

Estimation of genetic variability, GCA and SCA effects for development of early and high yielding bell pepper hybrids suitable for protected cultivation

Mayanglambam Bilashini Devi^{1*}, N.K. Pathania² and Nisha Thakur³

¹Division of Horticulture, ICAR-Research Complex for NEH Region, Umiam (Meghalaya), INDIA

²CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidhyalaya, Palampur-176062 (HP), INDIA

³ICAR-IARI Regional Station, Katrain, Kullu Valley-175129 (HP), INDIA

*Corresponding author. E-mail: bilashini1712@gmail.com

Received: October 31, 2017; Revised received: November 16, 2017; Accepted: February 13, 2018

Abstract: The present investigation involves 29 diverse bell pepper genotypes for variability studies and 14 promising lines (11 lines and 3 testers), 33 F₁ and 1 standard check for combining ability studies. The objective of the study was to assess the nature and magnitude of genetic variability among the bell pepper genotypes for yield and other horticultural traits and to identify the potential parental lines and cross combinations suitable for the protected environment based on the estimation of general combining ability and specific combining ability. A wide range of variability was observed for most of the characters studied. The genotypes *viz.*, Kashmir Sel-1 (L₂), DARL-10 (L₉) and UHFSP(Y)-11 (L₁₁) for marketable fruit yield per plant and Kashmir Sel-1 (L₂), UHFSP(Y)-11 (L₁₁) and DARL-01 (L₅) for earliness were good general combining ability effects and *per se* performance, the crosses *viz.*, ARCH-19 (L₁) × Solan Bharpur (T₁), DARL-10 (L₉) × California Wonder (T₂) and UHFSP(Y)-11 (L₁₁) × Yolo Wonder (T₃) were the best cross combinations for marketable fruit yield per plant and number of marketable fruits per plant under protected environment. The cross combinations *viz.*, DARL-10 (L₉) × California Wonder (T₂), DARL-01 (L₅) × California Wonder (T₂), LC (L₁₀) × Solan Bharpur (T₁) and Kandaghat Sel-9 (L₇) × Solan Bharpur (T₁) shows significant negative SCA for earliness and could be used for development of early hybrids.

Keywords: *Capsicum annuum* L. var. *grossum* Sendt., Combining ability, Protected environment, Mid Hill, Western Himalayas

INTRODUCTION

Bell pepper (Capsicum annuum var. grossum Sendt.) is one of the most popular and highly remunerative annual herbaceous vegetable crops cultivated extensively in India. It holds a very prominent position as the leading off-season vegetable generating cash revenue to the farmers of Western Himalayas by selling the produce in the neighbouring states and metropolitan cities. It is commercially cultivated as a cash crop during June to October in mid hills of Western Himalayas. Because of its economic importance as a high value vegetable crop, both in domestic and overseas markets quality production of capsicum is the need of the day. This has led to the production of capsicum under protected conditions to meet the standards of different markets prompting popularity of this crop as an enterprise in urban and peri urban areas. Moreover, the supply is inadequate due to the low productivity of the crop as the crop grown under open conditions does not fulfill the urban market standards due to the prevalence of various biotic (pests and diseases) and abiotic (heavy rains, low and high temperature and high relative humidity) stress. In this context, growing of bell pepper under protected structures will ensure promise for higher yield with better quality produce. Systematic efforts to identify the promising lines and develop location specific hybrids of bell pepper for protected cultivation are limited. Therefore, there is a need to assess the available germplasm of bell pepper for genetic variability under protected environment and also study the combining ability among promising lines with the perspective of identifying the best performing and location specific hybrids suitable for cultivation under protected environment in mid hills of Western Himalayas

Genetic improvement of yield and its contributing characters require selection of appropriate breeding procedures, which are largely dependent upon the study of general combining ability (GCA) of parents and specific combining ability (SCA) of hybrids (Sahoo and Singh 2017). Information on combining ability will facilitate the choice of suitable parents for

ISSN : 0974-9411 (Print), 2231-5209 (Online) | journals.ansfoundation.org

This work is licensed under Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0). © 2018: Author (s). Publishing rights @ ANSF.

