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#### Abstract

The aldo-keto reductase 1C3 (AKR1C3) isoform plays a vital role in the biosynthesis of androgens and is considered an attractive target in prostate cancer (PCa). No AKR1C3targeted agent has to date been approved for clinical use. Flufenamic acid and indomethacine are non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs known to inhibit AKR1C3 in a non-selective manner as COX off-target effects are also observed. Recently, we employed a scaffold hopping approach to design a new class of potent and selective AKR1C3 inhibitors based on a $N$-substituted hydroxylated triazole pharmacophore. Following a similar strategy, we designed a new series focused around an acidic hydroxybenzoisoxazole moiety, which was rationalised to mimic the benzoic acid role in the flufenamic scaffold. Through iterative rounds of drug design, synthesis and biological evaluation, several compounds were discovered to target AKR1C3 in a selective manner. The most promising compound of the series (6) was found to be highly selective (up to 450 -fold) for AKR1C3 over the 1C2 isoform with minimal COX1 and COX2 off-target effects. Other inhibitors were obtained modulating the best example of hydroxylated triazoles we previously presented. In cell-based assays, the most promising compounds of both series reduced the cell proliferation, prostate specific antigen (PSA) and testosterone production in AKR1C3-expressing 22RV1 prostate cancer cells and showed synergistic effect when assayed in combination with abiraterone and enzalutamide. Structure determination of AKR1C3 co-crystallised with one representative compound from each of the two series clearly identified both compounds in the androstenedione binding site, hence supporting the biochemical data.


## 1. Introduction

AKR1C3, or HSD17B5, is a soluble enzyme member of the aldo-ketoreductase family, which is highly expressed in testes and extragonadal tissues such as basal cells of the prostate, adrenals and liver.[1] It catalyses the NADPH dependent reduction of androstenedione ( AD ) to testosterone and, compared to other HSD17B isoforms, is the most abundant isoform with elevated levels found in agressive PCa , such as castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC).[2] AKR1C3 may provide a mechanism to divert traces of androgens that remain after androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) to the potent androgen receptor (AR) ligand $5 \alpha$-dihydrotesterone (DHT).[3] Besides evidence that AR mutations, splice variants and increased copy number represent putative mechanisms of resistance to therapy,[4-7] AKR1C3 has also been discovered to play a role in resistance to pharmacological[6] and radiation[8] therapy. Potential clinical use of AKR1C3 inhibitors has been demonstrated as in the case of indomethacin, a potent but unselective AKR1C3 inhibitor able to circumvent resistance to both abiraterone (ABI) [9] and enzalutamide (ENZA) [10] (Figure 1). Although few recent studies indicate controversial observations about the in vivo effectiveness of AKR1C3-based therapies,[11-13] other studies advocate for AKR1C3 as a therapeutic target in PCa.[2, 4] Even if several lead compounds have emerged from AKR1C3-targeting medicinal chemistry programs,[14-17], no agent has been approved yet for clinical use.[18]
