



Heterosis study in Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] genotypes for pod yield attributes

Sujit Kumar^{1*}, A. K. Singh², Hirdesh Yadav¹ and Alka Verma¹

¹Department of Vegetable Science, College of Agriculture, G.B.Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar– 263145 (Uttarakhand), INDIA

²Department of Horticulture, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005 (U.P.), INDIA

*Corresponding author. E-mail: sujittca5001@gmail.com

Received: August 2, 2016; Revised received: January 22, 2017; Accepted: April 17, 2017

Abstract: A study was conducted at Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Institute of Agriculture Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi during Spring-Summer and Rainy season of 2012 and 2013 using 12 diverse parental lines of okra and their 66 F₁ hybrids (through diallel cross-excluding reciprocals) with the objective to measure the extent of heterosis over better parent and standard commercial check varieties for the purpose of judging the extent up to which heterosis can be exploited in commercial okra breeding. The extent of heterosis for five best crosses over better parent and check (48.32 % to 82.42 % and 7.13 % to 35.66 %, respectively) for yield per hectare suggested the great scope of realizing higher yield in okra through heterosis breeding. Other economic traits also recorded moderate to high level of heterosis over the better parents. The cross combination IC - 282280×EC - 329380 showed high heterosis over better parent and standard check for pod yield (82.42 % and 35.66 %), number of pods per plant (62.82 % and 48.54 %) and respectively. This particular cross combination eventually resulted the height magnitude of heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis for the most of the desirable growth parameters as well as yield attributing characters which may be taken for further breeding programme.

Keywords: Diallel cross, Economic traits, Heterobeltiosis, Heterosis, Okra

INTRODUCTION

Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] known as bhindi or lady's finger is an important annual vegetable crop grown in the tropical and sub-tropical regions of world (Arapitsas, 2008; and Saifullah and Rabbani, 2009). Fairly good amount of proteins, carbohydrates, vitamins (A, B and C) and minerals is found in pod of Okra (Owolarafe, 2004; Gopalan *et al.*, 2007; Arapitsas, 2008 and Dilruba *et al.*, 2009) thus it plays an important role in human diet (Kahlon *et al.*, 2007 and Saifullah and Rabbani, 2009). So many varieties have been developed in okra but significant increase in productivity potential could not be realized possibly because of ceiling in genetic potential of the genotypes. Therefore, there is an urgent need of genetic improvement of crop for yield which can be achieved by exploitation of hybrid vigour (heterosis). In most of developed country like Japan and USA, mainly F₁ hybrid varieties are cultivated on commercial scale instead of open pollinated varieties. Heterosis breeding programme aiming to develop a hybrid variety comprises identification of desirable parents which can produce F₁ hybrid having high level of economic heterosis. The presence of great extent of natural variation for various characters among the varieties of okra suggested a good scope of improvement in the economic

traits through heterosis breeding techniques. Keeping in view the above facts, the present study was planned and carried out using 12 parents and their 66 F₁ hybrids (excluding reciprocals) with the objective to measure the extent of heterosis over better parents and standard commercial check varieties for purpose of judging the extent up to which heterosis can be exploited in commercial okra breeding.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment conducted at Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Institute of Agriculture Sciences, BHU, Varanasi during Spring-Summer and Rainy season of 2012 and 2013. This place is located in south east part of Varanasi city at 25° 15' North latitude and 83° 03' East longitudes at an elevation of 129.23 m above the mean sea level. The average annual rainfall is about 1110 millimeter (mm). The major portion of precipitation (about 85 to 90 %) is received during July to September. The soil of the experimental site contains 49.75 % sand, 28.73 % silt and 21.52 % clay. The available soil nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium status have been recorded as 0.082, 0.126 and 0.640 %, respectively. The experimental material consisted 12 diverse varieties/lines (thereafter called genotypes) of okra, provided by the Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi

Table 1. Genotypes of okra used in the present experiment.

Parents	Name of the genotypes	Sources
P ₁	Larm -1	IIVR-Varanasi
P ₂	IC -282280	IIVR-Varanasi
P ₃	IC - 282337	IIVR-Varanasi
P ₄	IC -128891	IIVR-Varanasi
P ₅	IC -111527	IIVR-Varanasi
P ₆	EC - 329380	IIVR-Varanasi
P ₇	IC - 282279	IIVR-Varanasi
P ₈	VRO -5	IIVR-Varanasi
P ₉	IC - 329422	IIVR-Varanasi
P ₁₀	IC - 18537	IIVR-Varanasi
P ₁₁	Hisar Unnat	IIVR-Varanasi
P ₁₂	IC - 43132	IIVR-Varanasi

