

Journal of Applied and Natural Science 8 (3): 1380 - 1387 (2016)



Assessment of insecticides and *Cry2AB* toxin resistance development in Karnataka population of *Plutella xylostella* (Linn.)

V. Sunitha¹, T. V. K. Singh² V. Ramesh Babu³ and J. Satyanarayana⁴

^{1,4}Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Professor Jayashanker Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad-30 (Telangana), INDIA

²Dean of Agriculture, Professor Jayashanker Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-30 (Telangana), INDIA

⁴Crop protection Division, Central Research Institute for Jute and Allied Fibers, Barrackpur, Kolkata-700120 (West Bengal), INDIA

*Corresponding author. E-mail. vanamsunitha10@gmail.com

Received: June 25, 2015; Revised received: April 07, 2016; Accepted: July 26, 2016

Abstract: Insecticidal resistance studies against third instar larvae of DBM (*Plutella xylostella* L.) were carried out to know the rate of development of resistance from F_1 to F_3 generations in Karnataka population. The third instar larvae obtained from field were subjected to bioassay studies with tested against acephate, cypermethrin, spinosad, cartap hydrochloride and Cry2Ab toxin using leaf dip method to calculate LC_{50} values. The LC_{50} values of the insecticides were further used to quantify the resistance in *P. xylostella* of parental generation (F_0) from Karnataka field population. The survivals from F_0 generation were reared to next generation (F_1). Resistance development studies was assessed from F1 to F3 generation with third instar larvae in every generation with a concentration that caused 80.00 % mortality for all the test insecticides and Cry2Ab toxin. Results revealed that ((0.17 folds) no resistance was developed against acephate in F_3 generation. In case of cypermethrin 2.33 folds. Resistance studies further revealed that 1.50 folds resistance was developed against spinosad, 2.28 folds against cartap hydrochloride and Cry2Ab toxin 1.49 folds resistance was recorded in F_3 generation. The rate of development of resistance from F_1 to F_3 generations increased in all the test insecticides and Cry toxin, except against acephate in Karnataka population. This data will be useful in the development of insecticide resistance management approach for DBM.

Keywords: DBM, Insecticide Resistance, Karnataka, Toxin

INTRODUCTION

India is the world's largest cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* L) grower and second largest cabbage (*B. oleracea* var. *capitata* L.) grower next to China occupying an area of 2,32,800 and 2,78,800 hectares, respectively (Uthamasamy *et al.*, 2011). Diamondback moth (DBM), *Plutella xylostella* (L) (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidae), is important pest of cruciferous crops and ubiquitous in nature (CIE, 1967). In India, DBM was reported in 1914 on cruciferous vegetables and is now the most devastating pest of cole crops in the states of Punjab, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Delhi, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra and Karnataka (Uthamasamy *et al.*, 2011).

The infestation of the pest increases gradually from first fortnight of August and leads to total loss of the crop (Dhaliwal *et al.*, 2010). In India it causes significant economic losses up to 50% with an estimate of US\$ 168 million per year. Absence of effective natural enemies and rapid development of insecticide resistance to many classes of insecticides, which account for 30-50% of the total cost of production are consid-

ered to be the major causes of increasing pest status of DBM in most parts of the country. DBM occupies second position in being resistant to 82 compounds of insecticides (APRD, 2012) and to be the first species to develop field resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt)* Cry toxins, and is one amongst three insect species to have developed field resistance to Bt based spray products (Talekar and Shelton, 1993).

It is documented that resistance is inevitable within a span of two to three years for following the introduction of a new insecticide. Recent examples of field resistance developed to relatively selective new compounds, include indoxacarb, avermeetins, spinosad, Bt-based products, benzyl ureas and chlorantraniliprole (Furlong *et al.* 2013). Hence, the present study was undertaken for quantifying the resistance levels in DBM from Karnataka against four commonly used insecticide groups with diverse modes of action and one entomocidal toxin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Laboratory investigations were carried out during 2011 -2012 in the Bt Lab, Department of Entomology, Col-

ISSN : 0974-9411 (Print), 2231-5209 (Online) All Rights Reserved © Applied and Natural Science Foundation www.jans. ansfoundation.org

lege of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad.

LC₅₀ Calculation

Test Insect Population: Cabbage cultivar "Charmant" nursery was raised in the greenhouse and one month old seedlings were transplanted in the main field and raised without any insecticide application. DBM, larvae were collected from farmers' cabbage fields in and around Hyderabad, to establish culture. Leaves were harvested daily washed with tap water and provided as feed to the larvae.

Larvae were allowed to pupate in the jars and the pupae were kept in petri plates and placed in a cage for moth emergence. The emerged adult moths were allowed to lay eggs on mustard seedlings. Adults were provided with 10% honey solution fortified with multivitamins and proteinex on a cotton swab for better egg laying. Mustard seedlings with eggs of DBM were collected from the cage and kept in glass jars for hatching. The neonates were reared on insecticide free cabbage leaves. At every successive instar, the larvae were shifted to clean jars and fresh cabbage leaves were provided. Larvae in the third instar stage were used in bioassay studies.

