

Journal of Applied and Natural Science 9 (1): 29 - 33 (2017)



Character association and path analysis studies in brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) genotypes

Vittal Mangi^{*}, H. B. Patil, Sanganamoni Mallesh, Shivappa M karadi and D. Satish

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot-587104 (Karnataka), INDIA

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: vittalmangiphd@gmail.com

Received: February 20, 2016; Revised received: October 22, 2016; Accepted: January 3, 2017

Abstract: Character association and path analysis in sixty genotypes of brinjal was studied for 17 important characters. The character association studies revealed that the total yield per plant had significant and positive association with plant height (0.385), plant spread (0.660), number of primary branches (0.545), stem girth (0.539) at 90 days after transplanting (DAT), early yield per plant, number of fruits per plant and fruit diameter. While it was negatively and significantly associated with days to first flowering (-0.302 and -0.230), days to 50 per cent flowering (-0.272 and 0.229) and days to first fruit maturity (-0.164 and -0.168) at both genotypic and phenotypic level. Narrow differences between the genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were observed for various traits in the present findings. This indicates the lesser influence of the environment in the expression of these traits and presence of strong inherent association among the traits. Path analysis studies revealed that significant positive association at genotypic level among the traits *viz.*, plant height (0.235), leaf area (at 90 DAT) (0.228), days to first fruit maturity (0.162), number of fruits per cluster (0.280) and early yield per plant (1.903) had exhibited true association with direct effect on yield per plant. The direct selection for these traits would be rewarding for improvement in the total yield per plant.

Keywords: Brinjal, Character association, Genotypes, Path analysis

INTRODUCTION

Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) is an important and popular vegetable crop of India and it belongs to the family Solanaceae. Brinjal is mainly self pollinated, but a certain percentage of cross pollination also occurs. The immature fruit is primarily used as cooked vegetable and utilized in the preparation of various dishes like sliced bhaji, stuffed curry, bertha, chutney, vangibath and pickles in different parts of the world. Brinjal fruits and are ranked amongst the top ten vegetables in terms of antioxidant capacity due to the fruit phenols and flovonoic constituents (Singh *et al.*, 2009), which have been linked to various health benefits (Hung *et al.*, 2004).

A study of correlation between different quantitative characters provides an idea of association. It could be effectively exploited to formulate selection strategies for improving yield and quality. Association of characters like yield, its components, and other economical traits is important for making selection in the breeding programme. It suggests the advantage of a scheme of selection for more than one character at a time (Kalloo. 1994). Further in order to have clearer picture of yield components for effective selection progamme, it would be desirable to consider the relative magnitude of association of various characters with yield. The path coefficient technique helps in estimating direct and indirect contribution of various components in building up the total correlation towards yield. On the basis of these studies, the quantum importance of individual character will facilitate the selection programme for better gains. Path analysis also measures the relative importance of causal factors involved. This is simply a standardized partial regression analysis, where in total correlation values were subdivided into causal factors (Shipley, 2000). Keeping this in view, the present investigation was aimed at assessing the association of various characters and direct and indirect path effects of fifteen independent components on fruit yield in sixty genotypes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Research Block of Vegetable Section in Sector No. 1 under the University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot (Karnataka) during the year 2013-14. Sixty genotypes of brinjal were grown in randomized block design with three replications. Ridges and furrows prepared at a spacing of 75 cm. On these ridges six week old seedlings were planted at a spacing of 60 cm (Anon., 2012). Thus, 15 plants were planted on each ridge with plot area of 6.75 m^2 . Five randomly chosen plants in each replication of each entry were labelled and used for recording

