

Journal of Applied and Natural Science 9 (1): 544 - 550 (2017)



# Effect of sowing dates and varieties on soybean performance in Vidarbha region of Maharashtra, India

# Anil Nath<sup>\*</sup>, A. P. Karunakar, Arvind Kumar and R. K. Nagar

Department of Agronomy, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola-444104 (MH), INDIA \*Corresponding author. E-mail: anil07nath@gmail.com

Received: June 12, 2016; Revised received: December 31, 2016; Accepted: February 21, 2017

**Abstract:** Soybean production is widely fluctuating in response to agro-environmental conditions year to year in Vidarbha region. Weather variations are the major determinants of soybean growth and yield. It is also important to study the response of suitable soybean varieties to varying weather parameters. So a field investigation was carried out to study the crop weather relationship of soybean and to optimize the sowing date with different soybean varieties. The results revealed that soybean crop sown up to 27<sup>th</sup> MW accumulated higher growing degree days (1640.5 °C day), photothermal units (20498.1 °C day hour) and recorded significantly higher seed yield (839 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and biological yield (2773 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with maximum heat use efficiency (0.51 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C day<sup>-1</sup>) and water productivity (2.49 kg ha-mm<sup>-1</sup>). Later sowings i.e. 30<sup>th</sup> MW sowing caused decreased amount of rainfall and increased maximum temperature regime across the total growing period with consequently lower seed yield (530 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), GDD (1539.2 °C day), PTU (18689.9 °C day hour), heat use efficiency (0.34kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C day<sup>-1</sup>) and water productivity (2.05kg ha-mm<sup>-1</sup>). Soybean variety TAMS 98-21 recorded significantly higher seed yield (734 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and highest biological yield (2649 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with maximum heat use efficiency (0.44 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C day<sup>-1</sup>), GDD (1650.5 °C day ) and water productivity (2.41 kg ha-mm<sup>-1</sup>). Thus, the results of this study illustrated the importance of early sowing with suitable variety of soybean and indicates that sowing upto 27<sup>th</sup> MW with variety TAMS 98-21 is optimum for maximizing the yield in the Akola region of Vidarbha.

Keywords: Crop weather relationship, Soybean, Sowing date, Varieties

## **INTRODUCTION**

Soybean is classified more as an oil seed crop than as a pulse. It contains 40-42 % of proteins and 18-20 % of oil. Due to its high nutritional value there is an increasing demand of soy food e.g. soymilk, soybean sprouts, soy nuts, several types of tofu, cottage cheese and curd. Soybean has been explored worldwide in a variety of food and is also an important constituent for animal feed. Despite of its high demand, India contributes only 3 % of world soybean production whereas the USA alone has about 34 per cent of the world soybean production followed by Brazil (30 %), Argentina (18 %) and China (4%). There are many factors limiting soybean production at farm. These factors are improper sowing time, climatic variability, low germination percentage, poor quality seed, irrigation shortage etc. Another possible reason of low production is the nonadoption of new developed varieties. The sowing of soybean varities of high yield potential at optimum sowing time is considered as a hopeful approach to increase soybean production. Generally, the sowing dates varies depending on the climatic condition of the region and the varieties to be grown. Different varieties of soybean are sensitive to change in environmental conditions where the crop is being sown. Therefore, it is also necessary to study the genotype  $\times$  environment interaction to identify the varieties which are stable in different environments (Calvino et al., 2003a). Sowing dates influence soybean growth stages, due to variation in photoperiod (Han et al., 2006; Kumudini et al., 2007), air temperature (Chen and Wiatrak, 2010), and rainfall distribution and amount during the crop cycle (Hu and Wiatrak, 2012). Meotti et al. (2012) observed that 77 % of soybean yield variability was associated with the climate conditions induced by the sowing dates. Sowing date is the variable with the largest effect on crop yield (Calvino et al., 2003b). Environmental conditions associated with late sowing affect crop features related to the capture of radiation and portioning of crop resources as soybean is a dicotyledonous photoperiod sensitive crop (short day) and faces thermo-sensitivity in nature. These include less vegetative growth (Board et al., 1992), shorter stems (Boquet, 1990); lower reproductive nodes and shortening of the reproductive phases (Kantolic and Slafer, 2001). Delayed sowing generally shifts reproductive growth into less favourable conditions with shorter days and lower radiation and temperature (Egli and Bruening, 2000). In a simulation study. Egli and Bruening (1992) found that reduced radiation and temperature accounted for most of the reduction in

yield associated with late planting in well watered soybean crops reaching maturity in late season. Soybean crop in Vidarbha region has emerged as a predominant rainy season crop. It has shown an unparalleled growth in area and production due to its early duration, low risk nature, suitability to double cropping, distinct yield advantage, higher price and better market support. Year to year soybean production, however, is widely fluctuating in response to agro-environmental conditions. Seasonal and daily variations in weather are the major determinants of crop growth and yield. Sovbean productivity mainly depends on the prevailing weather conditions encountered across the life cycle of the soybean crop. Further, the different weather parameters affect growth and development of crop differently. Environmental conditions prevailing over a particular agro-climatic zone cannot be altered; however, sowing time of a crop can be adjusted to take maximum advantage of the environmental factors to best suit various growth stages of crop. The productivity of soybean is governed by improved genotypes coupled with matching production and protection technology. Suitability of a variety to a particular agro-climate is most important factor in realizing the yield potential which is further influenced by their response to varying growing environment (Boote et al. 2008). Different sowing time exposes the crop to different set of environmental conditions which gets integrated in the final crop performance respective to the sowing time. Besides, it is important to study the response of suitable soybean varieties to varying weather parameters to optimize it for increased soybean productivity. Therefore, it was contemplated to have an insight on the effect of different weather parameters and thereby agro -meteorological indices for the production of soybean crop. The objective of present study was to evaluate the effect of sowing times and varieties on yield and yield components of soybean in agro-ecological conditions of Akola, MH.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

