

Journal of Applied and Natural Science 9 (1): 492 - 496 (2017)



Toxicological studies on *Helicoverpa armigera* in pigeonpea growing in Vidarbha region of Maharashtra, India

P. B. Salunke^{*}, S. S. Lande and R. M. Wadaskar

Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola-444104 (Maharashtra), INDIA *Corresponding author. E-mail: pankajsalunke75@gmail.com

Received: April 26, 2016; Revised received: December 30, 2016; Accepted: February 16, 2016

Abstract: Insecticide resistance level in pigeonpea pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) to technical grade insecticides collected from major pigeonpea growing districts of Vidarbha viz., Akola, Amravati, Buldhana, Yavatmal and Washim was worked out. LDP indicated LD_{50} of Cypermethrin in the range of 1.402 to 9.209 ppm with maximum in Yavatmal (9.209 ppm); LD_{90} within range of 6.021 to 18.427 ppm. LD_{50} of Quinalphos in the range of 1.303 to 4.789 ppm with maximum in Yavatmal (4.789 ppm); LD_{90} within range of 3.150 to 14.194 ppm. LD_{50} of Methomyl in the range of 1.297 to 3.792 ppm with maximum in Yavatmal (3.792 ppm); LD_{90} within range of 4.993 to 16.737 ppm. LD_{50} of Indoxacarb in the range of 0.521 to 2.709 ppm with maximum in Yavatmal (2.709 ppm); LD_{90} within range of 2.819 to 20.947 ppm. LD_{50} of Spinosad in the range of 0.713 to 2.408 ppm with maximum in Buldhana (2.408 ppm); LD_{90} within range of 6.413 to 18.349 ppm. The resistance level is visibly high in cypermethrin, moderate to indoxacarb, quinalphos, spinosad and low to methomyl; Yavatmal and Washim strains expressed higher resistance level to cypermethrin, quinalphos and methomyl, whereas Yavatmal and Buldhana strains expressed higher resistance level to indoxacarb and spinosad. The investigation will help to track resistence level in *Helicoverpa armigera* to different groups of insecticides.

Keyword: Cypermethrin, Indoxacarb, Methomyl, Quinalphos, Spinosad

INTRODUCTION

Helicoverpa armigera (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is the most dreaded species commonly known as cotton bollworm, pigeonpea pod borer, American bollworm. It is a polyphagous pest of worldwide occurrence inflicting annual crop damage worth US \$1 billion in India (Upendhar et al., 2011). In India this insect has been recorded on more than 200 hosts (Pawar, 1998). About 250 species of insects belonging to 8 orders and 61 families have been found to attack on pigeonpea, among these gram pod borer, H. armigera (Hubner), tur plume moth, Exelastis atomosa (Walsh) and tur pod fly, Melanogramyza obtusa (Mall) are important pod feeders of pigeonpea (Durairaj, 1999) causing considerable losses in grain yield ranging from 3-100 per cent (Sharma et al., 2001). The losses due to H. armigera alone contribute upto 50 per cent (Thakre, 2001).

The management of *Helicoverpa* has become increasingly difficult due to indiscriminate and extensive use of chemical insecticides which led to development of resistance to insecticides (Armes *et al.*, 1996; Kranthi et al., 1997; Ramasubramaniam and Regupathy 2004). Insecticide resistance in *H. armigera* in India was first recorded in 1987 when widespread field control failure were reported by farmers growing cotton and pulse crop in Andra Pradesh (McCaffery *et al.*, 1989). This trend still exists though, not documented in the literature reviewed. Resistance monitoring is an indispensable prerequisite in designing any IPM programme. Thus, an assessment of resistance profile and mechanism of resistance would be key for the management of *H. armigera* and to decide the management strategy for management of insecticide resistant strains which could help in high production and productivity in pigeonpea. The present investigation was carried out to evaluate the level of resistance to commonly used insecticides in *H. armigera* collected from different locations of Vidarbha that will help to track the level of resistance to different groups of insecticides.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Test insect: *H. armigera* (Hub.) larvae were collected from different fields of pigeonpea during 2013-14 from five major pigeonpea growing districts of Vidarbha (Amravati, Yavatmal, Buldhana, Akola and Washim). Field collected populations were reared on semi synthetic diet using standard rearing technique (Armes *et al.*, 1992) in laboratory. Eggs collected from mating chamber were allowed to hatch and third instar larvae of F_1 generation were used to evaluate the level of resistance of *H. armigera* to commonly used insecticides.