(Table 1) and 66 F₁ hybrids derived by crossing these 12 genotypes in all possible combinations in diallel fashion (excluding reciprocals) by hand emasculation and pollination during earlier spring-summer, 2012. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications during rainy season of 2013. Each genotype was sown in 12 rows of 3 meter length accommodating 10 plants in each row. The observations were taken from randomly selected five competitive plants of parents and their crosses in each replication. The analysis of variance was used for testing as to whether there exists a significant difference between the genotypes and their hybrids. It was carried out following the statistical procedure of RBD analysis (Panse and Sukhatme, 1989) for each of the genotypes and their derived hybrids. Heterosis was calculated over superior parent (heterobeltiosis) and standard variety i.e. checks (economic heterosis), following the method described by Kempthorne (1957). The test of significance of heterosis was accomplished by the Student's 't' test (Student, 1908a).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The extent of heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis were expressed as percent increase or decrease in

hybrid performance in comparison to better parent and standard check varieties, respectively. The mean performance of the genotypes (F₁s) and per cent of heterosis (over better parents and standard check) are presented in Table 2.

The heterotic performance over better-parents towards earliness (days to first flowering) was maximum in crosses P₂ × P₁₀ (-20.83 %). Out of 41 cross-combinations, five best combination showed significant heterobeltiosis for days to 50 % flowering viz., P₃ × P₁₂ (-17.31 %), P₂ × P₁₀ (-16.67 %), P₄ × P₁₀ (-16.67 %), P₂ × P₉ (-13.21 %) and P₁ × P₉ (-13.2 %). The estimates of heterosis for plant height in hybrids varied from -31.83 % (P₃ × P₉) to 50.75 % (P₁ × P₄) over better parents. The manifestation of heterosis in desirable direction for internodal length over the better parents was recorded in 20 cross-combinations. However, extent of heterobeltiosis ranged from -37.16 % (P₃ × P₈) to 68.75 % (P₁ × P₂). The heterotic increase in the number of pods per plant over their better parent was recorded in 32 hybrids and the increase varied from 0.59 % (P₄ × P₆) to 62.82 % (P₂ × P₆). The highest numbers of seeds per pod 54.84 were recorded in P₈ and lowest 41.27 in pods of P₅, while, among hybrids it was recorded from 46.27 as in P₃ × P₆ to 58.96 in P₉ × P₁₀. The five best parents which had high mean pod yield were P₈ (106.26 q ha⁻¹), P₃ (101.23 q ha⁻¹), P₁₁ (93.34 q ha⁻¹), P₄ (79.75 q ha⁻¹) and P₆ (79.02 q ha⁻¹) whereas among hybrids, five best cross combinations were P₂ × P₆ (144.16 q ha⁻¹), P₄ × P₇ (118.16 q ha⁻¹), P₁ × P₁₂ (117.78 q ha⁻¹), P₅ × P₁₂ (115.19 q ha⁻¹) and P₁ × P₃ (113.05 q ha⁻¹).

A close observation of table 2 revealed that nine cross combinations showed significant standard heterosis for days to first flowering with highest in P₂ × P₁₀ (-15.56 %), followed by P₅ × P₆ (-9.89 %) and P₃ × P₁₂ (-9.76 %) whereas, seventeen cross combinations showed significant relative heterosis for earliness with best three combination were P₂ × P₁₀ (-14.56 %), P₂ × P₉

Table 2. Heterosis (%) over better parent (BP) and the commercial check (CC) for Days to first flowering Days to 50 % flowering Node at which first flower appear Plant height (cm) Number of branches per plant in okra.

Hybrids	Days to first flowering		Days to 50% flowering		Node at which first flower appear		Plant height (cm)		Number of branches per plant	
	BP	CC	BP	CC	BP	CC	B P	CC	BP	CC
P ₁ × P ₂	2.38	-0.76	-6.49*	-2.04	9.41	-13.89	40.87**	24.56**	136.36**	67.47*
P ₁ × P ₃	2.38	-0.76	-7.69**	-2.04	-5.26	0.00	7.58	43.70**	60.71	44.93
P ₁ × P ₄	10.26**	-0.76	11.63**	-2.04	22.35	-3.70	50.75**	43.70**	126.92**	90.02**
P ₁ × P ₅	-4.44	-0.76	-6.96*	0.00	-1.42	28.70**	-12.31*	1.64	74.19*	73.91*
P ₁ × P ₆	0.00	6.16	20.00**	10.20**	2.83	0.93	-15.45**	7.19	59.09	12.72
P ₁ × P ₇	15.38**	3.85	2.68	4.08	31.25**	36.11**	0.42	10.58	10.00	6.28
P ₁ × P ₈	-0.77	-0.76	-2.04	-2.04	0.93	0.93	-2.84	-2.84	-3.23	-3.38
P ₁ × P ₉	-1.52	0.01	-13.21**	-6.12*	-26.67**	-18.52	-15.83**	9.77	-40.91	-58.13
P ₁ × P ₁₀	-12.50**	-3.07	-11.11**	-2.04	-12.28	-7.41	-22.19**	7.25	86.36*	32.05
P ₁ × P ₁₁	0.79	-2.30	6.67*	-2.04	33.68**	17.59	16.82**	35.95**	27.78	48.15
P ₁ × P ₁₂	-7.50*	-14.61**	-4.55	-14.29**	9.62	5.56	5.36	34.56**	33.33	15.94
P ₂ × P ₃	-2.38	-5.38	-7.69**	-2.04	0.00	5.56	-14.01**	14.85*	14.29	3.06
P ₂ × P ₄	-0.79	-3.84	-14.29**	-10.20**	81.16**	15.74	29.57**	23.51**	119.23**	83.57**
P ₂ × P ₅	-4.44	-0.76	-8.86**	-2.04	-5.67	23.15*	5.85	22.69**	119.35**	119.00**