Test insecticides and cry toxin: To determine the LC₅₀ values of insecticides and Cry toxin against DBM larvae. four insecticides viz., acephate (Organophosphate), cypermethrin (Synthetic pyre-(Spinosyn), cartap hydrochloride throid), spinosad (Neriestoxin) and Cry2Ab toxin were used. 100 ml of one per cent stock solution of all the above test insecticides were used for the preparation of serial dilutions. Initially broad range concentrations were tested for each test insecticide and toxin depending on the 20 to 80% mortality observed, narrow range concentrations were tested. A control was also maintained at each time of experimentation and the mortality data was corrected by using modified Abbotts formula (Flemings and Ratnakaran, 1985). Bioassay was repeated for treatments wherein control mortality exceeded 20%.

Stock solution preparation for Cry toxin: The technical formulation of Cry2Ab (3.93 mg/g) was supplied by Central Institute of Cotton Research, Nagpur. 100 mg of the toxin was dissolved in 5 ml distilled water to obtain a stock solution of 60 μ g/ml concentration. The stock solution was subjected to serial dilutions to obtain different concentrations and a drop of Tween-80 was added. Similarly a drop of Tween-80 was added to control also.

Bioassay : Bioassays were conducted with third instar larvae of *P. xylostella* by using a standard leaf dip method (Sayyed *et al.*, 2000). A bioassay was conducted to deduce the LC₅₀ of all the four test insecticides and Cry2Ab toxin, this LC₅₀ concentration was used in assessment of resistance in Karnataka populations of DBM from parental generation F_0 to F_3 generation.

The leaf discs (5 cm) were used for bioassay studies. The leaf discs were dipped in 10ml of aqueous solution of various concentrations of test insecticides and Cry toxin, whereas, control leaf discs were immersed in distilled water having a drop of Tween-80 for about fifteen seconds and shade dried before transferring onto a moistened filter paper in a petri plate. Ten third instar larvae were released on each treated leaf disc in each concentration. Each treatment was replicated thrice. Larval mortality was recorded at 24, 48, 72 hours after treatment (HAT) by counting the larvae as dead or moribund when they did not resume activity after repeated proddings. The mortality at 72 HAT was considered as end point for the assessment of toxicity of test insecticides and Cry toxin (Fisk and Wright, 1992). LC₅₀ values of all test insecticides and Cry2Ab toxin were determined by probit analysis (Finney, 1971). The calculated LC₅₀ was used in quantifying the resistance in different populations by inducing selection pressure.

Quantification of insecticidal resistance in Karnataka population: To assess the resistant levels in Karnataka DBM population, larvae were collected from Kolar District of Karnataka and reared on insecticide free cabbage leaves in the laboratory. Larvae in the third instar were used for bioassay studies.

Bioassay and lab selection: The larvae obtained from the field collected population were designated as F_0 population and the subsequent generations (obtained from previous generations) were designated as F_1 (First generation), F_2 (Second generation), F_3 (Third generation). The process of selection pressure for insecticides and Cry toxin was initiated in the parental generation (F_0) and continued up to F_3 generation.

The calculated LC₅₀ values of each insecticide and Cry2Ab toxin was subjected to preliminary bioassay. Individual DBM population was subjected to five concentrations (LC₅₀ two concentrations higher than LC₅₀ and two concentrations lower the value of LC_{50}) of each individual insecticide and Cry2Ab toxin and a control with ten third instar larvae per treatment and replicated thrice. Larval mortality was recorded at 24, 48 and 72HAT. The concentration (LC₈₀) that gave 80% mortality was selected from the preliminary bioassay and the survivals at other concentrations were rejected. Using this LC_{80} concentration of all the test insecticides and Cry2Ab subsequent bioassays were conducted with Karnataka DBM populations using 100 third instar larvae per treatment (individual insecticide and Cry2Ab) and replicating the same thrice for inducing selection pressure from the parental generation (F_0) onwards along with a control. The survivals in the bioassay were raised to first generation (F_1) again during third instar F_1 larvae were subjected to bioassay in the above mentioned manner till F₃ generation.

The concentrations were adjusted in subsequent generations depending on the per cent larval survivals obtained in the previous generation.

Assessment of insecticidal resistance in *P. xylostella*: The degree of development of resistance through different generations was determined by working out LC_{50} values in each generation and thus computing the resistance ratio (RR) by dividing the LC_{50} value for F_n generation with LC_{50} value of the F₁generation (Arora, 2003).

	LC_{50} value of F_n generation
Resistance ratio $(RR) =$	
	LC_{50} value of F_1 generation

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The initial LC_{50} calculated for the DBM population collected around Hyderabad cabbage agro-ecosystem for all the four test insecticides and Cry2Ab was acephate (0.1%), cypermethrin (0.008%), spinosad (0.003%), cartap hydrochloride (0.01%) and Cry2Ab (0.3µg/ml). these LC_{50} values were used for assessing the resistance development for Karnataka DBM population.