In order to understand the clinical potential of targeting AKR1C3, it is desirable to develop more potent, selective and drug-like AKR1C3 inhibitors. Amongst NSAIDs, flufenamic acid (FLU, Figure 1) inhibits AKR1C3 in a non-selective manner, as it suffers from cyclooxygenase (COX) off-target effects.[19, 20] The COX active site consists of a narrow long hydrophobic channel that ends with a charged arginine residue $(\operatorname{Arg} 120)$, which provides a suitable pocket for a ligand that contains a carboxylate group.[21] The carboxylic acid moiety of all the fenamate inhibitors forms a salt bridge with $\operatorname{Arg}$ 120, with the two carboxylate oxygen atoms of fenamates within the proximity of $1.45 \AA$ and $1.60 \AA$ from one of the guanidine nitrogens of the arginine. This binding mode affects the ionic bond enthalpies and impacts on the overall inhibitory effect.[21] Removal of this carboxylic acid moiety in fenamate derivatives concurs with reduction of COX1 activity as demonstrated by us in a recent report on the design of new AKR1C3 inhibitors.[22] We successfully applied a scaffold hopping strategy based on the replacement of the FLU benzoate moiety with three hydroxyazoles (hydroxyfurazan, hydroxythiadiazole and a series of $N$-substituted hydroxyl-1,2,3-triazoles). Through the design of these new ligands we showed that hydroxyazoles are valid bioisosters of the carboxylic acid function. Depending on their acidic properties, they can be deprotonated to various degrees at physiological $\mathrm{pH} .[23-26]$ Inside the series, we demonstrated how the replacement of the benzoic acid of FLU with a 4-hydroxy-N1substituted triazolecarbonylic moiety enables a bioisosteric scaffold-hopping replacement for AKR1C3 activity with no associated COX effect. Moreover, regiosubstitution of nitrogen atoms of the hydroxytriazole ring allowed the possibility to perform a structural refinement,[27] which enabled an opportunity to improve AKR1C3 binding while reduce AKR1C2 and COX1/2 off-target binding.
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Figure 1. Chemical structures of some known AKR1C3 inhibitors.
Hydroxytriazole 1[22] (Figure 1), the most active compound of our previous study, was used as starting point to design two triazoles 2 and 3 (Figure 2) bearing two $p$ - and $m$ methoxy or one $p$-trifluoromethoxy substituents, respectively. In analogy to $\mathbf{1}$, the rationale was to project its 4-methoxybenzyl moiety into the unexplored SP2 sub-pocket of AKR1C3 and thereby establishing, as the docking studies suggested, $\pi-\pi$ staking and T-shape $\pi-\pi$ staking with Trp227 (partial overlapping) and Trp86[22]; the new substitutions in the phenyl ring provided an opportunity to reinforce the binding by establishing interactions with the polar Ser129 present in this pocket [22]. In the following, a conformational restriction approach was employed to improve the potency and the selectivity of FLU, resulting in the design of a small, but focused library of 3-hydroxybenzoxazole-based compounds in which the carboxylic acid substituent was fused with the benzene ring, in order to constrain one of FLU conformations (compounds 4-8, Figure 2). We report here on synthetic strategies, biochemical and cell-based studies of the new compounds alone or in combination with ABI and ENZA. In addition, to demonstrate selective AKR1C3 inhibition with impact on testosterone and PSA synthesis, we also show the binding mode of compound $\mathbf{1}$ and the most potent 3-hydroxybenzoxazole (compound 6) by high-resolution crystal structures of AKR1C3 complexed with each of these two compounds.
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Figure 2. Chemical structures of AKR1C3 inhibitors studied in this work.

