



## Impact of endomycorrhizal fungi and other bioinoculants on growth enhancement of *Glycine max* (L.) Merrill

Alpa, Neetu, Anju Tanwar, Ashok Aggarwal\* and K.K. Gupta<sup>1</sup>

Department of Botany, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra-136119 (Haryana), INDIA

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany and Microbiology, Gurukula Kangri University, Haridwar-249404 (Uttarakhand), INDIA

\*Corresponding author. E-mail-[aggarwal\\_vibha@rediffmail.com](mailto:aggarwal_vibha@rediffmail.com)

**Abstract:** In the present investigation, the contributions of two indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomus mosseae* and *Acaulospora laevis*), along with *Trichoderma viride* and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* on growth parameters of Soybean, *Glycine max* (L.) Merrill were investigated. The results obtained indicated the dependence of soybean on mycorrhizal symbiosis. The different growth parameters increased significantly after 120 days of inoculation in comparison to control. Among all the growth parameters studied, plant height ( $162\pm 3.34$ ), fresh shoot weight ( $31.26\pm 1.45$ ), dry shoot weight ( $3.52\pm 0.05$ ), fresh root weight ( $4.07\pm 0.56$ ), dry root weight ( $1.03\pm 0.03$ ), root length ( $49.0\pm 4.47$ ) and leaf area ( $32.58\pm 1.70$ ) were highest in the combination of *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* + *B. japonicum* but arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) spore number ( $95.2\pm 3.19$ ) and percent mycorrhizal root colonization ( $93.26\pm 3.96$ ) were maximum in single inoculation of *G. mosseae*. Second most effective results were observed in the plants treated with *G. mosseae* alone. Thus the presence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and other bioinoculants in rhizosphere of soybean had positive effect on the different growth parameters.

**Keywords:** *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, *Glycine max*, P-uptake, Symbiosis, *Trichoderma viride*

### INTRODUCTION

Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) is a globally important oilseed crop and source of high quality protein for human consumption, used as fodder for animal and is also important in improved crop rotation system (Manyong *et al.*, 1996, Carsky *et al.*, 1997). It is being reported medically that by its regular consumption, helps to reduce cardiac disorders due to presence of abundant poly unsaturated fatty acid in Soya oil. Soybean being the richest, cheapest and easiest source of best quality proteins and fats and having a vast multiplicity of uses as food and industrial products is sometimes called a wonder crop. A plant with such a vast importance commercially, demands increase in productivity. This can be achieved either by the addition of inorganic nutrients or by improving the agricultural practices. Among several treatments, the use of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) is gaining more attention because it enhances growth parameters resulting in higher yield and is eco- friendly. AMF is known to affect positively plant establishment and survival, enhance plant nutrient uptake, improve soil structure and reduce the negative effects of various biotic and abiotic stresses (Vessey, 2003; Riaz *et al.*, 2007 and Manoharachary *et al.*, 2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi have mutualistic symbiosis with most vascular plant and these fungi are ubiquitous on terrestrial ecosystem (Allen, 1991; Smith and Read, 1997). Potential use of AM

fungi in agriculture has received much attention to reduce the use of chemical fertilizers and pesticide in the past decades (Sharma *et al.*, 1997; Harrier and Watson, 2004). Furthermore, legumes like soybean can form tripartite symbiotic association with nodule –inducing rhizobia and AM fungi simultaneously, which may benefit both P and N efficiency (Lisette *et al.*, 2003). In contrast, none or negative responses to co-inoculation have been reported in pea (Blilou *et al.*, 1999). To explain the contrary reports of effect of co-inoculation with rhizobia, in the present investigation an attempt has been made to see the effect of dominant AM fungi along or in various combinations with other bioinoculants (*Trichoderma viride* and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*) on the various morphological parameters with a view to find out the best combination that has the capability of increasing plant growth and plant biomass of soybean.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Collection of soil sample:** Composite soil sample from rhizospheric soil of soybean was collected from Botanical Garden, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra and kept in sterilized polythene bags at 10°C till further processing.