Method of preperation of artificial semi-synthetic diet (Armes et al., 1992): All ingredients were kept

ISSN : 0974-9411 (Print), 2231-5209 (Online) All Rights Reserved © Applied and Natural Science Foundation www.jans.ansfoundation.org

together, one fraction was prepared by boiling agar upto boiling point in half quantity of water, then yeast was added and the mixture was again boiled. The second fraction containing other ingredients except sorbic acid, vitamin suppliment and streptomycin were weighed as per recipe and were homogenously mixed in mixer. These two fractions were thoroughly mixed together after adding remaining ingredients. The semiliquid diet was poured in petri-plates. The diet was allowed to cool and then pour into multicellular tray for easy feeding. For the preservation of diets for few days, they were stored in refrigerator. The recipe of ingredients of different diets is given in Table 2.

Insecticide: Five technical grade insecticides from different groups were used, cypermethrin 10 EC (synthetic pyrethroid), quinalphos 25 EC (organophosphate), methomyl 40 SP (carbamate), indoxacarb 15.8 EC (oxidiazin) and spinosad 45 SC (microcyclic lactone).

Bioassay: The bioassays were conducted by topical application using Hamilton micro applicator to evaluate the toxicity of test insecticides (Kranthi *et al.*, 2002).

Topical application method: Graded stock solutions through serial dilution technique of the test insecticide were prepared from the technical grade products by dissolving the required quantities after accurate weighing in acetone. One microlitre of the test insecticide solution was applied on the dorsum of thoracic segment by micro applicator. Three replications were maintained for each concentration with 30 larvae for each concentration and minimum 5-7 insecticide concentration. Larvae were held individually in 12-well tissue culture plates containing artiPcial diet, at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C or 6 d when mortality was recorded. All rearing and bioassay operations were carried out at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C under a photoperiod of 12:12 (L:D) hours (Kranthi *et al.*, 2002).

Maintenance of susceptible strain: *H. armigera* were collected from pigeonpea field (unsprayed) from dif-

 Table 1. Ingredients for the preparation of semisynthetic diet used for rearing of *H. armigera* larvae.

S. N.	Ingredients	Quantity				
Part –	Part – A					
1	Chickpea flour	160 g				
2	Wheat germ	60 g				
3	Ascorbic acid	5.3 g				
4	Methyl-4-hydroxybenzoate	3.3 g				
5	Sorbic acid	1.7 g				
6	Aureomycin	2.5 g				
7	Formaldehyde (10 % v/v)	13.5 ml				
8	Distilled water	550 ml				
Part –	В					
1	Yeast (Dried)	53 g				
2	Agar-agar	16 g				
3	Distilled water	550 ml				

ferent locations and maintained in laboratory for seven generation without exposure to insecticides.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Log dose probit assays were carried to determine the median lethal concentration and level of resistance of different insecticides in *H. armigera* (Hubner) strains collected from the different locations of Vidarbha, M.S., India, during 2013-14.

Toxicity and level of resistance of insecticides to *H. armigera* (Hubner)

Cypermethrin: Cypermethrin indicated significant levels of resistance in field collected populations from Yavatmal and Washim. LD₅₀ values of Cypermethrin ranged from 1.402 to 9.209 ppm (Table 2). High level of resistance was observed in Yavatmal population. The LD₅₀ of Yavatmal strain was 9.209 ppm, while its LD₉₀ was 18.427 ppm. It was followed by Washim with LD₅₀ value 8.176, Amaravati with LD₅₀ value 7.551, Akola with LD_{50} value 7.354 and Buldhana with LD₅₀ value 6.803 ppm, respectively. The laboratory strain was found most susceptible amongst the strain tested. LD₅₀ for laboratory strain was 1.402 ppm whereas, LD_{90} was 6.021 ppm. The fiducial limits at 95 per cent of LD₅₀ were between 0.941 and 2.890 ppm. The DrPDKV strain was followed by laboratory strain having 5.841 ppm LD_{50} value and LD_{90} was 10.887 ppm.