## 2. Result and discussion

### 2.1 Chemistry.

The methodology used for the synthesis of the two triazole derivatives $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ is described in Scheme 1. The common intermediate 9 was regioselectively alkylated by the appropriate benzylhalide using the procedure previously described.[23] The building block 9 is susceptible to alkylation directed towards positions $\mathrm{N}_{(\mathrm{b})}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{(\mathrm{c})}$ of the triazole ring, leading in each case to a mixture of two isomeric products(10-12 and 11-13, respectively). The isomeric mixtures were chromatographically resolved and the $\mathrm{N}_{(\mathrm{c})}$ esters $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ were hydrolysed to the corresponding carboxylic acids $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$. The latter two compounds were converted into the corresponding acyl chlorides and allowed to react with 3(trifluoromethyl)aniline to afford amides 16 and 17, which were subsequently deprotected through catalytic hydrogenation to obtain the desired target compounds $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$.


Scheme 1: a) $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, rt; b) 1) NaOH , EtOH , rt; 2) 2 M HCl ; c) $(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dry DMF, dry THF, rt; d) 3-(trifluoromethyl)aniline, dry pyridine, dry THF, rt; e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Pd/C, dry THF, rt.

The methodology used for the synthesis of the 4 -anilino-1,2-benzoxazol-3-ols derivatives $\mathbf{4}$ and 6-8, described in Scheme 2, follows a described procedure for substituted 1,2-benzoxazol-3-ols.[28] Commercially available 2-bromo-6-fluorobenzoic acid was treated with $(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and dry DMF in dry THF to generate acyl chloride 18, which was coupled with $N$-[(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]hydroxylamine 19 to afford $N$-hydroxybenzamide 20. Cyclisation of compound 20 in basic condition yielded the 1,2-benzisoxazol-3-one derivative 21, where the nitrogen atom of the ring is protected with the 2,4,6trimethoxybenzyl (Tmob) group. Starting from intermediate 21, four substituted anilines were used to perform Buchwald-Hartwig coupling in which halogenated rings were coupled with aniline derivatives in the presence of a palladium catalyst and a base. Compound $\mathbf{2 1}$ was treated with the appropriate aniline, $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2},( \pm)-2,2-\mathrm{Bis}$ (diphenylphosphino)-1,1binaphthalene (BINAP) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in dry toluene at reflux for 5 hours, affording compounds 22-25. After coupling, the target compounds 4and 6-8 were obtained from 22 - 25 by deprotection of Tmob through treatment with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and triisopropylsilane in dry DCM.
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Scheme 2: a) (CO) $)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dry DMF, dry THF, rt; b) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, dry THF, rt; c) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dry DMF, reflux; d) substituted aniline, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{BINAP}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, dry toluene, reflux; e) TFA, (i$\mathrm{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{SiH}$, dry DCM, rt.

Unfortunately, the Buchwald-Hartwig coupling conditions failed when applied to affording compound $\mathbf{5}$ from the appropriate 5 -bromo isomer of 21. This behaviour is probably due to a low reactivity of the bromide group in position 5 of the Tmob protected 1,2-benzisoxazol-3one. To avoid such problem, a second synthetic route was devised to obtain 5 by changing the sequence order of the reactions (Scheme 3). The Buchwald-Hartwig coupling between the 3-trifluoromethylaniline was performed as the first reaction with the methyl 5-bromo-2fluorobenzoate 26. Before coupling intermediate 27 with 19, it was necessary to Boc protect the aniline nitrogen in order to reduce its electron donor properties and thereby activate the para-positioned fluorine to nucleophilic substitutions. The treatment of 27 with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in dry THF afforded the Boc protected 28, which was hydrolysed to afford carboxylic acid 29. This latter was coupled with $\mathbf{1 9}$ in the presence of DCC as activating agent to afford $\mathbf{3 0}$. Importantly, when 30 was placed in presence of the basic conditions ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF), it cyclised to afford compound $\mathbf{3 1}$. This result was not observed when the analogue of $\mathbf{3 0}$ without Boc protection was treated in the same condition. Finally, the target compound $\mathbf{5}$ was obtained from 31 by treatment with TFA and triisopropylsilane in order to remove both Boc and Tmob protective groups.





Scheme 3: a) 3-(trifluoromethyl)aniline, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, BINAP, dry toluene, reflux; b) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP, dry THF, rt; c) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, rt; d) 19, DCC, DMAP, dry DCM, rt; e) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dry DMF, reflux; f) TFA, (i-Pr) $)_{3} \mathrm{SiH}$, dry DCM, rt.