Contd.....

P ₂ × P ₆	-8.70**	-3.07	-6.49*	-2.04	20.75*	18.52	2.15	29.51**	244.44**	99.68**
P ₂ × P ₇	2.38	-0.76	-10.39**	-6.12*	5.36	9.26	-4.73	4.91	13.33	9.50
P ₂ × P ₈	-0.77	-0.76	-6.49*	-2.04	-4.63	-4.63	0.68	0.68	19.35	19.16
P ₂ × P ₉	-2.27	-0.76	-13.21**	-6.12*	-28.33**	-20.37*	-20.49**	3.68	72.22	-0.16
P ₂ × P ₁₀	-20.83**	-12.30**	-16.67**	-8.16**	-10.53	-5.56	1.44	39.82**	31.82	-6.60
P ₂ × P ₁₁	2.38	-0.76	-8.44**	-4.08	1.05	-11.11	11.76	30.06**	8.33	25.60
P ₂ × P ₁₂	4.76	1.55	-6.49*	-2.04	-25.00*	-27.78**	-18.96**	3.51	-40.74	-48.47
P ₃ × P ₄	-2.38	-5.38	-9.62**	-4.08	-31.58**	-27.78**	-4.20	27.95**	-53.57	-58.13
P ₃ × P ₅	2.22	6.16	1.90	9.52**	-17.02*	8.33	-16.20**	11.93	-54.84	-54.91
P ₃ × P ₆	-4.35	1.55	-7.69**	-2.04	2.63	8.33	2.93	37.49**	35.71	22.38
P ₃ × P ₇	7.94*	4.62	-1.92	4.08	7.89	13.89	-1.66	31.35**	-3.33	-6.60
P ₃ × P ₈	2.31	2.32	-5.77*	0.00	-19.30*	-14.81	-19.44**	7.60	-54.84	-54.91
P ₃ × P ₉	-2.27	-0.76	-7.55**	0.00	-11.67	-1.85	-31.83**	-8.95	-82.14*	-83.90**
P ₃ × P ₁₀	-5.56	4.62	-5.56*	4.08	31.58**	38.89**	19.56**	64.80**	139.29**	115.78**
P ₃ × P ₁₁	-4.76	-7.69*	-9.62**	-4.08	0.00	5.56	17.16**	56.49**	13.89	32.05
P ₃ × P ₁₂	-11.90**	-14.61**	-17.31**	-12.24**	-2.63	2.78	-6.48	24.91**	35.71	22.38
P ₄ × P ₅	-11.11**	-7.69*	-10.76**	-4.08	-36.88**	-17.59	-16.25**	-2.92	16.13	15.94
P ₄ × P ₆	-2.17	3.85	11.11**	2.04	21.70*	19.44*	4.75	32.81**	57.69	32.05
P ₄ × P ₇	10.26**	-0.76	-11.41**	-10.20**	-3.57	0.00	13.33*	24.80**	13.33	9.50
P ₄ × P ₈	-0.77	-0.76	-2.04	-2.04	-0.93	-0.93	16.08*	16.08*	9.68	9.50
P ₄ × P ₉	-2.27	-0.76	-8.81**	-1.36	-12.50	-2.78	-15.52**	10.18	-50.00	-58.13
P ₄ × P ₁₀	-12.50**	-3.07	-16.67**	-8.16**	-2.63	2.78	-1.99	35.09**	-11.54	-25.93
P ₄ × P ₁₁	-4.76	-7.69*	0.00	-8.16**	-3.16	-14.81	-9.35	5.50	-88.89**	-87.12**
P ₄ × P ₁₂	-5.00	-12.30**	-6.82*	-16.33**	-4.81	-8.33	-9.89	15.09*	-48.15	-54.91
P ₅ × P ₆	-10.87**	-5.38	-1.27	6.12*	8.51	41.67**	2.86	30.41**	54.84	54.59
P ₅ × P ₇	-2.22	1.55	0.00	7.48*	-11.35	15.74	-15.49**	-2.05	19.35	19.16
P ₅ × P ₈	-4.44	-0.76	-7.59**	-0.68	-1.42	28.70**	8.27	25.50	122.58**	122.22**
P ₅ × P ₉	-4.44	-0.76	-6.29*	1.36	-2.84	26.85**	-8.88	18.83**	70.97*	70.69*
P ₅ × P ₁₀	-10.42**	-0.76	-8.02**	1.36	-3.55	25.93**	-8.40	26.26**	112.90**	112.56**
P ₅ × P ₁₁	-5.93	-2.30	-12.66**	-6.12*	-14.18	12.04	5.63	22.92**	36.11	57.81
P ₅ × P ₁₂	0.00	3.85	-3.80	3.40	11.35	45.37**	9.89	40.35**	112.90**	112.56**
P ₆ × P ₇	-12.32**	-6.92*	-1.34	0.00	16.07	20.37*	16.97**	48.30**	83.33**	77.13*
P ₆ × P ₈	-6.52*	-0.76	6.12*	6.12*	15.74	15.74	-5.35	20.00**	32.26	32.05
P ₆ × P ₉	-8.70**	-3.07	-7.55**	0.00	-1.67	9.26	4.84	36.73**	138.89**	38.49
P ₆ × P ₁₀	-10.42**	-0.76	-12.96**	-4.08	23.68**	30.56**	7.76	48.54**	150.00**	77.13*
P ₆ × P ₁₁	-10.87**	-5.38	0.00	-8.16	31.13**	28.70**	10.33	39.88**	102.78**	135.10**
P ₆ × P ₁₂	-15.22**	-9.99**	-6.67*	-14.29	24.53*	22.22*	10.62	41.29**	81.48*	57.81
P ₇ × P ₈	-5.38	-5.38	-9.40**	-8.16	8.04	12.04	2.92	13.33	58.06	57.81
P ₇ × P ₉	-4.55	-3.07	-7.55**	0.00	-6.67	3.70	-24.39**	-1.40	-36.67	-38.81
P ₇ × P ₁₀	0.00	10.78**	-0.62	9.52	4.39	10.19	-15.74**	16.14*	-36.67	-38.81
P ₇ × P ₁₁	0.00	-3.07	-4.03	-2.72	-13.39	-10.19	-12.66*	1.64	-25.00	-13.04
P ₇ × P ₁₂	5.00	-3.07	-1.34	0.00	-14.29	-11.11	-18.96**	3.51	3.33	-0.16
P ₈ × P ₉	-2.27	-0.76	-7.55**	0.00	-21.67*	-12.96	-29.60**	-8.19	0.00	-0.16
P ₈ × P ₁₀	-2.08	8.47*	-2.47	7.48	-14.91	-10.19	-25.16**	3.16	12.90	12.72
P ₈ × P ₁₁	1.54	1.55	0.00	0.00	-24.07*	-24.07*	-28.24**	-16.49*	-2.78	12.72
P ₈ × P ₁₂	-3.08	-3.07	-2.04	-2.04	-15.74	-15.74	-22.25**	-0.70	45.16	44.93
P ₉ × P ₁₀	-12.50**	-3.07	-11.11**	-2.04	-18.33*	-9.26	-17.88**	13.19	90.91*	35.27
P ₉ × P ₁₁	-2.27	-0.76	-1.26	6.80	-19.17*	-10.19	-20.36**	3.86	-36.11	-25.93
P ₉ × P ₁₂	-2.27	-0.76	-5.66*	2.04	-10.83	-0.93	-8.07	19.88**	-25.93	-35.59
P ₁₀ × P ₁₁	-2.08	8.47*	-1.85	8.16	-16.67	-12.04	-15.06**	17.08*	33.33	54.59
P ₁₀ × P ₁₂	-14.58**	-5.38	-11.11**	-2.04	-16.67	-12.04	-0.13	37.66**	122.22**	93.24**
P ₁₁ × P ₁₂	0.00	-3.07	2.22	-6.12	-3.85	-7.41	-1.69	25.56**	-38.89	-29.15
S.E.D.	1.497	1.497	1.414	1.414	0.689	.689	3.917	3.917	0.624	0.624
C.D. at 5%	2.990	2.990	2.825	2.825	1.375	1.375	7.822	7.822	1.246	1.246
C.D. at 1%	3.905	3.905	3.689	3.689	1.796	1.796	10.215	10.215	1.627	1.627

** Significant at 5 % and * significant at 1 % probability level. BP and CC - Heterosis over better parent and commercial check (Kashi Pragati) respectively.

