal{M}_c$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, using Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.3, we obtain the existence of $\kappa \geq 0$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\| \left(((i\xi + \rho)M_0(N \cdot) + M_1(N \cdot) + A)^{-1} - ((i\xi + \rho)M_0^{\text{av}} + M_1^{\text{av}} + A)^{-1} \right) \|_{L(H))}$$

$$\leq \frac{\kappa}{N} (1 + |\xi|^2) (1 + \|M_0\|_{\infty} + \|M_1\|_{\infty})^2.$$

Thus, we conclude

$$\| \left(((i\xi + \rho)M_0(N \cdot) + M_1(N \cdot) + A)^{-1} - ((i\xi + \rho)M_0^{av} + M_1^{av} + A)^{-1} \right) (i\xi + \rho)^{-2} \|_{L(H)}$$

$$\leq \frac{\kappa}{N} (1 + |\xi|^2) (1 + \|M_0\|_{\infty} + \|M_1\|_{\infty})^2 \frac{1}{|i\xi + \rho|^2} = \frac{\kappa}{N} \frac{1 + \xi^2}{\rho^2 + \xi^2} (1 + \|M_0\|_{\infty} + \|M_1\|_{\infty})^2,$$

which implies (5) and, thus, the assertion.

3 Numerical implementation

We use as numerical method a discontinuous Galerkin method in time and a continuous Galerkin method in space. For a similar problem this approach is already considered and analysed in [6]. Therefore, we will only describe the method here shortly and point to the differences in the numerical analysis.

3.1 Numerical method

We will start by describing the method and providing a convergence result for an arbitrary problem of type (1), that is, we shall focus on problems of the type

$$\left(\partial_t M_0 + M_1 + A\right) U = F,\tag{6}$$

where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_{\#} \\ \partial_{\#} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $M_0 = M_0^* \ge 0$, M_1 are in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{2 \times 2}$, which are readily extended to operators acting on $L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega)^2)$. Throughout, we shall assume

$$\rho \langle M_0 \varphi, \varphi \rangle + \operatorname{Re} \langle M_1 \varphi, \varphi \rangle \ge c \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle$$

for some c > 0 and all $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega)^2$.

Let the time-interval [0,T] be partitioned into subintervals $I_m = (t_{m-1}, t_m]$ of length τ_m for $m \in \{1, 2, ..., M\}$ with $t_0 = 0$ and $t_M = T$. Let the space-interval $\Omega := (0,1)$ also be partitioned into subintervals $J_k = [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ of length h_k for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., K\}$ with $x_0 = 0$ and $x_K = 1$. Furthermore, let a temporal-polynomial degree $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and a spatial-polynomial degree $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be given.

Then we define the discrete space

$$\mathcal{U}^{h,\tau} := \{ (u_h, v_h) \in H_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}; H) : u_h |_{I_m}, v_h |_{I_m} \in \mathcal{P}_q(I_m; V(\Omega)), m \in \{1, \dots, M\} \},\$$

where the spatial space is

$$V(\Omega) := \left\{ v \in H^1_{\#}(\Omega); \, v|_{J_k} \in \mathcal{P}_p(J_k), k \in \{1, \dots, K\} \right\}$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}_q(I_m)$ is the space of polynomials of degree up to q on the interval I_m and similarly $\mathcal{P}_p(J_k)$. Thus our discrete space consists of function that are piece-wise polynomials of degree p and continuous w.r.t. the space variable, and piece-wise polynomial of degree q and discontinuous at the time-points t_k w.r.t. time.