Yavatmal strain showed resistance ratio of 6.56 and 1.57 as compare to laboratory and DrPDKV strain, followed by Washim with 5.83 and 1.40, Amaravati with 5.38 and 1.29, Akola with 5.24 and 1.25, Buldhana with 4.85 and 1.16, respectively. The order of toxicity of Cypermethrin to *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) was Yavatmal > Washim > Amravati > Akola > Buldhana > DrPDKV.

Quinalphos: Quinalphos indicated significant levels of resistance in field collected populations from Yavatmal and Amaravati. LD50 values of Quinalphos ranged from 1.303 to 4.789 ppm (Table 2). High level of resistance was observed in Yavatmal population. The LD₅₀ of Yavatmal strain was 4.789 ppm, while its LD₉₀ was 14.194 ppm. It was followed by Washim with LD_{50} value 4.250, Amaravati with LD_{50} value 4.089, Akola with LD₅₀ value 3.968 and Buldhana with LD₅₀ value 3.466 ppm, respectively. The laboratory strain was found most susceptible amongst the strain tested. LD₅₀ for laboratory strain was 1.303 ppm whereas, LD₉₀ was 3.150 ppm. The fiducial limits at 95 per cent of LD₅₀ were between 0.591 and 1.759 ppm. The DrPDKV strain was followed by laboratory strain having LD₅₀ value 3.466 ppm.

Yavatmal strain showed resistance ratio of 3.67 and 1.53 as compare to laboratory and DrPDKV strain, followed by Washim with 3.26 and 1.36, Amaravati with 3.13 and 1.31, Akola with 3.04 and 1.27, Buldhana with 2.66 and 1.11, respectively. The order of

P. B. Salunke et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci.	. 9 (1): 492 - 496 (2017)
---	---------------------------