Table 2. Heterosis (%) over better parent (BP) and the commercial check (CC) for Internodal distance (cm) Number of pods per plant Pod weight (g) Number of seeds per pod Pod yield (q/ ha) in okra.

Hybrids	Internodal distance (cm)		Number of pods per plant		Pod weight (g)		Number of seeds per pod		Pod yield (q/ ha)	
	BP	CC	BP	CC	BP	CC	BP	CC	BP	CC
P ₁ × P ₂	68.75**	-1.75	7.69	-1.75	28.46**	-7.80	11.94*	-2.07	39.69**	-9.24
P ₁ × P ₃	-10.81	15.47*	4.47	15.47*	6.92	-7.60	14.43*	-2.68	11.68	6.39
P ₁ × P ₄	-1.20	7.60	8.88	7.60	12.96	-14.45*	6.60	-7.78	22.85*	-7.80
P ₁ × P ₅	-34.15**	-12.87	16.41	-12.87	7.76	-16.98*	7.86	-8.27	26.85**	-27.88**
P ₁ × P ₆	-26.24**	-26.32**	-16.56	-26.32**	1.79	-14.29*	10.20	-1.59	-15.58*	-37.22**
P ₁ × P ₇	-35.81**	-16.96*	2.16	-16.96*	22.52*	-12.55	-3.25	-5.96	29.94**	-27.50**

Contd.....