### 2.2 AKR1C3 and AKR1C2 inhibitor screening.

Selective targeting of AKR1C3 over 1C2 is considered critical to effective PCa therapy.[29] Not only does the two isoforms share $86 \%$ sequence similarity, but AKR1C2 is also involved in DHT inactivation and hence its inhibition is undesirable. Accordingly, the inhibitory potencies of compounds 2-8 were determined for both AKR1C2 and AKR1C3 and compared with $\mathbf{1}$ and FLU as controls. To understand their selectivity the ratio of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was used as indicator of AKR1C2 and AKR1C3 inhibition (a high ratio shows high selectivity for AKR1C3, Table 1). The inhibitory activity was obtained using recombinant purified enzymes with oxidation of S-tetralol in the presence of NADP ${ }^{+}$. Although the triazole derivatives 1-3 presented $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the similar range as $\mathrm{FLU}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.44 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, they presented greater than 240-290 fold selectivity for AKR1C3 over AKR1C2. The trifluoromethoxy substituent in the para position of the benzylic moiety appeared to be responsible for increasing activity, as 3 retained potent AKR1C3 inhibition with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.19 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and 289 -fold selectivity for AKR1C3 over AKR1C2. The inhibitory effect of the 1,2-benzoxazoles series on AKR1C3 and C2 enzymes confirms the hypothesis that the conformational restriction approach to FLU is able to retain potency and improve selectivity of the over the lead compound (Table 1). Noteworthy, both 4 and FLU are equipotent in regard to AKR1C3 inhibition ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ are 0.55 and 0.44 , respectively), but the former is 8 -fold more selective in targeting AKR1C3 over AKR1C2. As expected, the shifting of the aniline moiety to position 5 of the 1,2-benzoxazole to mimic the meta-FLU's conformation led to reduced potency but greater AKR1C3 selectivity.[20] Indeed, compound 5 displayed an IC $_{50}$ value of $3.48 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against the AKR1C3 isoform but exhibited 19-fold selectivity for AKR1C3 over AKR1C2 (AKR1C2 $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}=67 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The data support the 3-hydroxy-1,2-benzoxazole as a promising new scaffold for the design of potent and selective AKR1C3 inhibitors.
In attempt to improve the potency and selectivity of compound 4 , modulation of substitution of the aniline moiety was performed. The modulation of FLU derivative by the introduction of a second substituent in the aniline substructure is known[20] to improve potency and
selectivity. Applying a similar strategy, the introduction of a second $m$-trifluoromethyl substituent (compound $\mathbf{6}$ ) doubled the activity and improved drastically the selectivity for AKR1C3 over AKR1C2 enzyme. Specifically, compound $\mathbf{6}$ displayed an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 0.26 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ against AKR1C3 and 456 -fold selectivity for AKR1C3 over AKR1C2 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 119 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). This result indicates that the introduction of a second meta substituent in the phenyl ring of compound $\mathbf{4}$ did not have a remarkable effect on the inhibitory potency for AKR1C3, but decreased the affinity for AKR1C2 significantly.
Subsequently, (bio)isosteric replacements of the trifluoromethyl substituent of 4 were performed. Between the possible isostere options, we chose the nitro and pentafluorosulfanyl groups as they retained the activity in the FLU modulations.[20, 30] While the replacement of the meta-trifluoromethyl substituent with the nitro group was a successful bioisosteric modulation in the FLU scaffold,[20] when applied to our new 1,2-benzoxazole scaffold (compound 7) it was detrimental for potency as well as for the selectivity. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of compound 7 were $1.41 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $5.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for AKR1C3 and AKR1C2 respectively, showing that $\mathbf{7}$ is 2,5 -fold less active that its $m$-trifluoromethyl analogue $\mathbf{4}$ and 1.3 -fold less selective. On the contrary, the replacement of the $m$-trifluoromethyl substituent of 4 with the pentafluorosulfanyl $\left(\mathrm{SF}_{5}\right)$ moiety (compound 8) was very successful. The $\mathrm{SF}_{5}$ group, a bioisoster of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ groups, has been gaining greater attention and increased reported usage in the literature.[31] While $\mathrm{SF}_{5}$-bearing building blocks are typically $>5 \mathrm{x}$ economically more expensive than the analogous $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ compounds, they are particularly attractive to medicinal chemists due to their reported effects on slowing the rates of metabolism.[32] The $\mathrm{SF}_{5}$ group is a large, very electronegative and lipophilic group. It is also more resistant to acid hydrolysis than either $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$.[31] FLU analogues bearing the $\mathrm{SF}_{5}$ moiety in meta and para positions have been reported to retain comparable AKR1C3 inhibition activity and selectivity when compared with FLU.[30] Applying this bioisosteric replacement on the 1,2-benzoxazole scaffold, it was evident that the $\mathrm{SF}_{5}$ derivative $\mathbf{8}$ gained increase in AKR1C3 potency and selectivity (AKR1C3 vs AKR1C2) to afford a novel analogue that performed similar to compound 4.