ISSN : 0974-9411 (Print), 2231-5209 (Online) All Rights Reserved © Applied and Natural Science Foundation www.jans.ansfoundation.org

the observations for the growth parameters viz., plant height (cm), plant spread (cm), number of primary branches per plant, stem girth (cm) and leaf area (cm²) at 90 days after transplanting. Earliness parameters viz., days to first flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering and days to first fruit maturity and yield parameters viz., fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm), fruit lengthdiameter ratio, average fruit weight (g), number of fruits per cluster, number of fruits per plant, early yield per plant (first three pickings-kg) and total yield per plant (kg) were recorded. Data on qualitative characters were also recorded. Per cent dry matter in fruit was found by drying the cut fruit samples in hot air oven at 60° C till constant weight of samples was achieved over the two subsequent observations and dry weight of fruits was recorded and per cent dry matter in fruit was worked. The correlation co-efficient among all important character combinations at phenotypic (r_p) and genotypic (r_g) level were estimated by employing formula given by Al-Jibourie et al. (1958).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Correlation studies: Genetic variability studies provide information on the extent of improvement could be achieved in different characters, but they do not focus on the extent and nature of relationship existing between various characters. Therefore, for rational approach towards the improvement of yield, selection has to be made for the yield contributing characters, since there may not be genes for yield per plant, but only for various yield components (Grafius, 1959). Further, many of these yield contributing characters may interact in desirable and undesirable direction. Hence, a knowledge regarding the association of various characters among themselves and with economic characters is essential. In the present study, the genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were worked out for growth, earliness, yield and quality components in brinjal. The difference between the genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients was narrow for various traits in the present findings and this indicates the lesser influence of environment in the expression of these traits and presence of strong inherent association among the traits.

Genotypic and phenotypic correlations are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Total yield per plant was found to be positively and significantly (at p=0.01) associated with plant height at 90 days after transplanting (r_g = 0.385 and r_p = 0.333), plant spread at 90 DAT (r_g = 0.660 and r_p = 0.454), number of primary branches at 90 DAT (r_g = 0.545 and r_p = 0.470), stem girth at 90 DAT (r_g = 0.539 and r_p = 0.420), fruit diameter (r_g = 0.242 and r_p = 0.224), early yield per plant (r_g = 1.000 and r_p = 0.896) and number of fruits per plant (r_g = 0.449 and r_p = 0.499). Several workers was supported earlier that total yield per plant positively and significantly correlated with number of primary branches, number of fruits per

plant by Kalpana *et al.* (2010), Dahatonde *et al.* (2010), Karak *et al.* (2012), Thangamani and Jansirani (2012), Arunkumar *et al.* (2013), Nayak and Nagre (2013), Shende *et al.* (2014) and Patel *et al.* (2015). Total yield per plant can be improved by imposing simultaneous selection for these characters. But it was negatively and significantly (at p=0.01) associated with days to first flowering (r_g = -0.302 and r_p = -0.230), days to 50 per cent flowering (r_g = -0.272 and r_p = -0.229) (Muniappan *et al.*, 2010, Thangamani and Jansirani, 2012 and Patel *et al.*, 2015) and days to first fruit maturity at p=0.05 (r_g = -0.164 and r_p = -0.168) both at genotypic and phenotypic level. Hence, these characters are considered least for simultaneous improvement of yield.

Path co-efficient analysis: Though correlation analysis indicates the association pattern of component traits with yield, it simply represents the overall association of a particular trait with yield rather than providing cause and effect relationship. The technique of path coefficient analysis developed by Wright (1921) and demonstrated by Dewey and Lu (1957) facilitates in splitting the correlation coefficients into the measures of direct and indirect effects. It is a standardised by partial regression coefficient analysis. As such, it measures the direct influence of one variable upon other. Such information would be of great value in enabling the breeder to specifically identify important component traits of yield and utilise the genetic stock for improvement in a planned way.

There are 17 important growth, earliness, yield and quality parameters subjected to genotypic and phenotypic path coefficient analysis by considering fruit yield per plant as dependent variable on 16 other independent variables are presented in Tables 3 and 4, respectively discussed only at genotypic level. Among the 17 traits chosen for path analysis at genotypic level viz., plant height at 90 DAT (0.235) (Shende et al., 2014), leaf area at 90 DAT (0.228), days to first fruit maturity (0.162), number of fruits per cluster (0.280)(Muniappan et al., 2010, Karak et al., 2012, Arunkumar et al., 2013, Nayak and Nagre, 2013, Shende et al., 2014 and Patel et al., 2015) and early yield per plant (1.903) (Arunkumar et al., 2013 and Nayak and Nagre, 2013) had positive direct effect indicating their true positive and significant association with yield per plant.