P ₁ × P ₈	-9.81	-10.53	-10.53	-10.53	-23.65**	-23.63**	-0.61	-0.61	-32.77**	-32.77**
P ₁ × P ₉	-8.03	-21.05**	-2.17	-21.05**	-11.78	-28.82**	2.74	-4.26	-13.84	-43.72**
P ₁ × P ₁₀	4.25	11.70	49.22**	11.70	4.60	-25.34**	-7.88	-13.37*	55.66**	-16.80**
P ₁ × P ₁₁	17.86	14.62	10.73	14.62	-2.45	-16.51*	11.26*	3.27	8.51	-4.68
P ₁ × P ₁₂	-21.48*	26.32**	40.26**	26.32**	11.48	-12.35	1.92	-9.85	56.67**	10.82
P ₂ × P ₃	-33.65**	2.92	-6.88	2.92	8.93	-5.86	4.44	-8.63	1.85	-2.97
P ₂ × P ₄	-1.72	-11.70	-10.65	-11.70	8.57	-17.78*	8.47	-5.11	-2.98	-27.19**
P ₂ × P ₅	-22.11*	1.75	11.54	1.75	-18.81*	-37.45**	4.03	-9.00	-2.90	-36.91**
P ₂ × P ₆	-29.65**	48.54**	62.82**	48.54**	8.37	-8.75	5.17	-6.08	82.42**	35.66**
P ₂ × P ₇	-26.94**	10.53	21.15*	10.53	11.80	-19.75**	4.63	1.69	37.21**	-10.85
P ₂ × P ₈	-8.70	8.77	8.77	8.77	-25.63**	-25.61**	-4.50	-4.50	-19.39*	-19.39**
P ₂ × P ₉	-7.86	6.43	16.67*	6.43	-14.03	-30.64**	0.39	-6.45	13.54	-25.84**
P ₂ × P ₁₀	20.47*	22.22**	33.97**	22.22**	8.94	-21.81**	-3.75	-9.48	47.40**	-4.23
P ₂ × P ₁₁	21.61*	14.04	10.17	14.04	-6.06	-19.60**	-3.14	-10.09	4.69	-8.04
P ₂ × P ₁₂	-20.00*	-2.34	7.05	-2.34	-5.04	-25.34**	3.30	-8.63	1.22	-28.41**
P ₃ × P ₄	1.35	12.28	1.59	12.28	-16.40*	-27.75**	8.29	-6.32	-14.23*	-18.29**
P ₃ × P ₅	-14.86	-16.96*	-24.87**	-16.96*	-16.63*	-27.95**	18.81**	-10.21	-37.11**	-40.09**
P ₃ × P ₆	-27.97**	-20.47**	-28.04**	-20.47**	-15.03	-26.56**	-5.58	-15.68**	-38.73**	-41.63**
P ₃ × P ₇	-26.35**	-1.17	-10.58	-1.17	-19.79*	-30.68**	0.63	-2.19	-28.05**	-31.46**
P ₃ × P ₈	-37.16**	-19.88**	-27.51**	-19.88**	-16.76*	-16.75*	-3.04	-3.04	-33.48**	-33.48**
P ₃ × P ₉	-27.03**	-45.03**	-50.26**	-45.03**	-13.06	-24.86**	-0.78	-7.54	-56.85**	-58.90**
P ₃ × P ₁₀	-15.54*	-11.70	-20.11**	-11.70	-17.20*	-28.44**	-4.65	-10.33*	-34.10**	-37.23**
P ₃ × P ₁₁	-20.54**	9.94	-0.53	9.94	-16.22	-27.59**	0.65	-6.57	-16.26*	-20.23**
P ₃ × P ₁₂	-36.49**	18.71*	7.41	18.71*	-15.39	-26.88**	5.91	-6.32	-9.53	-13.82*
P ₄ × P ₅	-28.62**	-3.51	-2.37	-3.51	-5.09	-26.88**	-1.26	-14.59**	-6.36	-29.73**
P ₄ × P ₆	-15.89	-0.58	0.59	-0.58	-1.93	-17.42*	10.88	-0.98	8.36	-18.68**
P ₄ × P ₇	-0.48	25.15**	26.63**	25.15**	18.92*	-9.94	-7.50	-10.09	48.32**	11.31
P ₄ × P ₈	1.72	1.75	1.75	1.75	-12.37	-12.35	-6.08	-6.08	-10.85	-10.86
P ₄ × P ₉	-3.42	-15.79*	-14.79	-15.79*	1.82	-17.85*	0.26	-6.57	-7.49	-30.57**
P ₄ × P ₁₀	-1.57	3.51	4.73	3.51	7.37	-18.69**	-6.72	-12.28*	11.44	-16.37**
P ₄ × P ₁₁	6.35	-19.30*	-22.03**	-19.30*	-7.63	-20.94**	-9.03	-15.56**	-27.28**	-36.12**
