

Wadge, Matthew D. and Stuart, Bryan W. and Thomas, Kathryn G. and Grant, David M. (2018) Generation and characterisation of gallium titanate surfaces through hydrothermal ion-exchange processes. Materials & Design . ISSN 0264-1275 (In Press)

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/52259/1/1-s2.0-S0264127518304453-main.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

Accepted Manuscript

Generation and characterisation of gallium titanate surfaces through hydrothermal ion-exchange processes

Matthew D. Wadge, Bryan W. Stuart, Kathryn G. Thomas, David M. Grant

PII:	80264-1275(18)30445-3
DOI:	doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2018.05.060
Reference:	JMADE 3960
To appear in:	Materials & Design
Received date:	17 March 2018
Revised date:	25 May 2018
Accepted date:	27 May 2018



Please cite this article as: Matthew D. Wadge, Bryan W. Stuart, Kathryn G. Thomas, David M. Grant, Generation and characterisation of gallium titanate surfaces through hydrothermal ion-exchange processes. Materials & Design(2017), doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2018.05.060

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Generation and Characterisation of Gallium Titanate Surfaces through Hydrothermal Ion-Exchange Processes

Matthew D. Wadge^{1*}, Bryan. W. Stuart¹, Kathryn G. Thomas¹, David M. Grant^{1*}

¹ Advanced Materials Research Group, Faculty of Engineering, University of Nottingham, UK

*Corresponding authors, E-mail addresses: matthew.wadge@nottingham.ac.uk

david.grant@nottingham.ac.uk

Abstract

Infection negation and biofilm prevention are necessary developments needed for implant materials. Furthermore, an increase in publications regarding gallium (Ga) as an antimicrobial ion has resulted in bacterial-inhibitory surfaces incorporating gallium as opposed to silver (Ag). The authors present the production of novel gallium titanate surfaces through hydrothermal ion-exchange reactions. Commercially-pure Ti (S0: Cp-Ti) was initially suspended in NaOH solutions to obtain sodium titanate (S1: Na₂TiO₃) layers ca. 0.5-1 µm in depth (2.4 at.% Na). Subsequent suspension in Ga(NO₃)₃ (S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃), and post-heattreatment at 700 °C (S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT), generated gallium titanate layers (9.4 and 4.1 at.% Ga, respectively). For the first time, RHEED analysis of gallium titanate layers was conducted and demonstrated titanate formation. Degradation studies in DMEM showed S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ released more Ga compared to S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT (2.76 vs. 0.68 ppm) over 168 h. Furthermore, deposition of Ca/P in a Ca:P ratio of 1.71 and 1.34, on S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, respectively, over 168 h was seen. However, the study failed to replicate the antimicrobial effect presented by Yamaguchi who utilised A. baumannii, compared to S. aureus used presently. The authors feel a full antimicrobial study is required to assess gallium titanate as a candidate antimicrobial surface.

Keywords: biomaterial; sodium titanate; gallium titanate; hydrothermal; ion-exchange; titanium.

1 Introduction

The extent of a medical implant's success *in vivo* is dependent upon growth of extracellular tissue up to, and around, the implant *via* osteoconduction and osteogenesis [1]. In recent years, significant emphasis has been directed towards improving adhesion between implant surfaces and local tissues through direct surface modifications [2-4].

The only FDA approved process for improving implant surfaces utilises high-temperature (droplet temperatures >1500 K [5]) plasma spray methods to deposit coatings of osteoconductive hydroxyapatite (HA) [6]; mimicking the main mineral component, and chemical and crystal structure, of cortical bone. These coatings, therefore, are ideal for improving metallic implant biocompatibility and enhancing osseointegration [7]. However, current plasma-spraying techniques offer poor adhesion [8], non-uniformity in coating density [9], excessive temperatures leading to deleterious phase transformations [10], as well as residual surface stresses [11] resulting in micro-crack formation [12]. Ultimately, plasma-sprayed HA layers have been shown to spall due to their brittle nature [13], and weak mechanical adhesion (55-62 MPa; just higher than the FDA's minimum requirement 50.8 MPa) [14, 15]. Spalled particles may embed within surrounding tissue, activating complex cellular pathogenesis networks, fundamentally leading to periprosthetic osteolysis [16, 17]; aseptic implant loosening [18]; and increased convalescence through necessitated revision surgery [19]. Further methods for providing a stable HA layer have been proposed, such as sputtering, but often have issues related to the crystal orientation, amorphous structure requiring subsequent treatments, or the relatively high manufacturing cost [20].

To overcome these limitations, solution-based surface treatments have been considered [21-23], including the production of sodium titanate surfaces [24]. Research by Kokubo *et al.* [25-32], identified formation of sodium titanate through hydrothermal synthesis, therefore, preventing coating spallation caused by excessive production temperatures. Studies confirmed that optimal surface formation occurred at 60 °C, much lower than current plasma-spraying technologies. Once generated and following further heat- and water-treatments, Ca and P ion-exchanges with the sodium modifier within the sodium titanate structure, allows HA generation upon implantation *in vivo* or submersion in simulated body fluid (SBF) *in vitro*, offering an attractive processing methodology [28].

Failure of implants still persists as a substantial issue in orthopaedic hip replacements, with most common factors including infection (25-28%), and mechanical loosening (19%) [33, 34]. Implant infection is a complex issue as bacteria entering the surgical site adhere to implant surfaces and form a 'biofilm', protecting individual bacteria from antibiotics and the patient's immune system [35]. Initial prevention of biofilm formation is an attractive solution [36]. One

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • THE AUMOI(S), UNIVERSITY OF INOUMIGHAM, 2010.

possible method for biofilm prevention is the utilisation of antimicrobial ions, such as copper (Cu), silver (Ag), and more recently, gallium (Ga) [37, 38].

Despite its widespread use, Ag has been extensively debated whether to be used in medical devices [39]. This is because there are conflicting results in the literature, for example various *in vitro* studies demonstrating cytotoxic effects on host fibroblasts and keratinocytes [40, 41], whilst others have shown minimal, to no, sequelae *in vivo* [42]. A review by Brett demonstrated the majority of *in vivo* studies indicate silver's non-cytotoxicity, however, its ability to bind to proteins and nucleic acids may result in higher topical dosages being needed to generate antimicrobial effects [39]. Furthermore, studies have shown Ag's limited capacity to fully protect against infections, which has resulted in increased concern for its use in medical devices [43].

Ga(III) has been purported to be an ideal substitute for Ag in antimicrobial surfaces through various anti-bacterial studies [44, 45]. Its similarity to Fe(III) in ionic radius and charge, allow replacement within target molecules, which has resulted in an ideal antimicrobial agent, whose presence can cause Ga(III)-induced bacterial metabolic distress [44, 46]. A further property, which is pertinent to orthopaedic applications is the inhibition of bone resorption through reduction in calcium releases from bone [47]. Therefore, in this work, the authors present extensive characterisation of gallium titanate surfaces produced through ion-exchange reactions of sodium titanate produced *via* hydrothermal synthesis. In addition to cross section electron microscopy, RHEED analysis of titanate structures on the top few nm of the surface, in conjunction with XPS of the same surface, to elucidate the structure and chemistry of the surface in contact with tissue, is presented. Additionally, a pilot study to assess the cytotoxicity and antimicrobial nature of these surfaces is shown.

The antimicrobial nature of gallium titanate surfaces has been assessed previously by Yamaguchi *et al.* using a nosocomial, multi-drug resistant Gram-negative bacteria: *A. baumannii* [48], although using a different processing route. However, assessment using a Gram-positive bacteria of gallium titanate surfaces has yet to be investigated, hence the conducted pilot study using *S. aureus* (Newman). This is presented here along with the detailed characterisation and stability of using different hydrothermal conditions and concentrations compared to *Yamaguchi* and its stability in media pre- and post-processing heat treatments to fully understand the potential of this route.

Ion exchange routes in low temperature solutions (60 °C) have the potential to enable low cost and scalable generation of osteogenic, antimicrobial surfaces, in comparison to plasma spraying and physical vapour deposition [28, 30]. Another key advantage is its ability to manipulate surface chemistry reactions and utilise the ion exchangeability of Na₂TiO₃ with ions including Ca, P, Mg, Ga, and Ag. This will enable further tailoring and design of surfaces which could

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • The Author(s), University of Notingham, 2010.

combine a customised array of therapeutic ions to treat individual requirements; a stratified approach to design [49-52]. Furthermore, solution based methodologies encourage sufficient penetration into porous morphologies to facilitate cellular infiltration, which is limited with conventional line of site coating methods [49].

2 Methodology

2.1 Substrate preparation

Commercially pure Ti (Grade 1) discs (10 mm \emptyset , 1 mm thick), herein labelled as S0: Cp-Ti, were used as substrates. Discs were ground and polished using varying grits (P280, P400, P800, P1200, P2500 and P4000) of silicon carbide paper. The discs were cleaned by sonicating in acetone followed by distilled water for 5 min each.