Resistance development in DBM against acephate : The concentrations of acephate which was used in bioassay varied from 0.01 % to 0.20 % in F₁, F₂ and F₃ generations. The LC₈₀ which was used for inducing selection pressure varied in all three F₁, F₂ and F₃ generations. The documented LC₈₀ against third instar larvae of DBM collected from Karnataka was 0.20%, 0.15%, 0.10%.

The calculated LC₅₀ values obtained in F₁, F₂ and F₃ generations were 0.027%, 0.022% and 0.015%. Resistance ratios obtained in F2 and F3 generations in comparison to F_1 generation were 0.81 and 0.55 folds. The results obtained in the present study indicated there is no development of resistance to acephate because the resistance ratios being less than one (Table 1). The LC₅₀ values reduced from F_1 to F_3 generation whereas lowest LC_{50} was recorded in F_3 generation (0.015 %). The results obtained in the present study indicate that the resistance ratios of F₂ and F₃ generations were less than one which indicated there is no development of resistance to acephate in Karnataka population. In the present study DBM did not developed resistance against acephate. DBM population sampled from Karnataka if got exposed to more selection pressures with acephate for several generations, than resistance might have been developed similar to that of Sannaveerappanavar (1995) who documented 100 fold resistance to acephate in DBM population collected from Bangalore. Calibration of resistance ratios would been precise with that of laboratory strain maintained for several generations without insecticidal exposure as recorded elsewhere.

Resistance development in DBM against cypermethrin : LC_{50} concentration of 0.008% was obtained in bioassay using DBM population sampled from cabbage agro-ecosystem in and around Hyderabad the same was used to obtain the survivals of DBM in Karnataka population with cypermethrin. Concentrations in the range of 0.002% to 0.064% were used bioassays in all three different generations (F₁, F₂ and F₃) to get LC_{80} and LC_{50} against DBM third instar larvae of Karnataka population. The calculated LC_{80} for applying selection pressure were 0.016%, 0.064%, 0.032% in F₁, F₂ and F₃ generations, respectively. The LC₅₀ recorded from the bioassays were 0.003 %, 0.011 % and 0.007% in F_1 F_2 and F_3 generations respectively. Resistance ratios in F₂ and F₃ generations over the F_1 generation were 3.66 and 2.33 (Table 1). The highest median lethal concentration for cypermethrin was documented in Karnataka population LC₅₀-0.011% in F₂ generation. In general the median lethal concentration followed increasing trend up to F₂generation for Karnataka population and then decreased in F₃ generation. Enzymatic role of mixed function oxygenases coupled with target site nerve insensitivity (kdr) (Holden, 1979; Gammon, 1980) are regarded as the most common mechanisms of resistance by DBM to synthetic pyrethroids, reduced insecticide penetration through the cuticle is also cited to occur. The low magnitude of resistance development in the present study might be due to the less usage of synthetic pyrethroids (cypermethrin) in cabbage agro-ecosystem. The resistance folds developed by DBM against cypermethrin are in accordance with other reports -144 fold against cypermethrin in DBM at Panipat (Haryana) and -115 fold resistance to pyrethroids at Delhi and Karnataka (Saxena et al., 1989).-25 fold resistance against pyrethroids (Raju and Singh, 1995),-26507 folds resistance against cypermethrin by DBM population sampled from Bangalore (Sannaveerappanavar, 1995). In the present study there was a decrease in susceptibility pattern upto F₂ generation for Karnataka populations followed by increase in the susceptibility in the F₃ generation (as depicted by increase in LC_{50} values) showing a moderate level of resistance development. Further studies are required with regards to calibration of variation in enzyme titers viz., mixed function oxidases, glutathione S transferases using specific substrates that play vital role in resistance development against synthetic pyrethroids as reported in other insects as such. The present results are in corroboration with Liu et al. (1982) who reported resistance ratio of 21 fold for Peng Hu strain that is very similar to many populations of three ecological zones in Pakistan which fell in moderate resistance and 899 fold for Ban-Chu strain in China that is very high resistance zone. Khaliq et al. (2007) reported the LC₅₀ (mg ml⁻¹at 48 h) values of cypermethrin for 18 field populations of P. xvlostella from three different zones in Pakistan ranged from 0.19-1.88. The reason for low toxicity of pyrethroids was due the evolution of multiple resistance mechanisms. Resistance to cypermethrin was also documented in all populations of third instar by Liu et al. (1981) in Taiwan, Chawla and Joia (1991) in Punjab (India), and Yu and Nguyen (1992) in USA and Perez et al. (2000) in Nicarague.

Resistance development in DBM against spinosad (Spinosyns) : The median lethal concentration of 0.003% for spinosad was recorded in the bioassay con-

ducted with DBM larval population from Hyderabad. Using this LC₅₀ bioassays were conducted with third instar larvae of DBM collected from Karnataka population to get 80% larval mortality and for inducing selection pressure for resistance development. Concentrations ranging from 0.0015% to 0.004% were used in bioassays studied in F₁, F₂, F₃generations, respectively.LC₈₀ obtained was 0.0035% in F₁, F₂ generations and 0.004% in F3 generation of Karnataka population. The LC_{50} values calculated were 0.002%, 0.002% and 0.003% in F₁, F₂ and F₃ generations (Table 1). Resistance ratios in DBM population of F₂ and F₃ generations in relation to F_1 generation were 1.00 and 1.50 fold. Studies indicates that resistance was developed against spinosad in Karnataka population.