Conclusion

The results of character association studies revealed that the total yield per plant had significantly and positive association with plant height, plant spread, number of primary branches and stem girth all at 90 DAT along with early yield per plant, number of fruits per plant and fruit diameter. Whereas, days to first flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering and days to first fruit maturity both at genotypic and phenotypic level was

Table 1. Genotypic correlation coefficients among growth, earliness, yiel, @ 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 5	ess, yield and 8	l quality para 9	ameters in b	rinjal genot 11	types. 12	13	14	15	16	17
<u>1</u> <u>1.000</u> <u>0.633** 0.279** 0.661** 0.252** 0.130</u> <u>0.147* (</u>	47* 0.262	** -0.105	0.003	0.015	-0.096	-0.163*	0.407^{**}	0.361^{**}	-0.117	0.385^{**}
2 1.000 0.494** 0.461** 0.319** 0.051 0.049 (0.122	0.006	0.013	0.220^{**}	-0.240**	-0.077	0.704^{**}	0.450**	0.267^{**}	0.660^{**}
3 1.000 0.336** -0.106 -0.085 -0.104 -	104 -0.068	$8 0.190^{\circ}$	0.195^{**}	0.003	0.094	0.076	0.531^{**}	0.251**	0.277**	0.545 **
7 0700 1 00000 1 00000 1 0000 1 0000 1 0000 1 0000 1 0000 1 0000 1 0000 1 0000	120 0.139 175** 0340	-0.108	-0.01	CIU.U	-0.0/2	-0.223**	0.133	0.408	0.000	0.120
6 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.010** (10^{**} 0.922	** -0.061	0.056	0.218^{**}	-0.240	0.125	-0.239**	-0.315^{**}	-0.124	-0.302**
7 1.000 (000 0.907	** -0.084	0.074	0.227^{**}	-0.110	0.122	-0.220**	-0.314^{**}	-0.134	-0.272**
8	1.000	-0.015	0.061	0.145	-0.067	0.063	-0.146^{*}	-0.197^{**}	-0.041	-0.164^{*}
6		1.000	-0.327**	-0.333**	-0.077	-0.301**	-0.140	0.395^{**}	0.281^{**}	-0.028
10			1.000	1.208**	0.0/3**	**9021.0	0.208**		-0.10/	0.139
11				1.000	1 000	0.430	-0.042	-0.307**	-0.015	-0.070
					0001	1.000	0.137	-0.778**	0.015	0.080
14)) •	1.000	0.399^{**}	0.084	1.000 **
15								1.000	0.107	0.449 **
16									1.000	0.114
Cuttoria	* 0110 ***	the discontant		· 10.0 - 1	*Ladiostes		9 J U U I I	Ð		00 10 10
Critical r_g value at 1per cent= 0.191, Critical r_g value at 5 per cent= 0.1. DAT (cm) 2 Plant spread at 90 DAT (cm) 3 Number of mimary brane	snt= 0.146, * rv hranches ;	* Indicates	Significant 4 Stem oirt	at p=0.01, h at 90 DA'	*Indicates s T (cm) 5 1	ugnificant a eaf area at	at p=0.05, @ 90 DAT (c)	P-Characters; m ²) 6 Dave	; I. Plant I s to first fl	neight at 90 owering 7
Days 050 per cent flowering, 8. Days to first fruit maturity, 9.Number of	imber of frui	ts per cluste	r, 10.Fruit le	angth (cm),	11. Fruit di	ameter (cm)), 12. Fruit 1	ength-diame	ter ratio, 1	3. Average