**Isolation of dominant AM fungi from soil samples:** Isolation of dominant AM spores were done by using ‘Wet Sieving and Decanting Technique’ of Gerdemann and Nicolson (1963). Spores were then picked by hypodermic needle under stereobinocular microscope.

**Mass multiplication of AM spores:** Dominant AM spores *Glomus mosseae* (Nicol. and Gerd.) and *Acaulospora laevis* (Gerd. and Trappe) were mass multiplied by using wheat as host plant (Tanwar et al., 2010).

**Mass culture of *T. viride*:** *T. viride* was isolated from the soil and then further mass produced by using wheat bran and saw dust medium which was prepared by using wheat bran, saw dust and distilled water in the ratio of 3:1:4.

**Mass culture of *B. japonicum*:** *B. japonicum* was grown on nutrient broth medium for 24 hrs for proper growth of bacteria.

**Preparation of pot mixture:** Surface sterilized seeds of soybean were grown in earthen pots (25×25 cm) under polyhouse conditions. To each pot 10 percent of inoculum of each AM fungi (*G. mosseae* and *A. laevis*) was added alone and in combination with *T. viride*, *B. japonicum* and then the effect of these bioinoculants were recorded on different growth parameters of soybean after 120 days of inoculation. Different treatments used during the present investigation were as follows:

1. Control (without any bioinoculant)
2. *Glomus mosseae* (G)
3. *Acaulospora laevis* (A)
4. *Trichoderma viride* (T)
5. *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* (B)
6. *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* (G + A)
7. *G. mosseae* + *T. viride* (G + T)
8. *G. mosseae* + *B. japonicum* (G + B)
9. *A. laevis* + *T. viride* (A + T)
10. *A. laevis* + *B. japonicum* (A + B)
11. *T. viride* + *B. japonicum* (T + B)
12. *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* (G + A + T)
13. *G. mosseae* + *T. viride* + *B. japonicum* (G + T + B)
14. *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *B. japonicum* (G + A + B)
15. *A. laevis* + *T. viride* + *B. japonicum* (A + T + B)
16. *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* + *B. japonicum* (G + A + T + B)

Five replicates of each treatment were taken.

**Quantification of AM spores:** It was done by Adholeya and Gaur 'Grid Line Intersect Method' (1994). Spores were counted under stereo binocular microscope by using a counter.

**Identification of AM fungi:** For identification of AM spores, the keys of Walker (1983), Scheneck and Perez (1990), Morton and Benny (1990), Mukerji (1996), Sharma et al. (2008), Tanwar et al. (2008) and Sharma et al. (2009) were followed.

**Mycorrhizal root colonization and growth parameters:** After 120 days roots were uprooted, washed, blotted dry for determination of fresh root weight and mycorrhizal root colonization and then oven dried for root dry weight and P content estimation. Mycorrhizal root colonization was studied by 'Rapid Clearing and Staining Method' of

Phillips and Hayman (1970). The percentage mycorrhizal root colonization was calculated by following formula:

Percentage root colonization = Number of root segments colonized / Total number root segments studied X 100