Table 1. Inhibitory effect of compounds 1-8 and FLU against AKR1C3 and AKR1C2 recombinant purified enzymes.

| Cpd | Structure | AKR1C3 $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \mathbf{S E}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | AKR1C2 $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \mathbf{S E}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Ratio } \mathrm{IC}_{50} \\ \text { value } \\ (1 \mathrm{C} 2: 1 \mathrm{C} 3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLU |  | $0.44 \pm 0.023{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.53 \pm 0.032^{\text {a }}$ | 1.2 |
| 1 |  | $0.31 \pm 0.005^{\text {a }}$ | $73.23 \pm 8.67^{\text {a }}$ | 236 |
| 2 |  | $0.40 \pm 0.059$ | $108.13 \pm 7.42$ | 270 |
| 3 |  | $0.19 \pm 0.020$ | $54.87 \pm 5.01$ | 289 |
| 4 |  | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ | $4.38 \pm 0.49$ | 8 |
| 5 |  | $3.48 \pm 0.48$ | $66.95 \pm 10.67$ | 19 |
| 6 |  | $0.26 \pm 0.03$ | $118.55 \pm 4.86$ | 456 |
| 7 |  | $1.41 \pm 0.16$ | $5.70 \pm 0.30$ | 4 |


| 8 | $0.31 \pm 0.033$ | $4.29 \pm 0.144$ | 14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data from ref.[22]

### 2.3. COX inhibition.

The compounds were also evaluated against COX1 and COX2 to ensure no off-target effects. Compounds 2-8 were assayed for their inhibitor effect on COX1 and COX2 using ovine COX1 ( $o$ COX1) and human COX2 ( $h \mathrm{COX} 2$ ). Notably, compounds 2-8 did not display significant inhibitory activity on any of the two COX isoforms at the highest concentration evaluated ( $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) (Table 2).

Table 2. Inhibitory effect of compounds 1-8 and FLU against COX1 and COX2.


### 2.4 Inhibition of cell proliferation and PSA expression

The effects of the compounds on cell proliferation were evaluated using the AKR1C3expressing 22RV1 PCa cell line. Prior to chemosensitivity screening, AKR1C3 protein was confirmed using western blot (Figure 3A). The antiproliferative activity of the compounds was initially performed using the sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay and the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are reported in Figure 3B. All derivatives evaluated, except 7, exhibited a more pronounced antiproliferative effect than FLU. Triazole $3\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 31.28 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and 1,2-benzoxazole 6 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $26.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) were shown to be over 4-fold more potent than $\mathrm{FLU}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 115 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, Figure 3B). Since the SRB assay does not distinguish between cytotoxic and cytostatic effects, a colonygrowing inhibition investigation was also carried out. In this assay, colonies were observed and counted before and after treatment with selected compounds (triazole derivatives 1-3 and 1,2-benzoxazole derivative 6, Figure 3C-E). 22RV1 cells were incubated for 72 h in the presence of these compounds and the number of viable and dead cells was measured with NucleCounter. The percentage of live cells was significantly reduced in a dose-dependent manner with all tested compounds. Interestingly, when the data for dead cells were analysed (Figure 3D) it was evident that the decrease in cell viability correlated with cell death only for analogues $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{6}$, while compounds $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ exhibited a more cytostatic than cytotoxic effect (Figure 3D and 3E).
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| Cpd | 22RV1 <br> $\mathbf{I C}_{50}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ | clogP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLU | $115.0 \pm 5.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 5.232 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $81.40 \pm 2.29^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 4.245 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $59.19 \pm 2.19$ | 4.235 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $31.28 \pm 0.36$ | 5.268 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $64.76 \pm 1.28$ | 3.438 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $74.83 \pm 3.20$ | 3.325 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $26.70 \pm 1.83$ | 4.425 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $>100$ <br> $(31.13 \pm 0.80)^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.797 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $64.90 \pm 2.19$ | 3.740 |

C
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Figure 3. Inhibition of cell proliferation. (A) Confirmation of AKR1C3 expression in 22 RV 1 cells by western blot. (B) $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of antiproliferative activity using the SRB assay and cLogP calculated by QikProp.[33] Direct count of live (C) and dead (D) cells by NucleoCounter N100 after incubation for 72 h with compounds, 1-3 and 6 at different concentrations. Each column represent the percentage of cells versus control (mean value). Percentage of cell death (mean value) (E). Standard errors were lower than $10 \%$. a) The result is in agreement with our previous study[22]; b) $\%$ of inhibition $\pm$ SE at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

