



Antennal sensilla of head of poultry shaft louse, *Menopon gallinae* (Phthiraptera, Insecta, Menoponidae, Amblycera)

Surman Arya and Suneel Kumar Singh*

Department of Zoology, Govt. P.G. College, Gopewar (Chamoli)-Garhwal (Uttarakhand), INDIA

*Department of Biotechnology, Modern Institute of Technology, Dhalwala, Rishikesh – 249 201 (Uttarakhand), INDIA

*Corresponding author. E-mail: drsuneelkumarsingh@gmail.com

Received: June 7, 2012; Revised received: July 5, 2012; Accepted: August 20, 2012

Abstract: Phthirapteran ectoparasites (lice) are very small arthropodan creature which spend their life on different mammalian and avian host body. Many morphological features of these tiny creatures are not visible under simple microscopic study and hence scanning electron microscopic (SEM) study is required for specific details. Antennal sensillum is also one of the special features located on anterior part of the head of the louse. The present study on the sample specimen of poultry louse, *Menopon gallinae* (Phthiraptera : Amblycera) showed presence of a small, ovoid scape and pedicel (broad cup-like structure having narrower base) seen in antennal sensilla under SEM. In addition to sensory setae, sense organ was present on terminal segment. Tuft organ contain 6/7 small peg like structure. Pit organ was also visible at the sub-terminal area of fourth segment. Presence of any structure resembling coeloconic chaemo-receptor was not observed on any flagellar sub-segment of *M. gallinae*.

Keywords: Antennal sensilla, *Menopon gallinae*, Poultry louse, Scanning electron microscopy

INTRODUCTION

The antennal sense organs of Phthirapteran ectoparasites are quite difficult to study, due to its tiny size. After isolating and de-staining, these lice becomes transparent and poorly be seen with naked eye. The external morphology of different kinds of sensilla found on the surface of the head of phthirapteran ectoparasites vary in shape, size and patterns. It is also a useful tool for taxonomic study. Occasionally, outline drawing of the antenna under low magnification are included in systematic papers which provided only superficial impression of sense organ. Essig (1942) firstly furnished a drawing of the antenna of *Menacanthus stramineus* in his description of the order. Later on Clay (1969, 1970a, b) provided scanning electron microscope (SEM) photograph of antenna of twenty phthirapterans without description. Slifer (1976) provided first detailed description of flagellar sensilla of one ischnoceran species (*Craspedorrhynchus americanus*). The problems of preparation of the antennal sensilla of insects in SEM have been discussed by Kassner and Zlotoryzcka (1987). Zlotoryzcka and Kassner (1986a, b) supplemented information on antennal sense organs of fourteen mallophagan species. Zlotoryzcka and Modrzejewska (1992) further studied the ultra-structure of antenna of one ischnoceran species, *Docophoroides brevis*. However, antennal sense organs of an Anopluran, *Pediculus humanus humanus* have received more attention (Miller, 1969; Szczesna, 1978, 85, Slifer

and Sekhon, 1980). Likewise, the three anopluran species viz., *Polyplax serrata*, *Solenopotes capillatus* and *Haematopinus* species have also been studied from this point of view (Miller, 1970a, b, 1971a, b). Qadri (1936), Dethier (1957), Zacharuk (1985), McIver (1987), Perez *et al.* (1995) and Solar Cruz (1995) contributed on physiology and nature of blood sucking insects. Smith (2000) had prepared a significant list on louse phylogeny. In case of specific work on antennal sensilla of different phthirapteran species of birds and mammals, significant literature is available. Clarke, 1990 (*Damalinea ovis*); Baker and Chandrapatya, 1992 (*Haematomyzus elephantis*); Steinbrecht, 1994 (*Pediculus humanus corporis*); Green and Turner, 2001 (louse fly); Solar-Cruz and Martin Mateo, 1996 (*Bovicola*); 1998 (*Damalinea*); 2001 (*Damalinea*) and 2009 (*Pediculus humanus* and *Haematopinus apri*); Turner, 2003 (*Damalinea crenelata*); Turner *et al.*, 2004 (*Haematopinus bufali*); Agarwal *et al.*, 2011 (*Upupicola upupae*) and Jose and Neil, 2012 (*Columbicola columbae*) contributed their work on SEM of antennal sensilla.