In order to evaluate the effects of sowing dates and varieties on various yield and yield attributes of soybean, a field experiment was conducted during kharif season of 2014 at the All India Coordinated Research Project on Agrometeorology under Dry land Agriculture Research Centre, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola (latitude of 22<sup>0</sup>42' North, longitude of 77°02' East and 307.41 meter above mean sea level). The climate of Akola is semi-arid and normal (average of 30 years) mean annual precipitation is 811.9 mm received in 42.8 rainy days. The normal mean monthly maximum temperature is 42.5 °C during the hottest month (May) while the normal mean monthly minimum temperature is 10.6 °C in the coldest month (December). Relative humidity attains the maximum value (74 - 87 %) during the south west

Table 1. Effect of various sowing dates on yield and quality attributes of different soybean varieties.	ous sowing dates on yie	eld and quality attribut	es of different s	oybean varieties						
Treatment	Initial plant stand 10 DAS Lakh ha <sup>-1</sup>	Final plant stand At harvest Lakh ha <sup>-1</sup>	Plant height (cm) 80 DAS	Leaf area plant <sup>-1</sup> (cm <sup>2</sup> ) 60 DAS	Leaf area index 60 DAS	dry matter accu- mulation plant <sup>-1</sup> (g) 80 DAS	Pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	Seed weight plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)	Seeds pod <sup>-1</sup>	100 seed (1) 6 (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)
Sowing time										ı I
D <sub>1</sub> - 27 MW (07 July)	3.88	3.82	29.98	836.42	3.72	10.97	26.58	4.33	2.58	
D <sub>2</sub> - 28 MW (14 July)	3.94	3.86	28.29	783.50	3.48	10.47	25.33	4.01	2.59	8.28
D <sub>3</sub> - 29 MW (21 July)	3.96	3.89	26.57	672.00	2.99	8.92	20.50	3.14	2.42	
D <sub>4</sub> - 30 MW (28 July)	3.91	3.86	25.70	608.17	2.70	8.10	16.80	2.40	2.41	
SE(m)+	0.04	0.03	0.79	20.60	0.09	0.22	0.57	0.14	0.05	
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	2.27	59.31	0.26	0.63	1.65	0.42	0.15	0.48
Variety										
V <sub>1</sub> - JS-335	3.93	3.86	25.63	718.88	3.20	9.44	22.76	3.51	2.56	8.23
$V_{2^{-}}$ JS-9305	3.94	3.87	26.40	689.56	3.06	9.21	20.03	3.20	2.48	7.79
V <sub>3</sub> - TAMS 98-21	3.91	3.84	30.87	766.63	3.41	10.19	24.13	3.71	2.46	8.01
$SE(m)\pm$	0.03	0.02	0.68	17.84	0.08	0.19	0.50	0.13	0.04	0.14
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	1.96	51.37	0.23	0.54	1.43	0.36	NS	NS
Interaction										
$SE(m) \pm$	0.06	0.05	1.36	35.68	0.16	0.38	0.99	0.25	0.09	0.29
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
GM	3.92	3.86	27.63	725.02	3.22	9.62	22.30	3.47	2.50	8.01