mun weight (5), 17. Land Jicke per plant (A5), 13. Lannor of mus per p	er per prant,	10.1.01		., 1 , 11, 11, 11	1 out a pour l	in pump	б).			
Table 2. Phenotypic correlation coefficients among growth, earliness, yie.	iess, yield an	d quality pa	rameters in l	orinjal geno	itypes.					
@ 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	~	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
<u>1 1.000 0.464** 0.215** 0.495** 0.220** 0.071 0.068 </u>	068 0.19()* -0.100	0.016	0.020	-0.067	-0.147*	0.321^{**}	0.311^{**}	-0.092	0.333^{**}
2 1.000 0.330** 0.430** 0.219** -0.002 0.017	017 0.046	5 0.008	0.095	0.202^{**}	-0.137	-0.058	0.477 **	0.308^{**}	0.214^{**}	0.454^{**}
3 1.000 0.229** -0.105 -0.069 -0.080	.080 -0.06	4 0.182*	0.177*	0.025	0.080	0.074	0.444^{**}	0.215^{**}	0.250^{**}	0.470^{**}
4 1.000 0.080 -0.024 -0.017	.017 0.016	5 -0.077	0.053	0.050	-0.035	-0.164^{*}	0.452^{**}	0.397^{**}	0.045	0.420^{**}
5 1.000 0.342** 0.339** 1	339** 0.30	t** -0.139	0.016	0.423**	-0.239**	0.224^{**}	0.125	-0.071	-0.164^{*}	0.104
$\frac{6}{2}$ 0.916***	916^{**} 0.808	8** -0.055	0.040	0.199^{**}	-0.130	0.112	-0.193^{**}	-0.254**	-0.107	-0.230**
I.000	000 0.802	2** -0.074	0.037	0.180^{*}	-0.121	0.110	-0.183*	-0.265**	-0.117	-0.229**
× 0	1.000	0.010 1 000	0.058	0.129 -0 703**	-0.075	0.0.00	-0.100%	-0.196**	10.0-	-0.108*
10		000.1	1,000	0 308**	0.637**	0.501**	0 105**	0.000	0.065	0.134
11			000.1	1 000	-0.464**	0.398**	0.746**	-0.222**	0.006	0.224**
12					1.000	0.236**	-0.022	-0.268**	-0.142	-0.056
13						1.000	0.120	-0.693**	0.014	0.072
14							1.000	0.396^{**}	0.084	0.896^{**}
15								1.000	0.109	0.499^{**}
16									1.000	0.110
1/										1.000
Critical r_g value at 1per cent= 0.191, Critical r_g value at 5 per cent= 0.146	≔ 0.146, **]	Indicates sig	spificant at p)=0.01, *Inc	dicates sign	ificant at p=	=0.05, @-Ch	laracters; 1. F	Plant heigh	it at 90 DAT
(cm), 2. Plant spread at 90 DAT (cm), 3. Number of primary branches at	nches at 90 D	AT, 4. Sten	n girth at 90	DAT (cm),	, 5. Leaf arε	sa at 90 DA	$T (cm^{2}), 6.$	Days to first	t flowering	5, 7. Days to
50 per cent flowering, 8. Days to first fruit maturity, 9.Number of fruits	of fruits per e	cluster, 10.F	ruit length ((cm), 11. F ₁	ruit diamete	yr (cm), 12.	Fruit lengtl	n-diameter ra	atio, 13. A	verage fruit
weight (g), 14. Early yield per plant (kg), 15. Number of fruits per plant, 1	r plant, 16. Pe	er cent dry n	natter in frui	t, 17. Total	yield per pl	ant (kg).				I

Vittal Mangi et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 9 (1): 29 - 33 (2017)