Cephalic organs like the antennae, mouthparts and palpi have been the phthirapteran structures studied most by means of SEM techniques in order to improve our knowledge of the morphology and receptor function of these organs. The present study supplements the existing description of *Menopon gallinae* with special attention to antennal sensilla.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To study the antennal sensilla, the lice specimens of *M. gallinae* were fixed in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer solution and post fixation in Osmium tetroxide (pH 7.2 = 1) in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer at 4°C, for 1 – 2 hours. The fixed samples were dehydrated in different grades of ethanol and mixture of ethanol with isoamyl acetate and then dried in Balze's Union critical point drier, by gradual replacement of isoamyl acetate with liquid CO₂ at 0°C. Critically dried material were mounted on clean aluminium stub and coated with gold – palladium alloy. The samples were then observed under SEM at varying magnifications and selected areas were photographed. In addition, whole mounts of *M. gallinae* were also prepared to study the nature of antennal sensilla (under light microscope). A few were treated with 0.5 solution of crystal violet in order to identify the pores and chaemoreceptors following the method used by Slifer (1970).

RESULTS

In general, antenna of Phthiraptera is made up of five segments; the scape, pedicel and flagellum of three segment. In *M. gallinae*, last two flagellar sub – segment are fused to form single structure (Amblyceran pattern) . Thus, in *M. gallinae*, the scape is a small ovoid (measuring 0.016 mm to 0.028 mm) and pedicel broad cup – like structure having narrower base (measuring 0.012 to 0.028 mm). The second flagellar sub – segment (fused second and third) is a club – shaped largest portion of antenna (measuring 0.052 to 0.064 mm). In addition to sensory setae (so called tactile hairs), there are sense organs present on terminal segment (Figs. 1-4). In general the sense organs present on first and second sub – segments are slender and have a tip that taper to a fine point (and are affected by solution of crystal violet applied to them). These are characteristics of tactile hairs. Two such larger tactile hair (measuring 0.02 mm) occur on ventro lateral side, close to the distal end of the second sub – segment. Six to seven slightly smaller tactile hairs are present near the distal margins of first sub – segment (measuring 0.016 mm in length). Presence of such structure has not been noted on third sub – segment. The fourth sub – segment has a large number and greater variety of sense organs. Most of them are concentrated in form of a tuft on the apex. The conventional terminology for the description of flagellar sense organ has been adopted from Slifer (1976). The short blunt tipped structure (which stain over entire surface with crystal violet have been termed as thin walled chemo-receptors while long blunt tipped ones (stain at tip with crystal violet) designated as thick walled chemo-receptors. The largest of such structure (measuring 0.016 mm) occur on the centre of tip of distal flagellar sub – segment. Four to five slightly smaller (measuring 0.008 mm to 0.012 mm)

occur around the largest structure (at the tip of fourth flagellar sub – segment). In addition, the tuft organ also contains the six to seven small pegs like structure (so called thin walled chemo-receptor, measuring 0.004 mm to 0.008 mm). Three of these occur at the lateral margin of tip of fourth flagellar segment while remaining at antero – dorsal end. Three to five smaller pegs also occur in the middle region of fourth flagellar sub – segment. Presence of one such structure has also been noted at the distal margin of third flagella sub – segment.

A pit organ (pore) is also visible at the subterminal area of fourth segment (just below the tuft organ). Presence of any peg in the pit remained obscured and more details of the structure also remained indistinct. However, presence of any structure resembling coeloconic chemo-receptor (found present in many lice species) has not been observed on any flagellar sub segment of *M. gallinae* (Figs. 1-4).

DISCUSSION

In general, antenna of had four or five segments to sensilla coelonica (one on each of segments) while four and five in the five segmented antenna and both on the last segment in the four-segment antenna. A close look on literature reveals that there is considerable superficial diversity in form of antennal sense organ, even within genera. Clay, 1970b noted that in Trimenoponidae and Gyropidae modified form of sensilla Coeloconica are present on terminal segment. Differences exist in the type of cavities in which the peg occurs. Presence of sensillum coeloconicum on each terminal segment has been noted in certain Menoponids and also in few members of Boopidae and Ricinidae. In case of *M. gallinae* presence of any such sensilla coeloconicum has not been noted. However, a pore organ, seem to exist below the tuft organ. Miller (1969, 1971) have also recorded the presence of pore organ in certain species. He further noted that anopluran pore organ remains surrounded by ring of grooves that radiate from it. However, the presence of sensory setae and tuft organ (consisting of thin / or thick walled) chemo-receptors appears to be as common feature of most of phthirapteran investigated, so far. Further, the number, size and location of these structures on different component of antenna seem to be variable (Miller, 1969, 1970a,b and 1971b, Clay, 1970a, Slifer, 1976, Szczesna, 1978, 1985; Zlotorzycza and Kassner, 1986b, Kassner and Zlotorzycza, 1987, Zlotorzycza and Modrzejewska, 1992). On the other hand, ischnoceran reportedly posses saucer -shaped structure (each having central raised areas surrounded by varying number of radiating ridges separated by grooves, as in certain members of Trichodectidae), in addition to cavities and tuft organ (Clay, 1970b). Such saucer like sensilla have also been noted on certain members of Philopteridae (Clay, 1970a;




Fig.1. Ventral view of the head showing antennal sensilla of *M. gallinae*.