Resistance development studies for the Karnataka population of DBM against spinosad showed neutral results. Susceptibility pattern for Karnataka population showed miscellaneous results (as depicted by LC_{50} values) ultimately showing negligible resistance development. The reason for the *P. xylostella* populations either developing moderate resistance or no resistance may be due to the fact that spinosad being a novel insecticide and the usage pattern and selection pressure by this insecticide is relatively new in cabbage agro ecosystem, alternatively the pest was never predisposed to spinosad sprays in this areas as such. The findings of the present studies are in corroboration with the findings of Peter *et al.* (2000), Dey and Som-

Choudhary (2001) and Vadodaria *et al.* (2000), who earlier reported the higher field efficacy of spinosad against *P. xylostella*.

The present data confirms the findings of Zhao *et al.* (2002) who determined the toxicity ratio of 1.3 to 1.2 from seven zones and 0.8 to 316 from six zones in Geneva. Kao and Cheng (2001) reported LC₅₀ of 24.06 ppm and 26.77 ppm from Lu Chu and His-hu strain, respectively, in China during 2001. Shelton *et al.* (1996) showed tolerance ratio of more than 100 to spinosad in DBM population of California (USA), which indicated high levels of resistance than the present study. Walker *et al.* (2002) showed no significant resistance in field population of diamondback moth (New Zealand) which is in conformity with the findings of the present study.

The present study indicated that population of *P. xylos-tella* in Karnataka remain susceptible to spinosad. However, resistance to spinosad occurred in Hawaii (2000), Georgia (2001) and California (2002) as a consequence of multiple years of extensive application. A major reason for the rapid resistance development to spinosad in Hawaii was the lack of suitable alternatives and the unsynchronized use of insecticide classes that led to continuous population exposure to spinosad as it happened in South East Asia (Sayyed *et al.*, 2003, 2004) and North America (Zhao *et al.*, 2002).

The propensity for the selection of spinosad resistance may have arisen from pre - existence of resistance al-

Genera- tion	Heterogenity (χ ²)	Regression equation	LC ₅₀ (%)	Fiducial limits	Resistance ratio	Slope <u>+</u> S.E
Acephate						
F_1	0.904	Y=6.4154+0.9019x	0.027	0.007 - 0.050	1.00	0.9019 <u>+</u> 0.2429
F_2	6.058	Y=6.6575+0.9977x	0.022	0.006 - 0.039	0.81	0.9976 <u>+</u> 0.2460
F_3	4.940	Y=7.2742+1.2379x	0.015	0.015 - 0.026	0.55	1.2379 <u>+</u> 0.2597
Cypermeth	rin					
F_1	0.706	Y=8.3308+1.3049x	0.003	0.001-0.004	1.00	1.3049 <u>+</u> 0.2933
F_2	0.633	Y=7.5252+1.2999x	0.011	0.006-0.017	3.66	1.2999 <u>+</u> 0.2797
F ₃	1.380	Y=8.2334+1.4813x	0.007	0.003-0.010	2.33	1.4813 <u>+</u> 0.3017
Spinosad						
F_1	1.839	Y=21.5207+6.2115x	0.002	0.001-0.002	1.00	6.2115 <u>+</u> 1.2354
F_2	0.375	Y=15.4870+3.9491x	0.002	0.002-0.003	1.00	3.9491 <u>+</u> 1.0790
F ₃	0.517	Y=14.9325+3.8552x	0.003	0.002-0.003	1.50	3.8552 <u>+</u> 1.0609
Cartap Hyd	Irochloride					
F_1	1.307	Y=7.9558+1.3867x	0.007	0.002-0.011	1.00	1.3867 <u>+</u> 0.4478
F_2	0.966	Y=9.8129+2.6246x	0.015	0.010-0.018	2.14	2.6246 <u>+</u> 0.6762
F ₃	2.249	Y=10.2740+2.9525x	0.016	0.009-0.020	2.28	2.9525 <u>+</u> 0.8741
Cry2Ab						
F_1	6.597	Y=7.3013+3.3705x	0.208	0.160-0.249	1.00	3.3705 <u>+</u> 0.5906
F_2	1.758	Y=6.6862+3.2750x	0.306	0.237-0.361	1.47	3.2750 <u>+</u> 0.7060
F ₃	0.563	Y=6.2574+2.4814x	0.311	0.217-0.385	1.49	2.4814 <u>+</u> 0.6713

Table 1: Toxicity of insecticide and toxin to third instar larvae of *P. xylostella* in different generations of Karnataka population.

leles from the past use of organochlorine insecticides as the mode of action of organochlorine and spinosad as GABA/nicotinic acetyl choline receptor as a target (Ortells and Lunt 1995, Massol *et al.*, 2000). However, the possibility of *P.xylostella* carrying spinosad resistance allele may have been introduced from other areas via transportation of cabbage also cannot be ruled out (Khaliq *et al.*, 2007). Our results indicated that *P. xylostella* from Karnataka were susceptible to spinosad. From this it can be construed that spinosad can be used commercially as an alternative to particularly those insecticides against which *P. xylostella* has developed resistance.