Tabl	e 3. Geno	typic path	coefficien	t analysis ;	among gro	wth, earlir	ness, yield	and qualit	y paramete	srs in brinj	al genotyp	es.					
0	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	rG
1	0.235	-0.258	0.020	-0.122	0.057	0.007	-0.048	0.042	-0.029	-0.000	-0.002	0.005	0.140	0.775	-0.424	-0.013	0.385^{**}
0	0.149	-0.408	0.035	-0.085	0.073	0.002	-0.016	0.019	0.001	-0.003	-0.030	0.013	0.066	1.340	-0.528	0.030	0.660^{**}
ŝ	0.065	-0.201	0.071	-0.062	-0.024	-0.004	0.033	-0.011	0.053	-0.050	-0.000	-0.005	-0.065	1.010	-0.295	0.031	0.545^{**}
4	0.156	-0.188	0.024	-0.184	0.022	0.002	-0.008	0.022	-0.030	0.000	-0.002	0.004	0.192	1.072	-0.550	0.006	0.539^{**}
S	0.059	-0.130	-0.007	-0.017	0.228	0.021	-0.122	0.055	-0.038	-0.006	-0.068	0.013	-0.195	0.253	0.096	-0.020	0.120
9	0.030	-0.021	-0.006	-0.009	0.087	0.056	-0.329	0.150	-0.017	-0.014	-0.030	0.006	-0.107	-0.454	0.370	-0.014	-0.302**
L	0.034	-0.020	-0.007	-0.004	0.086	0.057	-0.325	0.147	-0.023	-0.019	-0.031	0.006	-0.105	-0.420	0.369	-0.015	-0.272**
8	0.061	-0.049	-0.004	-0.025	0.077	0.052	-0.295	0.162	-0.004	-0.015	-0.020	0.003	-0.054	-0.277	0.231	-0.004	-0.164^{*}
6	-0.025	-0.002	0.013	0.020	-0.031	-0.003	0.027	-0.002	0.280	0.084	0.046	0.004	0.260	-0.267	-0.464	0.032	-0.028
10	0.000	-0.005	0.013	0.000	0.005	0.003	-0.024	0.009	-0.091	-0.258	-0.029	-0.036	-0.482	0.397	0.648	-0.012	0.139
11	0.003	-0.090	0.000	-0.002	0.112	0.012	-0.073	0.023	-0.093	-0.053	-0.140	0.028	-0.388	0.549	0.356	-0.001	0.242^{**}
12	-0.022	0.098	0.006	0.013	-0.056	-0.006	0.036	-0.011	-0.021	-0.173	0.071	-0.054	-0.210	-0.080	0.361	-0.019	-0.070
13	-0.038	0.031	0.005	0.041	0.051	0.007	-0.039	0.010	-0.084	-0.144	-0.063	-0.013	-0.862	0.262	0.915	0.001	0.080
14	0.096	-0.287	0.037	-0.104	0.030	-0.013	0.071	-0.023	-0.039	-0.053	-0.040	0.002	-0.118	1.903	-0.469	0.009	1.000^{**}
15	0.085	-0.183	0.017	-0.086	-0.018	-0.017	0.102	-0.032	0.110	0.142	0.042	0.016	0.671	0.760	-1.174	0.012	0.449^{**}
16	-0.027	-0.109	0.019	-0.011	-0.040	-0.007	0.043	-0.006	0.078	0.027	0.002	0.009	-0.013	0.161	-0.126	0.114	0.114
Resi signi diam 35	dual (R) = ficant at p area at 90 eter (cm),	-0.078, E =0.05, @ DAT (cr 12. Fruit	sold and di -Character n ²), 6. Day length-dian	agonal val s; 1. Plant /s to first fl meter ratio	ues indica height at lowering, , 13. Aver:	te direct el 90 DAT (c 7. Days to age fruit w	ffect, rG = 2m), 2. Plt 50 per ce eight (g),	Genotypio unt spread nt flowerin 14. Early y	c correlatic at 90 DAT ng, 8. Days neld per pl	n coeffici (cm), 3. 1 to first fr ant (kg), 1	ents with t Number of uit maturi 5. Numbe	otal yield primary t ty, 9.Num r of fruits	per plant, pranches a per plant,	** Indicate t 90 DAT, ts per clust 16. Per cen	s significal 4. Stem gi er, 10.Frui t dry matte	nt at p=0.(irth at 90 l it length (c er in fruit.	ll, *Indicates DAT (cm), 5. :m), 11. Fruit