Fig.3. Terminal part of antennal sensilla of *M. gallinae* showing setae and pegs.



Fig. 2. Enlarged view of antennal sensilla of *M. gallinae* showing terminal pegs.



Fig.4. Peg of different size of *M. gallinae*.

Zlotorzycska and Kassner, 1986a; Zlotorzycska and Modrzejewska, 1992). Presence of such structures on the antenna of *M. gallinae* has not been noted. SEM of antennal sensilla of *M. gallinae* showed variety of sensillum in structure and size. Variation in antennal sensilla may be useful in taxonomic study as they exhibit numerous structures that vary from species to species.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the Principal of Govt. P.G. College, Gopeshwar (Chamoli) for providing laboratory facilities, Dr. Eberhard Mey (natural history, Germany) for identifying the lice species and Dr. A.K. Saxena (Govt. Raza P.G. College, Rampur, U.P.) for reviewing the paper.

REFERENCES

Agarwal, G.P., Ahmad, A., Rashmi, A., Arya, G., Bansal, N. and Saxena, A.K. (2011). Bio-ecology of the louse, *Upupicola upupae*, infesting the common hoopoe, *Upupa epops*. *Journal of Insect Science*, 11(46): 1-9.
Baker, G.T. and Chandrapatya, A. (1992). Sensilla on the mouthparts and antennae of the elephant louse,

Haematomyzus elephantis Piaget (Phthiraptera : haematomyzidae). *Journal of Morphology*, 214(3) : 333-340.

- Clarke, A.R. (1990). External morphology of the antennae of *Damalinea ovis* (Phthiraptera : Trichodectidae). *Journal of Morphology*, 203(2): 203 – 209.
Clay, T. (1969). A key to the genera of the Menoponidae (Amblycera : Mallophaga : Insecta). *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Entomology*, 24: 3-26.
Clay, T. (1970a). A preliminary key to the genera of the Menoponidae (Mallophaga). *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, 117: 457-479.
Clay, T. (1970b). The Amblycera (Phthiraptera : Insecta). *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Entomology*, 25(3) : 73-98.
Dethier, V.G. (1957). The sensory physiology of blood sucking arthropods. *Experiment Parasitol*, 6(1) : 68 – 122.
Essig, E.O. (1942). College entomology. P. 197. *The Macmillan Company, New York*.
Green, E.D. and Turner, M.L. (2001). The micromorphological specialization of the claw of the lousefly. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, 73(2) : 124-158.
Jose, G.C. and Neil, J.V. (2012). Antennal lobe organization in