Insecticides	Strain	LD ₅₀ (95% FL)	LD ₉₀ (95% FL)	Slope (<u>+</u> SE)	Chi	RR o	ver
					Square	FS	LS
Cypermethrin	Akola	7.354 (6.444 - 8.450	12.765 (10.3 -24.123)	5.351 ± 1.42	0.022	1.25	5.24
	Amaravati	7.551 (6.637 - 8.76)	13.014 (10.45-25.33)	5.420 ± 1.46	0.108	1.29	5.38
	Buldhana	6.803 (5.816 - 7.70)	12.171 (9.885 - 22.45)	5.073 ± 1.35	0.184	1.16	4.85
	Washim	8.176 (7.03 - 9.886)	13.127 (10.52 - 33.16)	6.233 ± 2.04	0.149	1.40	5.83
	Yavatmal	9.209 (7.786 - 16.75)	18.427 (12.4-192.52)	4.254 ± 1.56	0.108	1.57	6.56
	DrPDKV (Field)	5.841 (4.337 - 6.82)	10.887 (9.12 - 22.45)	4.623 ± 1.36	0.230		
	Lab Sus-ceptible	1.402 (0.941 - 2.89)	6.021 (3.138 - 7.954)	4.05 ± 1.85	0.526		
Quinalphos	Akola	3.968 (3.10 - 5.025)	10.521 (7.308 - 28.94)	3.026 ± 0.77	0.320	1.27	3.04
	Amaravati	4.089 (3.27 - 5.354)	12.209 (7.99 - 40.00)	2.697 ± 0.68	0.456	1.31	3.13
	Buldhana	3.466 (2.66 - 4.118)	7.308 (5.795 - 12.44)	3.955 ± 0.91	0.747	1.11	2.66
	Washim	4.250 (3.25 - 5.817)	12.799 (8.114 - 56.37)	2.676 ± 0.75	0.646	1.36	3.26
	Yavatmal	4.789 (3.56 - 7.371)	14.194 (8.53 -121.57)	2.716 ± 0.88	0.043	1.53	3.67
	DrPDKV (Field)	3.121 (2.337 - 4.82)	6.887 (5.12 - 22.453)	4.023 ± 1.06	0.267		
	Lab Sus-ceptible	1.303 (0.591 - 1.76)	3.150 (2.545 - 4.352)	3.34 ± 0.85	0.840		
Methomyl	Akola	3.099 (2.03 - 4.379)	12.058 (7.126 - 67.54)	2.172 ± 0.62	0.514	1.45	2.38
	Amaravati	3.640 (2.446 - 5.51)	13.698 (7.736 -125.3)	2.226 ± 0.70	0.567	1.61	2.66
	Buldhana	2.738 (2.03 - 3.556)	10.151 (6.56 - 29.46)	2.252 ± 0.51	1.589	1.28	2.11
	Washim	3.452 (2.383-5.329)	15.809 (8.367-143.15)	1.939 ± 0.56	0.890	1.70	2.80
	Yavatmal	3.792 (2.496 - 6.44)	16.737 (8.53 - 298.99)	1.987 ± 0.65	0.437	1.77	2.92
	DrPDKV (Field)	2.138 (1.73 - 3.156)	8.151 (6.56 - 19.46)	2.282 ± 0.91	1.589		
	Lab Sus-ceptible	1.297 (0.691 - 1.76)	4.993 (3.617 - 9.922)	2.188 ± 0.50	2.886		
Indoxacarb	Akola	1.574 (0.974 -2.263)	9.150 (5.17 - 36.887)	1.676 ± 0.39	0.909	1.47	3.02
	Amaravati	1.802 (1.026 - 2.77)	11.285 (5.839 - 73.62)	1.608 ± 0.42	0.435	1.68	3.45
	Buldhana	2.311 (1.31 - 3.547)	10.852 (5.91 - 81.94)	1.907 ± 0.56	0.710	2.15	4.43
	Washim	2.148 (1.29 - 3.288)	11.449 (6.096 - 72.53)	1.763 ± 0.47	0.784	2.0	4.12
	Yavatmal	2.709 (1.548 - 5.538)	20.947 (8.36 - 799.64)	1.442 ± 0.45	0.504	2.52	5.19
	DrPDKV (Field)	1.074 (0.574 - 1.963)	7.150 (4.17 - 26.887)	1.963 ± 0.39	1.209		
	Lab Sus-ceptible	0.521 (0.38 - 0.948)	2.819 (2.02 - 5.06)	2.07 ± 0.40	1.049		
Spinosad	Akola	1.694 (0.85 - 2.722)	16.299 (7.48 -154.41)	1.303 ± 0.34	2.040	1.41	2.37
	Amaravati	2.025 (1.12 - 3.135)	14.909 (7.34 -121.43)	1.478 ± 0.39	2.227	1.68	2.84
	Buldhana	2.408 (1.20 - 3.711)	13.523 (6.83 -233.89)	1.709 ± 0.56	0.507	2.01	3.37
	Washim	1.607 (0.94 - 2.414)	13.634 (6.90 - 72.681)	1.380 ± 0.32	2.967	1.33	2.25
	Yavatmal	2.334 (1.001- 3.416)	10.340 (5.75-189.48)	1.982 ± 0.70	1.084	1.94	3.27
	DrPDKV (Field)	1.207 (0.689 - 2.31)	18.349 (9.84 -183.41)	1.113 ± 0.38	1.349		
	Lab Sus-ceptible	0.713 (0.36 - 1.266)	6.413 (3.79 - 19.38)	1.429 ± 0.31	6.677		

Table 2. Toxicity and level of resistance of different insecticides to H. armigera (Hub) (150 larvae/concentration).

toxicity of Quinalphos to *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) was Yavatmal > Washim > Amravati > Akola > Buldhana > DrPDKV.

Methomyl: Methomyl indicated significant levels of resistance in field collected populations from Yavatmal and Amaravati. LD₅₀ values of Methomyl ranged from 1.297 to 3.792 ppm (Table 2). High level of resistance was observed in Yavatmal population. The LD_{50} of Yavatmal strain was 3.792 ppm, while its LD₉₀ was 16.737 ppm. It was followed by Washim with LD_{50} value 3.640, Amaravati with LD₅₀ value 3.452, Akola with LD₅₀ value 3.099 and Buldhana with LD₅₀ value 2.738 ppm, respectively. The laboratory strain was found most susceptible amongst the strain tested. LD_{50} for laboratory strain was 1.297 ppm whereas, LD₉₀ was 4.993 ppm. The fiducial limits at 95 per cent of LD_{50} were between 0.691 and 1.762 ppm. The LD₅₀ of DrPDKV strain was followed by laboratory strain having values 2.138 ppm.

Yavatmal strain showed resistance ratio of 2.92 and 1.77 as compared to laboratory and DrPDKV strain, followed by Washim with 2.80 and 1.70, Amravati with 2.66 and 1.61, Akola with 2.38 and 1.45, Buldhana with 2.11 and 1.28, respectively. The order of

toxicity of Methomyl to *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) was Yavatmal > Amravati > Washim > Akola > Buldhana > DrPDKV.