Globally, results pertaining to development of resistance manifested by DBM against spinosad showed an 22.4- and 60.7-folds increase in resistance ratios over the parental DBM strain and laboratory susceptible strain in conjunction to the results the genetics of resistance in this strain revealed to be autosomal, incomplete recessive and probably polygenic in association with fitness costs, showed no cross resistance towards abamectin, fipronil, chlorfenpyr and indoxacarb and target site sensitivity was attributed for spinosad resistance (Xiang and Dong, 2006).

Sayyed *et al.* (2008) also reported field collected DBM population from Pakistan showed a rise in resistance ratio to the tune of 283-, 13- and 67- folds against spinosad, indoxacarb and acetamprid over the parental population, moreover genetics of resistance to spinosad in this population was inherited as autosomal, incompletely recessive trait coupled with fitness cost attributes.

Log dose regression analysis of F1 reciprocal crosses between laboratory susceptible strain and field population of DBM collected from Cameroon Highlands revealed that spinosad resistance was inherited as codominant. At the highest dose of spinosad tested, resistance was verge of being completely recessive and at the lowest dose it was incompletely dominant. (Sayyed *et al.*, 2004)

Resistance development in DBM against cartap hydrochloride (Nereistoxin) : The median lethal concentration of 0.01% for cartap was recorded in the bioassay conducted with DBM larval population from Hyderabad. Using this LC₅₀ bioassays were conducted with third instar larvae of DBM collected from Karnataka populations to get 80% larval mortality and for inducing selection pressure for resistance development. Concentrations ranging from 0.005% to 0.045% were used in bioassays studied in F₁, F₂, F₃generations, respectively. LC₈₀ obtained were 0 0.025%, 0.030% and 0.03%, in F₁, F₂ and F₃ generations, respectively. The LC₅₀ calculated for Karnataka population were 0.007 %, 0.015 %, 0.016 % in F₁, F₂ and F₃ generations (Table 1).

Resistance ratios in DBM population of F_2 and F_3 generations in relation to F_1 generation were 2.14 to 2.28 fold for Karnataka population. The results clearly showed that the resistance ratios were more than one in F_3 generation, which indicates that resistance developed against cartap hydrochloride in Karnataka population.

Studies pertaining to resistance development for the *P. xylostella* populations from Karnataka showed considerable decrease in susceptibility pattern over the three generations and developed moderate resistance over a magnitude of 2 folds.

Branco and Gatehouse (2001) calculated the resistance ratio for a cartap hydrochloride resistant field strain of DBM that ranged from 2.8 to 7.1. On contrary, Vastrad *et al.* (2004) documented low to moderate level of resistance to cartap hydrochloride against all the DBM populations sampled from three locations that were monitored continuously and for DBM populations sampled from 12 locations that were monitored randomly in Karnataka.

The present study is in accordance with that of Chandrasekharan and Reghupathy (1996) who found resistance levels (expressed as % survival) varied from 17.9 to 52.4 against cartap hydrochloride and Vastrad *et al.* (2002) who recorded the moderate survival percentage (1.11) of DBM treated with cartap hydrochloride. Sannaveerappanavar and Viraktamath (1997) reported the development of resistance to cartap hydrochloride at recommended field concentrations.

The results of the present studies, by and large, fall in line with those obtained by Joia and Udeaan (1997) who obtained LC_{50} values 0.015% to 0.020 % with cartap against a multi-resistant *P. xylostella* population from Punjab. In the present study in LC_{50} of cartap in comparison with doses of other test insecticides and Cry2Ab was in the range of 0.007-0.029 which proves the effectiveness of cartap in the management of DBM.

In another study conducted elsewhere using a strain of DBM showing 14.2- resistance folds to cartap and no cross resistance to abamectin implicating the rotation of both these insecticides cartap and abamectin as a successful pest management strategy (Ninsin, 2015).

Resistance development in DBM against Cry2Ab: The median lethal concentration of 0.3μ g/ml for Cry2Ab was recorded in the bioassay conducted with DBM larval population from Hyderabad. Using this LC₅₀ bioassays were conducted with third instar larvae of DBM collected from Karnataka population to get 80% larval mortality and for inducing selection pressure for resistance development. Concentrations ranging from 0.1μ g/ml to 1.0μ g/ml were used in bioassays studied in F₁, F₂, F₃ generations, respectively. LC₈₀ obtained was 0.4μ g/ml, 0.5μ g/ml, 0.4μ g/ml in F₁, F₂and F₃ generations for Karnataka population. The LC₅₀ calculated for Karnataka population were 0.208μ g/ml, 0.306μ g/ml and 0.311μ g/ml in F₁, F₂ and F₃ generations, respectively (Table 1).

