



## Physico-chemical and microbiological characterization of the ground water across the city Bareilly (U.P.), India

D. R. Khanna, Shivom Singh, Neetu Saxena, R. Bhutiani\*, Gagan Matta and Dipali Bhasker Kulkarni

Department of Zoology and Environmental Science, Gurukula Kangri University, Haridwar -249404 (Uttarakhand), INDIA

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: rbhutiani@gmail.com

**Abstract:** The drinking water quality (underground water) of Bareilly city has been assessed by estimating physico-chemical parameters and calculating Water Quality Index (WQI). Water Quality Index plays an important role in interpreting the information on water quality. The WQI of different sites shows that drinking water is of good quality. The correlation between different parameters was also estimated. During course of study the average value of physico-chemical parameters studied were observed as temperature 20.17 °C, turbidity 2.17 NTU, pH 8.13, electrical conductivity 1360 mmhos/cm, total dissolved solids 1218.9 mg/l, total hardness 515.0 mg/l chlorides 106.34 mg/l, alkalinity 342.15, fluorides 0.44 mg/l, sulphates 84.68 mg/l, nitrates 22.83 mg/l, DO 2.44 mg/l, BOD 1.26 mg/l. While average MPN were observed as 5.66 and average WQI as 21.48.

**Keywords:** Drinking underground water, WQI, Correlation coefficient, Ground water quality

### INTRODUCTION

Water is the most essential commodity for human consumption. Various physico-chemical parameters like pH, hardness, DO, Ca, Cl, F, TDS, alkalinity etc. have a significant role in determining the potability of drinking water (WHO, 1971). Water served to consumers should be free from disease carrying bacteria, colourless, sparkling, tasteless, having DO, free from poisonous material and excessive amount of mineral and organic matter.

In cities, a large section of the population uses water from surface sources and ground water for human consumption. Underground water (water from deep borings and wells) generally contains no turbidity but more mineral salts, free CO<sub>2</sub>, Ca and Mg salts. Ground water contamination is generally irreversible i.e. once it is contaminated, it is difficult to restore the original quality of the aquifer. Hazardous substance, fertilizers, organic compounds, heavy metal and sewage discharges can seep into ground water from municipal sanitary landfills as well as from hazardous landfills, mining & agricultural operations, hotels, hospitals etc. These hazardous substances, if disposed off improperly, can eventually contaminate ground water (Akanpo and Igboekwe, 2011). Therefore, it is necessary to assess the quality of underground water used for drinking purposes. In the present study, underground drinking water quality of Bareilly city has been evaluated during winter season by estimating various physico-chemical as Temperature, turbidity, pH, electric conductivity, total dissolved solids, total hardness, chlorides, alkalinity, fluorides, sulphates,

nitrates, dissolved oxygen and biochemical oxygen demand and microbiological parameter MPN.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Study area:** The study area of Bareilly city lies between 28°1' - 28°54'N and 78°58' - 74°47' E, covering an area of approximate 1578 sq. miles. The city has a population of about one million. A number of industries such as WIMCO, IFFCO, Coca Cola industry, Katha factory and many ancillary units are present within 20 km radius of Bareilly. The treated and untreated contaminated effluent from these industries is discharged onto the ground which is absorbed by the soil and thus reaches the ground water table and contaminate it. Nine sampling stations were selected within the radius of about 10 km from the center of the city to cover the entire city.

**Sampling:** The samples were collected in 5 litre dark non-reactive plastic containers, which were thoroughly washed thrice with the water to be analysed. The water quality parameters studied were colour, taste, odour, temperature, turbidity, pH, EC, TDS, total hardness, chloride, alkalinity, fluoride, sulphate, nitrate, DO, BOD and total coliforms.

The pH, EC and DO were determined within 6 hours of bringing water samples to the laboratory. BOD was measured by incubating the water samples at 20°C for five days in the dark. All the parameters were determined as per the standard methods of analysis of water (APHA, 2005).