The method reads: For given $F \in \mathcal{U}^{h,\tau}$ and $x_0 \in H$, find $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}^{h,\tau}$, such that for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{U}^{h,\tau}$ and $m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, M\}$ it holds

$$Q_m \left[(\partial_t M_0 + M_1 + A) \mathcal{U}, \Phi \right]_{\rho} + \langle M_0 \llbracket \mathcal{U} \rrbracket_{m-1}^{x_0}, \Phi_{m-1}^+ \rangle_H = Q_m \left[F, \Phi \right]_{\rho}.$$
(7)

Here, we denote by

$$\llbracket \mathcal{U} \rrbracket_{m-1}^{x_0} := \begin{cases} \mathcal{U}(t_{m-1}+) - U(t_{m-1}-), & m \in \{2, \dots, M\} \\ \mathcal{U}(t_0+) - x_0, & m = 1, \end{cases}$$

the jump at t_{m-1} , by $\Phi_{m-1}^+ := \Phi(t_{m-1}+)$ and by

$$Q_m [a, b]_\rho := \frac{\tau_m}{2} \sum_{i=0}^q \omega_i^m \langle a(t_{m,i}), b(t_{m,i}) \rangle_H$$

a right-sided weighted Gauß–Radau quadrature formula on I_m approximating

$$\langle a,b\rangle_{\rho,m} \coloneqq \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} \langle a(t),b(t)\rangle_H \exp(-2\rho(t-t_{m-1}))\mathrm{d}t,$$

see [6] for further details. We denote by $U_N^{h,\tau}$ the numerical solution obtained by above method (7) for the problem with periodic, rough coefficients and by $U^{h,\tau}$ for the homogenised data.

3.2 Numerical analysis

We are ready to provide the convergence result for the above method assuming enough regularity of the solution of Example (6) measuring the error in an $L^{\infty}-L^2$ sense with

$$E_{\sup}^2(a) := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \langle M_0 a(t), a(t) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)^2}$$



Figure 1: Diagram showing the connections between the different problems

and with the discrete version of the $L^2_\rho(\mathbb{R};H)\text{-norm},$ given by

$$E_Q^2(a) := e^{2\rho T} \sum_{m=1}^M Q_m [a, a]_{\rho} e^{-2\rho t_{m-1}}.$$

Theorem 3.1. We assume for the solution U = (u, v) of Example (6) the regularity

$$U \in H^1_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}; H^p_{\#}(\Omega) \times H^p_{\#}(\Omega)) \cap H^{q+3}_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega))$$

as well as

$$AU \in H_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}; H^p_{\#}(\Omega) \times H^p_{\#}(\Omega)).$$

Then we have for the error of the numerical solution by (7) with a generic constant C

$$E_{\sup}^{2}(U - U^{h,\tau}) + E_{Q}^{2}(U - U^{h,\tau}) \le C e^{2\rho T} (\tau^{2(q+1)} + Th^{2p}).$$

Proof. The proof is basically identical to the one given in [6]. The only difference being the periodic boundary condition instead of the homogeneous Dirichlet condition. But all estimates are the same, as only local estimates in space are used, independent of boundary conditions. \Box

Considering now the problem coming from the homogenisation process, we essentially have two different problems we can approximate numerically, see Figure 1, where in addition U_N denotes the solution to the problem with rough coefficients.

Remark 3.2. Following the diagram in Figure 1, we have by the Theorems 2.7 and 3.1 for a the suitable choice of polynomial degrees $p = q + 1 \ge 1$ and meshwidths $\tau = c_1 h = \frac{c_2}{N}$, $c_1, c_2 > 0$ the convergence result

$$E_Q(U_N^{h,\tau} - U) \le E_Q(U_N^{h,\tau} - U_N) + E_Q(U_N - U) \le E_Q(U_N^{h,\tau} - U_N) + C \|U_N - U\|_{H^1_\rho(\mathbb{R},H)} \le CN^{-1}$$

where the second inequality comes from Sobolev's embedding theorem (see e.g. [7, Lemma 5.2]) and the final one from applying Theorems 2.7 and 3.1. Note that for this estimate to hold we have to impose suitable regularity in time for the right-hand side in (1) (or (6)).

n	$E_{\sup}(U_N - U_N^{h,\tau})$		$E_Q(U_N - U_N^{h,\tau})$		$E_{\sup}(U - U_N^{h,\tau})$		$E_Q(U - U_N^{h,\tau})$	
4	2.857 e-03		1.117e-03		1.381e-01		3.683e-02	
8	9.490e-04	1.59	3.623 e- 04	1.62	3.418e-02	2.01	1.297 e-02	1.51
16	2.802e-04	1.76	1.151e-04	1.65	1.328e-02	1.36	4.463e-03	1.54
32	8.611e-05	1.70	3.713e-05	1.63	5.890e-03	1.17	2.039e-03	1.13
64	2.306e-05	1.90	9.136e-06	2.02	2.802e-03	1.07	9.983e-04	1.03