hybridization program to develop F₁ hybrids. Amongst the various methods to estimate combining ability effects, the line × tester mating design gives a fairly good idea of both general and specific combining abilities of parents and hybrids, respectively. Combining ability also indicates the nature and magnitude of gene action involved in the expression of quantitative traits (Kumar and Kumar, 2017). The knowledge of nature and magnitude of gene action controlling the inheritance of yield and its contributing traits, along with, proportional contribution of parental lines in the expression of different traits in F_1 hybrids, would facilitate choice of efficient breeding method and suitable parental lines/testers for genetic improvement of any crop (Rattan and Chadha 2009, Kumar and Kumar 2017). In literature, sufficient information on genetic variability and combining ability studies in capsicum under open environment are available but, those involving protected environment are limited. Based upon all these considerations, the present investigation was planned and executed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material for the present study comprised of 29 genotypes of bell pepper for genetic variability studies during summer-autumn of 2012, F1 population of 33 crosses which was developed by crossing 14 promising genotypes (11 lines and 3 testers) selected from 29 genotypes evaluated during 2012. The crosses were made as per line \times tester mating design. The F₁ population of 33 crosses along with parents (11 lines and 3 testers) and standard check (Indra) were evaluated during summerautumn, 2013 in modified naturally ventilated polyhouse at the Experimental Farm, Department of Vegetable Science and Floriculture which is situated at 32° 6 N latitude and $76^{\circ}3$ ' E longitude at an elevation of 1290.8 m above mean sea level with East-West orientation. The polyhouse is equipped with essential features like double door, side and top ventilation, drip and fogging facility and shading with 50 per cent green agro UV stabilized shade net. Healthy seedlings were raised in plug trays inside growth chamber. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. The seedlings were transplanted on 20 cm raised bed having 90 cm width. Each bed consisted of two rows of 1.5 m length accommodating ten plants per genotype. The plants were spaced at 45×30 cm inter and intra row spacings. All the recommended agronomic practices and plant protection measures were followed to raise a healthy crop. The observations were recorded on randomly taken five competitive plants of each genotype in each replication for the traits viz., days to 50 per cent flowering, days to first harvest, number of marketable fruits per plant, pericarp thickness (mm), lobes per fruit, fruit length (cm), fruit width (cm), average fruit weight (g), marketable fruit vield per plant (kg), plant height (cm), harvest duration (days), ascorbic acid content (mg/100g) and capsaicin content (%) during both the years. The Ascorbic acid content was estimated at marketable green fruit stage by 2, 6- dichlorophenol-indophenol Visual Titration Method as described by Ranganna (1979). The capsaicin content in the marketable green fruits was determined by Colorimetric method using Folin–Ciocalteau reagent.

Statistical analysis: The genotypic, phenotypic coefficients of variations and heritability were estimated as per the method of Burton and Devane 1953. Genetic advance (GA) was calculated as per Burton and Devane 1953 and Johnson et al. 1955. The statistical analysis for combining ability studies (line × tester) was carried out as per the model suggested by Kempthrone 1957.

The parameters of variability were estimated following Burton and Devane 1953. The limits used for categorizing the magnitude of different parameters are mentioned in Table 1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Variability studies: Analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the genotypes for all the traits studied during 2012 (Table 2). The extent of variability for yield and horticultural traits of bell pepper in terms of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV). genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) along with heritability (h^2) and genetic advance (GA) during 2012 are presented in Table 3. Results obtained from the present investigation on genetic variability studies during summer-autumn, 2012, revealed relatively low differences between the genotypic and phenotypic variances for all the traits studied. This indicated highly heritable and comparatively stable nature of the characters and thus, the selection based on phenotypic performance would be quite effective in the improvement of these traits. According to the classification given in Table 1 high estimates of PCV and GCV were observed for number of marketable fruits per plant (34.81 %, 32.50 %), marketable fruit yield per plant (31.15 %, 29.78 %), ascorbic acid content (25.98 %, 25.26 %) and capsaicin content (28.55 %, 25.71%) suggesting substantial variability for the traits thereby ensuring ample scope for improvement of these traits through selection. The exploration of genetic variability in available germplasm is a pre-requisite for identification and development of new improved high yielding genotypes to further boost up the yield potential of the crop. The possibility of improvement in any crop is measured by the variability available in the crop. In general, high PCV and GCV indicate that there is a scope for selection and improvement of the particular

Table 1. Categorization of parameters of variability.