**Statistical analysis:** All results were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by post hoc test through computer software SPSS 11.5 version. Means were ranked at P < 0.005 level of significance using Duncan's Multiple Range Test for comparison.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Effect on height:** In the present investigation it was found that soybean plant growth was significantly affected by AM fungi and other bioinoculants (*T. viride* and *B. japonicum*). It is evident from table-1 that inoculated or treated plants showed significant increment in morphological parameters in comparison to the control. After 120 days, change in morphological parameters was maximum in the combination i.e. *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* + *B. japonicum* followed by single inoculation of *G. mosseae* and then followed by triple combination i.e. *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* respectively. According to the results on the growth attributes shown in Table 1, *G. mosseae* was found to be the most effective bioinoculant among all the bioinoculants used. It is evident from Table 1 that inoculated or treated plants showed significant increase in height in comparison to the control. After 120 days, change in height was maximum in the combination i.e., *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* + *B. japonicum* (162±3.34) followed by single inoculation of *G. mosseae* (151±2.30) and triple inoculation of *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* (143±4.92) respectively and lowest in control (91±8.40). The results of all present investigation are in close conformity with the finding of Hernandez and Cuevas (2003). AM fungi also have been reported to be involved in improvement of plant growth by enhancing accumulation of plant nutrients through greater soil exploration by mycorrhizal hyphae as reported by Dugassa et al. (1996). The other possible mechanism can be that AM fungi also improve health by activating resistance mechanisms and inducing tolerance against some pathogenic microorganism. Similarly, significant increases in the height of soybean plants were observed throughout the growth period when plants were inoculated with AM fungi (Mali et al., 2009).

**Effect on root and shoot fresh and dry weight:** Table 1 showed that biomass of all the inoculated plants of soybean increased significantly in terms of fresh and dry shoot weight after 120 days of inoculation. Maximum increase in shoot fresh and dry weight was recorded in the combination with *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* + *B. japonicum* (31.26±1.45, 3.52±0.05) and lowest in control (10.28±1.47, 1.03±0.02). Second most effective results were observed in the plants treated with *G. mosseae*

Table 1. Interaction of AMF, *T. viride* and *B. japonicum* on different growth parameters of soybean after 120 days.