P ₄ × P ₁₂	-14.07	-21.64**	-20.71**	-21.64**	8.26	-14.89*	0.69	-10.94*	-11.86	-33.85**
P ₅ × P ₆	-3.55	-7.60	4.64	-7.60	-2.73	-18.09*	2.86	-8.15	0.94	-24.93**
P ₅ × P ₇	-12.10	-25.15**	-7.91	-25.15**	-25.64**	-42.72**	-19.63**	-21.88**	-24.12*	-56.86**
P ₅ × P ₈	0.98	8.77	8.77	8.77	-17.32*	-17.30*	-2.67	-2.68	-12.29	-12.29*
P ₅ × P ₉	-0.81	-4.09	18.84*	-4.09	-13.89	-30.52**	0.00	-6.81	1.88	-33.45**
P ₅ × P ₁₀	-1.57	4.09	41.27**	4.09	-15.88	-35.19**	-7.75	-13.25*	18.25*	-32.77**
P ₅ × P ₁₁	1.63	31.58**	27.12**	31.58**	-16.74*	-28.74**	-7.33	-13.98**	4.12	-8.53
P ₅ × P ₁₂	11.11	27.49**	41.56**	27.49**	8.41	-14.77*	6.04	-6.20	53.25**	8.40
P ₆ × P ₇	4.26	-0.58	12.58	-0.58	-7.95	-22.49**	0.62	-2.19	3.43	-23.08**
P ₆ × P ₈	-16.45*	-23.39**	-23.39**	-23.39**	-15.46*	-15.44*	-6.08	-6.08	-35.54**	-35.54**
P ₆ × P ₉	-9.22	-9.94	1.99	-9.94	11.61	-6.02	5.48	-1.71	13.93	-15.27*
P ₆ × P ₁₀	-9.93	2.92	16.56	2.92	1.13	-14.85*	5.68	-0.61	18.02*	-12.23*
P ₆ × P ₁₁	-9.93	25.15**	20.90**	25.15**	-12.81	-25.38**	3.53	-3.89	6.67	-6.29
P ₆ × P ₁₂	-10.07	22.22**	35.71**	22.22**	-14.25	-27.79**	3.67	-7.42	18.83*	-11.63
P ₇ × P ₈	-0.81	-10.53	-10.53	-10.53	-18.86**	-18.84**	5.47	5.46	-27.95**	-27.95**
P ₇ × P ₉	0.00	-2.92	19.42*	-2.92	-14.03	-30.64**	-5.37	-8.03	2.74	-32.89**
P ₇ × P ₁₀	-5.51	-28.65**	-12.23	-28.65**	-11.36	-38.52**	-16.00**	-18.35**	-21.99*	-56.47**
P ₇ × P ₁₁	2.42	-30.41**	-32.77**	-30.41**	-13.55	-26.01**	5.38	2.42	-42.34**	-49.35**
P ₇ × P ₁₂	-5.93	-26.32**	-18.18*	-26.32**	-20.09*	-37.17**	-10.00	-12.52*	-34.43**	-53.62**
P ₈ × P ₉	8.72	-26.32**	-26.32**	-26.32	-20.05**	-20.03**	-1.46	-1.46	-41.56**	-41.56**
P ₈ × P ₁₀	-3.15	-4.09	-4.09	-4.09	-31.13**	-31.12**	-14.22**	-14.22**	-34.59**	-34.59**
P ₈ × P ₁₁	-13.39	-0.58	-3.95	-0.58	-18.54**	-18.53**	-8.75	-8.75	-19.35*	-19.35**
P ₈ × P ₁₂	-17.04*	1.17	1.17	1.17	5.96	5.98	-3.16	-3.17	7.13	7.13
P ₉ × P ₁₀	-5.51	-14.62	5.80	-14.62	19.43*	-3.64	13.82*	7.04	25.94**	-17.74**
P ₉ × P ₁₁	-19.83*	-14.04	-16.95*	-14.04	8.83	-6.85	-4.04	-10.58*	-9.14	-20.19**
P ₉ × P ₁₂	-7.41	6.43	18.18*	6.43	-3.29	-21.97**	-6.91	-13.25*	16.19*	-17.81**
P ₁₀ × P ₁₁	-14.17	8.77	5.08	8.77	-13.32	-25.81**	-0.13	-6.08	-8.75	-19.84**
P ₁₀ × P ₁₂	0.00	-11.11	-1.30	-11.11	1.66	-20.07**	4.78	-1.46	-0.88	-29.89**
P ₁₁ × P ₁₂	-9.04	15.79*	11.86	15.79*	-9.34	-22.41**	8.51	0.72	2.23	-10.20
S.E.D.	0.376	0.376	0.865	0.865	1.187	1.187	2.867	2.867	6.566	6.566
C.D. at 5%	0.752	0.752	1.727	1.727	2.370	2.370	5.725	5.725	13.114	13.114
C.D. at 1%	0.982	0.982	2.255	2.255	3.095	3.095	7.477	7.477	17.125	17.125