2.2 Sodium hydroxide hydrothermal treatment

A 5 M solution of NaOH was prepared by dissolving 19.99 g of NaOH pellets (purity: 99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich) in 100 mL of distilled water. 10 mL aliquots in triplicate were then heated in water baths and individual Ti substrates were placed in each polypropylene container at 60 °C for 24 h. Sodium exchanged samples were labelled as S1: Na₂TiO₃.

2.3 Ion-exchange treatments

Gallium ion-exchange reactions were conducted from S1: Na₂TiO₃, using a 4 mM solution of Ga(NO₃)₃. The solution was prepared by dissolving 0.1 g of Ga(NO₃)₃. xH_2O granules (x = 1-9) (purity: 99.9%) (Sigma-Aldrich) into 100 mL of water. 10 mL aliquots in polypropylene containers were heated at 60 °C in water baths for 24 h. Ga exchanged titanate samples have been labelled S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃.

2.4 Heat-treatments

Both S0: Cp-Ti and S2: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ were heat-treated to produce S4: Cp-Ti-HT and S3: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ -HT, respectively, using a lenton® furnace in air with a ramp rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ to 700 °C. All samples were left to dwell for 1 h followed by natural furnace cooling to room temperature.

2.5 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Micrographs were obtained by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) *via* a JEOL 6490LV SEM. A constant working distance of 10 mm was maintained, utilising a beam energy of 15 kV. Image acquisition for higher resolution scans were conducted on a Field-Emission Gun Scanning Electron Microscope (JEOL 7100 FEG-SEM).

2.6 Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX)

Surface compositional analysis was determined *via* an Energy-Dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDX) (Oxford Instruments) at a working distance of 10 mm, a beam voltage of 15 kV, and maintaining a minimum X-ray count of 150,000 counts.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • THE AULIOI(S), UNIVERSITY OF NOULINGHAIN, 2010.

2.7 X-ray diffraction (XRD)

Crystallinity was assessed using a Bruker D8 advanced XRD spectrometer (Cu K α source, $\lambda = 1.5406$ Å, 40 kV, 35 mA). Measurements were taken over a 2 θ range from 10 to 65°; with a step size of 0.04° (2 θ); a glancing angle of 2°; and a dwell time of 12 s. The glancing angle allows the X-ray beam to graze the surface, penetrating the first few microns of material, and restricting the diffraction signal to the same depth [53].

2.8 *Reflective high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED)*

Shallow angle diffraction analysis was conducted using a JEOL 2000 FX TEM with an attached RHEED stage and photographic plate camera. Film acquisition was obtained using an accelerating voltage of 200 kV, and an exposure time between 11-22 s to ensure visible diffraction rings were present. Diffraction ring radii were then analysed using image processing software and appropriate *d* spacing values were calculated according to Bragg's law. Calibration was conducted using a sputtered gold layer on the surface of a titanium substrate.

2.9 Raman spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy was achieved utilising a HORIBA Jobin Yvon LabRAM HR spectrometer. Spectra were acquired using a 532 nm laser (25 mW power), $50 \times$ objective, and a 300 µm confocal pinhole. For simultaneous scanning of multiple Raman shifts, a 600 lines/mm rotatable diffraction grating along a path 800 mm length was used. Detection of spectra was achieved through use of a SYNAPSE CCD detector (1024 pixels) thermoelectrically cooled to -60 °C. Instrument calibration using the Rayleigh line at 0 cm⁻¹ and a standard Si (100) reference band at 520.7 cm⁻¹, was employed prior to spectra acquisition. A constrained time window of 20 s was employed for each spectra recording with 20 accumulations.

2.10 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

Infrared absorbance was surveyed using a Bruker Tensor FTIR spectrometer with an Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) attachment containing a diamond crystal/ZnSe lens. λ of 2.5 to 20 μ m were surveyed, corresponding to 4000 and 500 cm⁻¹, respectively.

2.11 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted using a VG ESCALab Mark II XPS with a monochromatic Al K α X-ray source incident to the sample surface at $\approx 30^{\circ}$. Survey and high-resolution scans were conducted in addition to the measurement of adventitious C 1 s for calibration: charge corrected to 284.8 eV. Parameters for acquisition were as follows: step size of 1.0; number of scans set at 5; dwell time 0.2 s for survey scans, and 0.4 s for high-resolution scans. Binding energies were measured over a range of 0-1200 eV. All spectra were analysed in Casa XPS constraining the Full Width at Half Maximum to the same value for all deconvoluted spectral peaks for the same element.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • The Author(s), University of Nothingham, 2010.

2.12 Ion leaching via induction coupled plasma (ICP)

Samples were degraded in 1 mL DMEM and were removed after varying degradation times of 6 h, 24 h, 3 days, and 7 days. During removal, the samples were washed with 9 mL of ultrapure water, ensuring a serum dilution of 1:10, before being removed and subsequently washed in ultrapure water and air dried. The 10 mL solutions were then analysed using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS; Thermo-Fisher Scientific iCAP-Q with CCTED). Each time point had three samples independently prepared, with calculated standard error and mean values presented.

2.13 Neutral red uptake (NRU) assay

Samples were degraded in 1 mL DMEM containing Fetal Bovine Serum for 7 days at 37 °C, generating liquid extracts as described in ISO 10993-5:2009. The extended degradation time was used to mimic long-term contact with the body. MG-63 cells were seeded into a 24 well plate (20,000 cells cm⁻²) and incubated for 24 h to give a sub-confluent monolayer. The media was removed and replaced with the liquid extracts. After 24 h further incubation the media was removed, the cells washed with PBS, and 500 μ L Neutral Red medium added. After 2 h incubation the medium was removed, cells washed in PBS and 500 μ L de-stain added per well. Plates were shaken on a plate shaker for 10 mins and the NR absorption read using an ELx800 Microplate Colorimeter (BioTek Instruments Inc.) at 540 nm.

2.14 LIVE/DEAD assay

S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT samples alongside S0: Cp-Ti controls were sterilised *via* UVB light (Naure Class II Safety Cabinet) for 30 mins per side. *S. aureus* strain Newman was cultured in Tryptone Soy Broth (TSB) overnight. Samples of each type were added in triplicate to sterile petri dishes and 15 mL pre-warmed (37 °C) TSB added. The overnight culture was washed twice in TSB, and then used to inoculate the petri dishes to 0.01 OD₆₀₀. The dishes were incubated (37 °C at 60 RPM) for 3 days, followed by washing in dH₂O twice, incubation at room temperature in the dark for 30 min with BacLight LIVE/DEAD stain (Invitrogen) and finally dried. The samples were imaged on a Carl Zeiss L700 Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope and biomass volume analysed *via* COMSTAT 2 plugin to ImageJ [54].

3 Results

3.1 Compositional analysis 3.1.1 SEM

Surface alterations were tracked following each ion exchange reaction and post heat-treatment. After NaOH treatment at 60 °C (S1: Na₂TiO₃), some alteration to the morphology of Ti surfaces from S0: Cp-Ti was exhibited (*Figure 1A & C*). Extended nano-porous networks with features on the order of a few hundred nanometers in diameter were seen. Following Ga ion exchange, micrographs of S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ showed a similar interconnected morphology to S1: Na₂TiO₃

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • The Author(s), University of Nothingham, 2010.

(*Figure 1E*). Upon heat-treatment (S3: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ -HT), a slightly modified interconnected morphology remained, with the formation of flake-like features on the surface, with diameters of 150-300 nm (*Figure 1G*). The inclusion of S4: Cp-Ti-HT (*Figure 1I*), was to identify morphological differences between sodium titanate and rutile formation on the sample's surface. The surface of S3: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ -HT was significantly dissimilar to that of S4: Cp-Ti-HT with a porous angular surface containing oblong flakes of ca. 0.5 µm.

Cross-sectional FEG-SEM imaging of S1: Na₂TiO₃, S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT showed similar morphology, with a distinct porous layer on the order of 0.5–1 μ m in thickness (*Figure 1D. F, & H*). This is in stark contrast to the original smooth S0: Cp-Ti control sample (*Figure 1B*). However, the layer exhibited in S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT demonstrates an intermediate layer between the nanoporous surface layer and the titanium substrate (*Figure 1H*). Furthermore, sample S4: Cp-Ti-HT demonstrates a different cross-sectional profile to all other samples with a thin dense titanium oxide layer (*Figure 1J*).

3.1.2 EDX

Initially, elemental mapping analysis of S1: Na₂TiO₃ showed homogeneous distribution of Na, Ti and O, and concluded Na (2.73 at.%) and O (65.3 at.%) had been included within the structure, compared to the S0: Cp-Ti control. Subsequent analysis of S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ indicated complete substitution of Na by Ga within the TiO₃ structure. S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ compared to S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT showed a 5.3 at.% reduction of Ga within the later following heat-treatment (*Table 1*).