In addition, the effect of the compounds on PSA expression were evaluated using western blot and ELISA assays. Viable cells were lysed from treated 22RV cells described above and western blots were performed. Figure 4A reveal that the PSA expression in the cell extracts was reduced in a dose-dependent manner in cultures treated with compounds 2 and 3. High doses of 6 also reduced PSA levels in the cell extracts, whereas only very low effects were observed for $\mathbf{1}$. However, the differences were much more marked when the secretion of PSA in culture supernatants were measured using ELISA. All compounds resulted in a significant dose-dependent reduction of PSA secretion in treated cells (Figure 4B).

## A (Western blots, 22rv1)




Figure 4. Inhibition of PSA expression. Western blot analysis performed on cell extracts (A) and ELISA assay performed on cell supernatants (secreted PSA) (B) of cultures treated with 1-3 and 6at three different concentrations. Standard errors for ELISA were lower of 10 $\%$.

To explore further the effect of the novel compounds in the steroidogenic pathway, compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ were investigated for their ability to inhibit testosterone production. After 24 h incubation, androstenedione (AD) was added to each cell with or without compounds 3 and 6 at three concentrations ( $0.2,2$ and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The cell culture media was collected 24 h after treating the cells and both compounds had an effect on the synthesis of testosterone. Compound $\mathbf{3}$ exerted a dose-dependent effect, whereas $\mathbf{6}$ had an initial effect at low dose ( 0.2 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) but no improved effect at higher doses was observed (Figure 5). Even if compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and 6 possess similar AKR1C3 inhibitory activity, AKR1C3/1C2 and AKR1C3/COX selectivity ratios, their effects on PSA and testosterone production indicate subtle differences. This result may be related to a number of other key players in the AR dependent and independent pathways of PCa.


Figure 5. Inhibition of testosterone production. Evaluation of the inhibitory effect of compound $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ in AD treated 22RV1 cells.

In order to evaluate a possible synergistic effect, compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ were explored in combination treatments with ABI and ENZA. 22RV1 cells were treated for 72 h with both compounds at $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ with or without $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ABI (Figure 6A). The same experiment was carried out by treating cells with or without $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ENZA (Figure 6B). At these concentrations, ABI and ENZA had limited effects on cell growth. When compound $\mathbf{3}$ or $\mathbf{6}$ were added together with either ABI or ENZA, the cell viability was reduced by approximately $10-25 \%$ compared with either drug alone, indicating a synergistic effect. The 22RV1 cell line was proved to have relative levels of resistance to both ABI and ENZA,[1012] hence the outcome of the combination experiments are encouraging and indicate the potential of using an AKR1C3 inhibitor to intervene the steroidogenic pathway and effect PSA and testosterone production.


A

Figure 6. Effect of the co-treatment of compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ with ABI (A) or ENZA (B) on $22 R V 1$ cell proliferation using the SRB assay. Cells were treated with $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of compounds 3 and $\mathbf{6}$ with or without $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ABI or $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ENZA for 72 h . Cell growth is expressed as \% T/C (mean OD of treated cells/mean OD of control cells X 100).

### 2.5 Analysis of the binding mode of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ co-crystallised in complex with AKRIC3.