Component	High (%)	Moderate (%)	Low (%)
GCV and PCV	>20	10-20	<10
Heritability	>80	60-80	<60
Genetic advance	>30	15-30	<15

Mayanglambam Bilashini Devi et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 10 (1): 410 - 416 (2018)

	Mean sun	n of squares		
Traits	Source	Replication	Genotype	Error
	df	2	28	56
Days to 50 % flowering		12.63	24.71*	2.56
Days to first harvest		64.22	30.61*	17.14
Number of marketable fruits per plant		0.47	181.18*	8.46
Pericarp thickness (mm)		0.06	1.07*	0.21
Lobes per fruit		0.09	0.90*	0.11
Fruit length (cm)		2.81	3.50*	0.64
Fruit width (cm)		12.59	1.85*	0.42
Average fruit weight (g)		44.69	152.12*	26.04
Marketable fruit yield per plant (kg)		0.02	0.31*	0.01
Plant height (cm)		249.12	2838.61*	406.50
Harvest duration (days)		499.69	267.52*	125.13
Ascorbic acid content (mg per 100g)		76.45	873.80*	9.37
Capsaicin content (%)		0.0002	0.01*	0.0004

*Significant at P = 0.05; df = degree of freedom

Table 3. Estimates of variability parameters for yield and horticultural traits in bell pepper during 2012.

	Coefficient o	of variation (%)	Heritability	Genetic advance
Traits				$(\%) (h^2_{bs})$	(% of mean)
	Phenotypic	Genotypic	Environmental		
Days to 50% flowering	7.49	6.46	3.80	74.20	11.46
Days to first harvest	6.03	2.75	5.36	20.80	2.58
Number of marketable fruits per plant	34.81	32.50	12.46	87.20	62.52
Pericarp thickness (mm)	21.57	16.45	13.96	58.10	25.83
Lobes per fruit	20.71	17.44	11.16	70.90	30.26
Fruit length (cm)	18.78	14.55	11.87	60.00	23.23
Fruit width (cm)	17.73	12.94	12.12	53.30	19.45
Average fruit weight (g)	17.38	13.66	10.75	61.70	22.11
Marketable fruit yield per plant (kg)	31.15	29.78	9.14	91.40	58.64
Plant height (cm)	21.08	17.20	12.18	66.60	28.92
Harvest duration (days)	11.69	6.13	9.95	27.50	7.44
Ascorbic acid content (mg per 100g)	25.98	25.26	4.61	96.90	34.41
Capsaicin content (%)	28.55	25.71	12.40	81.10	0.08

Table 4. Analysis of variance for combining ability for yield and horticultural traits in bell pepper during 2013.

Source of variation		Replication	Line	Tester	line × tester	Error
Traits	df	2	10	2	20	64
Days to 50% flowering		4.03	106.78* [≠]	143.12* [≠]	99.48*	1.52
Days to first harvest		1.40	115.73	145.49* [≠]	133.75*	1.50
Number of marketable fruits per plant		5.35	150.48*≠	143.84* [≠]	71.58*	4.64
Pericarp thickness (mm)		0.02	1.75* [≠]	5.16* [≠]	1.26*	0.18
Lobes per fruit		0.08	0.16	0.06	0.25*	0.12
Fruit length (cm)		0.47	1.75* [≠]	5.91* [≠]	1.24*	0.23
Fruit width (cm)		0.38	0.56	1.10*≠	0.64*	0.26
Average fruit weight (g)		27.96	173.83* [≠]	190.02* [≠]	113.24*	26.25
Marketable fruit yield per plant (kg)		0.01	0.30 * [≠]	$0.22^{*^{\neq}}$	0.12*	0.01
Plant height (cm)		11.82	2499.36* [≠]	1526.61	1850.71*	16.90
Harvest duration (days)		17109.29	834.22*≠	480.21* [≠]	354.57*	222.10
Ascorbic acid content (mg per 100g)		23.36	1622.40*≠	3705.63*≠	753.49*	37.20
Capsaicin content (%)		0.0003	$0.007^{*^{\neq}}$	0.0001	0.004*	0.0003

* Significant at 5% level of significance when tested against mean squares due to error, [#]Significant at 5% level of significance when tested against mean squares due to line × tester

traits (Abebe, 2017). High estimates of PCV and GCV have also been reported by earlier workers viz. Islam and Singh, 2006 and Kumari, 2013 for yield per plant and number of fruits per plant; Sood *et al.*, 2007 for marketable fruit yield per plant and capsaicin content and for marketable fruit yield per plant and ascor-

bic acid content thereby, supporting the present findings. High PCV and moderate GCV values for pericarp thickness (21.57 %, 16.45 %), lobes per fruit (20.71 %, 17.44 %) and plant height (21.08 %, 17.20 %) while, moderate PCV and GCV for fruit length (18.78 %, 14.55 %), fruit width (17.73 %, 12.94 %)

Э.	
201	I
ng	
luri	
er (
epp	
ll p	
ı be	
ts ir	
trai	
ral	
ultu	
rtic	
ho	
and	
eld	
r yi	
s fo	
ine	
ofl	
cts	
effe	
Š	
ğ	
s of	I
ate	
stin	
щ	I
5	۱

ı.