**Water Quality Index (WQI):** WQI was estimated according to the formula (Mahuya *et al.*, 2003) as given below.

$$WQI = \text{Antilog} \left( \sum W_n \log q_n \right)$$

Where,  $W_n$  = weight of the parameter in the sample =  $K / S_n$

$$K = \text{constant} = 1 / (1/S_1 + 1/S_2 + 1/S_3 + \dots + 1/S_n)$$

$S_n$  = standard values for different water quality parameter.

$$q_n = \text{water quality rating} = 100(V_n - V_i) / (S_n - V_i)$$

$V_n$  = observed value,  $V_i$  = ideal value = 7.0 for pH, 14.6 for DO, 0 for other parameters.

The water quality of different sites has been rated according to the WQI as given below-

WQI	Water quality rating
0-25	Excellent
26-50	Good
51-75	Poor
76-100	Very poor
>100	Unfit for drinking purpose

#### Correlation between different water quality parameters:

The relationship of one water quality parameter with another parameter in the samples of the water analyzed was determined by regression analysis by determining correlation coefficient 'r'.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of various physico-chemical parameters like Temperature, turbidity, pH, electric conductivity, total dissolved solids, total hardness, chlorides, alkalinity, fluorides, sulphates, nitrates, dissolved oxygen and biochemical oxygen demand and microbiological parameter MPN are presented in Table 1.

The appearance of all the tested samples of ground water was clear. They did not have odour and taste. The temperature ranged between 19.4°C to 21°C. All the samples showed very low values of turbidity, ranging from 1.36 – 3.44 NTU, which were below the permissible limit of 5 NTU prescribed by WHO. The study showed that the pH of the water samples was in the mild alkaline range of 7.76 - 8.64 (within the permissible limits) indicating the presence of very weak basic salts. The conductivity of water ranged from 790- 1920  $\mu\text{mho/cm}$  However the prescribed limit is 1000  $\mu\text{mho/cm}$  as given by WHO for drinking water. The higher values suggested the presence of higher amount of dissolved inorganic substances in ionized form. The TDS in water comprises inorganic salts and small amount of organic matter. The TDS values varied from 700-2496 mg/l. Water with higher solid content indicated that ground water is of inferior potability and may induce an unfavourable physiological reaction in the transient consumer as indicated by Jameel (1998). The desirable limit of TDS for drinking water is 500 mg/l. The total hardness ranged between 307- 786.6 mg/l. and was higher than the prescribed standard value (500 mg/l). Increase in value pertains to the excess presence of the salts of Ca, Mg and Fe. Chlorides, which have been

associated with pollution as an index were found in the range of 48.11-181.31 mg/l. The concentration of chloride in the present observations was within the higher range of desirable limit of WHO (250 mg/l). The phenolphthalein alkalinity was found to be absent in all the samples analyzed and the methyl orange alkalinity varied from 261.2 – 671 mg/l. This indicated the absence of hydroxyl alkalinity and the presence of carbonate and bicarbonates. However, the values of all the sampling sites were quite higher than the desirable limits (120 mg/l). The higher value indicates the eutrophic nature of the water. In case of toxic element such as fluoride, ISI has given the desirable limit of 0.6-1.2 mg/l while WHO has prescribed the limit below 1.5 mg/l. The fluoride content of all the sampling sites ranged between 0.38-0.61 mg/l, which were below the recommended limits. Sulphate content ranged from 62.8-113.6 mg/l, whereas the permissible limit for sulphates is 200 mg/l. The level of nitrates in the ground water ranged between 6.4-90.2 mg/l. Nitrate concentration more than the recommended value (45 mg/l) was observed on only one site i.e site V (Izat Nagar) than the recommended value. The amount of DO ranged between 2.1 to 2.7 mg/l in water of all nine sampling stations and was less in comparison to minimum DO recommended by WHO. The lower amount of DO in ground water may be due to the fact that an iron pipe is being used for fitting the hand pumps to supply ground water and both mechanical and chemical processes involved may lead to maximum utilization of  $O_2$  and iron leading to formation of iron oxide. The BOD ranged between 0.6-2.1 mg/l and was observed to be within the permissible limit prescribed by WHO. The total coliforms count of all the sampling sites has been found to be in the range of 2-22 MPN per 100ml i.e. within the prescribed limit of WHO (10 MPN/100 ml). At site SV i.e. (Izatnagar) high total coliform counts were recorded.

**WQI:** A WQI may be defined as a rating reflecting the composite influence of the overall quality of a number of quality characteristics or water quality parameters (Yazdandoost and Katdare, 2000). The WQI of the nine sampling sites ranged between 17.98 – 26.12 (Table 1) indicating that the ground water of the city is very good for drinking purpose.