S. No.	Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Fresh shoot weight (g)	Dry shoot weight (g)	Fresh root weight (g)	Dry root weight (g)	Leaf area	Root length (cm)	% Root colonization	AM spore number per 10 g of soil
1	Control	91.0±8.40 <sup>f</sup>	10.28±1.47 <sup>f</sup>	1.03±0.02 <sup>f</sup>	0.65±0.10 <sup>f</sup>	0.15±0.03 <sup>f</sup>	19.42±1.47 <sup>f</sup>	15.0±6.08 <sup>f</sup>	39.93±5.11 <sup>f</sup>	13.6±4.21 <sup>f</sup>
2	G	151.0±2.30 <sup>b</sup>	29.34±1.73 <sup>b</sup>	3.42±0.03 <sup>ab</sup>	3.86±0.51 <sup>ab</sup>	0.99±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	30.34±2.08 <sup>b</sup>	48.0±7.21 <sup>ab</sup>	93.26±3.96 <sup>a</sup>	95.2±3.19 <sup>a</sup>
3	A	106±4.18 <sup>e</sup>	21.08±1.92 <sup>d</sup>	2.38±0.05 <sup>e</sup>	1.96±0.34 <sup>d</sup>	0.55±0.03 <sup>de</sup>	25.16±1.77 <sup>cd</sup>	30.6±3.04 <sup>de</sup>	60.65±4.15 <sup>d</sup>	56.0±5.43 <sup>d</sup>
4	T	134±3.39 <sup>cd</sup>	20.58±1.96 <sup>de</sup>	1.97±0.02 <sup>d</sup>	1.71±0.23 <sup>de</sup>	0.50±0.04 <sup>de</sup>	27.18±2.70 <sup>c</sup>	38.0±5.74 <sup>cd</sup>	77.35±1.79 <sup>c</sup>	71.4±4.27 <sup>c</sup>
5	B	098±5.06 <sup>ef</sup>	20.10±2.39 <sup>de</sup>	1.75±0.04 <sup>e</sup>	1.62±0.14 <sup>de</sup>	0.44±0.03 <sup>e</sup>	22.32±3.04 <sup>ef</sup>	32.2±4.76 <sup>de</sup>	44.42±2.92 <sup>e</sup>	23.0±3.03 <sup>ef</sup>
6	G+A	137±4.32 <sup>cd</sup>	25.68±1.31 <sup>c</sup>	2.90±0.04 <sup>bc</sup>	3.04±0.32 <sup>b</sup>	0.97±0.03 <sup>bc</sup>	29.16±2.87 <sup>bc</sup>	44.4±2.30 <sup>b</sup>	87.44±2.61 <sup>b</sup>	82.4±2.40 <sup>b</sup>
7	G+T	132±4.35 <sup>cd</sup>	16.36±1.66 <sup>e</sup>	1.58±0.06 <sup>e</sup>	1.40±0.41 <sup>e</sup>	0.29±0.04 <sup>ef</sup>	27.64±2.38 <sup>c</sup>	40.4±4.77 <sup>c</sup>	78.64±5.18 <sup>c</sup>	72.2±2.68 <sup>c</sup>
8	G+B	102±6.58 <sup>ef</sup>	24.44±2.05 <sup>c</sup>	2.53±0.04 <sup>c</sup>	2.48±0.36 <sup>c</sup>	0.86±0.04 <sup>d</sup>	22.50±2.08 <sup>ef</sup>	39.0±3.16 <sup>c</sup>	45.61±6.96 <sup>e</sup>	38.2±4.96 <sup>e</sup>
9	A+T	134±3.70 <sup>cd</sup>	26.64±1.71 <sup>c</sup>	2.63±0.04 <sup>c</sup>	2.90±0.41 <sup>bc</sup>	0.89±0.03 <sup>cd</sup>	26.38±2.00 <sup>cd</sup>	37.0±3.39 <sup>cd</sup>	76.35±2.84 <sup>c</sup>	68.2±5.76 <sup>cd</sup>
10	A+B	131±3.84 <sup>cd</sup>	20.72±2.14 <sup>c</sup>	1.93±0.05 <sup>de</sup>	1.60±0.12 <sup>de</sup>	0.49±0.03 <sup>e</sup>	25.64±1.23 <sup>cd</sup>	26.0±2.91 <sup>e</sup>	68.29±4.07 <sup>cd</sup>	57.0±4.69 <sup>d</sup>
11	T+B	127±3.53 <sup>d</sup>	21.74±1.21 <sup>d</sup>	2.40±0.03 <sup>c</sup>	2.25±0.16 <sup>c</sup>	0.83±0.03 <sup>d</sup>	23.36±1.43 <sup>de</sup>	35.6±2.40 <sup>d</sup>	48.42±4.20 <sup>de</sup>	42.0±5.91 <sup>de</sup>
12	G+A+T	143±4.92 <sup>c</sup>	28.20±1.71 <sup>b</sup>	3.29±0.06 <sup>b</sup>	3.48±0.33 <sup>ab</sup>	0.91±0.04 <sup>c</sup>	29.30±4.01 <sup>bc</sup>	47.2±4.14 <sup>ab</sup>	89.97±6.24 <sup>b</sup>	83.8±4.43 <sup>b</sup>
13	G+T+B	135±2.38 <sup>cd</sup>	25.88±2.18 <sup>c</sup>	2.89±0.51 <sup>bc</sup>	2.97±0.31 <sup>bc</sup>	0.92±0.04 <sup>c</sup>	28.22±2.51 <sup>bc</sup>	43.0±4.30 <sup>bc</sup>	84.62±3.34 <sup>bc</sup>	78.2±4.14 <sup>bc</sup>
14	G+B+A	111±2.40 <sup>de</sup>	23.84±1.35 <sup>cd</sup>	2.46±0.04 <sup>c</sup>	2.30±0.28 <sup>c</sup>	0.85±0.04 <sup>d</sup>	28.00±2.90 <sup>bc</sup>	33.0±4.84 <sup>d</sup>	50.30±3.92 <sup>de</sup>	48.4±5.02 <sup>de</sup>
15	A+T+B	118±2.07 <sup>de</sup>	25.28±2.12 <sup>c</sup>	2.76±0.02 <sup>bc</sup>	3.07±0.36 <sup>b</sup>	0.95±0.01 <sup>bc</sup>	24.70±1.22 <sup>d</sup>	42.6±3.36 <sup>bc</sup>	53.22±5.03 <sup>de</sup>	52.4±5.22 <sup>d</sup>
16	G+A+T+B	162±3.34 <sup>a</sup>	31.26±1.45 <sup>a</sup>	3.52±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	4.07±0.56 <sup>a</sup>	1.03±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	32.58±1.70 <sup>a</sup>	49.0±4.47 <sup>a</sup>	90.90±5.52 <sup>ab</sup>	92.0±3.80 <sup>ab</sup>
	L.S.D(P≤0.05)	5.556	2.286	0.058	0.425	0.051	3.165	5.547	5.621	5.509
	ANOVA(F <sub>15,32</sub> )	100.645	39.898	1112.149	39.57	237.510	9.777	20.573	90.681	149.972