#### Anil Nath et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 9 (1): 544 - 550 (2017)

Mix         Mix         Mix         Mix         Mit         RH.I         RH.	Treatment	Seed yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)	Total grow- ing period	Total Rainfall (mm)	Air Ten ture ( <sup>0</sup> C)	Tempera- (°C)	Relative humidity (%)	e ty (%)	Growing degree days ( <sup>0</sup> C day )
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sowing time	D			D		Mx	Mn	RH-I	RH-II	· · ·
(b)       763       2602       29.37       92.0       492.6       32.7       22.5       85       55       160         (b)       550       2073       25.64       87.3       26.57       33.0       237       25       81       51       157         (b)       530       2073       25.64       87.3       26.57       33.0       2222       82       43       153         (c)       300       27.44       94.0       437.7       32.7       22.5       84       53       153         700       2379       24.9       90.0       437.7       32.7       32.7       35       164         734       2049       27.44       94.0       437.7       32.7       32.4       83       52       165         700       237       23.8       2.4       83       437.7       32.7       32.4       83       52       165         700       21.44       94.0       437.7       32.8       22.4       84       53       165         8       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       165       165       165	D <sub>1</sub> - 27 MW (07 July)	839	2773	30.26	93.3	538.7	32.8	22.9	85	54	1640.5
(0) $(60)$ $245$ $27.74$ $900$ $435$ $32.4$ $22.2$ $83$ $53$ $157$ $(0)$ $21$ $107$ $2.564$ $87.3$ $265.7$ $330$ $222$ $83$ $53$ $157$ $156$ $158$ $224$ $83$ $227$ $84$ $53$ $159$ $10$ $152$ $267$ $4377$ $327$ $224$ $84$ $53$ $159$ $10$ $152$ $152$ $152$ $152$ $152$ $150$ $10$ $152$ $234$ $3377$ $224$ $84$ $53$ $150$	D <sub>2</sub> - 28 MW (14 July)	763	2602	29.37	92.0	492.6	32.7	22.5	85	55	1608.2
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D <sub>3</sub> - 29 MW (21 July)	650	2345	27.74	90.0	453.6	32.4	22.2	83	53	1578.0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D <sub>4</sub> - 30 MW (28 July)	530	2073	25.64	87.3	265.7	33.0	22.2	82	49	1539.2
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SE(m) <u>+</u>	21	107	:							
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CD(P=0.05)	60	309	ł							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Variety										
653         2325         27.91         88.0         437.7         32.6         22.5         84         54         164           1         36         186         -         -         32.5         23.4         83         52         165	/ <sub>1</sub> - JS-335	700	2370	29.41	90.06	437.7	32.7	22.5	84	53	1577.7
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<sup>7</sup> <sub>2</sub> - JS-9305	653	2325	27.91	88.0	437.7	32.6	22.5	84	54	1546.2
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<sup>3-</sup> TAMS 98-21	734	2649	27.44	94.0	437.7	32.8	22.4	83	52	1650.5
52         268 $36$ $186$ $8$ $8$ $8$ $8$ $8$ $8$ $8$ $8$ $8$ $8$ $8$ $8$ $10.4$ $15.2$ $90.7$ $437.7$ $32.7$ $24$ $84$ $53$ $10.4$ $15.2$ $90.7$ $437.7$ $32.7$ $22.4$ $84$ $53$ $159$ various sowing dates on yield and quality attributes of different soybean varieties. $160$ $160$ $160$ $160$ $160$ $160$ $160$ $160$ $150$ $150$ $10$ $7351.3$ $20498.1$ $160$ $16$	E(m)+	18	93	:							
36 $186$ $NS$ NS $NS$ NS $10.4$ $15.2$ $10.4$ $15.2$ $28.25$ $90.7$ $437.7$ $32.7$ $224$ $84$ $53$ $159$ various sowing dates on yield and quality attributes of different soybean varieties.       Isolation $32.7$ $22.4$ $84$ $53$ $159$ various sowing dates on yield and quality attributes of different soybean varieties.       Isolation $0.51$ $160$ $336.7$ $0.91$ $169$ $336.7$ $0.91$ $193$ $19$ $759.6$ $19322.2$ $0.41$ $1.69$ $336.7$ $0.96$ $318.9$ $19$ $759.6$ $19322.2$ $0.41$ $1.69$ $236.5$ $296.5$ $199.2$ $259.1$ $189$ $296.5$ $189$ $296.5$ $189$ $296.5$ $189$ $296.5$ $189$ $296.5$ $189$ $296.5$ $199.2$ $259.1$ $183.9$ $259.1$ $189$ $256.1$ $189$ $296.5$ $199.2$ $259.1$ $813.$	D (P=0.05)	52	268	ł							
36         186 $10.4$ $15.2$ $ 10.4$ $15.2$ $ 10.4$ $15.2$ $ 10.4$ $15.2$ $ 10.4$ $15.2$ $ 2448$ $  247.7$ $  247.7$ $  248$ $  10.7$ $  10.7$ $  10.7$ $  10.7$ $  10.7$ $  10.7$ $  10.7$ $  10.7$ $  10.7$ $  10.7$ $  10.7$ $  10.7$ $  10.7$ $  10.7$ $ -$	nteraction										
NS         NS         -         152         -         152         153         159         159         150	E(m) <u>+</u>	36	186	:							
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D (P=0.05)	NS	NS	1							
696         2448         28.25 $90.7$ $437.7$ $32.7$ $22.4$ $84$ $53$ $159$ various sowing dates on yield and quality attributes of different soybean varieties.           Various sowing dates on yield and quality attributes of different soybean varieties. $100$ <	V %	10.4	15.2								
various sowing dates on yield and quality attributes of different soybean varieties.         Various sowing dates on yield and quality attributes of different soybean varieties.         Heliothermal units ( $^{0}C$ Photothermal units ( $^{0}C$ Aay II       Crop water use Eta day ( $^{1}$ )         Seed yield       Biomass         [y]       7351.3       20498.1       0.51       16.9       336.7         [y]       7351.3       20498.1       0.51       16.9       336.7         [y]       7599.6       19887.2       0.47       1.61       318.9         [y]       7599.5       0.34       1.50       336.7         [y]       7591.0       0.889.9       0.34       1.69       336.7         [y]       7591.0       0.34       1.50       303.2       303.1         [y]       7699.5       19442.5       0.44       1.60       303.1         7609.5       19082.3       0.44       1.60       303.2         777.8       133.0       20273.2       0.44       1.60       303.1	M	696	2448	28.25	90.7	437.7	32.7	22.4	84	53	1591.5
Table         Table <t< th=""><th>INTE 3. THEAT AT ATTAL</th><th>U SUWING UALCS</th><th>bury viciu allu quality</th><th>Dhotothoumal.</th><th>uite of day</th><th></th><th>ficionor</th><th></th><th>Cuon moto</th><th>ta neo Eto</th><th>M/D</th></t<>	INTE 3. THEAT AT ATTAL	U SUWING UALCS	bury viciu allu quality	Dhotothoumal.	uite of day		ficionor		Cuon moto	ta neo Eto	M/D
Iyi     Seel yield     Biomass       Iyi     7351.3     20498.1     0.51     1.69     336.7       Iyi     759.6     1988.2     0.47     1.61     318.9       Iyi     7599.6     1988.2     0.41     1.49     296.5       Iyi     7918.1     19322.0     0.41     1.49     296.5       Iyi     8242.3     18689.9     0.34     1.35     259.1       1yi     8242.3     1982.2     0.34     1.50     303.2       1yi     8133.0     20273.2     0.44     1.50     300.1       8133.0     20273.2     0.44     1.60     305.1       7777.8     1959.3     0.44     1.60     305.1	reatment		day hr)	r nototnet mat	umus ( c uay )	t net mat use t (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> °C	day <sup>1</sup> )		un) (m)	er use ela m)	ku (kg ha-mm <sup>-1</sup> )
1y)     7351.3     20498.1     0.51     1.69     336.7       1y)     7599.6     19887.2     0.47     1.61     318.9       1y)     7599.6     19887.2     0.47     1.61     318.9       1y)     7918.1     19322.0     0.41     1.49     296.5       1y)     8242.3     18689.9     0.34     1.35     259.1       1y)     8242.3     1942.5     0.44     1.35     259.1       7699.5     19442.5     0.44     1.50     303.2       7501.0     19082.3     0.42     1.50     303.1       8133.0     20273.2     0.44     1.60     305.1       7777.8     1959.3     0.42     1.50     302.1	owing time					eed yield	Biomas	5			
1)       759.6       1987.2       0.47       1.61       318.9         1y)       7918.1       19322.0       0.41       1.61       218.9         1y)       8242.3       19322.0       0.41       1.49       296.5         1y)       8242.3       19322.0       0.41       1.35       296.5         1y)       8242.3       1942.5       0.44       1.35       259.1         7699.5       19442.5       0.44       1.50       303.2         7601.0       19082.3       0.44       1.50       303.1         8133.0       20273.2       0.44       1.60       305.1         777.8       1959.3       0.44       1.60       305.1	1- 27 MW (07 July)		7351.3	2049		0.51	1.69	6	336	5.7	2.49
(y)       7918.1       19322.0       0.41       1.49       296.5         (y)       8242.3       18689.9       0.34       1.35       259.1         (x)       8242.3       18689.9       0.34       1.35       259.1         7699.5       19442.5       0.44       1.50       303.2         7501.0       19082.3       0.42       1.50       300.1         8133.0       20273.2       0.44       1.60       305.1         777.8       1959.3       0.44       1.60       305.1	28 MW (14 July)		7599.6	1988	7.2	0.47	1.6	_	318	6 8	2.39
Jy)       8242.3       18689.9       0.34       1.35       259.1         7699.5       7699.5       19442.5       0.44       1.50       303.2         7501.0       19082.3       0.42       1.50       303.1         8133.0       20273.2       0.44       1.60       305.1         777.8       1959.3       0.42       1.60       305.1	20 MW (21 July)		7918.1	1932	2.0	0.41	1.40	6	296	5.5	2.19
7695     19442.5     0.44     1.50     303.2       7501.0     19082.3     0.42     1.50     300.1       8133.0     20273.2     0.44     1.60     305.1       8133.0     20273.2     0.44     1.60     305.1       777.8     1959.3     303.2     303.2	<sup>4</sup> - 30 MW (28 July)		8242.3	1868	9.6	0.34	1.3		259	1.4	2.05
7695     19442.5     0.44     1.50     303.2       7501.0     19082.3     0.42     1.50     300.1       8133.0     20273.2     0.44     1.60     305.1       777.8     1959.3     303.2     303.2	E(m) <u>+</u> D (P=0.05)										
769.5     1942.5     0.44     1.50     303.2       7501.0     19082.3     0.42     1.50     300.1       8133.0     20273.2     0.44     1.60     305.1       8133.0     20273.2     0.44     1.60     305.1       777.8     1959.3     303.2     303.2	ariety										
7777.8     1959.3     0.42     1.50     305.1       303.1     20273.2     0.44     1.60     305.1			7600 5	10//	75	0.44	1 51	_	303	5	731
7177.8 19590.3 20273.2 0.44 1.60 305.1 305.1 303.2 303.2	1- JS-9305		7501 0	1908	5.2 2	0.47	1.5(		300	1. 10	1C.7 2 18
7177.8 19599.3 303.2	2 J2		8133.0	2027	2.5	0.44	1.6(		305	1.1	2.10
raction <th< th=""> <th< th=""> <th< <="" td=""><td>E(m)<u>+</u> D (P=0.05)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>,</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>i</td></th<></th<></th<>	E(m) <u>+</u> D (P=0.05)				1	-		,		1	i
$\begin{array}{ccc} n) \pm & & & \\ p = 0.05 \\ \% & & & & 19599.3 \end{array} $ 303.2	nteraction										
7777.8 19599.3 303.2	E(m) <u>+</u> D (P=0.05) V %										
	M		8.7777	1959	9.3				303	1.2	2.31