Table 5. Estimates of GCA effect	s of lines 1	for yield ar	nd horticult	ıral traits ir	ı bell pepp	er during 21	013.							
Traits	L_1	\mathbf{L}_2	L_3	L_4	L_5	\mathbf{L}_{6}	\mathbf{L}_{7}	L_8	L_9	\mathbf{L}_{10}	L_{11}	SE(gi) <u>+</u>	SE(gi	CD
													-gj) +	(2%)
Days to 50 % flowering	-1.48*	-5.48*	3.75*	3.64*	-2.59*	3.53*	-1.14*	4.75*	0.30	-1.59*	-3.70*	0.48	0.67	0.95
Number of marketable fruits	0.50	8.06*	-2.51*	-2.80*	2.10^{*}	-4.53*	0.11	-5.38*	4.62*	-2.60*	2.42*	0.67	0.95	1.34
per plant														
Pericarp thickness (mm)	0.42*	0.32*	0.53*	0.17	-0.19*	-0.80*	0.32*	-0.67*	-0.14*	0.24^{*}	-0.22*	0.14	0.20	0.28
Fruit length (cm)	0.60*	0.39	-0.31	0.06	-0.24	-0.27	-0.02	0.82*	-0.45*	-0.56*	-0.01	0.20	0.28	0.40
Average fruit weight (g)	-1.10	0.59	-2.20	-5.77*	-5.66*	-0.96	1.19	-0.68	-0.55	8.92*	6.24*	1.47	2.09	2.95
Marketable fruit yield per	0.03	0.39*	-0.14*	-0.14*	-0.03	-0.22*	0.06	-0.24*	0.12*	0.06*	0.10*	0.03	0.04	0.06
plant (kg)														
Plant height (cm)	9.39*	35.61^{*}	-17.36*	-9.61*	1.61	-17.61*	4.61^{*}	-15.73*	-2.15	-8.13*	19.37*	1.64	2.32	3.28
Harvest duration (days)	5.29	17.13*	-11.27*	-13.16*	8.40	-10.05*	7.51	-1.05	2.07	3.07	-7.94	4.81	6.80	9.62
Ascorbic acid content (mg per	1.32	16.16^{*}	-2.72	-5.88*	-1.20	-18.06*	19.34*	-21.78*	-8.57*	7.59*	13.81*	1.80	2.54	3.59
100g)														
Capsaicin content (%)	-0.03*	-0.02*	-0.01	0.04*	0.03*	-0.002	-0.04*	0.04*	0.03*	-0.03*	-0.02*	0.01	0.01	0.01
*Significant at 5% level of signifi	icance													
$L_1 = ARCH-19$		Ι	L ₂ = Kashn	iir Sel-1	Ι	$J_3 = PRC-1$		$L_4 = A$.cc-16	Ι	₅ = DARI	-01	ЪЧ	6 = RCH-9
L_7 = Kandaghat Sel-9		Ι	$L_8 = $ Sel-10.	-2	Ι	9 = DARI	-10	$L_{10} =]$	LC	Ι	$_{11} = UHF$	SP(Y)-11		

Mayanglambam Bilashini Devi et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 10 (1): 410 - 416 (2018)

and average fruit weight (17.38 %, 13.66 %) were observed. High PCV followed by moderate GCV for pericarp thickness, lobes per fruit and plant height revealed considerable environmental effect in the expression of these traits. Afroza et al., 2013 have also reported moderate estimates of GCV for fruit length, fruit diameter, flesh thickness, average fruit weight and number of fruits per plant. The deviation in the result obtained could be attributed to the differences in experimental material used and growing environment. Moderate to low PCV coupled with low GCV for the characters viz., harvest duration, days to 50 per cent flowering and days to first harvest indicated that these characters are largely governed by non-genetic factors. Hence, the genetic improvement of these traits through simple selection procedures appears to be quite difficult.

High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean were observed for ascorbic acid content (96.90 %, 34.41 %), marketable fruit yield per plant (91.40 %, 58.64 %) and number of marketable fruits per plant (87.20 %, 62.52 %), indicated the presence of additive effects for these characters. Therefore, a high genetic gain can be anticipated by selection procedure.