Indoxacarb: Indoxacarb indicated significant levels of resistance in field collected populations from Yavatmal and Buldhana. $LD_{50}\,values \;of \;Indoxacarb \;ranged from$ 0.521 to 2.709 ppm (Table 2). High level of resistance was observed in Yavatmal population. The LD50 of Yavatmal strain was 2.709 ppm, while its LD₉₀ was 20.947 ppm. It was followed by Buldhana with LD_{50} value 2.311, Washim with LD₅₀ value 2.148 and Amaravati with LD₅₀ value 1.802, Akola with LD₅₀ value 1.574 ppm, respectively. The laboratory strain was found most susceptible amongst the strain tested. LD₅₀ for laboratory strain was 0.521 ppm whereas, LD₉₀ was 2.819 ppm. The fiducial limits at 95 per cent of LD_{50} were between 0.382 and 0.948 ppm. The DrPDKV strain was followed by laboratory strain having 1.074 ppm LD_{50} value and LD_{90} was 16.299 ppm.

Yavatmal strain showed resistance ratio of 5.19 and 2.52 as compared to laboratory and DrPDKV strain, followed by Buldhana with 4.43 and 2.15, Washim with 4.12 and 2.0, Amaravati with 3.45 and 1.68, Akola with 3.02 and 1.47, respectively. The order of toxici-

ty of Indoxacarb to *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) was Yavatmal > Buldhana > Washim > Amravati > Akola > DrPDKV.

Spinosad: Spinosad indicated significant levels of resistance in field collected populations from Buldhana and Yavatmal. LD50 values of Spinosad ranged from 0.713 to 2.408 ppm (Table 2). High level of resistance was observed in Buldhana population. The LD₅₀ of Buldhana strain was 2.408 ppm, while its LD₉₀ was 13.523 ppm. It was followed by Yavatmal with LD₅₀ value 2.334, Amaravati with LD₅₀ value 2.025, Akola with LD_{50} value 1.694 and Washim with LD_{50} value 1.607 ppm, respectively. The laboratory strain was found most susceptible amongst the strains tested. LD_{50} for laboratory strain was 0.713 ppm whereas, LD₉₀ was 6.413 ppm. The fiducial limits at 95 per cent of LD_{50} were between 0.365 and 1.266 ppm. The LD_{50} of DrPDKV strain was followed by laboratory strain having values 1.207 ppm.

Buldhana strain showed resistance ratio of 3.37 and 2.01 as compared to laboratory and DrPDKV strain, followed by Yavatmal with 3.27 and 1.94, Amaravati with 2.84 and 1.68, Akola with 2.37 and 1.41, Washim with 2.25 and 1.33, respectively. The order of toxicity of Spinosad to *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) was Buldhana > Yavatmal > Amravati > Akola > Washim > DrPDKV.

LDP during 2013-14 indicated LD₅₀ value of Cypermethrin in the range of 1.402 to 9.209 ppm with maximum in Yavatmal (9.209 ppm) and LD₉₀ value within range of 6.021 to 18.427 ppm. LD₅₀ value of Quinalphos in the range of 1.303 to 4.789 ppm with maximum in Yavatmal (4.789 ppm) and LD_{90} value within range of 3.150 to 14.194 ppm. LD₅₀ value of Methomyl in the range of 1.297 to 3.792 ppm with maximum in Yavatmal (3.792 ppm) and LD₉₀ value within range of 4.993 to 16.737 ppm. LD₅₀ value of Indoxacarb in the range of 0.521 to 2.709 ppm with maximum in Yavatmal (2.709 ppm) and LD₉₀ value within range of 2.819 to 20.947 ppm. LD₅₀ value of Spinosad in the range of 0.713 to 2.408 ppm with maximum in Buldhana (2.408 ppm) and LD_{90} value within range of 6.413 to 18.349 ppm.

The pesticides continue to play a pivotal role in pest control because of which the situation is accentuated by rapid rise in resistance toward insecticides. Several new insecticide molecules are being introduced in the market for controlling pests. In the present investigation, efforts were made to monitor levels of insecticide resistance in *H armigera* (Hubner) collected from various locations of Vidarbha, M.S., India.