546

# Anil Nath et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 9 (1): 544 - 550 (2017)

monsoon season and the minimum (30-40 %) during summer months. During the kharif season of 2014, the total rainfall received (23rd MW to 52nd MW) at Akola centre was 588.6 mm in 31 rainy days as against normal rainfall of 766.1 mm in 38.4 rainy days (1971-2000). Rainfall received during 23-52 MW was 23.17per cent in deficit (177.5 mm) than normal. The soil is clayey in texture and moderately alkaline in reaction. Proportion of sand, silt and clay was 4.80, 31.70 and 63.50 %. Soil pH and EC was 8 and 0.31 dSm-1, respectively. The organic carbon, total nitrogen, available phosphorus and potassium were 0.68 %, 0.06 %, 21.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 354.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The cation exchange capacity was 56.4 Cmol/kg. Four sowing times (27 MW-July 07, 28 MW-July 14, 29 MW- July 21 and 30 MW- July 28) to create different set of environmental conditions for weather variability and three varieties (JS-335, JS-9305 and TAMS 98-21) were laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design with four replications. Thus, total no. of treatments and plots were 12 and 48 respectively. Gross and net plot size was 4.5 m x 5.0 m and 3.6 m x 4.6 m respectively. The spacing was 45 cm x 05 cm. Crop management factors like land preparation, fertilizer, weed control and other cultural practices were followed as recommended for local area. All the plant protection measures were adopted to make the crop free from insects and diseases. The data were recorded on ten randomly selected plants of each entry of each replication related to growth, phenological, yield, moisture use and agro-meteorological studies. The experimental data collected during the course of investigation were statistically analyzed with factorial randomized block design programme on computer by adopting standard statistical techniques of analysis of variance (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Plant stand:** The data revealed that different sowing dates and varieties had no effect on initial and final plant stand and plant population was not a variable factor due to favourable weather condition during complete july month (from 27<sup>th</sup> MW to 30<sup>th</sup> MW) which resulted into uniform emergence and its persistence throughout the crop growth period.