Resistance ratios in DBM population of F_2 and F_3 generations in relation to F_1 generation were 1.47, 1.49 fold .The results clearly showed that the resistance ratios were more than one in F_3 generation, which indicates that resistance developed against Cry2Ab in Karnataka population. The resistance development in *P*. xylostella populations sampled from Karnataka gradually increased from F₁ to F₃ generations against Cry2Ab. Although geographical differences in susceptibility of P. xylostella to Btk products in India has also been reported by Chandrasekaran and Regupathy (1996), Perez et al. (1997), Mohan and Gujar (2000). In general the susceptibility of P. xylostella to Bt strains and their toxins was found to be significantly lower in populations that originated from Southern India followed by those from Western and Northern India (Mohan and Gujar, 2002). This suggests the possibility of diamondback moth adaptation in the populations where Btk formulations are regularly used (Sawant, 1998). However, in our studies the susceptibility patterns indicate decreasing in susceptibility. This may be due to the fact that baseline susceptibility of local insect populations depends not only on the extent of selection pressure (amount of insecticide used) but the other factors like relative dominance of resistant alleles, level of immigration of susceptible individuals (gene flow), population structure, and exposure to the pesticide time of year are also responsible. In addition, insect behaviour also plays a major role (Roush and Daly, 1990). Further studies are required in understanding the mechanism underlying the resistance though reduced binding of Cry toxin to BBMV's and reduced activation of proteases coupled with faster degradation of proteases are already documented to be resistance mechanism(Forcada et al., 1996), studies with regards to type of mid-gut proteases involved in activation of Cry toxin for these populations are required to understand cross resistance mechanisms and for successful management of DBM

using IRM strategies. Sayyed and Wright (2006) by using a field population of DBM collected from Cameroon Highlands revealed 1285- and 171- folds resistance ratio in second generation against Cry1Ac toxin and spinosad respectively in comparison with a laboratory susceptible population.

Median lethal concentration of biopesticide *Bacillus thuringiensis* var.*kurstaki* (Btk), spinosad and betacypermethrin ranged from 0.99 mg/L - 9.18mg/L,0.54 mg/L - 4.13 mg/L and 89.02 mg/L - 4338.21 mg/Lfor 14 different DBM populations in China depicting a narrow to moderate increase in resistance against the test insecticides.(Jiang *et al.*, 2015).

The DBM popopulation used in the present study might have never been exposed to sprays of any commercial formulation of Bt containing Cry2Ab toxin in it is also evident that commercial cultivation of transgenic brassicas in India are still at infancy hence, higher susceptibility of this population cannot be ruled out. Esterases and glutathione-S-transferases among the test population is to studied as prior studies elsewhere viz. esterases imparting resistance to organophosphates and indoxacarb (Sayyed and Wright (2006). CarE to spinosad which ultimately result in cross resistance and proving insecticidal rotational strategy to be vane (Gong *et al.*, 2013). Though insecticides with diversity in their mode of action are evaluated for resistance over generations the core understanding of resistance mechanisms that are incurred due enzyme detoxification, target site insensitivity and cross resistance among the insecticidal groups are to be investigated further, owing to suitable substrates.

Conclusion

The results indicated that Karnataka population of DBM developed resistance against all insecticides and Cry toxins except against acephate. These results can be used as base data for further comparision to monitoring changes in susceptibility of DBM to these insecticides.

REFERENCES

- Arora,R.K. (2003). Baseline susceptibility and quantification of resistance in *Plutella xylostella* (L.) to Cartap hydrochloride. *Resistant Pest Magement. News Letter*, 13(1): 13-16.
- APRD(2012). Arthropod pesticide resistance database (APRD). (http:// www.pesticideresistance.org/).
- Branco, M. C. and Gatehouse, A. G. (2001). A Survey of Insecticide Susceptibility in *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera:Yponomeutidae) in the Federal District, Brazil, *Neotropical Entomology*, 30 (2) Londrina June 2001.
- Chandrasekaran, J. and Regupathy, A. (1996). Status of insecticide resistance in field population of diamondback month (DBM). *Plutella xylostella* in Tamil Nadu. *IPM* & Sustainable Agricultural.- an Entomological Approach, 6: 95-99.
- Chawla, R.P. and Joia, B.S. 1991. Toxicity of some synthetic pyrethroids against *Plutella xylostella* L. and development of insecticide resistance in the pest. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 18: 134-138.
- CIE, 1967. Distribution maps of Pests, Map No.32 (Revised), Comwealth Institute of Entomology, London, UK.
- Dey, P.K. and Somchoudhary, A.K. (2001). Evaluation of spinosyns A+D. (Spinosad 48 SC) against lepidopteran pest complex of cabbage and its effects on natural enemies of diamondback moth under field conditions of W.B. *Pestology*,25: 54-58.
- Dhaliwal, G.S., Vikas, J. and Dhawan, A.K. (2010). Insect Pest Problems and Crop Losses: Changing Trends. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 37(1): 1-7.
- Finney, D.J.(1971). *Probit analysis*. 3rd Edition. S. Chand & Co. Ltd., New Delhi. p333.
- Fisk, T. and Wright, D.J. (1992). Speed of action and toxicity of acylurea insect growth regulators against *Spodoptera exempta* (Walk.) and *Spodoptera littoralis* (Biosd.) larvae: Effect of inter moult age. *Pesticide Science*, 35:331-337.
- Flemings, R. and Ratnakaran, A. (1985). Evaluating single treatment data using Abbots formula with modification, *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 78: 1179.
- Forcada, C. E. Alcacer, M. D. Garcera, and R. Martinez. (1996). Differences in the midgut proteolytic activity of two *Heliothis virescens* strains, one susceptible and one resistant to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins. *Archieves of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology*, 31: 257-272.
- Furlong, Michael J, Wright, Denis J, Dosdall, Lloyd M..2013. Diamondback mth Ecology and Management:

Problems, Progress and Prospects. *Annual Review of Entomology*. 58:517-41.

- Gammon, D.W. (1980). Pyrethroid resistance in a strain of Spodoptera littoralis is correlated with decreased sensitivity of the CNS in vitro. Pesticial Biochemical Physiology, 13:53-62.
- Gong, Y.J., Wang, Z.H., Shi, B.C., Kang, Z.J., Zhu, L., Jin, G.H., Wei, S.J. (2013). Correlation between pesticide resistance and enzyme activity in the diamondback moth, *Plutellaxylostella. Journal of Insect Science*,13 (135): 1-13.
- Holden, I.S. (1979). Absorption and Metabolism of permethrin and cypermethrin in the cockroach and cotton leaf worm larvae. *Pesticidal Science*, 10:295-307.
- Jiang, T., Wu, S., Yang, T.,, Zhu, C. and Gao, C. (2015). Monitoring Field Populations of *Plutellaxylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) for Resistance to Eight Insecticides in China. *Florida Entomologist*, Vol. 98(1):65-73.
- Joia, B.S. and Udeaan, A.S. (1997). Development of insecticidal resistance in diamondback moth and its management. In Proceedings of International conference on Ecology & Agriculture,-Towards sustainable Development. (eds.) Dhaliwal, G.S., Arora, R., Randhawa, N.S. and Dhawan, A.K. Chandigarh. India. 323-328.
- Kao, C.H and Cheng, E.Y. 2001. Insecticide resistance in *Plutella xylostella* (L.) resistance to newly introduced insecticides in Taiwan (1999-2001). *Journal of Agricultural Research, China*. 50:80-89.
- Khaliq, A. Attique, M.N.R. and Sayyed, A.H. (2007). Evidence for resistance to pyrethroids and organophosphates in *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera:Plutellidae) from Pakistan. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 97:191–200.
- Liu, M.Y. Tzeng, Y.J. and Sun, C.N. (1982). Insecticide resistance in Diamondback moth. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 75(1):153-155.
- Liu, M.Y. Tzeng, Y.J. and Sun, C.N. (1981). Diamondback moth resistance to several synthetic pyrethroids. *Journal of Economic Entomology*,74:393-396.
- Massol, R.H. Antolinni, S.S. and Barrantes, F.J. (2000). Effect of organochlorine insecticides on nicotinic acetyl choline receptor-rich membranes *Neuro pharmacology*, 39: 1095-1106.
- Mohan, M. and Gujar, G.T. (2000). Susceptibility pattern and development of resistance in the diamondback moth, *P. xylostella* L, to *B. thuringiensis* Berl. var. *kurstaki* in India. *Pest Mangement Science*, 56: 189–294.
- Mohan, M. and Gujar, G.T. (2002). Geographic variation in susceptibility of the diamondback moth *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) to *Bacillus thuriengiensis* spore-crystal mixtures and purified crystal proteins and associated resistance development in India. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 92: 489-498.
- Ninsin, (2015) .Cross-resistance assessment in cartap- and esfenvalerateselected strains of the diamondback moth, *Plutellaxylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). West African Journal of Applied Ecology, Vol. 23(2): 1–6.
- Ortells, M.O. and Lunt, G.G. (1995). Evolutionary history of the ligand gated ion channel superfamily of receptors. *Trends in Neuro Science*, 18: 121-127.
- Perez, C.J. Alvarado, P. Narvaez, C. Mirinda, F. Hernandez, L. Vanegas, H. Hruska. and Anthony, M. (2000). Assessment of insecticide resistance in five insect pests attacking field and vegetable crops in Nicaragua. *Jour-*

nal of Economic Entomology, 93(6):1779-1787.