@	1	Juypuc pau		<u>111 allalysis</u>	4110118 gl	<u>6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 </u>	1 7	rauru yuan	ty paramet	10 III 01	ijai genoty 11	pes. 12	13	14	15	16	ď
	0.023	-0.002	0.014	-0.017	-0.004	-0.004	-0.002	0.016	0.000	0.000	0.001	-0.000	-0.037	0.208	0.136	0.001	0.333^{**}
6	0.010	-0.006	0.022	-0.015	-0.004	0.000	-0.000	0.004	-0.000	0.003	0.013	-0.000	-0.014	0.309	0.134	-0.002	0.454^{**}
б	0.005	-0.002	0.066	-0.008	0.002	0.004	0.002	-0.005	-0.001	0.006	0.001	0.000	0.018	0.288	0.094	-0.003	0.470^{**}
4	0.011	-0.002	0.015	-0.036	-0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.003	-0.000	-0.041	0.293	0.173	-0.000	0.420^{**}
S	0.005	-0.001	-0.007	-0.002	-0.018	-0.022	-0.011	0.025	0.001	0.000	0.027	-0.000	0.056	0.814	-0.031	0.002	0.104
9	0.001	0.000	-0.004	0.000	-0.006	-0.066	-0.031	0.068	0.000	0.001	0.013	-0.000	0.028	-0.125	-0.111	0.001	-0.230**
	0.001	-0.000	-0.005	0.000	-0.006	-0.060	-0.034	0.067	0.000	0.001	0.011	-0.000	0.027	-0.119	-0.115	0.001	-0.229**
×	0.004	-0.000	-0.004	-0.000	-0.005	-0.053	-0.027	0.084	0.000	0.001	0.008	-0.000	0.014	-0.104	-0.085	0.000	-0.168°
۰ م	-0.002	-0.000	0.012	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.002	100.0-	-0.00	110.0-	-0.019	-0.000	C/0.0-	-0.076	0.154	-0.003	-0.019
211	0.000	-0.000	0.001	-0.001	000.0-	-0.002	100.0-	c00.0		1100	07070		0.100	0.150	061.0-	00000	0.134 0.00/**
1 2	-0.001	10000	100.0	-0.001	/00/0-	CTU.U-	0,000	110.0	0.000	0.073	-0.030		0.01.0	CL.U	-0.017	0.001	-0.056
1 [-0.003	0.000	0.005	0.006	-0.004	-0.007	-0.003	0.004	0.002	0.018	0000-	0000	0.252	0.078	-0.303	-0000	0.072
14	0.007	-0.003	0.029	-0.016	-0.002	0.012	0.006	-0.013	0.001	0.007	0.016	0.000	0.030	0.648	0.173	-0.001	0.896^{**}
15	0.007	-0.001	0.014	-0.014	0.001	0.016	0.009	-0.016	-0.003	-0.016	-0.014	-0.000	-0.174	0.257	0.437	-0.001	0.499^{**}
16	-0.002	-0.001	0.016	-0.001	0.003	0.007	0.004	-0.004	-0.002	-0.002	0.000	-0.000	0.003	0.054	0.047	-0.012	0.110
Resid	dual (R) =	: 0.368, Bo	old and di	agonal valu	tes indicat	e direct efi	fect, $rP = 1$	Phenotypic	correlatio	n coeffici	ents with t	otal yield	per plant,*	** Indicate:	s significar	nt at p=0.(01, *Indicates
signi	ficant at p)=0.05, @	-Character	s; 1. Plant	height at	90 DAT (c	m), 2. Plí	ant spread	at 90 DAT	(cm), 3.]	Number of	primary l	ranches a	t 90 DAT,	4. Stem g	irth at 90]	OAT (cm), 5.
diam	area aו או eter (cm),	12. Fruit	יס , ע n length-dia	/S to tust t.	lowening, , 13. Aven	/. Uays w age fruit w	veight (g),	ш шоwенн 14. Early у	o. שמ ield per pl	s то пим и lant (kg), 1	111 Inaturi 15. Numbe	r of fruits	per plant,	16. Per cuust	er, ruruu ent dry me	atter in fru	лп), 11. гии. it.