** Significant at 5 % and * significant at 1 % probability level. BP and CC - Heterosis over better parent and commercial check (Kashi Pragati) respectively.

(-11.82 %), and $P_3 \times P_{12}$ (-10.42 %). Among 66 F_1 hybrids, 16 combinations manifested significant standard heterosis over check 1 (VRO-5) while six combinations exhibited significant standard heterosis for day 50 % flowering with maximum in $P_4 \times P_{12}$ (-8.89 %) followed by $P_1 \times P_{12}$ (-6.67 %) and $P_6 \times P_{12}$ (-6.67 %). Perusal of heterosis data manifested four cross combinations in desired direction with maximum in $P_8 \times P_{11}$ (-19.21 %) followed by $P_8 \times P_9$ (-17.54 %), and $P_3 \times P_8$ (17.12 %). Eleven cross combinations for node at which first flower appear showed significant negative heterobeltiosis with best three were $P_4 \times P_5$ (-36.88 %) followed by $P_3 \times P_4$ (-31.58 %) and $P_2 \times P_9$ (-28.33 %) and four hybrids showed significant standard heterosis over check 1 with maximum in $P_2 \times P_{12}$ (-27.78 %), $P_3 \times P_4$ (-27.78 %) followed by $P_8 \times P_4$ (-24.07 %) and $P_2 \times P_9$ (-0.37 %). Out of 66, 22 cross combination showed significant mid parental heterosis with best three combination *viz.* $P_1 \times P_4$ (56.41 %), $P_1 \times P_2$ (49.16 %) and $P_2 \times P_4$ (42.03 %) for plant height while all combination showed significant standard heterosis over check 1. Out of 66, 27 cross combination showed significant average heterosis for number of branches with best three were $P_2 \times P_6$ (254.29 %), $P_2 \times P_5$ (183.33 %), $P_6 \times P_{10}$ (175 %) while three best significant heterobeltiosis recorded in cross combination $P_2 \times P_6$ (244.44 %), $P_6 \times P_{10}$ (150 %) and $P_3 \times P_{10}$ (139.29 %).

Extent of average heterosis for internodal distance were ranged from -33.57 % to 70.53 % out of which, 11 hybrids showed significant negative mid parental heterosis $P_3 \times P_{12}$ (-33.57 %) followed by $P_1 \times P_5$ (-27.64 %), $P_4 \times P_5$ (-26.71 %) while, $P_3 \times P_9$ (-45.03 %), $P_7 \times P_{11}$ (-30.41 %) and $P_7 \times P_{10}$ (-28.65 %) showed significant standard heterosis over check 1. For no of pods per plant, 15 hybrids showed significant heterobeltiosis with three best were $P_2 \times P_6$ (62.82 %), $P_1 \times P_{10}$ (49.22 %) and $P_5 \times P_{12}$ (41.56 %) whereas 11 cross combinations with maximum value, $P_2 \times P_6$ (48.54 %) followed by $P_5 \times P_{11}$ (31.58 %) and $P_5 \times P_{12}$ (27.49 %), standard heterosis over check 1 and $P_2 \times P_9$ (43.50 %), $P_5 \times P_{11}$ (27.12 %) and $P_5 \times P_{12}$ (23.16 %) over standard check 2 (Hissar Unnat) for same trait. For pod yield, 24 hybrids manifested significant average heterosis with best three cross combinations were $P_2 \times P_{10}$ (102.08 %), $P_1 \times P_{10}$ (99.85 %) and $P_2 \times P_6$ (94.72 %) where as $P_2 \times P_6$ (82.42 %), $P_{10} \times P_{12}$ (56.66 %), $P_1 \times P_{10}$ (56.66 %) and $P_5 \times P_{12}$ (53.25 %) recorded significant heterobeltiosis, five combinations namely $P_2 \times P_6$ (54.44 %), $P_4 \times P_7$ (26.72 %), $P_1 \times P_{12}$ (26.16 %), $P_5 \times P_{12}$ (23.41 %), $P_8 \times P_{12}$ (21.96 %) and $P_1 \times P_3$ (21.12 %) showed significant standard heterosis over check 2.