3.1.3 XRD

As seen in *Figure 2E*, the only signals present for S1: Na₂TiO₃ and S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ were that of the Ti substrate (S0: Cp-Ti), which produced peaks associated with titanium (Ti: ICDD PDF 00-44-1294). Following heat-treatment (S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT), further diffraction peaks emerged located at \approx 26, 37, 40, and 55° 20, which were attributed to gallium titanate (Ga₂TiO₅: ICDD PDF 00-020-0447), however, the lack of high quality diffraction data for gallium titanate, the lower intensity, as well as the overlap of gallium titanate with rutile means XRD data alone is inconclusive. The peak at \approx 57° 20 correlated to rutile (TiO₂: ICDD PDF 00-021-1276), and peaks at \approx 37, 40, and 53° 20 related to titanium oxide (Ti₆O: ICDD PDF-01-072-1471). To verify this further RHEED analysis was conducted as this technique offers greater probing resolution and shallower probing depth (0.1-10 nm) as compared to XRD (0.1-100 µm) [53, 55].



Figure 1. (A, C, E, G, and I) FEG-SEM surface and (B, D, F, H, and J) cross-sectional images of S0: Cp-Ti, S1: Na₂TiO₃, S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, and S4: Cp-Ti-HT samples, respectively. Insert images are of the corresponding sample's surface.

Table 1. EDX elemental mapping data of S0: Cp-Ti, S1: Na₂TiO₃, S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, and S4: Cp-Ti-HT samples over a 400 μ m² area of the sample surface. Mean atomic percent (at.%) are shown with standard error (n=3).

Sample	Elemental Composition / at.%				
	Ti	0	Na	Ga	
S0: Cp-Ti	100	0	0	0	
S1: Na ₂ TiO ₃	31.9 ± 0.1	65.3 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.2	0	
S2: Ga ₂ (TiO ₃) ₃	20.1 ± 0.2	70.5 ± 0.3	0	9.4 ± 0.1	
S3: Ga ₂ (TiO ₃) ₃ -HT	22.6 ± 0.4	73.3 ± 0.4	0	4.1 ± 0.2	
S4: Cp-Ti-HT	30.2 ± 0.1	69.8 ± 0.1	0	0	

3.1.4 RHEED

RHEED analysis of S4: Cp-Ti-HT (*Figure 2D*) demonstrated clear and distinct diffraction rings, as well as matching *d* spacing values with rutile (TiO₂: ICDD PDF-00-021-1276: *Table 2*) consistent with the SEM-EDX results. The diffraction patterns present in S1: Na₂TiO₃, S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT (*Figure 2A, B,* and *C,* respectively) demonstrated a significant change from that of S4: Cp-Ti-HT, indicating an alternative layer than rutile (*Figure 2D*). The *d* spacing values for S1: Na₂TiO₃ were ascribed to sodium titanate (Na_{0.23}TiO₂: ICDD PDF 00-022-1404, and Na₄TiO₄: ICDD PDF 00-042-0513) and titanium (Ti: ICDD PDF 00-044-1294). Furthermore, S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ *d* spacing values were akin to calcium and sodium titanate variants (CaTi₂O₅: ICDD PDF 00-025-1450, and Na₂TiO₃: ICDD PDF 00-037-0346), as well as S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT being similar to gallium and calcium titanate variants (Ga₂TiO₅: ICDD PDF 00-025-1450).

3.1.5 Raman

Raman spectral analysis (*Figure 3A*) of S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT and S4: Cp-Ti-HT revealed bands located at \approx 247, 445, and 611 cm⁻¹, which were attributed to rutile, Ti-O. Conversely, alternate peaks were found in the S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ sample at \approx 273, 425, 700, and 811 cm⁻¹, as well as \approx 400 and 662 cm⁻¹ in S1: Na₂TiO₃. A shoulder was present in both S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT and S4: Cp-Ti-HT at \approx 700 cm⁻¹, which is present as an identifiable peak in S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃.

3.1.6 FTIR

IR absorption showed peaks detailed from 500-900 cm⁻¹, matching TiO₆ vibrations, Ti-O bending and Ti-OH non-bridging bonds, which is prevalent across all samples (*Figure 3B*). Additionally, a peak around 1100 cm⁻¹ and a broad peak from 3000-3500 cm⁻¹, which appear in S1: Na₂TiO₃ and S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ samples, correspond to Ti-O-C vibrations and H-O-H stretching, respectively. Three peaks at 1130, 1300, and 2350 cm⁻¹ are seen in the S4: Cp-Ti-HT control, consistent with rutile Ti-O, Ti-O-Ti stretching, and CO₂ contamination, respectively. The peak at 2050 cm⁻¹ remains unmatched. Doublet peaks around 2880 cm⁻¹ in S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, match C-H furnace contamination. Finally, all spectra except S4: Cp-Ti-HT exhibited a peak around 1610-1630 cm⁻¹, consistent with O-H bonds.

3.1.7 XPS

XPS analysis of S1: Na₂TiO₃, S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT samples was conducted (*Figure 4*). The initial O 1s peak (*Figure 4A*) at 529.6 eV in the S1: Na₂TiO₃ sample exhibited a shift to 531.6 eV and 530.7 eV in S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, respectively. Deconvolution of O 1s for S1: Na₂TiO₃ demonstrated three peaks at 530.2, 531.6, and 532.9 eV, with area ratios of 75.0, 15.3, and 9.7%, respectively. Each peak matched O-Ti⁴⁺, O-Ti³⁺,

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • THE AUHOL(S), UNIVERSITY OF INOURIGIAN, 2010.

and -OH, respectively. This reduced to two peaks at 530.3 (49.3%) and 531.9 (50.7%) eV in the S2: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ sample, eliminating -OH. Moreover, S3: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ -HT demonstrated two peaks, with shifts to 530.7 (82.4%) and 532.4 (17.6%) eV, eliminating O-Ti³⁺.



Figure 2. (A, B, C and D) RHEED diffraction patterns for S1: Na_2TiO_3 , S2: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$, S3: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ -HT, and S4: Cp-Ti-HT, respectively. (E) XRD data of aforementioned samples. Deconvolution of the peaks are as follows: \blacktriangle - rutile (TiO_2: ICDD PDF 00-021-1276); \forall - titanium oxide (Ti₆O: ICDD PDF 01-072-1471); \bigstar - gallium titanate (Ga₂TiO₅: ICDD PDF 00-020-0447); \bigstar - titanium (Ti: ICDD PDF 00-044-1294).

A perceptible shift was noted in the Ti 2p doublet peak (*Figure 4B*) for S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT. Initial positions at 458.6 and 464.3 eV, corresponding to Ti 2p 3/2 and Ti 2p 1/2 in the S1: Na₂TiO₃ sample. These shifted to 458.5 and 464.2 eV in S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃. However, a further shift to 459.0 and 464.7 eV was observed in S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, which all correspond to Ti⁴⁺. The Na 1s peak at 1071.9 eV (*Figure 4C*), matching Na-O, in the S1: Na₂TiO₃ sample (Ti LMM Auger peaks located at 1067.3 and 1075.1 eV), diminished after Ga ion-exchange in both S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT. Furthermore, the Ga 2p doublet peak (*Figure 4D*) showed distinct peaks at 1118.3 and 1145.2 eV, corresponding to Ga 2p 3/2 and Ga 2p 1/2 for Ga⁴⁺-O, respectively, in S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and 1118.4 and 1145.3 eV, respectively in S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT.

Sample **Database file** Database Calculated d spacing / d spacing Å / Å Sodium Titanate (Na_{0.23}TiO₂) 3.70 3.65 (ICDD PDF 00-022-1404) 1.87 1.92 Titanium (Ti) 2.28 2.24 (ICDD PDF 00-044-1294) S1: Na₂TiO₃ 3.22 3.23 Sodium Titanate (Na₄TiO₄) 2.28 2.21 (ICDD PDF 00-042-0513) 1.87 1.87 3.50 3.50 Calcium Titanate (CaTi₂O₅) (ICDD PDF 00-025-1450) 1.87 S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ 1.83 Sodium Titanate (Na₂TiO₃) 3.27 3.23 (ICDD PDF 00-037-0346) 1.83 1.87 Gallium Titanate (Ga₂TiO₅) 3.38 3.50 (ICDD PDF 01-070-1993) 2.75 2.88 S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT 3.50 3.50 Calcium Titanate (CaTi₂O₅) 2.92 2.88 (ICDD PDF 00-025-1450) 1.82 1.87 3.23 3.25 2.49 2.45 Rutile (TiO₂) 2.28 2.30 S4: Cp-Ti-HT (ICDD PDF 00-021-1276) 2.19 2.19 2.05 2.05

Table 2. Quantitative RHEED analysis data for calculated d spacing (using principles from Bragg'slaw) figures compared to database values. Calculated d spacing values all have standard errors <0.01.</td>Ring radii and d spacing data has been rounded to 3 s.f.

University

3.1.8 Degradation and ion leaching

Figure 5(A-F) demonstrated the surface alteration of S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT samples after degradation in 1 mL DMEM over 168 h. It is clear, compared to surfaces illustrated in *Figure 1*, that surface deposition/growth occurred during degradation, as well as opening of the porous surface network. Spherical deposits were seen on both S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT at 24 and 72 h. EDX analysis of the deposits demonstrated their composition to be rich in Ca and P. Ca:P ratios were then taken, as demonstrated in *Figure* 5*G*, with S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT resulting in a surface Ca:P ratio close to 1.34, whereas S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ reached 1.71 by 168 h. Furthermore, rod-like deposits were also seen on both samples at 24 and 72 h. Their composition, as delineated by EDX, consisted mainly of Ga and O, suggesting Ga₂O₃ had deposited. By 168 h, the surface morphology (*Figure 5E & F*) showed an absence of both spherical and rod-like surface growths in S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, and larger clusters of rod-like deposits had formed on S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT.