G- *Glomus mosseae*, A-*Acaulospora laevis*, T- *Trichoderma viride*, B- *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. ± Standard deviation, \*Mean difference is significant at 0.5 levels, Mean value followed by different alphabet/s within a column do not differ significantly over one other at P<0.05 (Duncan's Multiple Range Test).

(29.34±1.73). This confirms the earlier findings of Shanmugaiah et al. (2009) that fresh and dry weight in sesame plant was significantly increased by *G. mosseae* inoculation in oil seed crops. EL-Ghandour et al. (1996) reported the same result that root and shoot dry mass was significantly higher with dual inoculation (VAM + *Rhizobium*) in comparison to single treatment. The dry matter yield of *Centrosema pubescens* and *Pueraria phaseoloides* inoculated with *Glomus* sp. increased many folds as compared to control (Lukiwati et al., 1994). Earlier studies have also shown that inoculation of sesame plant with mycorrhizal fungi results in higher fresh and dry shoot weight (Sabannavar and Lakshman, 2009). According to the results shown in Table-1 root biomass (fresh and dry) has been found to increase significantly irrespective of treatments over control. After 120 days, the increase in root biomass was observed maximum with *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* + *B. japonicum* (4.07±0.56, 1.03±0.03) followed by *G. mosseae* (3.86±0.51, 0.99±0.07) and lowest in control (0.65±0.10, 0.15±0.03). The results of the present study indicate that inoculation of soil with AMF alone or in combination markedly improved the dry matter in soybean in comparison to control. This increase in root and shoot weight may be due to more absorption of nutrients via an increase in root surface area. Soybean inoculated plants with AMF grew markedly better than non-mycorrhizal plant.

**Effect on root length:** As Table 1 shows that inoculating soybean with AM fungi significantly increased the root length after 120 days of inoculation. In the present study, it was found that a synergistic effect was observed and maximum root length increment was observed in plants treated with *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* + *B. japonicum* (49±4.47), followed by *G. mosseae* (48±7.21) and triple inoculation *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* respectively and lowest in control (15±6.08). The present results are in agreement with findings of Shanmugaiah et al. (2009). Torrisi et al. (1999) observed an increase in the root length of cotton plants when soil was inoculated with an isolate of *G. mosseae*. It can be said that as a result of AMF treatment root elongation was observed which ultimately absorb more of the nutrients especially away from the P depletion zone and resulted in the better growth of the plant in comparison to the non-mycorrhizal plants.

**Effect on leaf area:** The results indicate that leaf area was greatly enhanced in inoculated plants in comparison to control. Highest increment in leaf area activity was observed in the plants inoculated with *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* + *B. japonicum* (32.58±1.70) and lowest in control (19.42±1.47). Second highest results were obtained in the single inoculation of *G. mosseae* (30.34±2.08) followed by the triple combination *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* (29.30±4.01) respectively. The results indicate that inoculation with mycorrhizal fungi alone or in combination

with other bioinoculants significantly increase leaf area of soybean. The leaf area enhancement is due to potential of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to improve water and phosphorus uptake as suggested by Harley and Smith (1983) and Sieverding (1991). Similar results were found in the case of corn (Kothari et al., 1990), lettuce (Tobar et al., 1994) and maize (Subramanian et al., 1995). The increase of the leaf area with AM inoculation would be beneficial by maintaining a higher photosynthetic rate (Auge et al., 1987; Panwar, 1993).