These findings are in the same line asthe results reported by previous workers. Rao *et al.* (2005) carried out Insecticide Resistance studies on *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) against cypermethrin $0.1 \mu g/\mu l$, fenvalrate $0.2 \ \mu g/\mu l$, endosulfan $10 \mu g/\mu l$, quinalphos $0.75 \mu g/\mu l$, and methomyl $0.1 \mu g/\mu l$. Synthetic pyrethroids showed

high resistance, low to moderate against methomyl and quinalphos showed moderate to above moderate level. The Helicoverpa armigera has developed 946 folds resistance against cypermethrin whereas, only 13 folds resistance was observed against quinalphos. Sampath kumar et al. (2007, 2008) carried out toxicity of indoxacarb and spinosad against spotted boll worm, Earias vittella revealed an LD₅₀ value of 0.09270 µg/ larva and 0.00188 µg/larva respectively. Ghodaki (2009) reported the resistance development due to constant selection pressure with indoxacarb in Helicoverpa armigera was 1238.86 fold as compared to the laboratory susceptible strain. Rao and Grace (2008) carried out the experiment on *H. armigera*. The LC₅₀ (μ g/ larva) values of indoxacarb, spinosad, methomyl, quinalphos and cypermethrin were 0.22, 0.11, 0.45, 0.53 and 8.70 respectively. Of all the insecticides tested spinosad was the most toxic and cypermethrin was the least toxic.

Nimbalkar *et al.* (2009) studied the Resistance Management in *Helicoverpa armigera*, recorded a maximum LD_{50} value of 0.070µg/larva for quinalphos in October with a minimum of 0.025 mg/larva in August in Jalna district. Resistance to quinalphos was greatest in Jalna (2.50-7.00 fold) followed by Aurangabad (3.0-5.0 fold) and Parbhani (3.7-4.9 fold). The LD_{50} value for cypermethrin was highest, during October (1.459 mg/larvae in Aurangabad) and lowest during August in Parbhani (0.157 µg/larva).

Upendhar et al. (2011) conducted bioassay on H. armigera larvae of the Mahaboobnagar district and recorded a LD₅₀ of 29.125 µg/larva and 59.609 µg/larva at LD_{90} for cypermethrin. The LD_{50} and LD_{90} values of cypermethrin for Raichur population were 32.481 and 38.172 µg/larva, respectively. Toxicity of cypermethrin to Nagpur population showed that the LD₅₀ and LD₉₀ values were 20.069 and 54.708 µg/larva, respectively. The H. armigera larvae of the Mahaboobnagar district recorded a LD₅₀ of 3.651 µg/larva and 10.287 μ g/larva at LD₉₀ for methomyl. The LD₅₀ and LD₉₀ values of methomyl for Raichur population was 3.630 and 10.417 µg/larva, respectively, while toxicity of methomyl to Nagpur population showed that the LD₅₀ and LD₉₀ values were 2.652 and 7.214 µg/larva. Sen et al., (2012) reported in Helicoverpa assulta, after 13 generations of selection with indoxacarb in the laboratory, the resistance ratio of the third instar larvae increased by 4.19-fold.

Conclusion

The present investigation on the status of insecticide resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* to commonly used insecticides of different groups viz., Synthetic pyrethroid, organophosphorous, carbamate, oxydiazine and macrocyclic lactones and with different mode of action in major pigeonpea growing districts of Vidarbha, Maharashtra, indicated that the resistance level is visible as high in cypermethrin, moderate to indoxacarb, quinalphos, spinosad and low to methomyl. In the present investigation, Yavatmal and Washim strains showed higher resistance level to cypermethrin, quinalphos and methomyl; Yavatmal and Buldhana strains showed higher resistance level to indoxacarb and spinosad. On the basis of present findings, it could be concluded that H. armigera population of Yavatmal was found most resistant whereas, Dr.Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth population was found susceptible to technical grade insecticides from all the populations collected during 2013-14. Evaluation of insecticide resistance in H. armigera revealed highest resistance in technical grade of cypermethrin followed by indoxacarb, quinalphos, spinosad and lowest in methomyl.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors are very much thankful to Dr. B. S. Ghodki, Area manager (West Zone) Dow Agroscience Ltd., Aurangabad; Mr. Ganesh Kakade, Field Development Officer, Bayer Crop Science Ltd., Akola; Dr. C. S. Patil, Associate Professor and Incharge Pesticide Residue Laboratory, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri and Mr. Ram Borgantiwar, Panjab Agriculture University, Ludhiana for providing different technical grade insecticides for conducting research work.