Figure 3. (A) *Raman infrared spectrometry analysis, and (B) FTIR analysis of S1: Na*₂*TiO*₃*, S2: Ga*₂(*TiO*₃)₃*, S3: Ga*₂(*TiO*₃)₃*-HT, and S4: Cp-Ti-HT samples.*

A combination of EDX and ICP (*Figure 6*) was used to identify the alteration of both surface and solution ion concentrations during DMEM degradation. Over 168 h, aqueous Ga ion concentrations gradually increased for S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT (*Figure 6D*), as expected, however, at a slower rate than S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ (*Figure 6B*), with a peak Ga ion concentration of 2.76 and 0.68 ppm, for S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, respectively. The error at 168 h in S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ meant quantification here was difficult. Additionally, S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ surface Ga concentration (*Figure 6A*) decreased over the course of 168 h, whereas the S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT sample (*Figure 6C*) demonstrated a re-deposition of Ga during the later time points. For both S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, Ca and P aqueous ion concentrations decreased between 0 and 168 h (*Figure 6B & D*). Both surface Ca and P ion concentrations increased for S2: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$, however, S2: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ (*Figure 6A*) exhibited deposition and subsequent release during the 168 h period (*Figure 6C*).



Figure 4. XPS analysis of S1: Na₂TiO₃, S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT. (A) High resolution O Is spectra, (B) High resolution Ti 2p spectra, (C) High resolution Na 1s spectra, and (D) High resolution Ga 2p spectra.

3.1.9 Cell studies

From ISO 10993-5:2009, the definition of a cytotoxic effect demonstrated by NRU assay is a >30% reduction in cell viability from the non-treated cells (TCP control). The dotted line in *Figure 7* shows this threshold at 70% signal intensity. The untreated S0: Cp-Ti sample demonstrated an average signal of 94.2%, with S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT showing average signals of 24.2% and 81.4%, respectively. Therefore, both S0: Cp-Ti and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT samples are above the viability threshold, with a clear reduction in cell viability noted for the S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ sample. It was shown through a One-way ANOVA, followed by the Bonferroni post-test that the S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ sample, was the only sample that exhibited a significant difference (p<0.0001) from the TCP control.

3.1.10 LIVE/DEAD

Biofilm development assay results are shown in *Figure 8*, with no significant difference being noted between the live or dead biomass on any of the samples. The presence of dead bacteria on the Ti control sample is expected due to the length of the incubation period. An antimicrobial effect would be shown either by a significantly reduced total signal (both live and dead) from either titanate structures compared to the S0: Cp-Ti control, or by a significant decrease in live (green) signal and subsequent increase in dead (red) signal. Neither of these effects was prevalent in the data shown and was also not observed when the experiment was repeated.



Figure 5. (A, C, and E) FEG-SEM images of the surface of degraded S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ samples in 1 mL DMEM (diluted with 1:10 ratio of ultrapure water) at time points 24, 72, and 168 h, respectively.
(B, D, and F) FEG-SEM images of the surface of degraded S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT samples at 24, 72, and 168 h, respectively. (G) Graph showing the alteration in Ca:P ratio on the surface of S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT during the degradation study. Ca:P rich nodules and Ga₂O₃ precipitates were observed.



Figure 6. (A & C) EDX analysis of the substitution of Ca, P, and Ga ions on the surface of S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT during 168 h of degradation, respectively. (B & D) ICP Ca, P, and Ga ion alterations of S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT in DMEM solution during degradation over 168 h, respectively. Error bars of S.E.M (n=3), with EDX taken over a 3600 μm² area.



Figure 7. Effect of elution products of S0: Cp-Ti, S2: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ and S3: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ -HT samples compared TCP control on the viability of MG-63 cells measured by NRU assay. All values are mean values \pm SEM (n=6). Dotted line represents 70% threshold for cytotoxic effects (ISO 10993-5:2009).

4 Discussion

4.1 Composition and topographical analysis by SEM, FEG-SEM, EDX, FTIR, XRD, XPS, and Raman.

Ion-exchange reactions were a key development in the production of tailored, application specific titanate surfaces. This is due to the initial, layered sodium hydrogen titanate formed from the NaOH treatment, allowing ion incorporation and substitution with Na⁺ ions already present. Not only are these surfaces able to release ions into the surround media, but they can also facilitate further ion-exchange reactions *in vivo*, allowing generation of amorphous calcium phosphate layers, or release of therapeutic or antimicrobial ions.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT S THE AUDIOL(S), UNIVERSITY OF INOLINGHAM, 2010.



Figure 8. (A, B, & C) LIVE/DEAD staining maps for S0: Cp-Ti, S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, respectively. Live bacteria are stained green, with dead bacteria stained red, as indicated. (D) Live and dead Biomass from a 3 day culture of S. aureus analysed via COMSTAT. There is no significant difference between the Live or Dead values between the samples (2 way ANOVA). The experiment was repeated and the same trends observed (n=3; error bars in S.E.M).

The nanoporous surface morphology exhibited by S1: Na₂TiO₃ and S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ was consistent with the only other gallium titanate study published [48] and the higher resolution presented here clearly shows interesting differences from the S0: Cp-Ti control, where no significant features were present. Initially, the sodium hydrogen titanate and the isomorphic gallium hydrogen titanate formed after ion-exchange, exhibited an open, nanoporous morphology. Upon heat-treatment, the surface layers increased in thickness, as well as becoming denser, upon conversion to gallium titanate. Furthermore, flake-like features ($\phi \approx 100-150$ nm),

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • THE AUHOL(S), UNIVERSITY OF NOULINGHAIH, 2010.

formed of Ga and O from EDX analysis (*Figure 1G & Table 1*), suggested gallium oxide/hydroxide formation. However, morphologically these features are significantly different to the gallium oxide precipitates noted on the degraded surfaces (*Figure 5*). A study by Dulda *et al.* demonstrated micrographs of GaO(OH) precipitates formed through alkali precipitation, which morphologically are similar to the flake-like precipitates on S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT [56] and correlates with the GaO(OH) peak noted in FTIR (*Figure 3B*), suggesting these are GaO(OH) flakes. EDX analysis demonstrated no sodium was detectable on either gallium-treated samples, matching the lack of a Na 1s peak in XPS, indicating gallium ions readily ion-exchange with sodium in the titanate structure, supporting the postulated ion-exchangeability. The atomic percent of Ga exhibited in S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ was 9.4 at.%; much greater than sodium (2.7 at.%) in S1: Na₂TiO₃. The surface features formed on S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT are significantly different to S4: Cp-Ti-HT (*Figure 1*), showing clear structural differences between the nanoporous titanate layers and the dense smooth rutile formed during heat-treatment.

The XRD results suggested the initial hydrothermally produced (S1:Na₂TiO₃), and ionexchanged layers (S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃) were amorphous in nature, since no additional crystalline peaks further to the S0: Cp-Ti control were present, correlating with the diffuse ring patterns noted in RHEED (*Figure 2*). This was to be expected as no heat-treatment had been conducted, therefore, the surface layer produced should be amorphous; crystallisation temperature >500 °C [57]. Smaller, less intense, peaks were noted in XRD, with the lower intensities potentially attributed to lower quantities of surface crystals, due to the temperature being below the stated crystallisation temperature of gallium titanate (\approx 1100 °C [58]). However, this evidence alone was not conclusive, due to significant overlap with rutile, to identify the formation of titanate layers, and hence RHEED analysis was also conducted. This enabled shallower beam penetration, of the order of a few tens of nanometers, as well as higher probing resolution (0.01-0.001 nm) [55].

Upon heat-treatment (S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT), the sample yielded new Bragg peaks corresponding to rutile: a characteristic phase transformation of titanium at > 600 °C in oxygen, as anticipated [59]. Formation of rutile was also seen in the S4: Cp-Ti-HT sample, in the RHEED *d* spacing analysis, as well as two characteristic peaks detailed in FTIR (*Figure 3B*), and three in Raman spectroscopy (*Figure 3A*). Furthermore, smaller Bragg peaks at 26, 37, 40 and 55° 20 from the XRD patterns, were deconvoluted as gallium titanate derivatives, partially confirming its formation. To avoid characterising just the rutile produced in S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, as well as the Ti substrate in S1: Na₂TiO₃ and S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, and allow characterisation of solely the produced surface layers, RHEED was employed. RHEED has a similar probing depth to the XPS used and, therefore, provides an ideal technique to compare and corroborate results. As seen in *Figure 2D*,

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • THE AUUIOI(S), UNIVERSITY OF NOULINGHAM, 2010.