To support experimental data, co-crystallisation of AKR1C3 in complexes with compound $\mathbf{6}$ was carried out. Furthermore, we also determined the crystal structures of AKR1C3 in complexes with compound 1, whose binding mode was speculated by us in previously reported docking studies[22]. The structures were determined by molecular replacement and have been refined to $1.88 \AA$ (1, PDB ID: 6F2U) and to $1.30 \AA(\mathbf{6}$, PDB ID: 6F78). X-ray data collection and refinement statistics are summarised in Table S16. As reported previously[34], the AKR1C3 ligand-binding pocket is composed by five compartments: an oxyanion site (OS) formed by the cofactor $\mathrm{NADP}^{+}$and the catalytic residues Tyr55 and His117, a steroid channel (SC) (Tyr24, Leu54, Ser129, Trp227) and three subpockets SP1(Ser118, Asn167, Phe306, Phe311, Tyr319), SP2 (Trp86, Leu122, Ser129, Phe311) and SP3(Tyr24, Glu192, Ser221, Tyr305). Here, the AKR1C3 inhibitors could be clearly identified in the electron density maps in the androstenedione binding site (Figure S17). Compound 6 was found able to establish key interactions inside the OS, involving the hydroxyl group via a double H-bond with Tyr55 and His177, at $2.6 \AA$ and $2.8 \AA$ respectively
(Fig. 7). On the other end, the 3,5-trifluoromethylphenyl moiety binds into the SP1 site, placing one trifluoromethyl towards SP1 and the other close to the Phe311 of SP2.
In regard to the analysis of compound $\mathbf{1}$, we found an experimental binding mode that is detached to the one previously docking hypotheses.[22] While the hydroxyl group present in the triazole ring binds into the OS with Tyr55 and His117, producing two H-bond interactions, as predicted by docking, the 4-methoxybenzyl moiety is unexpected found rotated by around 180 degree respect the docking pose, showing a preferred orientation toward SP3 deeply inside the binding pocket. More precisely, this group is located between Phe306 and NADP, making a parallel displacing $\pi-\pi$ and T-shape $\pi-\pi$ interaction respectively. However, the modulations herein used on benzyl motif in compounds 1, 2, $\mathbf{3}$ affected the activity modestly, that indicates an extended SAR analysis is required to better understand which substitution on 4-methoxybenzyl are beneficial for the activity. Finally, the trifluoromethylphenyl projects into the SP1 pocket, enabling the trifluoromethyl to bind deeply within the cavity. Crystallographic data of AKR1C isoforms show that SP1 pocket in C 3 is larger and less discriminating than in C 2 , this differences should be behind compounds selectivity toward the two isoforms. In order to understand the role of SP1 shape in compound $\mathbf{1}$ selectivity, a docking study on AKR1C2 was performed. Compound 1 shows a divergent binding mode inside C2 isoform (Figure S18), because the smaller SP1 force the inhibitor to place $m \mathrm{CF}_{3}$-phenyl toward the SC, shifting the molecule that became unable to maintain H-bond interaction inside OS, which is required for AKR1C activity.
The crystallographic data of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ well demonstrate the ability of both bioisosteric approaches to effectively mimic carboxylic group into OS. The two cocrystallized compounds demonstrate to have the same contacts as the reference FLU inside SP1 and SP3, moreover compound 1 express a promising additional interaction through the 4-methoxybenzyl moiety that could be a key point to develop more potent and selective AKR1C3 inhibitors.


Figure 7. AKR1C3 co-crystallized with compounds $\mathbf{1}$ in gray ( PDB id: $6 \mathrm{~F} 2 \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{A}$ ) and $\mathbf{6}$ in purple (PDB id: 6F78, B). NADP ${ }^{+}$is represented in orange. Nitrogen, fluorine, oxygen and sulphur atoms are depicted in blue, green, red and yellow, respectively. Molecular graphics and analyses were performed with the UCSF Chimera package.[35]

## 3. Conclusions

This study has focused on a new generation of AKR1C3 inhibitors designed by application of a scaffold hopping approach to replace the benzoic acid moiety of FLU with hydroxylated azoles. The best compound of the first series, the 4-trifluoromethoxybenzyl substituted analogue 3, was found to selectively inhibit AKR1C3 activity without any significant