**Correlation between water quality parameters:** The water quality is usually measured by taking the physical, chemical and biological parameters. But the numbers of such parameters are so high that sometimes it is not possible to specify the quality of water. So it is useful to find the correlation among the various parameters, which will give a rough indication of the quality of water (Mishra *et al.*, 2003). The correlation coefficient 'r' between different parameters has been calculated as shown in Table 2.

A positive correlation was observed between turbidity

**Table 1.** Physico-chemical and microbiological parameters of ground water across Bareilly city at different sites.

Sampling sites	Parameters											WQI			
	Temp. (°C)	Turbidity (NTU)	pH	Electrical conductivity	Total dissolved solids (mg/l)	Total hardness (mg/l)	Chlorides (mg/l)	Alkalinity (mg/l)	Fluorides (mg/l)	Sulphates (mg/l)	Nitrates (mg/l)		DO (mg/l)	BOD (mg/l)	MPN (100 ml)
<b>Kutubkhana (S I)</b>	20.0	1.52	7.86	1470.0	1844.0	542.0	133.89	382.6	0.44	113.6	20.0	2.1	1.12	2.0	20.41
<b>Pilibhit Bypass (S II)</b>	20.2	3.04	7.76	1820.0	1844.0	786.6	181.31	671.0	0.61	67.4	30.1	2.7	0.78	4.0	18.49
<b>S S Nagar (S III)</b>	20.4	2.20	8.64	1290.0	700.0	307.0	62.18	261.2	0.40	62.8	10.4	2.5	2.10	2.0	26.12
<b>Prem Nagar (S IV)</b>	20.0	2.42	8.36	890.0	745.6	351.6	76.71	262.6	0.40	70.0	12.6	2.5	0.76	4.0	19.58
<b>Izat Nagar (S V)</b>	19.4	2.56	8.24	790.0	647.6	340.2	48.11	286.2	0.40	82.6	90.2	2.6	1.70	6.0	24.54
<b>Quila (S VI)</b>	20.0	1.55	8.16	1900.0	2496.0	663.8	170.77	52.4	0.38	96.2	6.4	2.5	0.60	22.0	17.98
<b>Maninath (S VII)</b>	20.6	1.36	8.22	1920.0	1146.2	532.8	98.83	411.8	0.53	102.6	7.0	2.5	1.70	7.0	23.44
<b>Rampur Bagh (S VIII)</b>	20.0	3.44	8.16	870.0	805.0	494.0	72.47	371.2	0.44	71.6	15.6	2.4	1.10	2.0	21.03
<b>Railway Station (S IX)</b>	21.0	1.52	7.84	1290.0	742.4	617.4	112.86	380.4	0.39	95.4	13.2	2.2	1.50	2.0	21.77
<b>Average</b>	20.17	2.17	8.13	1360.0	1218.9	515.0	106.34	342.15	0.44	84.68	22.83	2.44	1.26	5.66	21.48
<b>WHO Standard</b>	-	5.00	7.0-8.5	1000.0	500.0	500.0	200.00	----	1.50	200.0	45.0	>5.0	<5.0	10.0	-

**Table 2.** Correlation coefficient 'r' between different water quality parameters.

	Temp	Turb	pH	EC	TDS	TH	Cl <sup>-</sup>	Alk	F <sup>-</sup>	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	DO	BOD
<b>Turb</b>	-0.44												
<b>pH</b>	-0.18	0.06											
<b>EC</b>	0.41	-0.51	-0.33										
<b>TDS</b>	-0.11	-0.29	-0.45	0.75									
<b>TH</b>	0.32	-0.10	-0.82	0.71	0.71								
<b>Cl<sup>-</sup></b>	0.20	-0.23	-0.68	0.79	0.89	0.92							
<b>Alk</b>	0.25	0.36	-0.57	0.16	-0.07	0.43	0.24						
<b>F<sup>-</sup></b>	0.15	0.25	-0.44	0.52	0.26	0.56	0.45	0.85					
<b>SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup></b>	0.16	-0.79	-0.44	0.41	0.42	0.29	0.32	-0.15	-0.09				
<b>NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup></b>	-0.66	0.33	-0.04	-0.46	-0.26	-0.27	-0.34	0.10	-0.03	-0.11			
<b>DO</b>	-0.34	0.49	0.32	0.09	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	0.12	0.40	-0.64	0.33		
<b>BOD</b>	0.26	-0.18	0.45	-0.19	-0.64	-0.55	-0.65	0.01	-0.14	-0.04	0.22	-0.07	
<b>MPN</b>	-0.21	-0.34	0.07	0.49	0.67	0.31	0.46	-0.61	-0.19	0.27	-0.11	0.27	-0.43