Table 1: Convergence results for $U_N - U_N^h$ and $U - U_N^h$ of problem (8)

3.3 Numerical example

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be even and with

$$\varepsilon_N(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & \exists i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : x \in \left[\frac{2i}{N}, \frac{2i+1}{N}\right) \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}, \quad \sigma_N(x) := 1 - \varepsilon_N(x)$$

we consider the rough-coefficient problem for $U_N = (E_N, H_N)$

$$\left(\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_N & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_N & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_\#\\ \partial_\# & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} E_N\\ H_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} J\\ K \end{pmatrix}$$
(8)

and the homogenised problem for U = (E, H)

$$\left(\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_{\#}\\ \partial_{\#} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} E\\ H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} J\\ K \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

where $J(t, x) = \sin(2\pi x) \cdot \min\{1, 10t\}$ and K(t, x) = 0 for all $t > 0, x \in [0, 1]$ For our numerical experiment we use the Matlab/Octave software SOFE [8]. The exact solutions are unknown. Therefore, we use reference solutions computed on a very fine grid and higher polynomial degree in the computation of the errors.

In Table 1 we present the simulation results of $U_N^{h,\tau} = (E_N^{h,\tau}, H_N^{h,\tau})$ for h = 1/K, $\tau = 1/M$ and M = 2K = 8N and polynomial degrees p = q+1 = 2. In the second and third column we see almost second order convergence of $U_N^{h,\tau}$ towards $U_N = (E_N, H_N)$ in accordance with Theorem 3.1, while in the last two columns we observe first order convergence of $U_N^{h,\tau}$ towards U = (E, H) in accordance with Remark 3.2.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Shane Cooper for useful discussion on the subject and in particular on the discrete version of the Gelfand transformation presented here.

arXiv

References

- A. Bensoussan, J.-L. Lions, and G. Papanicolaou. Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures, volume 5 of Studies in Mathematics and its Applications. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1978.
- [2] K. Cherednichenko and M. Waurick. Resolvent estimates in homogenisation of periodic problems of fractional elasticity. Technical report, U Bath, 2017. arXiv:1706.02988.
- [3] D. Cioranescu and P. Donato. An introduction to homogenization, volume 17 of Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1999.
- [4] B. Cockburn, G. E. Karniadakis, and C.-W. Shu. The Development of Discontinuous Galerkin Methods, pages 3–50. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2000.
- [5] S. Cooper and M. Waurick. Fibre homogenisation. Technical report, U Bath, 2017. arXiv:1706.00645.
- [6] S. Franz, S. Trostorff, and M. Waurick. Numerical methods for changing type systems. submitted, arXiv:1610.08824.
- [7] A. Kalauch, R. Picard, S. Siegmund, S. Trostorff, and M. M. Waurick. A Hilbert Space Perspective on Ordinary Differential Equations with Memory Term. *Journal* of Dynamics and Differential Equations, 26(2):369–399, 2014.
- [8] L. Ludwig. SOFE. https://github.com/SOFE-Developers/SOFE.
- [9] R. Picard. A structural observation for linear material laws in classical mathematical physics. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 32:1768–1803, 2009.
- [10] R. Picard and D. McGhee. *Partial Differential Equations: A unified Hilbert Space Approach*, volume 55. Expositions in Mathematics. DeGruyter, Berlin, 2011.
- [11] W. H. Reed and T. R. Hill. Triangular mesh methods for the neutron transport equation. Submitted to American Nuclear Society Topical Meeting on Mathematical Models and Computational Techniques for Analysis of Nuclear Systems, Los Alamos Laboratory, 1973.
- [12] B. Rivière. Discontinuous Galerkin Methods for Solving Elliptic and Parabolic Equations. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2008.
- [13] M. Waurick. Stabilization via Homogenization. Applied Mathematics Letters, 60:101– 107, 2016.