Vittal Mangi et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 9 (1): 29 - 33 (2017)

3.

significant and negatively associated. Narrow differences between the genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were observed for various traits in the present findings and this indicates the lesser influence of the environment in the expression of these traits and presence of strong inherent association among the traits. Path analysis studies revealed that plant height (0.235) and leaf area (0.228) both at 90 DAT, days to first fruit maturity (0.162), number of fruits per cluster (0.280) and early yield per plant (1.903) had exhibited significantly positive association with direct effect on yield per plant at genotypic level. The direct selection for these traits would be rewarding for improvement in the total yield per plant.

REFERENCES

- Al-Jibourie, H. A., Miller, P. A. and Robinson, H. F. (1958). Genotypic and environmental variance in an upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. *Agronomy Journal*, 50:633-637
- Anonymous (2012). Improved Cultivation Practices of Horticulture Crops (Kannada). University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharawad, pp. 173-183.
- Arunkumar, B., Kumar, S. V. S. and Prakash, C. G. (2013). Genetic variability and divergence studies in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Bioinfolet*, 10(2B):739-744.
- Dahatonde, K., Dod, V. N., Nagare, P. K. and Wag A. P. (2010). Correlation and path analysis studies in purple fruited brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Asian J. Hort., 5 (2):428-430
- Dewey, D. R. and Lu, K. H. (1957). A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J.*, 51:515-518
- Grafius, J. E. (1959). Correlation and path analysis in barley. *Agron. J.*, 51:551-554
- Hung, H.C., Joshipura, K.J., Jiang, R., Hu, F.B., Hunter, D. and Smith-Warner, S.A. (2004). Fruit and vegetable

intake and risk of major chronic disease. J. Nat. Cancer Inst., 96:1577-1584

- Kalloo, G. (1994). Vegetable Breeding, Panima Educational Book Agency, New Delhi.
- Kalpana, D., Dod, V. N., Nagre, P.K. and Wag, A.P. (2010). Correlation and path analysis studies in purple fruited brinjal. *The Asian J. Hort.* 5 (2):428-430
- Karak, C., Ray, U., Akhtar, S., Naik, A. and Hazra, P. (2012). Genetic variation and character association in fruit yield components and quality characters in brinjal [Solanum melongena L.]. J. Crop and Weed, 8(1):86-89
- Muniappan, S., Saravanan, K. and Ramya, B. (2010). Studies on genetic divergence and variability for certain economic characters in eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Electronic J. Plant Breed.*, 1 (4):462-465
- Nayak, B. R. and Nagre, P. K. (2013). Genetic variability and correlation studies in brinjal (Solanum melongena L.). Int. j. appl. and pharmaceutical tech., 4(4):212-215
- Patel, K., Patel, N.B., Patel, A.I., Rathod, H. and Patel, D. (2015). Study of variability, correlation and path analysis in brinjal (*Solanum melongena L.*). *The bioscan*, 10 (4):2037-2042
- Shende, R.A., Desai, S.S. and Dalvi1, V.V. (2014). Character association and path analysis in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Int. J. Agri. Sci.*, 10 (2):631-633
- Shipley, B. (2000). Cause and Correlation in Biology: A User's Guide to Path Analysis, Structural Equations and Causal Inference Cambridge University Press.
- Singh, A.P., Luthria, D., Wilson, T., Vorsa, N., Singh, V., Banuelos, G.S. and Pasakdee, S., (2009). Polyphenols content and antioxidant capacity of eggplant pulp. *Food Chem.*, 114:955-961
- Thangamani, C. and Jansirani, P. (2012). Correlation and path analysis studies on yield attributing characters in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Electronic J. Pl. Breed.*, 3(3):939-944
- Wright, S. (1921). Correlation and causation. J. Agric. Res., 20:557-587