**Effect on root colonization and AM spore number:** Percent mycorrhizal root colonization and AM spore number also increased in all AM treated plants over control (Table 1). After 120 days of inoculation, percent mycorrhizal root colonization (93.26±3.96) and AM spore number (95.2±3.19) were highest in plants treated with *G. mosseae*. Second most effective results were found in the combination *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* + *B. japonicum* (90.90±5.52, 92.0±3.80) and lowest in the control (39.93±5.11, 13.6±4.21). It was found that root colonization and AM spore number were greatly enhanced synergistically by interaction of AM fungi, *B. japonicum* and *T. viride*. *T. viride* is highly effective in root colonization by producing secondary metabolites and these metabolites enhance AMF growth and thus mycorrhizal spore number and colonization (Mangla et al., 2010). It was observed that inoculation of plants with AM fungi alone or in different combinations showed significant increase in mycorrhizal root colonization. According to Asimi et al. (1980), the mycorrhizal fungi and nodule symbiosis bacteria act synergistically, both in root colonization rate and on mineral nutrition uptake and growth of the plant. A significant promoting effect on mycorrhizal colonization density and frequency was observed in soybean plant when inoculated with AMF (Jeong et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2011). The results of the present investigation indicate that colonization with more number of AM fungal species i.e. *G. mosseae* increase the percentage of mycorrhizal root colonization. Similarly AM inoculated plants exhibited higher percent root colonization by in Sunflower plant (Soleimanzadeh, 2010). Mycorrhizal plants grow better than non-mycorrhizal plants due to the difference in the root colonization as arbuscules and vesicles of colonized root played an important role in P transfer.

## Conclusion

The current study showed that inoculation with plant growth promoting microorganisms (*G. mosseae*, *A. laevis*, *T. viride* and *B. japonicum*) enhanced the overall growth performance of soybean plants grown under polyhouse conditions. AM inoculation resulted in an increase in mycorrhizal spore number as well as root colonization, which causes significant increase in the other morphological parameters also after 120 days of inoculation. This increment could be attributed to the enhanced uptake

of nutrients, better water absorption, increased surface area of roots and also secretion of some enzymes by inoculated microorganisms. *G. mosseae* + *A. laevis* + *T. viride* + *B. japonicum* proved to be the best combination for inoculating soybean seedlings under polyhouse condition to get maximum biomass. This combination can be tested further in the field conditions at seed sowing stage and can be recommended to farmers after proper confirmation.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors (Alpa, Neetu and Anju Tanwar) are thankful to Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra and UGC, New Delhi for providing financial assistance.