RHEED demonstrates a clear diffraction pattern for rutile on S4: Cp-Ti-HT, and matches *d* spacing values from the database, as well as confirming the results from XPS (*Figure 4*). Rutile diffraction rings were not observed in samples S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT. However, even with RHEED, it was noted that the S1: Na₂TiO₃, S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT samples exhibited a more diffuse pattern than S4: Cp-Ti-HT only, causing overlap and complicated the quantification. This diffuseness could be attributed to the amorphous sodium or gallium hydrogen titanate layers present. Despite the diffuse rings, quantification of *d* spacing values was possible for S1: Na₂TiO₃, S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, which matched sodium titanate derivatives (Na_{0.23}TiO₂ and Na₄TiO₄) and titanium; calcium and sodium titanate variants (CaTi₂O₅ and Na₂TiO₃); and gallium and calcium titanate derivatives (Ga₂TiO₅ and CaTi₂O₅) also suggested by [48], respectively.

The evidence demonstrated through XRD and RHEED, was supported by IR absorption spectrometry, (*Figure 3*), which demonstrated characteristic TiO₆ octahedron vibrations, Ti-O bond stretching and Ti-OH non-bridging bonds of titanate structures. Edge-sharing TiO₆ octahedra and Ti-O-Ti stretching were also present in the Raman analysis [60-62]. Additionally, XPS also supported titanate formation, through the presence of Ti⁴⁺-O bonding [63], which were ubiquitous across all samples, in both the Ti 2p and O 1s deconvolution, and are characteristic of titanate structures, as discussed by Takadama *et al.* [64].

Specifically, for S1: Na₂TiO₃, there were no other FTIR absorption bonds corresponding to sodium titanate formation, however, this may be attributed to limitations on the FTIR spectrometer used, which made analysis lower than 600 cm⁻¹ difficult [65]. Nevertheless, FTIR ruled out formation of re-precipitated NaOH, due to the lack of characteristic O-H tension peaks around 3600 cm⁻¹ [66]. Despite this, Raman (*Figure 3*) and XPS analysis confirmed the presence of Na-O bonds, which are readily seen in sodium titanate structures [67]. The additional presence of O-H bending modes in Raman (as described by Oleksak *et al.* [68]), and –OH bonds in XPS, before and after heat-treatment, suggest amorphous sodium and gallium hydrogen titanate may also be present on the surface.

The shoulder exhibited between 800-900 cm⁻¹, shown in FTIR for S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, may have corresponded to GaO(OH) vibrations and Ga-OH bending modes, which could be attributed to gallium hydrogen titanate formation prior to heat-treatment, as well as the GaO(OH) flakes noted in *Figure 1G* [56, 69]. Furthermore, peaks demonstrated by Raman spectroscopy may correspond to gallium oxide, as shown by Zhao *et al.* [70], Rao *et al.* [71], and Gao *et al.* [72], or derivatives of gallium titanate. The Raman peak at 700 cm⁻¹ remains as a shoulder in S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, and correlates with the GaO(OH) flakes seen in *Figure 1G*. Gallium titanate formation is also confirmed by XPS analysis, with the Ga 2p 3/2 peak position at ~1118.5 eV

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT The Author(s), University of Nothingham, 2010.

relating to Ga-O in its Ga⁴⁺ state, which are doped at various characteristic Ti^{4+} sites, as detailed by Deng *et al.* [73]. Furthermore, the presence of Ti-O Raman bonds in S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, suggest gallium titanate formation [74]. A significant alteration, which correlates well with the EDX results previously mentioned, is the reduction in the Na 1s peak in XPS for both S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, demonstrating complete Na replacement, and the subsequent formation of gallium titanate.

In additional to titanate formation, broad absorption peaks from 3000-3500 cm⁻¹, seen in both S1: Na₂TiO₃ and S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, can be ascribed to H-O-H stretch bonds of any remaining surface, or chemisorbed/interlamellar, water, since this stage was prior to the heat-treatment step [75]. The removal of these peaks in both heat-treated samples: S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT and S4: Cp-Ti-HT, support this postulation and is further backed up by Shiropur *et al.*, who showed peak elimination during dehydration [60]. Interestingly, FTIR demonstrated a peak at 1100 cm⁻¹ in both S1: Na₂TiO₃ and S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, potentially matching Ti-O-C vibrations, which is unexpected, as the carbon location would be in place of either gallium or sodium in the titanate structure [76]. It is evident from the heat-treatment stage, through the generation of doublet peaks at 2880 cm⁻¹ (S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT) and the shoulder at 2350 cm⁻¹ in FTIR matching C-H bonds and atmospheric CO₂, respectively, that carbon contamination on the surface of the samples is present and unavoidable [77].

4.2 Surface degradation and ion release

During submersion in DMEM, opening of the porous network in the titanate surfaces was observed. Furthermore, spherical and rod-like deposits, which through EDX analysis were found to be formed of Ca:P, and Ga:O, respectively, were also noted (Figure 5). Morphologically, the rod-like Ga:O deposits look similar to those generated by Zhao et al. and Shah et al. [78, 79]. Deposition may have occurred due to over-saturation of the surrounding solution, however, further studies would be needed to confirm this postulation. Additional EDX analysis was conducted on the Ca and P deposits to understand the Ca:P ratio, and whether these deposits are similar to HA. For S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, the Ca:P ratio increased significantly above 1.8 within 6 h and gradually plateaued at 1.71 by 7 days. This is in stark contrast to the heat-treated sample (S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT), which had a Ca:P ratio of ≈ 1.42 at 6 h and reached a final ratio of 1.34 by 7 days. Stoichiometric HA contains a Ca:P = 1.67, with calcium deficient and calcium rich HA having ratios of <1.67 and >1.67, respectively [80]. Correlating this with the Ca:P generated on both samples, S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT are calcium rich and calcium deficient, respectively. Studies conducted by Kizuki et al. demonstrated the relative propensity for ion inclusion into the titanate layer for Ca²⁺ and Na⁺ [81]. The studies concluded that, even with a calcium contamination of 0.0005% in the sodium containing solution, divalent Ca²⁺ ions would

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • THE AUUIOI(S), UNIVERSITY OF INOLITING HAIL, 2010.

preferentially enter into the structure, as it has a more potent electrostatic attraction to negative TiO_6 [82]. The authors hypothesise that the calcium contained within the solution, preferentially ion exchanged into the surface layer due to its relatively higher propensity, as demonstrated through literature studies investigating Ca²⁺ ions preferentially exchanging into the titanate structure [27, 28, 83]. As S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ has a less stable layer compared to S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, due to the increased release rate of Ga ions, this explains why there is a higher Ca content on S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃.

The opening of the porous network, as well as the deposition of Ca:P and Ga_2O_3 exhibited in the micrograph images (Figure 5) correlates with the ICP and EDX analysed ionic alterations on the sample's surface and in solution. As shown in *Figure 6*, S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT released gallium at a much slower rate than S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, suggesting the heat-treatment had a significant effect on the stability of the titanate surface generated. Moreover, the peak Ga solution concentration was much greater for S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ (2.76 ppm; day 3) compared to S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT (0.68 ppm; day 7). Additionally, the trend in surface concentration of Ga in *Figure 6* agrees well with the micrographs presented in *Figure 5*. The S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ sample exhibited an overall decrease in Ga ions with no deposition occurring, whereas S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT demonstrated a deposition of Ga back onto the surface after 24 h, with a large proportion of Ga:O deposits. Furthermore, the decrease in solution ionic concentrations of Ca and P, as well as the overall increase of these ions on S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃, relates to the deposition of Ca:P deposits seen in Figure 5. The anomalous re-release of Ca and P from the surface of S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, which does not match the solution concentration, could be due to detachment of Ca:P precipitates, which are not detectable via ICP. Distinction between Ca ions penetrating into the titanate layer and deposition on the surface was not possible with the techniques used, hence further studies would be needed. The mechanism for amorphous calcium phosphate formation, and subsequent apatite maturation, has been explained previously by [27, 84]. The surface titanate layers, containing positive metallic ions, with this case being Ga^{3+} , facilitate ionic exchange between H_3O^+ (hydronium) ions and Ga³⁺. This exchange generates Ti-OH bonds upon the top surface of the titanate layers, generating an overall negative surface charge. This negative charge allows Ca²⁺ ions to preferentially ion exchange into the surface. High concentration of Ca²⁺ ions on the surface generates an overall positive surface charge, allowing phosphate ions present within the DMEM solution to be attracted to the surface generating calcium phosphate precipitates (Figure 5). Since S3: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ -HT contained a heat-treatment stage and, therefore, had a more stable surface layer, Ga release was much lower than S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ (Figure 6), which evidently resulted in lower consumption of Ca ions from the DMEM onto the surface (Figure 5 & Figure 6). This is

evident in the calcium-deficient Ca:P precipitates present on S3: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ -HT, as well as the smaller quantity of precipitates present on the surface (*Figure 5*).

Although the relationship between heat treatment temperatures and Ga release was not investigated here, the conversion of a sodium titanate hydrogel following heat treatments was the subject of a previous study by Kim *et al.* Their findings showed that the progressive increase in heat treatment temperatures converted the gel into an amorphous and crystalline sodium titanate at 400 and 700 °C, respectively, reducing its reactivity and propensity to form apatite in simulated body fluid [83]. It is postulated that Ga ion release would decline with increases in heat treatment temperatures in a similar manner.