- the slender pigeon louse, *Columbicola columbae* (Phthiraptera : Ischnocera). *Arthropod Structure & Development (In Press)*.
- Kassner, J. and Zlotrzycka, J. (1987). Problems in preparing the antennal sensilla of insects for scanning studies. *Wiadomosci Parazytologiczne*, 33(1) : 93-97.
- McIver, S.B. (1987). Sensilla of haematophagous insects sensitive to vertebrate host-associated stimuli. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*, 8 : 627-635.
- Miller, F.H. (1969). Antennal tuft organs of *Pediculus humanus* Linn and *Phthirus pubis* (Linn) (Anoplura : Pediculidae). *Journal of the New York Entomological Society*, 77: 85-89.
- Miller, F.H. (1970a). Scanning electron microscopy of antennal structures of *Polyplax serrata* (Burmeister) (Anoplura : Hoplopleuridae). *Journal of the New York Entomological Society*, 78(3) : 33-37.
- Miller, F.H. (1970b). Scanning electron microscopy of *Solenopotes capillatus* Enderlein (Anoplura : Linognathidae). *Journal of the New York Entomological Society*, 78(3) : 139-145.
- Miller, F.H. (1971a). Scanning electron microscopy of *Echinophthirius horridus* (Von olfers), *Antarctophthirus callorhini* (Osbern), and *Proechinophthirius fluctus* (Ferris) with emphasis on the antennal structures (Anoplura : Echinophthiriidae). *The Journal of Parasitology*, 57(3): 668-674.
- Miller, F.H. (1971b). Scanning electron microscopy of antennal structures of five *Haematopinus* (Anoplura : Haematopinidae). *New York Entomological Society*, 79: 19-26.
- Perez, J.M., Granados, J.E. and Rutz, I. (1995). The morphology of *Laemobothrion (Laemobothrion) maximum* (Phthiraptera : Laemobothriidae). *Parasitologica*, 57 : 45-51.
- Qadri, M.A.H. (1936). Studies on the mouth-parts of Mallophaga infesting north-Indian birds. *Proceedings of Indian Academy of Sciences*, 3: 411 -423.
- Slifer, E.H. (1970). The structure of arthropod chaemoreceptors. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 15:121-142.
- Slifer, E.H. (1976). Sense organs on the antennal flagellum of a bird louse (Mallophaga). *Journal of New York Entomological Society*, 84(3) : 159-165.
- Slifer, F.H. and Sekhon, S.S. (1980). Sense organs on the antennal flagellum of the human louse, *Pediculus humanus* (Anoplura). *Journal of Morphology*, 164: 161-166.
- Smith, V.S. (2000). Avian louse phylogeny (Phthiraptera : Ischnocera): A cladystic study based on morphology. *Ph.D. Thesis, University of Glasgow*.
- Solar Cruz, M.D. and Martin Mateo, M.P. (2001). Structure of the preantennal region of several species of *Damalinia* (Phthiraptera : Trichodectidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 38(6): 802 – 808.
- Solar Cruz, M.D. and Martin Mateo, M.P. (2009). Scanning electron microscopy of legs of two species of sucking lice (Anoplura : Phthiraptera). *Micron*, 40(3): 401 – 408.
- Solar Cruz, M.D. (1995). Antennal sense organs of Phthiraptera (Insecta). Scanning electron microscopy of several species of Anoplura. *Micron*, 26(1) : 7-14.
- Solar Cruz, M.D. and Martin Mateo, M.P. (1996). Antennal sense organs of Phthiraptera (Insecta). Scanning electron microscopy of the 'pit organs' of several species of *Bovicola*. *Micron*, 27(1): 11-15.
- Solar Cruz, M.D. and Martin Mateo, M.P. (1998). Sensory equipment of the antennal flagellum of several species of *Damalinia* (Phthiraptera : Trichodectidae). *Micron*, 29(6): 431-438.
- Steinbrecht, R.A. (1994). The tuft organs of the human body louse, *Pediculus humanus corporis* – Cryofixation study of a thermo / hygrosensitive sensillum. *Tissue & Cell*, 26(2) : 259 – 275.
- Szczesna, Z. (1978). Sense organs on the legs of *Pediculus humanus humanus* L. (Anoplura, Pediculidae) nymphs and imagines. *Polskie Pismo Entomologiczne*, 48(4) : 593-600.
- Szczesna, Z. (1985). The sense organs on antennae of *Pediculus humanus* (Anoplura : Pediculidae) in post embryonic development stages. *Acta Parasitologica Polonica*, 29(30-43) : 395 – 404.
- Turner, M.L. (2003). The micromorphology of the blesbuck louse *Damalinia (Damalinia) crenelata* as observed under the scanning electron microscope. *Koedoe*, 46(1): 65-71.
- Turner, M.L., Labuschagne, C. and Green, E.D. (2004). The micromorphology of the African buffalo louse *Haematopinus bufali* as observed under the scanning electron microscope. *Koedoe*, 47(2) : 83-90.
- Zacharuk, R. (1985). Antennae and sensilla. In G.A. Kerkut and L.I. Gilbert (eds.). *Comprehensive insect physiology*, volume 6. *Oxford Pergamon Press*, pp. 1-69.
- Zlotrzycka, J. and Kassner, J. (1986a). Morphologische untersuchungen uber die fuhlersinnesorgane von ischnozeren vogel-mallophagen. *Angew. Parasitol.*, 27: 241-251.
- Zlotrzycka, J. and Kassner, J. (1986b). Antennal sensilla in Mallophaga in scanning electron microscope. *Folia Histochemica et Cytobiologica*, 24(4) : 324-325.
- Zlotrzycka, J. and Modrezejewska, M. (1992). Morphologic features, with particular regard to surface ultra-structure, of *Docophoroides brevis* (Docopherididae, Mallophage). *Wiadomosci Parazytologiczne*, 38(1-2) : 43 – 50.