- Perez, C.J., Shelton, A.M. and Roush, R.T. (1997). Managing Diamondback moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) resistance to foliar application of *Bacillus thuringiensis* testing, strategies in field cages. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 90(6): 1462-1470.
- Peter, A.J. Srinivasan, K. and Chellaiah, S. (2000). Bio efficacy of spinosad: A new class of insecticide against cabbage pest. *Pest Mangement in Horticultural Ecosystem*, 6(1): 40-46.
- Raju S.V.S and Singh H.N., 1995. Resistance levels in the field population of *Plutella xylostella* L. to certain commonly used insecticides. *Indian Journal of Entomol*ogy.57: 164-166
- Roush, R.T. and Daly, J.C. (1990). The role of population genetics in resistance research and management, In R.T. Roush and B.E. Tabashnik (eds.), *Pestcide Resistant in Arthropde*. Chapman and Hall, New York, USA. 97–152.
- Sannaveerappanavar, V.T. (1995). Studies on insecticidal resistance in the Diamondback moth *Plutella xylostella* (L.) and strategies for the management. *Ph.D thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences*, Banglore.
- Sannaveerappanavar, V.T. and Viraktamath, C.A. (1997). Resistance to cartap hydrochloride and *Bacillus thuringiensis* products in diamondback moth. In Souvenir and Abstracts: *First national Symposium on pest management in horticultural crops: Environmental implications and thrusts*. Bangalore, India. 45.
- Sawant, S.W. (1998). Biological Insecticides: Problems and Perspectives. In National Symposium on Development of Microbial Pesticides and Insect Pest Management, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai and Hindustan Antibiotics limited, 12-13 November 1998, Pune, India.
- Saxena, J.D., Rai, S. Srivastava, K.M. and Sinha, S.R. (1989). Resistance in the field population of the diamondback moth to some commonly used synthetic pyrethroids. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 51: 265-268.
- Sayyed, A.H. Haward, R. Herrero,S. Ferre, J. and Wright, D.J. (2000). Genetic and Biochemical approach for charecterisation of resistance to *Bacillus thuriengiesis* toxin Cry1 Ac in a field population of the Diamondback moth. *Applied Environment and Microbiology*, 66 : 1509-1516.
- Sayyed, A.H. Cerda, H. and Wright, D.J. (2003). Could *Bt* transgenic crops have nutritionally favourable effects on resistant insects?. *Ecological Letters*, 6: 167-169.
- Sayyed, A.H. Omar, D. and Wright, D.J. (2004). Genetics of spinosad resistance in a multi-resistant field selected population of *Plutella xylostella*. *Pest Mangement Science*, 60: 827-832.
- Sayyed, A.H. and Wright, D. J. (2006).Genetics and evidence for an esterase-associated mechanism of resistance to indoxacarb in a field population of diamondback moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *Pest Management Science*, Vol 62 (11): 1045–1051.
- Sayyed, A.H.¹, Saeed, S., Noor-Ul-Ane, M. and Crickmore, N.(2008).Genetic, biochemical, and physiological characterization of spinosad resistance in *Plutellaxylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, Vol. 101(5):1658-66.
- Shelton, A.M. Kroening, M.K. Eigenbrode, S.D. Petzoldt, C. Hoffmann, M.P. Wyman, J.A. Wilsey, W.T. Cooley, R.J. Pedersen, L.H. (1996). Diamondback moth

(Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) contamination of southerngrown cabbage transplants and the potential for insecticide resistance problems. *Journal of Entomological Science*, 31: 347–354.

- Talekar, N.S. and Shelton, A.M. (1993). Biology, Ecology and management of the diamondback moth. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 38: 275-301.
- Uthamasamy, S. Kannar, M. Senguttuvan, K. and Jayaprakash, S.A. (2011). Status, damage potential and management of Diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) in Tamil Nadu, India. *Proceedings of Sixth Internatinal Workshop on Mangement of the DBM and other Cruciferous Insect pests*, Nalchan Pathon, Thailand.Pp.270-279.
- Vadodaria, M.P., Mausuria, I.M., Patel, R.B., Patel, C.J. and Patel, U.G. (2000). Insect Growth Regulators (IGR) A new tool in the management of *Helicoverpa* on cotton in Gujrat. *Pestology*. 24: 14.
- Vastrad, A.S. Lingappa, S. and Basavangoud, K. (2002). Status of insecticide resistance in diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Plutellidae: Lepidoptera) in Karnataka. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science*, 15(2): 379-383.

- Vastrad, A.S. Lingappa, S. and Basavangoud, K. (2004). Monitoring insecticide resistance in diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) in Karnataka, India. *Resistant Pest Mangement News Letter*. 13(2): 22-34.
- Walker, G.P. Cameron, P.J. and Berry, N.A. (2002). Implementing programme for vegetable brassicae in New Zealand. The management of Diamondback moth and other crucifer pests. *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop*, Melbourne, Australia. 26-29.
- Xiang, Z.J. and Dong, W. Y. (2006). Spinosad resitance in diamondback moth *Plutellaxyllostella*. Master Dissertation Topic submitted to Nanjing Agricultural College, www.DissertationTopic.Net.
- Yu, S.J. and Nguyen, S.N. (1992). Detection and biochemical characterization of insecticide resistance in the diamondback moth. *Pesticide Biochemistry & Physiology*, 44:74-81.
- Zhao, J.Z. Li, Y.X. Collins, H.L. Gusukuma-Minuto, L. Mau, R.F.L. Thompson, G.D. and Shelton, A.M. (2002). Monitoring and characterization of diamondback moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) resistance to spinosad. *Journal* of Economic Entomology, 95: 430–436.