and pH (0.06), turbidity and fluorides (0.025) turbidity and nitrates (0.33), turbidity and dissolved oxygen (0.49), pH and dissolved oxygen (0.32), pH and biochemical oxygen demand (0.45), electrical conductivity and total dissolved solids (0.75), electrical conductivity and total hardness (0.71), electrical conductivity and chlorides (0.79), electrical conductivity and fluorides (0.52), electrical conductivity and sulphates (0.41), TDS and total hardness (0.71), TDS and chlorides (0.89), TDS and fluorides (0.26), Total hardness and chlorides (0.92), total hardness and alkalinity (0.43), total hardness and fluorides (0.56), total hardness and sulphates (0.29), chlorides and alkalinity (0.24), chlorides and fluorides (0.45), chlorides and sulphates (0.32), alkalinity and fluorides (0.85), alkalinity and DO (0.12), fluorides and DO (0.04), Nitrates and BOD (0.22). While negative relationship was found between turbidity and electrical conductivity (-0.51), turbidity and TDS (-0.29), turbidity and total hardness (-0.10), turbidity and chlorides (-0.23), turbidity and alkalinity (-0.24), turbidity and sulphates (-0.78), turbidity and BOD (-0.18). A negative correlation of pH with all the parameters except DO and BOD was found during course of study. Electrical conductivity was found negatively correlated with alkalinity (-0.16), nitrates (-0.46), DO (-0.02) and BOD (-0.19). TDS was found negatively correlated with BOD (-0.64). Total hardness was found negatively correlated with nitrates (-0.27), DO (-0.01) and BOD (-0.55). Chlorides was found negatively correlated with nitrates (-0.34) and BOD (-0.65). Alkalinity was found negatively correlated with sulphates (-0.15) and MPN (0.61). Fluorides were found negatively correlated with sulphates (-0.09), nitrates (-0.03) and BOD (-0.14). Sulphates were found negatively correlated with nitrates (-0.11), DO (-0.64) and BOD (-0.65). Negative correlation was found between DO and BOD (-0.006).

## Conclusion

From the above study it may be concluded that the drinking water quality of Bareilly city is very good for drinking purpose but the increase in number of MPN at one or two site is alarming and measures should be taken to mitigate such type of problems.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are heartily thankful to Dr. D. K. Saxena, Head, PGD Environmental Management, Bareilly College Bareilly for his help and providing necessary facilities.

## REFERENCES

- Akankpo, O. and Igboekwe, M.U. (2011). Monitoring ground water contamination using surface electrical resistivity and geochemical methods. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, 3 (5):318-324
- APHA, AWWA and WPCF (2005). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 18<sup>th</sup> Edition, Greenberg, A.E., Clesceri, L.S. and Trussell, R.R. (Eds.). American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C.
- Jameel, A. (1998). Physico- Chemical studies in Vyakondan channel water of river Cauvery. *J. of Pollution Research*, 17(2): 111-114.
- Mahuya Das, Gupta, Adak and Purohit, K.M. (2003). Assessment of the water quality in Rajgangpur industrial complex – Part I: Physico-chemical parameters & Water Quality Index. *J. of Pollution Research*, 22 (1): 133-138.
- Mishra, P.C., Pradhan, K.C. and Patel, R.K. (2003). Quality of water for drinking & agriculture in & around mines in Keanjhar District, Orissa. *Indian J. of Environ. Health*, 45 (3): 213-220.
- WHO (1971). In: International Standard for Drinking Water, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. World Health Organisation, Geneva.
- Yazdandoost, M.Y. and Katdare, M.S. (2000). Water Quality Index of major rivers in Pune. *J. of Industrial Pollution Control*, 16 (2): 231-238.