**Plant height:** The maximum plant height was recorded with  $D_1$ -27 MW (7<sup>th</sup> July) sowing whereas  $D_4$ -30 MW (28<sup>th</sup> July) produced least plant height at all stages of crop growth. Karad (1999), Kausale (2000) and Batwal *et al.* (2004) also reported that as the sowing was delayed there was reduction in plant height. The reason for increased plant height in early sowing may be the enhanced vegetative development of crop due to the favourable weather condition, particularly favourable rainfall and hence soil moisture regime throughout the growing period that facilitated better shoot growth. TAMS 98-21 (V<sub>3</sub>) recorded significantly higher plant

height at 80 days stage as compared to  $JS-9305(V_2)$ and JS-335 ( $V_1$ ). The superior performance of TAMS 98-21 compared to other two varieties in terms of plant height could be mainly due to inherent genetic characteristic of the variety with better growth and extending capacity of the shoot; and may be vegetative growth getting comparatively more share of photosynthates relative to the magnitude of reproductive growth and development.

Leaf area per plant: Leaf area recorded  $plant^{-1}$  showed that sowing time  $D_1$  (7<sup>th</sup> July) produced significantly higher leaf area over the rest, except that it was at par with  $D_2$  (14 July) at 60 days stage of crop growth. This was due to better growth and regeneration capacity of plants under favourable weather and soil moisture regime prevailed across earlier sown growing period and a sort of inadequate situation in terms of soil moisture and weather regime in late sown crop. Arora (1981) found the same and reported that in soybean number of leaves per plant were reduced with delay in sowing. In case of varieties, TAMS 98-21 produced significantly higher leaf area than JS- 9305 and it was at par with JS-335.

Leaf area index: Treatment  $D_1$  (7 July), being at par with  $D_2$  (14 July) at 60 days stage of crop growth, produced significantly higher leaf area index than  $D_3(21)$ July) and D<sub>4</sub> (28 July). In early sown crop greater leaf area caused higher leaf area index, which decreased in later sowings as a consequence of reduced leaf area. The findings are in agreement with Singh *et al.* (1987), Jasani et al. (1993) and Rajendra Prasad (2002). Singh et al. (1987) stated that early sown soybean crop (June 15) exhibited extended vegetative and reproductive phases that exerted favorable effect on LAI compared to late sown crop (June 30 and July 15), whereas Jasani et al. (1993) found that the leaf area index was highest under early sowing (23<sup>rd</sup> June, 8<sup>th</sup> July) with the onset of monsoon due to favourable environment for the crop during vegetative phase. According to Rajendra Prasad (2002) early planting gives great canopy to produce maximum yield. V3-TAMS 98-21 produced significantly higher leaf area index than V2- JS-9305.V<sub>3</sub>- TAMS 98-21 was at par with  $V_1$ - JS-335 at 60 DAS.

**Dry matter weight per plant:** Dry matter weight plant<sup>-1</sup> showed decreasing trend with later sowings (D<sub>1</sub> to D<sub>4</sub>). The observed trend could be attributed to comparatively less favourable weather conditions and soil moisture regime encountered along the growing period by later sown crop that decreased the dry matter accumulation. Besides, growth period of the crop also decreased with each successive delay in sowing which also caused reduced dry matter accumulation in late sowings. This corroborates the findings of Matsul, S. and K. Nishiiri (1982), Park *et al.* (2000) and Anil Kumar *et al.* (2008). Matsul, S. and K. Nishiiri (1982) in their field trials on three soybean cultivars sown at 10

days intervals from 20<sup>th</sup> May to 19<sup>th</sup> July observed that delay in sowing reduced dry matter per plant. Park et al. (2000) reported that growth period from sowing to physiological maturity was shortened as sowing was delayed. Stem weight was decreased by late sowing. Anil Kumar et al. (2008) reported that early sown soybean crop (June 16) produced more dry matter and also resulted in higher seed yield and stover than late sown crop as they availed more growing degree days. V<sub>3</sub>(TAMS 98-21) produced significantly higher dry matter weight plant<sup>-1</sup> than  $V_1$  (JS-335) and  $V_2$  (JS-9305). The differential dry matter accumulation among varieties may mainly be attributed to their genetic potential rather than the effect of external weather parameters which prevailed more or less in similar range along the growing period of varieties.

Pods per plant: Data regarding number of pods per plant revealed a decrease with delay in sowing time.Sowing of 27 MW (7 July, D1) recorded highest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (26.58). The lowest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded by  $D_4(16.80)$ . The above results are in conformity with the findings reported by Billore et al. (2000), and Batwal et al. (2004). Billore et al. (2000) observed that sowing on June 25<sup>th</sup> recorded significantly the highest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> than July 5<sup>th</sup>, July 10<sup>th</sup>, July 15<sup>th</sup> and July 20<sup>th</sup> sowings Batwal et al. (2004) reported that soybean crop sown during 25<sup>th</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> meteorological weeks recorded the highest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> higher seed yield at Pune, Maharashtra.Variety TAMS 98-21 (V<sub>3</sub>) recorded the maximum number of pods  $plant^{-1}$  (24.13) that was significantly more over JS-9305  $(V_2)$  and on par with JS-335  $(V_1)$ .