Combining ability studies: The success of any plant breeding programme greatly depends on the right choice of parents. The potential of variety is judged by comparing the mean performance and combining the ability of the parents (Singh 1993). Kadambavanasundaram (1980) suggested that the parents with high per se performance may not always be able to transmit their superior traits into hybrids and so assessment of combining ability is most needed. General combining ability (GCA) of a parent is a factor that predicts the performance of a parent over a series of cross combinations (Rohini et al., 2017).

The analysis of variance for combining ability revealed significant differences among crosses for all the traits studied (Table 4). Mean squares due to lines were significant for all the traits except days to first harvest, lobes per fruit and fruit width, when tested against both mean squares due to error and line \times tester interactions. The testers exhibited significant differences for all the traits except lobes per fruit, plant height and capsaicin content when tested against both mean squares due to error and line \times tester interactions. Mean squares due to line × tester interactions were significant for all the traits studied. This indicated the presence of considerable genetic diversity among the parents (lines and testers) used in the present study.

The line, Kashmir Sel-1 (L_2) was the good general combiner for earliness followed by the lines, UHFSP (Y)-11 (L₁₁), DARL-01 (L₅), LC (L₁₀), ARCH-19 (L_1) and Kandaghat Sel-9 (L_7) as these exhibited significant negative general combining ability ef-

Mayanglambam Bilashini Devi et al.	J. Appl. & Nat. Sci.	10(1):410	- 416 (2018)
	FF		- ()

Traits	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	SE(gi <u>) +</u>	SE(gi-gj <u>) +</u>	CD(5%)
Days to 50% flowering	-2.39*	1.39*	1.00*	0.25	0.35	0.50
Days to first harvest	-2.40*	0.93*	1.48*	0.24	0.34	0.49
Number of marketable fruits per plant	1.83*	0.45	-2.28*	0.35	0.50	0.70
Pericarp thickness (mm)	0.33*	0.11	-0.44*	0.07	0.10	0.15
Fruit length (cm)	-0.30*	-0.19	0.49*	0.10	0.15	0.21
Fruit width (cm)	-0.10	-0.11	0.21*	0.09	0.14	0.19
Average fruit weight (g)	-0.45	-2.15*	2.59*	0.77	1.09	1.54
Marketable fruit yield per plant (kg)	0.09*	-0.04*	-0.05*	0.02	0.04	0.03
Harvest duration (days)	4.27*	-3.08	-1.19	2.15	3.56	5.02
Ascorbic acid content (mg per 100g)	0.87	10.14*	-11.01*	0.94	1.33	1.88

Table 6. Estimates of GCA effects of testers for yield and horticultural traits in bell pepper during 2013.

*Significant at 5% level of significance, T_1 = Solan Bharpur, T_2 = California Wonder, T_3 = Yolo Wonder

Table 7	. Top t	three crosses	with signi	ficant SC	A and	GCA	effects	for yield	d and	horticultur	al traits i	in bel	l pepper
								~					