4.3 Cytotoxicity and antimicrobial assessment

Initial evaluation on the effect of titanate surfaces on human cells has been performed *via* Neutral Red Uptake assay. Upon exposure to media, which had been in contact with the samples for 7 days, significant reduction in cell viability was only shown for S2: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$, with the performance of the control S0: Cp-Ti, S3: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ -HT and cells exposed to untreated media showing no significant differences (*Figure 7*). From the ICP analysis, the maximum Ga(III) release for the S2: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ and S3: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ -HT samples were 2.76 and 0.68 ppm (39.6 and 18.6 μ M), respectively. Although these concentrations are lower than those commonly seen in the literature for Ga(III) toxicity to human cells, the hypothesis that the heat treatment stabilising the rate of gallium release is supported by these results [85, 86]. The toxicity of Ga(III) can also be effected by local Fe(III) concentrations and any binding molecules, which can promote Ga(III) uptake into the cells. It is also possible that a toxic pH was caused by the elutant of the S2: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ samples during ion exchange within the structure; an effect which is lost after heat treatment.

In this pilot study, *S. aureus* was used as it is a clinically relevant pathogen commonly associated with nosocomial infection and orthopaedic biofilm infections, occurring in as many as 75% of joint infections [87-89]. Although Ga(III) has been demonstrated to be antimicrobial against a wide variety of pathogens, its efficacy varies over a wide range of inhibitory concentrations (μ M-mM) specific to each bacterial strain. An antimicrobial effect of gallium titanate structures against *A. baumannii* has been recently demonstrated by Yamaguchi *et al.* [48]. *A. baumannii* has been found to be particularly susceptible to Ga(III) (2-100 μ M), whereas *S. aureus* is relatively more resistant compared to other species (0.32-5.12 mM) [44, 90]. Although the concentration of gallium used to produce these structures was far higher than in the *Yamaguchi* study, these results suggest that it has still fallen short of the minimum inhibitory concentration

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT The Author(s), University of Notingham, 2010.

to prevent a *S. aureus* infection. In DMEM, the Ga(III) release after 6 h was 1.04 and 0.32 ppm for S2: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ and S3: $Ga_2(TiO_3)_3$ -HT, respectively (15 and 4.6 μ M in 1 mL solution), which falls well below the toxic concentrations for *S. aureus*, in addition to being considerably lower than concentrations clinically used [91]. However, upon reflection, the authors feel it is necessary to conduct a further, more comprehensive, study to fully elucidate the antimicrobial status of gallium titanate surfaces against *S. aureus* and other common nosocomial pathogens.

5 Conclusions

Formation of gallium titanate surfaces through sequential hydrothermal NaOH, $Ga(NO_3)_3$ and subsequent heat-treatments, was successful. Full characterisation of the produced gallium titanate surfaces were conducted, using FEG-SEM, RHEED, XRD, XPS, FTIR, EDX, Raman, and ICP methodologies. Significant morphological changes were demonstrated at highresolution on titanium surfaces upon hydrothermal treatment in NaOH, ion-exchange in Ga(NO₃)₃, and subsequent heat-treatment. Furthermore, the antimicrobial and cytotoxic nature of the produced surfaces were assessed via Neutral red and LIVE/DEAD analyses. In addition to the Ga(III) ion's ability to substitute into the sodium titanate structure, the surface layer enables release of gallium ions into the surrounding environment. However, further testing against a wider range of relevant pathogens is required in order to demonstrate the concentrations of Ga(III) necessary for these surfaces to be clinically effective. It is also clear that the heattreatment conducted on the gallium titanate surface resulted in a more stable layer that released Ga ions at a slower rate: 2.76 compared to 0.68 ppm for S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃ and S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT, respectively. Further to this, the incorporation of Ca/P ions on the surface was much lower on the heat treated surface (S3: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃-HT), generating a calcium deficient amorphous precipitate (Ca:P = 1.34), relative to crystalline HA as compared to the calcium rich (Ca:P =1.71) precipitate deposited on the surface of S2: Ga₂(TiO₃)₃.

If additional assessments can indicate microbiological and further osteogenic efficacy, such surfaces may be suitable candidates as an orthopaedic alternative. The production design which utilised low temperature Ga ion exchange reactions will enable tailorable and cost effective antimicrobial surfaces that can potentially be used to coat both surfaces and internal porosities of orthopaedic prosthetics at commercial scales; a key design improvement.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [grant number EP/K029592/1]; and the EPSRC Centre for Innovative Manufacturing in Medical Devices (MeDe Innovation). The authors would like to gratefully acknowledge the Nanoscale

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • The Authol(s), University of Notingham, 2010.

and Microscale Research Centre (nmRC) at the University of Nottingham for SEM and FEG-SEM access, as well as Dr. N. C. Neate for his help in RHEED acquisition and analysis.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Data Availability

The raw/processed data required to reproduce these findings cannot be shared at this time as the data also forms part of an ongoing study.

A CERTING

References

[1] M. Geetha, A. Singh, R. Asokamani, A. Gogia, Ti based biomaterials, the ultimate choice for orthopaedic implants–a review, Progress in materials science 54(3) (2009) 397-425.

[2] R. Tejero, E. Anitua, G. Orive, Toward the biomimetic implant surface: Biopolymers on titaniumbased implants for bone regeneration, Progress in Polymer Science 39(7) (2014) 1406-1447.

[3] G. Thakral, R. Thakral, N. Sharma, J. Seth, P. Vashisht, Nanosurface–the future of implants, Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR 8(5) (2014) ZE07.

[4] V. Goriainov, R. Cook, J.M. Latham, D.G. Dunlop, R.O. Oreffo, Bone and metal: an orthopaedic perspective on osseointegration of metals, Acta biomaterialia 10(10) (2014) 4043-4057.

[5] Y. Tsui, C. Doyle, T. Clyne, Plasma sprayed hydroxyapatite coatings on titanium substrates Part 1: Mechanical properties and residual stress levels, Biomaterials 19(22) (1998) 2015-2029.

[6] R.A. Surmenev, M.A. Surmeneva, A.A. Ivanova, Significance of calcium phosphate coatings for the enhancement of new bone osteogenesis–A review, Acta biomaterialia 10(2) (2014) 557-579.

[7] R. Agarwal, A.J. García, Biomaterial strategies for engineering implants for enhanced osseointegration and bone repair, Advanced drug delivery reviews 94 (2015) 53-62.

[8] E. Mohseni, E. Zalnezhad, A.R. Bushroa, Comparative investigation on the adhesion of hydroxyapatite coating on Ti–6Al–4V implant: A review paper, International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives 48 (2014) 238-257.

[9] J.L. Ong, D.L. Carnes, K. Bessho, Evaluation of titanium plasma-sprayed and plasma-sprayed hydroxyapatite implants in vivo, Biomaterials 25(19) (2004) 4601-4606.

[10] L. Sun, C.C. Berndt, C.P. Grey, Phase, structural and microstructural investigations of plasma sprayed hydroxyapatite coatings, Materials Science and Engineering: A 360(1) (2003) 70-84.

[11] S. Takeuchi, M. Ito, K. Takeda, Modelling of residual stress in plasma-sprayed coatings: Effect of substrate temperature, Surface and Coatings Technology 43 (1990) 426-435.

[12] X. Zheng, M. Huang, C. Ding, Bond strength of plasma-sprayed hydroxyapatite/Ti composite coatings, Biomaterials 21(8) (2000) 841-849.

[13] V. Rattan, T. Sidhu, M. Mittal, Study and Characterization of Mechanical and Electrochemical Corrosion Properties of Plasma Sprayed Hydroxyapatite Coatings on AISI 304L Stainless Steel, Journal of Biomimetics, Biomaterials and Biomedical Engineering, Trans Tech Publ, 2018, pp. 20-34.

[14] K. De Groot, R. Geesink, C. Klein, P. Serekian, Plasma sprayed coatings of hydroxylapatite, Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A 21(12) (1987) 1375-1381.

[15] T.J. Callahan, J. Gantenberg, B.E. Sands, Calcium phosphate (Ca-P) coating draft guidance for preparation of Food and Drug Administration (FDA) submissions for orthopedic and dental endosseous implants, Characterization and performance of calcium phosphate coatings for implants, ASTM International (1994).

[16] E. Sukur, Y.E. Akman, Y. Ozturkmen, F. Kucukdurmaz, Particle disease: a current review of the biological mechanisms in periprosthetic osteolysis after hip arthroplasty, The open orthopaedics journal 10 (2016) 241.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • The Author(s), University of Notifignatin, 2010.

[17] J.A. Broomfield, T.T. Malak, G.E. Thomas, A.J. Palmer, A. Taylor, S. Glyn-Jones, The relationship between polyethylene wear and periprosthetic osteolysis in total hip arthroplasty at 12 years in a randomized controlled trial cohort, The Journal of arthroplasty 32(4) (2017) 1186-1191.