REFERENCES

- Armes, N.J., Bond, G.S. and Cooter, R.J. (1992). The laboratory culture and development of *Helicoverpa* armigera. Natural Resources Institute Bulletin 57, NRI, Chantham, UK.
- Armes, N.J., Jadhav, D.R. and Kranthi, K.R. (1996). A survey of insecticide resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* in Indian subcontinent. *Bull. Ento. Res.*, 86(5):499-514
- Durairaj, C. (1999). Integrated management for pigeonpea pod borer complex- a review. *Pestology*, 23(2):100-115
- Ghodaki, B. S., Thakare, S. M., Moharil, M. P. and Rao, N.G.V. (2009). Seasonal and geographical toxicity of indoxacarb against *Helicoverpa armigera* and influence of different host plants against indoxacarb in India. *Entomological Research*, 39 (1): 43-49
- Kranthi, K.R., Armes, N.J., Rao, N.G.V., Raj, S. and Sundaramurthy, V.T. (1997). Seasonal dynamics of metabolic mechanism mediating pyrethroid resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* in central India. Pesticide Science, 50: 91-98
- Kranthi, Keshav Raj, Derek Russell, Ravindra Wanjari, Manoj Kherde, Shyamkant Munje, Nandkishor Lavhe, and Nigel Armes (2002). In-Season Changes in resistance to insecticides in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in India. *Journal of Economic*

Entomology, 95(1): 134-142

- McCaffery, A.R., King, A.B.S., Walker, A. J. and Nair, K.L. (1989). Resistance to synthetic pyrethroids in the bollworm *Heliothis armigera* from Andhra Pradesh, India. *Pestic. Sci.*, 27 : 65-76
- Nimbalkar, R.K., Shinde, S.S., Tawar, D.S. and Muley, S.P. (2009). Response of cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa* armigera (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to different insecticides in Maharashtra, India. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 5 (2): 250-255
- Pawar, C. S. (1998). *Helicoverpa armigera* a natural problem which needs a national policy and commitment for its management. *Pestology*, 22 (7):51-59.
- Ramasubramaniam, T. and Regupathy, A. (2004). Magnitude and aechanism of insecticide resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) population of Tamil Nadu, India. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, 3 (1):94-100
- Rao, G. M. V. P., Rao, N. H. and Raju, K. (2005). Insecticide resistance in field population of American bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hub. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Resistant Pest Management Newsletter*, 15 (1): 15-17.
- Rao, G.M.V.P. and Grace, A.D.G. (2008). Status of new insecticides vis-à-vis conventional insecticides against the American bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Resistant Pest Management Newsletter*, 18 (1):26-28
- SampathKumar, M., Krishnamoorthy, S.V., Chandrasekaran, S. and Stanley, J. (2007). Baseline toxicity of abamectin and indoxacarb against spotted bollworm, *Earias vittella. Indian Journal of Plant Protection*, 35(2):251-254
- SampathKumar, M., Krishnamoorthy, S.V., Chandrasekaran, S. and Stanley, J. (2008). Baseline toxicity of emamectin benzoate and spinosad to *Earias vittella* in cotton. *Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci.*, 16 (1): 66-69
- Sen, P., Yu, W., Duan, L., Song, X., Li, X. and Wang, C. (2012). Resistance selection and mechanisms of oriental tobacco budworm (*Helicoverpa assulta* Guenee) to indoxacarb. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 103: 219–223
- Sharma, H.C., Green, P.W.C., Stevenson, P.C. and Simmons, M. S. I. (2001). What makes it tasty for the pest identification of *Helicoverpa armigera* feeding stimulant and location of their production on the pod surface of pigeonpea. Competitive research facility project final technical report, London Pp. 11-26
- Thakre, S.M. (2001). Evaluation of some management tactics against pod borer complex of pigeonpea. PhD. Thesis (Unpub.), submitted to Dr. P. D. K. V., Akola, India.
- Upendhar, S., Satyanarayana, J. and Singh, T.V.K. (2011). Insecticide resistance of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) to cypermethrin and methomyl. *Resistant Pest Management Newsletter*, 21 (1): 13-17