Traits	Crosses with significant SCA effects	SCA	per se per-	GCA effects
		effects	formance	
Days to 50% flowering	DARL-10 (L ₉) × California Wonder (T ₂)	-9.39*	47.00	average \times good
	DARL-01 (L ₅) × California Wonder (T ₂)	-9.17*	31.33	$good \times poor$
	LC (L_{10}) × Solan Bharpur (T_1)	-7.72*	30.00	$good \times good$
Days to first harvest	DARL-10 (L ₉) × California Wonder (T ₂)	-13.71*	50.67	average × poor
	LC (L_{10}) × Solan Bharpur (T_1)	-9.60*	51.67	average \times good
	DARL-01 (L ₅) × California Wonder (T ₂)	-8.60*	56.67	average × poor
Number of marketable fruits/plant	DARL-10 (L ₉) × California Wonder (T ₂)	10.03*	37.00	good × average
	UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) × Yolo Wonder (T_3)	9.40*	31.45	$good \times poor$
	ARCH-19 (L ₁) × Solan Bharpur (T ₁)	4.40*	28.63	average \times good
Pericarp thickness (mm)	UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) × Yolo Wonder (T_3)	1.25*	3.90	poor × poor
	DARL-10 (L ₉) × California Wonder (T ₂)	1.10*	4.38	poor × average
	ARCH-19 (L ₁) × Solan Bharpur (T ₁)	0.64*	4.70	good × good
Lobes per fruit	UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) × Yolo Wonder (T_3)	0.51*	3.80	average × average
Fruit length (cm)	Kandaghat Sel-9 (L ₇) x Yolo Wonder (T ₃)	0.94*	7.75	average \times good
	ACC-16 (L ₄) × Solan Bharpur (T ₁)	0.71*	6.81	average × poor
	DARL-10 (L ₉) × California Wonder (T ₂)	0.71*	6.41	poor × average
Fruit width (cm)	UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) × Yolo Wonder (T_3)	0.73*	6.23	average \times good
Average fruit weight (g)	DARL-10 (L ₉) \times Yolo Wonder (T ₃)	11.17*	57.74	average \times good
	SEL-10-2 (L ₈) × California Wonder (T ₂)	10.26*	39.08	average × poor
	Kashmir Sel-1 (L ₂) × Solan Bharpur (T ₁)	7.45*	52.12	average × average
Marketable fruit yield/plant (kg)	ARCH-19 (L ₁) × Solan Bharpur (T ₁)	0.32*	1.40	average \times good
	DARL-10 (L ₉) × California Wonder (T ₂)	0.28*	1.32	good × poor
	UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) × Yolo Wonder (T_3)	0.27*	1.28	good × poor
Plant height (cm)	Kashmir Sel-1 (L ₂) × Solan Bharpur (T ₁)	44.28*	206.33	$good \times poor$
	UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) × Solan Bharpur (T_1)	24.85*	170.67	$good \times poor$
	ARCH-9 (L_6) × Yolo Wonder (T_3)	24.27*	135.00	poor × poor
Harvest duration (days)	UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) ×Yolo Wonder (T_3)	19.30*	112.33	average × average
	DARL-01 (L ₅) × California Wonder (T ₂)	16.86*	124.33	average × average
Ascorbic acid content (mg/100g)	DARL-10 (L ₉) × California Wonder (T ₂)	26.42*	115.33	$poor \times good$
	ARCH-19 (L ₁) × Solan Bharpur (T ₁)	26.12*	115.67	average × average
	UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) × Yolo Wonder (T_3)	17.95*	108.11	$good \times poor$
Capsaicin content (%)	DARL-10 (L ₉) × California Wonder (T ₂)	-0.06*	0.09	poor × average
-	ACC-16 (L ₄) × California Wonder (T ₂)	-0.05*	0.18	poor × average
	UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) × Solan Bharpur (T_1)	-0.05*	0.06	$good \times average$

fects (Table 5). Good general combiners for number of marketable fruits per plant, having significant positive general combining ability effects, were Kashmir Sel-1 (L₂) followed by lines, DARL-10 (L₉), UHFSP(Y)-11 (L₁₁) and DARL-01 (L₅). For pericarp thickness, the lines *viz.*, PRC-1 (L₃), ARCH -19 (L₁), Kashmir Sel-1 (L₂), Kandaghat Sel-9 (L₇) and LC (L₁₀) were good general combiners. The lines, SEL-10-2 (L₈) and ARCH-19 (L₁) were good general combiners for fruit length showing significant positive general combining ability effects. The lines, LC (L_{10}) and UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) exhibited significant positive general combining ability effect for average fruit weight. With regard to marketable fruit yield per plant, the lines viz. Kashmir Sel-1 (L_2), DARL-10 (L_9), UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) and LC (L_{10}) were the good general combiners as reflected by their significant positive general combining ability effects. The lines viz. Kashmir Sel-1 (L_2), UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}), ARCH-19 (L_1) and Kandaghat

Sel-9 (L_7) exhibited the highest general combining ability effects in positive direction for plant height, indicating good general combining ability. For harvest duration, the line Kashmir Sel-1 (L₂) depicted significant positive general combining ability effect, thereby showing its good general combining ability. Good general combiners exhibiting significant positive general combining ability effects for ascorbic acid content were Kandaghat Sel-9 (L7), Kashmir Sel-1 (L₂), UHFSP(Y)-11 (L₁₁) and LC (L₁₀). For capsaicin content, all the lines except PRC-1 (L₃), ARCH-9 (L_6), SEL-10-2 (L_8) and DARL-10 (L_9), were good general combiners depicting significant negative general combining ability effects. Amongst the testers, Solan Bharpur (T_1) was the best for further use in crossing programme as it proved to be the best general combiner for most of the important traits viz. marketable fruit yield per plant, number of marketable fruits per plant, days to 50 per cent flowering, days to first harvest and pericarp thickness (Table 6). Different parental lines and testers expressing significant positive and negative and also significant and non-significant GCA effects in respect of yield and component traits in bell pepper have also been reported earlier by Geleta and Labuschagne 2006, Gomide et al. 2008, Kamble et al. 2009 and Sood and Kumar 2010.