[18] L. Sun, C.C. Berndt, K.A. Gross, A. Kucuk, Material fundamentals and clinical performance of plasma- sprayed hydroxyapatite coatings: a review, Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A 58(5) (2001) 570-592.

[19] N.P. Hailer, S. Lazarinis, K.T. Mäkelä, A. Eskelinen, A.M. Fenstad, G. Hallan, L. Havelin, S. Overgaard, A.B. Pedersen, F. Mehnert, Hydroxyapatite coating does not improve uncemented stem survival after total hip arthroplasty! An analysis of 116,069 THAs in the Nordic Arthroplasty Register Association (NARA) database, Acta orthopaedica 86(1) (2015) 18-25.

[20] J. Jansen, J. Wolke, S. Swann, J. Van Der Waerden, K. De Groof, Application of magnetron sputtering for producing ceramic coatings on implant materials, Clinical oral implants research 4(1) (1993) 28-34.

[21] L. Le Guéhennec, A. Soueidan, P. Layrolle, Y. Amouriq, Surface treatments of titanium dental implants for rapid osseointegration, Dental materials 23(7) (2007) 844-854.

[22] D. Melilli, N. Mauceri, Surface Treatments for Titanium Implants, International Journal of Clinical Dentistry 8(2) (2015).

[23] S. Bahl, A.S. Krishnamurthy, S. Suwas, K. Chatterjee, Controlled nanoscale precipitation to enhance the mechanical and biological performances of a metastable β Ti-Nb-Sn alloy for orthopedic applications, Materials & Design 126 (2017) 226-237.

[24] S. Nishiguchi, S. Fujibayashi, H.M. Kim, T. Kokubo, T. Nakamura, Biology of alkali- and heattreated titanium implants, Journal of biomedical materials research Part A 67(1) (2003) 26-35.

[25] T. Kokubo, Apatite formation on surfaces of ceramics, metals and polymers in body environment, Acta Materialia 46(7) (1998) 2519-2527.

[26] T. Kokubo, Design of bioactive bone substitutes based on biomineralization process, Materials Science and Engineering: C 25(2) (2005) 97-104.

[27] T. Kokubo, H.-M. Kim, M. Kawashita, Novel bioactive materials with different mechanical properties, Biomaterials 24(13) (2003) 2161-2175.

[28] T. Kokubo, H.-M. Kim, M. Kawashita, T. Nakamura, REVIEW Bioactive metals: preparation and properties, Journal of Materials Science: materials in medicine 15(2) (2004) 99-107.

[29] T. Kokubo, T. Matsushita, H. Takadama, Titania-based bioactive materials, Journal of the European Ceramic Society 27(2) (2007) 1553-1558.

[30] T. Kokubo, S. Yamaguchi, Bioactive titanate layers formed on titanium and its alloys by simple chemical and heat treatments, The Open Biomedical Engineering Journal 9(1) (2015).

[31] T. Kokubo, S. Yamaguchi, Growth of novel ceramic layers on metals via chemical and heat treatments for inducing various biological functions, Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology 3 (2015).

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • The Author(s), University of Notingham, 2010.

[32] H. Takadama, H.M. Kim, T. Kokubo, T. Nakamura, TEM- EDX study of mechanism of bonelike apatite formation on bioactive titanium metal in simulated body fluid, Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A 57(3) (2001) 441-448.

[33] K.J. Bozic, A.F. Kamath, K. Ong, E. Lau, S. Kurtz, V. Chan, T.P. Vail, H. Rubash, D.J. Berry, Comparative epidemiology of revision arthroplasty: failed THA poses greater clinical and economic burdens than failed TKA, Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research® 473(6) (2015) 2131-2138.

[34] M. Sundfeldt, L. V Carlsson, C. B Johansson, P. Thomsen, C. Gretzer, Aseptic loosening, not only a question of wear: a review of different theories, Acta orthopaedica 77(2) (2006) 177-197.

[35] J. Costerton, L. Montanaro, C. Arciola, Biofilm in implant infections: its production and regulation, The International journal of artificial organs 28(11) (2005) 1062-1068.

[36] J. Hasan, S. Jain, R. Padmarajan, S. Purighalla, V.K. Sambandamurthy, K. Chatterjee, Multi-scale surface topography to minimize adherence and viability of nosocomial drug-resistant bacteria, Materials & Design 140 (2018) 332-344.

[37] J.L. Hobman, L.C. Crossman, Bacterial antimicrobial metal ion resistance, Journal of medical microbiology 64(5) (2015) 471-497.

[38] Y. Su, S. Champagne, A. Trenggono, R. Tolouei, D. Mantovani, H. Hermawan, Development and characterization of silver containing calcium phosphate coatings on pure iron foam intended for bone scaffold applications, Materials & Design 148 (2018) 124-134.

[39] D.W. Brett, A discussion of silver as an antimicrobial agent: alleviating the confusion, Ostomy/wound management 52(1) (2006) 34-41.

[40] B.S. Atiyeh, M. Costagliola, S.N. Hayek, S.A. Dibo, Effect of silver on burn wound infection control and healing: review of the literature, burns 33(2) (2007) 139-148.

[41] M.M. Cortese-Krott, M. Münchow, E. Pirev, F. Heβner, A. Bozkurt, P. Uciechowski, N. Pallua, K.-D. Kröncke, C.V. Suschek, Silver ions induce oxidative stress and intracellular zinc release in human skin fibroblasts, Free Radical Biology and Medicine 47(11) (2009) 1570-1577.

[42] C. Coombs, A. Wan, J. Masterton, R. Conyers, J. Pedersen, Y. Chia, Do burn patients have a silver lining?, Burns 18(3) (1992) 179-184.

[43] A. Politano, K. Campbell, L. Rosenberger, R. Sawyer, Use of silver in the prevention and treatment of infections: silver review, Surgical infections 14(1) (2013) 8-20.

[44] F. Minandri, C. Bonchi, E. Frangipani, F. Imperi, P. Visca, Promises and failures of gallium as an antibacterial agent, Future microbiology 9(3) (2014) 379-397.

[45] A. Cochis, B. Azzimonti, C. Della Valle, E. De Giglio, N. Bloise, L. Visai, S. Cometa, L. Rimondini,R. Chiesa, The effect of silver or gallium doped titanium against the multidrug resistant Acinetobacter baumannii, Biomaterials 80 (2016) 80-95.

[46] Y. Kaneko, M. Thoendel, O. Olakanmi, B.E. Britigan, P.K. Singh, The transition metal gallium disrupts Pseudomonas aeruginosa iron metabolism and has antimicrobial and antibiofilm activity, The Journal of clinical investigation 117(4) (2007) 877.

S THE AUDIOL(S), UNIVERSITY OF INOURIGIAN, 2010.

[47] T.J. Hall, T.J. Chambers, Gallium inhibits bone resorption by a direct effect on osteoclasts, Bone and mineral 8(3) (1990) 211-216.

[48] S. Yamaguchi, S. Nath, Y. Sugawara, K. Divakarla, T. Das, J. Manos, W. Chrzanowski, T. Matsushita, T. Kokubo, Two-in-One Biointerfaces—Antimicrobial and Bioactive Nanoporous Gallium Titanate Layers for Titanium Implants, Nanomaterials 7(8) (2017) 229.

[49] X.-B. Chen, Y.-C. Li, J. Du Plessis, P.D. Hodgson, C.e. Wen, Influence of calcium ion deposition on apatite-inducing ability of porous titanium for biomedical applications, Acta biomaterialia 5(5) (2009) 1808-1820.

[50] S. Yamaguchi, T. Matsushita, T. Kokubo, A bioactive Ti metal with a Ca-enriched surface layer releases Mg ions, RSC Advances 3(28) (2013) 11274-11282.

[51] S. Yamaguchi, T. Kizuki, H. Takadama, T. Matsushita, T. Nakamura, T. Kokubo, Formation of a bioactive calcium titanate layer on gum metal by chemical treatment, Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine 23(4) (2012) 873-883.

[52] T. Kizuki, T. Matsushita, T. Kokubo, Antibacterial and bioactive calcium titanate layers formed on Ti metal and its alloys, Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine 25(7) (2014) 1737-1746.

[53] C. Giannini, M. Ladisa, D. Altamura, D. Siliqi, T. Sibillano, L. De Caro, X-ray diffraction: a powerful technique for the multiple-length-scale structural analysis of nanomaterials, Crystals 6(8) (2016) 87.

[54] A. Heydorn, A.T. Nielsen, M. Hentzer, C. Sternberg, M. Givskov, B.K. Ersbøll, S. Molin, Quantification of biofilm structures by the novel computer program COMSTAT, Microbiology 146(10) (2000) 2395-2407.

[55] S. Hasegawa, Reflection High- Energy Electron Diffraction, Characterization of Materials (2012).

[56] A. Dulda, Morphology Controlled Synthesis of α-GaO (OH) Nanoparticles: Thermal Conversion to Ga₂O₃ and Photocatalytic Properties, Advances in Materials Science and Engineering 2016 (2016).

[57] C.Y. Kim, T. Sekino, K. Niihara, Synthesis of bismuth sodium titanate nanosized powders by solution/sol–gel process, Journal of the American Ceramic Society 86(9) (2003) 1464-1467.