## REFERENCES

- Adholeya, A. and Gaur, A. (1994). Estimation of VAM fungal spores in soil. *Mycorrhiza News*, 6: 10-11.
- Allen, M.F. (1991). The ecology of mycorrhizae. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Asimi, S.V., Gianinazzi-Pearson and Gianinazzi S. (1980). Influence of increasing soil phosphorus levels on interaction between vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae and *Rhizobium* in soybean. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 58: 2200-2205.
- Auge, R.M., Schekel, K.A. and Wample, R.L. (1987). Rose leaf elasticity changes in response to mycorrhizal colonization and drought acclimation. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 70:175-182.
- Blilou, J., Ocampo, J. and Garcia-Garrido, J. (1999). Resistance of pea roots to endomycorrhiza fungus or *Rhizobium* correlates with enhanced levels of endogenous salicylic acid. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 50: 1663-1668.
- Carsky, R.J., Abiadoo, R., Dashiell, K.E. and Sanginga, N. (1997). Effect of soybean on subsequent Maize grain yield in Guinea savannah of West Africa. *African Crop Science Journal*, 5: 31-39.
- Dugassa, G.D., Von A.H. and Schönbeck, F. (1996). Effects of arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) on health of *Linum usitatissimum* L. infected by fungal pathogens. *Plant Soil*, 185: 173-182.
- El- Ghandour, I.A., EL- Sharawy, M.O.A. and Abdel-Moniem, E.M. (1996). Impact of vesicular- arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobium on growth and P, N and Fe uptake by faba - bean. *Fertilizer Research*, 43: 43-48.
- Gerdemann, J.W. and Nicolson, Y.H. (1963). Spores of mycorrhizae *Endogone* species extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*, 46: 235-244.
- Harley, J.L. and Smith S.E., (1983). Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Academic Press, New York, pp: 483.
- Harrier, L.A. and Watson, C.A. (2004). The potential role of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi in the bioprotection of plants against soil-borne pathogens in organic and/or other sustainable farming systems. *Pest Management Science*, 60: 149-157.
- Hernandez, M. and Cuevas, F. (2003). The effect of inoculating with arbuscular mycorrhiza and bradyrhizobium strains on soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) crop development. *Cultivos Tropicales*, 24 (2): 19-21.
- Jeong, H.S., Lee, J. and Eom, A.H. (2006). Effects of interspecific interaction of arbuscular mycorrhizal Fungi on growth of soybean and corn. *Mycobiology*, 34(1): 34-37.
- Kothari, S.K., Marschner, H. and George, E. (1990). Effect of VA mycorrhizal fungi and rhizosphere microorganisms on root and shoot morphology, growth and water relations in maize, *New Phytol.*, 116: 303-311.
- Lisette, J., Xavier, C. and Germida, J.J. (2003). Selective interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. viceae enhance pea yield and nutrition. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 37: 261-267.
- Lukiwati, D.R., Hardjosoewignjo, S., Fakuara Y. and Anas, I. (1994). Dry matter yield of forage legumes by VAM and rock phosphate fertilizer in the latosolic soil. Abstr.p. 41. In Abstract of Bio- Refor Workshop on Plantation Forestry and the Application of New Biotechnology. Perlis- Malaysia, 28 November- 1 December.
- Mali, B.L., Shah, R. and Bhatnagar, M.K. (2009). Effect of VAM fungi on nutrient uptake and plant growth performance of soybean. *Indian Phytopath.*, 62(2): 171-177.
- Mangla, C., Kumar, A. and Aggarwal, A. (2010). Potential of AM fungi (*Glomus mosseae* and *Acaulospora laevis*) and *Trichoderma viride* in enhancing growth and development of *Eclisa alba* (L.) Hassk. *Indian Phytopathology*, 63(3): 313-317.
- Manoharachary, C., Adholeya, A. and Kunwar, I.K. (2009). Mycorrhiza: some glimpses. *Mycorrhiza News letter*, 20(4): 2-6.
- Manyong, V.M., Dashiell, K.E., Oyewole, B. and Blahut, G. (1996). Spread of new Soybean varieties in a traditional soybean growing area of Nigeria. A Seminar Paper in the Second Symposium of the African Association of Farming Systems Research Extension and Training (AAFSRET), 20-23 August 1996, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso pp. 151-162.
- Morton, J.B. and Benny, G.L. (1990). Revised classification of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (*Zygomycetes*): A new order, Glomales, two new suborder, Glomineae and Gigasporineae, with an emendation of Glomaceae. *Mycotaxon*, 37: 471-491.
- Mukerji, K.G. (1996). *Advances in Botany*, In: Mukerji, K.G, Mathur, B., Chamola, B.P and Chitralkha P. (Ed), Taxonomy of endomycorrhizal fungi (pp. 211-221), APH Pub.Co. New Delhi.
- Panwar, J.D.S. (1993). Effect of VAM and *Azospirillum* on growth on growth and yield of wheat. *Indian J. Plant Physiol*, 357-361.
- Phillips, J.M. and Hayman, D.S. (1970). Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic and VAM fungi for rapid assessment of infection. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*, 55: 158-161.
- Riaz, T., Javaid, A. and Sheikh, N.A. (2007). Response of Sunflower to *Glomus epigaeum* and *Glomus pubescens* inoculation. *Pakistan Journal of Phytopathology*, 19(2): 145-149.
- Sabannavar, S.J. and Lakshman, H.C. (2009). Effect of rock phosphate solubilizing using mycorrhizal fungi and phosphobacteria on two high yielding varieties of *Sesamum indicum* L. *World Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 5(4): 470-479.
- Schenck, N.C. and Perez Y. (1990). Manual for the identification of VA- mycorrhizal VAM fungi. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., Synergistic Publication, Gainesville, U.S.A.
- Shanmugaiah, V., Balasubramanian, N., Gomathinayagam, S., Manoharan, P.T. and Rajendran, A. (2009). Effect of single