The crosses with significant desirable SCA effects for various traits along with mean performance and GCA effects of parents involved in the crosses are listed in Table 7. The crosses, ARCH-19 (L_1) × Solan Bharpur (T₁), DARL-10 (L₉) \times California Wonder (T₂) and UHFSP(Y)-11 (L₁₁) \times Yolo Wonder (T_3) exhibited significant and desirable specific combining ability effects in respect of marketable fruit yield per plant and number of marketable fruits per plant. The cross combinations viz. DARL-10 (L₉) × California Wonder (T₂), DARL-01 (L₅) × California Wonder (T₂), LC (L₁₀) \times Solan Bharpur (T₁) and Kandaghat Sel-9 (L₇) \times Solan Bharpur (T₁) were top five crosses showing significant negative SCA for earliness. The estimation of specific combining ability effects for pericarp thickness revealed that cross combinations viz. UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) × Yolo Wonder (T_3) , DARL-10 (L_9) × California Wonder (T_2) , ARCH-19 (L₁) × Solan Bharpur (T₁), Kandaghat Sel-9 (L₇) × Solan Bharpur (T₁) and Kandaghat Sel-9 (L₇) \times California Wonder (T₂) were top three good specific combinations. Lobe per fruit is an important horticultural trait in bell pepper from consumers' point of view. The only cross combination expressing significant desirable specific combining ability effects for this trait was UHFSP(Y)-11 (L₁₁) \times Yolo Wonder (T₃). For fruit length the crosses Kandaghat Sel-9 (L_7) × Yolo Wonder (T₃), ACC-16 (L₄) \times Solan Bharpur (T₁) and DARL-10 (L₉) \times California Wonder (T₂) showed significant positive specific combining ability effects

indicating their good specific combining ability while, Only one of the crosses viz. UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) × Yolo Wonder (T_3) expressed significant positive specific combining ability effect for fruit width. The five cross combinations viz. DARL-10 (L₉) \times Yolo Wonder (T₃), SEL-10-2 (L₈) × California Wonder (T₂), Kashmir Sel-1 (L₂) × Solan Bharpur (T₁), UHFSP(Y)-11 (L₁₁) × Yolo Wonder (T₃) and ARCH-19 (L₁) \times Solan Bharpur (T₁) expressed significant desirable specific combining ability effects for average fruit weight. The crosses viz. Kashmir Sel-1 (L₂) × Solan Bharpur (T₁), UHFSP(Y)-11 (L₁₁) × Solan Bharpur (T₁), ARCH-9 (L₆) × Yolo Wonder (T₃) and ACC-16 (L₄) \times Yolo Wonder (T₃) were the top three specific combiners for plant height. For harvest duration significant positive specific combining ability effects were observed for two crosses viz. UHFSP(Y)-11 (L_{11}) ×Yolo Wonder (T_3) and DARL-01 (L₅) × California Wonder (T₂). Majority of the cross combinations exhibiting desirable specific combining ability effects, involved atleast one of the parents as good or average general combiner. Similar results have also been obtained by earlier researchers viz. Sood and Kaul 2006; Sood and Kumar 2010 and Rao PG et al. 2016. In some of the crosses, significant specific combining ability effects were observed but, they had both the parents as poor general combiners. These findings corroborate the observations of Pandey et al. 2002; Sood and Kumar 2010 and Pandey et al. 2012, who have also observed that the superior hybrids need not necessarily involve parents showing good general combining ability effects only. Usually the high estimates of specific combining ability effects are obtained from crosses involving diverse parents. Sometimes, due to specific interaction effects (most likely complementary), poor \times poor crosses may prove better than good \times good and good \times poor combinations. The crosses involving both the parents as poor combiners showing high specific combining ability effects must be due to intra and inter allelic interactions and these crosses can be used for commercial exploitation of hybrid vigour as the non-additive genes seem to play a greater role.

Conclusion

On the basis of the estimates of general combining ability the cross combinations viz. Kashmir Sel-1 (L2), DARL-10 (L9) and UHFSP(Y)-11 (L11) were the most promising for marketable fruit yield per plant. These cross combinations can be further evaluated for development of superior genotypes and exploiting hybrid vigour in bell pepper. The cross combinations viz. ARCH-19 (L1) × Solan Bharpur (T1), DARL-10 (L9) × California Wonder (T2), UHFSP(Y)-11 (L11) × Yolo Wonder (T3), DARL-01 (L5) × California Wonder (T2) and Kandaghat Sel-9 (L7) × Solan Bharpur (T1) were observed to be the top five cross combinations with significant SCA effects for marketable fruit yield per plant under protected environment. Thus the present study pointed out that the above promising crosses can be commercially exploited for development of early and high yielding hybrids of bell pepper suitable for protected cultivation in mid hills of Western Himalayas.