[58] M. Mohammadi, D. Fray, Semiconductor TiO_2 –Ga₂O₃ thin film gas sensors derived from particulate sol–gel route, Acta materialia 55(13) (2007) 4455-4466.

[59] K. Thamaphat, P. Limsuwan, B. Ngotawornchai, Phase characterization of TiO2 powder by XRD and TEM, Kasetsart J.(Nat. Sci.) 42(5) (2008) 357-361.

[60] M. Shirpour, J. Cabana, M. Doeff, New materials based on a layered sodium titanate for dual electrochemical Na and Li intercalation systems, Energy & Environmental Science 6(8) (2013) 2538-2547.

[61] T.M. Marques, O.P. Ferreira, J.A. da Costa, K. Fujisawa, M. Terrones, B.C. Viana, Study of the growth of CeO2 nanoparticles onto titanate nanotubes, Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids 87 (2015) 213-220.

[62] R. Ma, K. Fukuda, T. Sasaki, M. Osada, Y. Bando, Structural features of titanate nanotubes/nanobelts revealed by Raman, X-ray absorption fine structure and electron diffraction characterizations, The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 109(13) (2005) 6210-6214.

[63] J. Chen, G. Zou, H. Hou, Y. Zhang, Z. Huang, X. Ji, Pinecone-like hierarchical anatase TiO 2 bonded with carbon enabling ultrahigh cycling rates for sodium storage, Journal of Materials Chemistry A 4(32) (2016) 12591-12601.

[64] H. Takadama, H.-M. Kim, T. Kokubo, T. Nakamura, XPS study of the process of apatite formation on bioactive Ti–6Al–4V alloy in simulated body fluid, Science and Technology of Advanced Materials 2(2) (2001) 389-396.

[65] B.C. Viana, O.P. Ferreira, A.G. Souza Filho, J. Mendes Filho, O.L. Alves, Structural, morphological and vibrational properties of titanate nanotubes and nanoribbons, Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society 20(1) (2009) 167-175.

[66] M. Lillo-Ródenas, D. Cazorla-Amorós, A. Linares-Solano, Understanding chemical reactions between carbons and NaOH and KOH: an insight into the chemical activation mechanism, Carbon 41(2) (2003) 267-275.

[67] L.L. Marciniuk, P. Hammer, H.O. Pastore, U. Schuchardt, D. Cardoso, Sodium titanate as basic catalyst in transesterification reactions, Fuel 118 (2014) 48-54.

[68] R.P. Oleksak, W.F. Stickle, G.S. Herman, Aqueous-based synthesis of gallium tungsten oxide thin film dielectrics, Journal of Materials Chemistry C 3(13) (2015) 3114-3120.

[69] M.M. Can, G.H. Jaffari, S. Aksoy, S.I. Shah, T. Fırat, Synthesis and characterization of ZnGa₂O₄ particles prepared by solid state reaction, Journal of Alloys and Compounds 549 (2013) 303-307.

[70] Y. Zhao, R.L. Frost, Raman spectroscopy and characterisation of α - gallium oxyhydroxide and β gallium oxide nanorods, Journal of Raman Spectroscopy 39(10) (2008) 1494-1501.

[71] R. Rao, A. Rao, B. Xu, J. Dong, S. Sharma, M. Sunkara, Blueshifted Raman scattering and its correlation with the [110] growth direction in gallium oxide nanowires, Journal of applied physics 98(9) (2005) 094312.

[72] Y. Gao, Y. Bando, T. Sato, Y. Zhang, X. Gao, Synthesis, Raman scattering and defects of β -Ga₂O₃ nanorods, Applied physics letters 81(12) (2002) 2267-2269.

[73] Q. Deng, Y. Gao, X. Xia, R. Chen, L. Wan, G. Shao, V and Ga co-doping effect on optical absorption properties of TiO2 thin films, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, IOP Publishing, 2009, p. 012073.

[74] H. Kim, F. Miyaji, T. Kokubo, T. Nakamura, Effect of heat treatment on apatite-forming ability of Ti metal induced by alkali treatment, Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine 8(6) (1997) 341-347.

[75] X. Sun, Y. Li, Synthesis and Characterization of Ion- Exchangeable Titanate Nanotubes, Chemistry– A European Journal 9(10) (2003) 2229-2238.

[76] M.H.A. Rehim, A.M. Youssef, H. Al-Said, G. Turky, M. Aboaly, Polyaniline and modified titanate nanowires layer-by-layer plastic electrode for flexible electronic device applications, RSC Advances 6(97) (2016) 94556-94563.

[77] Z.B. Bahşi, A. Büyükaksoy, S.M. Ölmezcan, F. Şimşek, M.H. Aslan, A.Y. Oral, A novel label-free optical biosensor using synthetic oligonucleotides from E. coli O157: H7: elementary sensitivity tests, Sensors 9(6) (2009) 4890-4900.

[78] Y. Zhao, R.L. Frost, W.N. Martens, Synthesis and characterization of gallium oxide nanostructures via a soft-chemistry route, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 111(44) (2007) 16290-16299.

[79] J. Shah, P. Ratnasamy, M.L. Carreon, Influence of the Nanostructure of Gallium Oxide Catalysts on Conversion in the Green Synthesis of Carbamates, Catalysts 7(12) (2017) 372.

[80] S. Ramesh, C. Tan, M. Hamdi, I. Sopyan, W. Teng, The influence of Ca/P ratio on the properties of hydroxyapatite bioceramics, International Conference on Smart Materials and Nanotechnology in Engineering, International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2007, p. 64233A.

[81] T. Kizuki, H. Takadama, T. Matsushita, T. Nakamura, T. Kokubo, Effect of Ca contamination on apatite formation in a Ti metal subjected to NaOH and heat treatments, Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine 24(3) (2013) 635-644.

[82] X. Sun, Y. Li, Synthesis and characterization of ion- exchangeable titanate nanotubes, Chemistry-A European Journal 9(10) (2003) 2229-2238.

[83] H.M. Kim, F. Miyaji, T. Kokubo, T. Nakamura, Preparation of bioactive Ti and its alloys via simple chemical surface treatment, Journal of Biomedical Materials Research: An Official Journal of The Society for Biomaterials and The Japanese Society for Biomaterials 32(3) (1996) 409-417.

[84] J. Faure, A. Balamurugan, H. Benhayoune, P. Torres, G. Balossier, J. Ferreira, Morphological and chemical characterisation of biomimetic bone like apatite formation on alkali treated Ti6Al4V titanium alloy, Materials Science and Engineering: C 29(4) (2009) 1252-1257.

[85] P. Collery, B. Keppler, C. Madoulet, B. Desoize, Gallium in cancer treatment, Critical reviews in oncology/hematology 42(3) (2002) 283-296.

[86] J. Chandler, H. Messer, G. Ellender, Cytotoxicity of gallium and indium ions compared with mercuric ion, Journal of dental research 73(9) (1994) 1554-1559.

[87] M.A. Getzlaf, E.A. Lewallen, H.M. Kremers, D.L. Jones, C.A. Bonin, A. Dudakovic, R. Thaler, R.C. Cohen, D.G. Lewallen, A.J. Van Wijnen, Multi- disciplinary antimicrobial strategies for improving orthopaedic implants to prevent prosthetic joint infections in hip and knee, Journal of orthopaedic research 34(2) (2016) 177-186.

[88] H. Busscher, M. Rinastiti, W. Siswomihardjo, H. Van der Mei, Biofilm formation on dental restorative and implant materials, Journal of dental research 89(7) (2010) 657-665.

[89] J. Raphel, M. Holodniy, S.B. Goodman, S.C. Heilshorn, Multifunctional coatings to simultaneously promote osseointegration and prevent infection of orthopaedic implants, Biomaterials 84 (2016) 301-314.
[90] D. Baldoni, A. Steinhuber, W. Zimmerli, A. Trampuz, In vitro activity of gallium maltolate against Staphylococci in logarithmic, stationary, and biofilm growth phases: comparison of conventional and calorimetric susceptibility testing methods, Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 54(1) (2010) 157-163.

[91] A.R. Yazdi, M. Towler, The effect of the addition of gallium on the structure of zinc borate glass with controlled gallium ion release, Materials & Design 92 (2016) 1018-1027.

Scheric and a second second



Graphical Abstract



Improved Highlights

- Gallium (9.4 at.%) can successfully ion-exchange with sodium (2.7 at.%) in titanate structures (0.5-1 µm deep), demonstrating convenient design processing.
- RHEED analysis was successfully conducted, for the first time, to assess titanate structures, confirming *d* spacing values for titanate structures.
- Pre-heat-treated gallium titanate surfaces released more gallium ions compared to post-heat-treated samples (2.76 vs. 0.68 ppm, respectively).
- Released gallium ion concentrations (4-40 μ M) were significantly less than toxic concentrations for *S. aureus* (0.32-5.12 mM).
- Pre-heat-treated gallium titanate demonstrated significant (p<0.0001) cytotoxicity (75.8% cell viability reduction) compared to post-heat-treated samples (18.6% reduction) *via* Neutral Red.

Solution of the second second