- application of *Trichoderma viride* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on growth promotion in cotton plants. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 4 : 1220-1225.
- Sharma, S., Madan, M. and Vasudevan, P. (1997). Biology and applications of mycorrhizal fungi. *Microbiologia*, 13 : 427-436.
- Sharma, S., Parkash, V. and Aggarwal, A. (2008). Glomales I: A monograph of *Glomus* spp. (Glomaceae) in the sunflower rhizosphere of Haryana, India. *Helia.*, 31 (49) : 13-18.
- Sharma, S., Parkash, V., Kaushish, S. and Aggarwal, A. (2009). A monograph of *Acaulospora* spp. (VAM fungi) in sunflower rhizosphere in Haryana, India. *Helia*, 32 (50) : 69-76.
- Sieverding, E. (1991). Vesicular- arbuscularmycorrhizae management in tropical agrosystems. Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) Eschborn, Germany.
- Smith, S.E. and Read, D.J. (1997). Mycorrhizal symbiosis, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Academic Press, London.
- Soleimanzadeh, H. (2010). Effect of VA-Mycorrhiza on growth and yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) at different phosphorus levels. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 71 : 441-417.
- Subramanian, K.S., Charest, C., Dwyer, L.M. and Hamilton, R.I. (1995). Arbuscular mycorrhizas and water relation in maize under drought stress at tasseling. *New Phytol.*, 129: 643-650.
- Tanwar, A., Kumar, A., Mangla, C. and Aggarwal, A. (2010). Mass multiplication of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi using paper - mill solid waste as substrate. *Journal of the Indian Botanical Society*, 89 (1-2) : 133-137.
- Tanwar, A., Kumar, A., Sharma, S. and Aggarwal, A. (2008). Status and Biodiversity of endomycorrhizal fungi associated with some vegetable crops. *Punjab University Research Journal*, 58 : 35-46.
- Tobar, R., Azon, R. and Barea, J.M. (1994). The improvement of plant N acquisition from an ammonium -treated, drought-stressed soil by the fungal symbiot in arbuscular mycorrhizae. *Mycorrhiza*, 4 : 105-108.
- Torresi, V., Pattinson, G.S. and McGee, P.A. (1999). Localized elongation of roots of cotton follows establishment of arbuscularmycorrhizas. *New Phytol.*, 142 : 103-112.
- Vessey, J.K. (2003). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. *Plant Soil*, 255 : 571-586.
- Walker C. (1983). Taxonomic concepts in the Endogonaceae spore wall characteristics in species description. *Mycotaxon*, 18 : 443 - 445.
- Wang, X., Pan, Q., Chen, F., Yan, X. and Liao, H. (2011). Effect of co-inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and Rhizobia on soybean growth as related to root architecture and availability of N and P. *Mycorrhiza*, 21 : 173-181.