REFERENCES

- Abebe, T., Alamerew, S. and Tulu, L. (2017). Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and its related traits in rainfed lowland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes at Fogera and Pawe, Ethiopia. *Adv. Crop Sc. and Tech.* 5(2): 272
- Afroza, B., Khan, S.H., Mushtaq, F., Hussain, K. and Nabi. (2013). Variability and correlation studies in sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Prog. Hort.* 45: 209-213
- Burton, G.W. and DeVane, E.H.D. (1953). Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Fescue arundinaceae*) from replicated clonal material. *Agron. J.* 54: 478-481
- Geleta, L.F. and Labuschagne, M.T. (2006). Combining ability and heritability for vitamin C and total soluble solids in pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). J. Sci. Food Agric. 86: 1317-1320
- Gomide, M.L., Maluf W.R. and Gomes, L.A.A. (2008). Combining ability among lines of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) *Cienc. Agrotec.* 32: 740-748
- Islam, S. and Singh R.V. (2006). Variability, heritability and genetic advance studies in sweet pepper (*Capsicum* annuum L. var. grossum Sendt.). Indian J. of Pl. Gen. Rsc. 19: 203-205
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soyabean. *Agron. J.* 47: 314-318
- Kadambavanasundaram, M. (1980). Heterotic system in cultivated species of Gossypium. An appraisal (Abst). Genetic and crop improvement of heterotic systems. Pre-congress scientific meeting of XV International Congress of Genetics, TNAU, Coimbatore, pp. 20.
- Kamble, C., Mulge, R. and Madalageri, M.B. (2009). Combining ability for earliness and productivity in sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Karnataka J. of Agri. Sc.* 22: 151-154
- Kempthrone, O. (1957). An Introduction to Genetic Statistics. John Wiley and Sons, New York, London.

- Kumar, R. and Kumar, S. (2017). Usefulness of combining ability and gene action studies for parthenocarpic gynoecious hybrid development in cucumber. J. of Hill Agri. 8: 158-165
- Kumari, S. (2013). Genetic variability studies in bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *The Asian J. of Hort.* 8: 280-284
- Pandey, V., Ahmed Z. and Kumar, N. (2002). Heterosis and combining ability in diallel crosses of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Veg. Sc. 29: 66-67
- Pandey, V., Chura, A., Pandey, H.K., Meena, H.S., Arya, M. and Ahmed, Z. (2012). Diallel analysis for yield and yield attributing traits in capsicum (*Capsicum annuum* L. var grossum Sendt). Veg. Sc. 39: 136-139
- Ranganna S. (1979). Manuals of Analysis of Fruits and Vegetable Products. Tata McGraw Hill Book Company, New Delhi.
- Rao, P.G., Reddy, K.M., Naresh, P., Chalapathi, V.V. and Indiresh, K.M. (2016). Combining ability and standard heterosis in bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) for yield and yield attributing traits. *Green Farming Int. J.* 7 (5): 1024-1028
- Rattan, P. and Chadha, S, (2009). Gene action studies for yield and its contributing characters. *Biological Forum- An Inter. J.* 1(2): 8-10.
- Rohini, N., Lakshmanan, V., Saraladevi, D., Amalraj, J.J and Govindaraju, P. (2017). Assessment of combining ability for yield and quality components in hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Spanish J. of Agric. Res. 15(2): 1-12
- Sahoo, T.R. and Singh, D.K. (2017). Estimates of combining ability for development of F₁ hybrids in cucumber. J. of Hill Agri. 8(3): 261-269
- Singh, D.P., Anand, N. and Deshpande, A.A. (1993). Improvement of bell pepper. In: Advances in Horticulture. Veg. Crops. 5: 87-104
- Sood, S. and Kaul, S. (2006). Combining ability in bell pepper. Veg. Sc. 33: 73-75
- Sood, S. and Kumar, N. (2010). Combining ability and gene action for phenological, structural and fruit yield traits in bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L. var grossum Sendt.) under sub temperate zone of North western Himalayas. *Advances in Hort. Sc.* 24: 122-128
- Sood, S., Sharma, A. and Chaudhary, D.R. (2007). Genetic architecture and trait relationship in bell pepper under sub-temperate conditions of north western Himalayas. *Indian J. of Hort.* 64: 169-174