The best common crosses based on *per se* performance as well as heterosis over better parent and standard check, the crosses found promising were $P_1 \times P_4$, $P_1 \times P_5$, $P_3 \times P_{12}$ and $P_9 \times P_{11}$ for plant height; $P_2 \times P_6$, $P_5 \times P_{12}$, $P_1 \times P_{12}$ and $P_4 \times P_7$ for number of pods per plant

and pod yield per hectare; $P_2 \times P_6$, $P_4 \times P_7$, $P_5 \times P_{12}$, $P_1 \times P_3$ and $P_1 \times P_{12}$. The present results were in conformity to those of the earlier workers of okra like Singh *et al.* (2001), Sood and Kalia (2001) More and Patil (2003) and Lyngdoh *et al.* (2013) Patel and Patel (2016).

The extent of heterosis for five best crosses over better parent and standard check (48.32 % to 82.42 % and 7.13 % to 35.66 %, respectively) for yield per hectare suggested the great scope of realizing higher yield in okra through heterosis breeding. Other economic traits also recorded moderate to high level of heterosis over the better parents.

Conclusion

The hybrid P_2 (IC -282280) \times P_6 (EC - 329380) was found to be the most promising for pod yield and other desirable traits. This cross also showed high heterosis over better parent and standard check for pod yield (82.42 %, and 35.66 %) and number of pods per plant (62.82 % and 48.54 %) respectively. It is also clear that the high degree of non additive gene action for all the component traits observed in the present study favours hybrid breeding methodology So, it can be identified as the potential okra hybrid combination for commercial exploitation.

REFERENCES

- Arapitsas, P. (2008). Identification and qualification of Polyphenolic Compounds from okra seeds and skins. *Food Chemistry*, 110: 1041-1045
- Dilruba, S., Hasanzaman, M., Karim, R. and Nahar, K. (2009). Yield response of okra to different sowing time and application of Growth Hormones. *Journal of Horticultural Science and Ornamental plants*, 1: 10-14
- Gopalan, C., Sastri, S. B. V. and Balasubramanian, S. (2007). Nutritive value of Indian foods, National Institute of Nutrition (NIN, ICMR) India.
- Kahlon, T. S., Chapman, M. H. and Smith, G. E. (2007). In vitro binding of bile acid by okra beets asparagus eggplant turnips green beans carrots and cauliflower. *Food Chemistry*, 103: 676-680
- Kemphorne, O. (1957). An introduction to genetical statistics. Jhon weleyand sons (Eds.I). New yark Pp. 458-670
- Lyngdoh, Y. A., Mulge, R. and Shadap, A. (2013). Heterosis and combining ability studies in nearhomozygous lines of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) moench] for growth parameters. *The Bioscan*, 8(4):1275-1279
- More, D. C. and Patil, H. S. (2003). Heterosis and inbreeding depression for yield and yield components in okra. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 31(3): 141-148
- Owolarafe, O. K. and Shatonde, H. O. (2004). Some physical properties of okra fruit. *Journal of food Engineering*, 63:299-302
- Panase, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1989). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workesr. ICAR, New Delhi.
- Patel, B. G. and Patel, A. I. (2016). Heterosis Studies in Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). *Annals of*

- Agricultural and Environmental Sciences*, 1(1): 15–20
- Saifullah, M. and Rabbani, M. G. (2009). Evaluation and characterizations of Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench.] genotypes. *SAARC Journal Agriculture*, 7:92-99
- Singh, R. K., Mandal, G. and Singh, V. K. (2001). Studies on combining ability in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Progressive Horticulture*, 21(1-2): 1-8
- Sood, S. and Kalia, P. (2001). Heterosis and combining ability studies for some quantitative traits in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Science*, 30(1/2): 92-94
- Student. (1908a). The probable error of a mean. *Biometrika*, 6:1-25