Seed weight per plant: Maximum seed weight plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded by 27 MW sowing-D<sub>1</sub> (4.33g). It was significantly superior over 29 and 30 MW sowings (D<sub>3</sub> and D<sub>4</sub>) and at par with 28 MW sowing (D<sub>2</sub>). Variety TAMS 98-21 recorded the maximum seed weight plant <sup>-1</sup> (3.71g).Seeds pod<sup>-1</sup>Treatment D<sub>2</sub> (14 July) recorded the maximum number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> (2.59) statistically at par with D<sub>1</sub> (2.58). The findings are in agreement with Park *et al.* (1987). Park *et al.* (1987) reported that early sowing attributed to higher pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, more seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> and greater 100 seed weight. Differences in number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> due to different varieties were statistically not significant. Numerically variety JS-335 recorded maximum number of seed pod<sup>-1</sup> (2.56) followed by JS-9305 (2.48) and TAMS 98-21 (2.46).

Hundred seed weight:  $D_1$  recorded the maximum hundred seed weight (8.32 g). JS-335 recorded maximum hundred seed weight (8.23g) followed by TAMS 98-21 (8.01 g) and JS-9305 (7.79 g) which is not significant statistically. The higher yield components with 7 July sowing ( $D_1$ -27MW) and 14<sup>th</sup> July sowing ( $D_2$ -28MW) was due to better expression of growth characters like plant height, dry matter production and leaf area due to favourable weather and soil moisture regime encountered across different phenophase by these two sowing times as compared to later sowings of  $D_3$ (21 July) and  $D_4$  (28 July). Later sowings had availability of progressively shorter rainy season and comparatively less favourable weather conditionsThis corroborates the findings of Park *et al.* (1987). Park *et al.* (1987) reported that early sowing attributed to higher pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, more seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> and greater 100 seed weight.

Seed yield: Significantly highest seed yield (839 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained when crop was sown on 7<sup>th</sup> July  $(D_1)$ . Crop sown on 28<sup>th</sup> July  $(D_4)$  recorded the lowest seed yield level of 530 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The results are in conwith Anil Kumar formity et al. (2008,Mengxuan Hu and Pawel Wiatrak (2011) and Kathmale et al. (2013). Anil Kumar et al. (2008) reported that early sown soybean crop (June 16) produced more dry matter and also resulted in higher seed yield and stover than late sown crop as they availed more growing degree days. Mengxuan Hu and Pawel Wiatrak (2011) reported that delayed planting date and unfavorable environmental conditions have a negative effect on soybean growth, development and so affect the grain yield. Kathmale et al. (2013) reported that significantly higher soybean seed yield was noticed in first two sowing dates i.e., on 25<sup>th</sup> June and 5<sup>th</sup> July. Soybean variety TAMS 98-21 recorded significantly higher seed yield (734 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) over JS-9305 (653 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), however it was statistically at par with JS-335 (700 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Superior yield level with TAMS 98-21 was due to better expression of reproductive components as compared to JS-335 and JS-9305.

**Biological yield:** Crop sown on  $7^{th}$ July (D<sub>1</sub>) recorded significantly higher biological yield (2773 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at par with  $14^{th}$  July sowing (D<sub>2</sub>). Progressive decrease in biological yield was noticed beyond  $14^{th}$  July (D<sub>2</sub>) sowing under D<sub>3</sub> (21st July) and D<sub>4</sub> (28th July). TAMS 98-21 recorded the highest biological yield (2649 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

**Harvest index:** Maximum har:vest index was recorded with  $D_1$  (07 July, 30.26%) sowing time whereas  $D_4$ recorded the least harvest index of 25.64 %. The above results are in conformity with Billore *et al.* (2000). Billore *et al.* (2000) observed that sowing on June 25<sup>th</sup> recorded significantly the highest harvest index than July 5<sup>th</sup>, July 10<sup>th</sup>, July 15<sup>th</sup> and July 20<sup>th</sup> sowings.Among the varieties, JS-335 recorded the maximum harvest index (29.41) followed by JS-9305 (27.91 %) and TAMS 98-21 (27.44 %). The finding shows better translocation efficiency of JS-335 compared to JS-9305 and TAMS 98-21.

**Total growing period:** Early sowing (27<sup>th</sup> MW) required more number of days for attaining various phenological stages reaching maturity in 93.3 days. Subsequent three sowings took 92, 90 and 87.3 days for maturity. This was due to cumulatively decreased duration of phenophases in response to the weather

variables in each of the successive delayed sowing. This is in conformity with Mengxuan Hu and Pawel Wiatrak (2011). Mengxuan Hu and Pawel Wiatrak (2011) reported that changes in photoperiod, temperature, and precipitation with delayed planting decrease the duration of vegetative and reproductive stages. The maturity period averaged was more in variety TAMS-98-21 (94 days) followed by JS-335 (90 days) and JS-9305 (88 days). This was more due to inherent genetic characteristics of the variety rather than any other interacting factor.

**Rainfall distribution, air temperature regime and relative humidity regime:** Crop sown during 27 MW (7<sup>th</sup> July) received higher amount of rainfall (538.7 mm) during the total growing period, which decreased with each delayed sowing date. All three varieties received an equal amount of rainfall. The maximum and minimum temperature regime did not vary much and remained more or less similar across the total growing period and among the varietie. Across the total growing period, morning (85 to 82 %) and evening relative humidity (55 to 49 %) did not show marked variation across D<sub>1</sub> to D<sub>4</sub> sowing environment. Among the varieties, again morning and evening humidity regime did not show marked variation and remained more or less similar.

**Growing degree day:** Across the total growing period (emergence to physiological maturity) of the crop the highest heat units were accumulated by  $D_1(1640.5 \, {}^{0}Cday)$  closely followed by  $D_2(1608.2 \, {}^{0}C day)$ ,  $D_3(1578.0 \, {}^{0}C day)$  and  $D_4(1539.2 \, {}^{0}C day)$ . TAMS 98-21 (V<sub>3</sub>) availed more number of GDD followed by JS-335 (V<sub>1</sub>) and JS-9305 (V<sub>2</sub>). Medida *et al.* (2006) and Anil Kumar *et al.* (2008) reported similar findings. Medida *et al.* (2006) reported that growing degree-days consumed by the crop to reach physiological maturity was higher in first date sown crop (30 May) than other sown crops (24 June and 30 June). Anil Kumar *et al.* (2008) reported that early sown soybean crop (June 16) availed more growing degree days.

Heliothermal units: Late sown crop  $D_4$  (30 MW) availed higher HTU (8242.3 °C day hour) followed by  $D_3$  (7918.1°C day hour),  $D_2$  (7599.6 day hour) and  $D_1$  $(7351.3^{\circ}C \text{ day hour})$ . This was mainly due to more number of sunshine hours available across seed formation to seed development stage in later sown crops (D<sub>3</sub> and D<sub>4</sub>). TAMS 98-21 (V<sub>3</sub>) accrued more number of HTUs (8133.0 °C day hour) followed by JS-335 (7699.5<sup>o</sup>C day hour) and JS-9305 (7501.0<sup>o</sup>C day hour). **Photothermal unit:** Early sown crop  $D_1$  (27 MW) availed higher PTU (20498.1 °C day hour) followed by D<sub>2</sub> (19887.2 <sup>0</sup>C day hour), D<sub>3</sub> (19322.0<sup>0</sup>C day hour) and  $D_4$  (18689.9 <sup>0</sup>C day hour). TAMS 98-21 (V<sub>3</sub>) accrued more number of PTUs (20273.2 °C day hour) followed by JS-335 (19442.5 °C day hour) and JS-9305 (19082.3 <sup>o</sup>C day hour).

Thermal use efficiency: Amongst the sowing time,

thermal use efficiency in terms of seed yield was found to be maximum (0.51 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> °C day<sup>-1</sup>) under 27 MW (07 July) sowing. Thermal use efficiency with respect to biomass production was more with 27 MW sowing (1.69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> °Cday<sup>-1</sup>). Among the varieties, thermal use efficiency in terms of seed yield was similar and higher with V<sub>1</sub>- JS-335 and V<sub>3</sub>-TAMS 98-21 (0.44 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> °C day<sup>-1</sup>) followed by V<sub>2</sub>-JS-9305 (0.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> °C day<sup>-1</sup>). Thermal use efficiency in terms of biomass yield was higher with V<sub>3</sub>-TAMS 98-21 (1.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> °C day<sup>-1</sup>) followed similarly by JS 9305 and JS-335 (1.50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> °C day<sup>-1</sup>).

**Crop water use and water productivity:** Under different sowing time, actual crop water use (Eta) was the maximum (336.7 mm) in D<sub>1</sub> followed by D<sub>2</sub> (318.9 mm), D<sub>3</sub> (296.5 mm) and D<sub>4</sub> (259.1 mm). Amongst the varieties, actual crop water use (Eta) was the maximum with V<sub>3</sub> (305.1 mm) followed by V<sub>1</sub> (300.1 mm) and V<sub>2</sub> (300.1 mm). Water productivity (WP) was maximum with D<sub>1</sub> (2.49 kg ha-mm<sup>-1</sup>) followed by D<sub>2</sub> (2.39 kg ha-mm<sup>-1</sup>), D<sub>3</sub> (2.19 kg ha-mm<sup>-1</sup>) and D<sub>4</sub> (2.05kg ha-mm<sup>-1</sup>). Amongst the varieties, TAMS-98-21 recorded the maximum WP (2.41 kg ha-mm<sup>-1</sup>) followed by JS-335 (2.31 kg ha-mm<sup>-1</sup>) and JS-9305 (2.18kgha-mm<sup>-1</sup>).

## Conclusion

The results obtained from present investigation revealed that soybean crop sown up to 27<sup>th</sup> MW accumulated higher growing degree days (1640.5 <sup>o</sup>C day). photothermal units (20498.1 °C day hour) and recorded significantly higher seed yield (839 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and biological yield (2773 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with maximum heat use efficiency (0.51 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> <sup>o</sup>C day<sup>-1</sup>) and water productivity(2.49 kg ha-mm<sup>-1</sup>). Soybean variety TAMS 98-21 recorded significantly higher seed yield (734 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and highest biological yield (2649 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with maximum heat use efficiency (0.44 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> °C day<sup>-1</sup>), GDD (1650.5 °C day) and water productivity (2.41 kg hamm<sup>-1</sup>). Thus, the results of this study illustrated the importance of early sowing with suitable variety of soybean as the sowing earlier seems to present the greatest opportunity to maximize yield and yield components. So, sowing of soybean should not be delayed beyond 27<sup>th</sup> MW. In conclusion, the sowing upto 27<sup>th</sup> MW with variety TAMS 98-21 is optimum for maximizing the yield in the Akola region of Vidarbha.

## REFERENCES

- Arora, S. K. (1981). Effect of different dates of sowing and spacing on the growth and yield of soybean, M.Sc. Thesis (Unpub), PDKV, Akola.
- Batwal, G. D., Sable, R. N., Varshneya and M. C. (2004). Effect of sowing time on growth, yield and quality of soybean. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities*, 29(1):84-85
- Billore, S. D., Joshi, O. P. and Ramesh, A. (2000). Perfor-

mance of soybean (*Glycine max*) genotypes on different sowing dates and row spacings in vertisols. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 70 (9):577-580

- Board, J. E., Kamal, M. and Harville, B. G. (1992). Temporal importance of greater light interception to increase narrow-row soybean. *Agro. J.*, 84: 575-579
- Boote, K. J., Jones, J. W. and Hoogenboom, G. (2008). Crop simulation models as tools for agro-advisories for weather and disease effects on production. *Indian J. Agromet.*, 1: 9-17
- Boquet, D. J. (1990). Plant population density and row spacing effects on soybean at post-optimal planting dates. *Agro. J.*, 82: 59-64
- Calvino., P. A., Sadras, V. O. and Andrade, F. H. (2003a). Quantification of environmental and management effects on the yield of late-sown soybean. *Field Crops Res.*, 83: 67-77
- Calvino, P. A., Sadras, V. O. and Andrade, F. H. (2003b). Development, growth and yield of late-sown soybean in the southern Pampas. *Europ. J. Agro.*, 19: 265-275
- Chen, G. H. and Wiatrak, P. (2010). Soybean development and yield are influenced by planting date and environmental conditions in the southeastern coastal plain, United States. *Agronomy Journal*, 102:1731-1737.
- Egli, D. B. and Bruening, W. P. (1992). Planting date and soybean yield:evaluation of environmental effects with a crop simulation model:SOYGRO. *Agric. Meteorol.*, 62: 19-29
- Egli, D. B. and W. P. Bruening. (2000). Potential of early maturing soybean cultivars in late plantings. *Agro. J.*, 62: 19-29
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A .A. (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural research, Second Edition. A Wiley –Interscience Publication, John Wiley and Sons, New York Pp 89-90
- Han, T. F., Wu, C. X., Tong, Z., Mentreddy, R. S., Tan, K. H. and Gai, J. Y. (2006). Postflowering photoperiod regulates vegetative growth and reproductive development of soybean. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 55:120-129
- Hu, M. and Wiatrak, P. (2012). Effect of planting date on soybean growth, yield, and grain quality: Review. *Agronomy Journal*, 104:785-790
- Jasani, K. P., Patel, M. P. and Patel H. S. (1993). Growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max*) as influenced by sowing period and seed rate. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 38(4): 670-672
- Kantolic, A. G. and Slafer, G. A. (2001). Photoperiod sensitivity after flowering and seed number determination in

indeterminate soybean cultivars. *Field Crops Res.*, 72: 109-118

- Karad, S. L. (1999). Effect of sowing dates and plant population on growth and yield of soybean and mungbean varieties. M.Sc. Thesis (unpub.) MAU, Parbhani.
- Kathmale, D. K., Andhale, A. U. and Deshmukh, M. P. (2013). Growth and yield of soybean genotypes as influenced by sowing time at different locations under climate change situation in Maharashtra. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*, 4 (4):492-495
- Kausale, A. M. (2000). Effect of sowing dates on seed production of soybean varieties in post monsoon season. M.Sc. Thesis (Unpub.) MAU, Parbhani.
- Kumar, A., Pandey, V., Sheikh, A. M. and Kumar, M. (2008). Evaluation of Cropgro-Soybean (Glycine max. [L] (Merrill) Model under Varying Environment Condition. *American Eurasian J. Agron.*, 1(2):34-40
- Kumudini, S. V., Pallikonda, P. K. and Steele, C. (2007). Photoperiod and e-genes influence the duration of the reproductive phase in soybean. *Crop Science*, v.47, p.1510–1517
- Matsul, S. and Nishiiri, K. (1982). Effect of planting date on yield of soybean in Hokkiads II. Compnsation for yield decrease due to late planting by increased plant population. *Field Crop Abstr.*, 35(10):814-817
- Medida, S. K., Singh, D. and Singh, S. (2006). Effect of sowing dates on agrometeorological indices of soybean. *Annals of Biology*, 22(1):49-51
- Mengxuan, Hu. and Wiatrak, P. (2011). Effect of Planting Date on Soybean Growth, Yield, and Grain Quality: Review. *Agron j.*, 104(3):785-790
- Meotti, G.V., Benin, G., Silva, R.R., Beche, E. and Mumaro, L.B. (2012). Épocas de semeadura e desempenho agronômico de cultivares de soja. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 47:14-21
- Park, K. Y., Jeong, B. C. and Rho Hong, E. H. (1987). Effect of planting date on dry matter production and ecological characteristics of soybean in southern region of Korea. *Korean Journal of Crop Science*, 32: 402-416
- Park, S. K. and Rakchun, S. (2000). Influence of different planting lines in harvest index and yield determination factor in soybean. *Korean J. Crop Sci.*, 45(2): 97-102
- Prasad, R. (2002). Soybean In text of field crop production, directorate of information and publication of agriculture, Indian council of agricultural research, Pusa, New Delhi Pp: 381-383
- Singh, H., Chandra, S. and Jolly, R.S. (1987). Effect of growth regulators in relation to time of sowing and yield of soybean cultivars. *Annual Bio.*, 3(1): 36-43