AKR1C2 and COX1/2 off-target effects. Although the triazole derivative 3 presented $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the similar range as FLU, it presented greater than 280 -fold selectivity for AKR1C3 over AKR1C2.
The data obtained from the second series support the 3-hydroxy-1,2-benzoxazole as a promising new scaffold for the design of potent and selective AKR1C3 inhibitors. Specifically, compound 6 displayed a submicromolar activity against AKR1C3 and 456-fold selectivity for AKR1C3 over AKR1C2. Compound $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ were also able to inhibit the cell proliferation of AKR1C3-expressing 22RV1 CRPC cells as well as the PSA expression in a dose-dependent manner. Both compounds showed an effect on the testosterone production, but only compound $\mathbf{3}$ exerted a dose-dependent effect. These results probably show the complexity in studying the steroidogenic pathway and its multiple parallel outcomes linked to AR dependent and independent pathways. To better clarify this aspect, the effect of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ on the AR dependent pathway will be the subject of further investigations. In addition, the inhibition of AKR1C3 activity by compound $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ were shown to be synergistic in combination with either ENZA and ABI treatment. Taken together, the novel chemical scaffolds here reported provide a promising starting point for the design of more potent AKR1C3 inhibitors with clinical potential use in order to intervene the steroidogenic pathway and reduce both PSA and testosterone production. The crystal structures of the most interesting AKR1C3 inhibitors $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ will facilitate further optimisation of these lead compounds, aiming to discover new compounds with more drug-like properties and optimal pharmacokinetic characteristics.

## 4. Experimental section

### 4.1 Chemistry

### 4.1.1 General methods

All chemical reagents were obtained from commercial sources (Sigma Aldrich, Alfa Aesar) and used without further purification. Culture media were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Analytical grade solvents (acetonitrile, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, dichloromethane [DCM], dimethylformamide [DMF], ethanol $99.8 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, ethyl acetate, methanol [ MeOH ], petroleum ether b.p. $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [petroleum ether]) were used without further purification. When needed, solvents were dried on $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled immediately prior to use from Na and benzophenone under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel was carried out on $5 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ plates with 0.25 mm layer thickness to monitor the process of reactions. Anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ was used as a drying agent for the organic phases. Purification of compounds was achieved with flash column chromatography on silica gel (Merck Kieselgel 60, 230-400 mesh ASTM) using the eluents indicated or by CombiFlash Rf 200 (Teledyne Isco) with $5-200 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 200 \mathrm{psi}$ (with automatic injection valve) using RediSep Rf Silica columns (Teledyne Isco) with the eluents indicated. Purity was checked using two analytical methods. HPLC analyses were performed on an UHPLC chromatographic system (Perkin Elmer, Flexar). The analytical column was an UHPLC Acquity CSH Fluoro-Phenyl ( $2.1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size) (Waters). Compounds were dissolved in acetonitrile and injected through a $20 \mu 1$ loop. The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile / water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (ratio between 60 / 40 and $40 / 60$, depending on the compound's retention factor). UHPLC analysis were run at flow rates of $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, and the column effluent was monitored at 215 and 254 nm , referenced against a 360 nm wavelength. Purity of the synthetic intermediates varied between $90 \%$ and $99 \%$ purity. The biological experiments were employed on compounds with a purity of at least $95 \%$. Melting points (m.p.) were measured on a capillary apparatus (Büchi 540) by placing the sample at a temperature $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ below the m.p. and applying a heating rate
of $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}$. All compounds were routinely checked by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and mass spectrometry. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra were performed on a Bruker Avance 300 instrument. For coupling patterns, the following abbreviations are used: $\mathrm{br}=\mathrm{broad}, \mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublets, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, quint $=$ quintuplet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are given in parts per million (ppm). Accordingly with Zarantonello et al.,[36] the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the compounds $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{2 5}$ are characterized by the presence of the $\mathrm{C}_{-\mathrm{SF}_{5}}$ signals as a quintet of doublets due to the coupling ( ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}} 15-18 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) with the equatorial four fluorine atoms and with the axial one. The same feature is detected for the carbon atoms in ortho position with respect to the $\mathrm{SF}_{5}$ group (the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}$ and the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}$ coupling constants values with the axial fluorine atom are not reported having a value smaller than 1 Hz ). According to Ferraris et al.,[28] that reported ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra of similar $N$-hydroxybenzamides, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of compounds $\mathbf{2 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 0}$ showed the presence of the two amide rotamers in the analyzed solutions. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of final compounds $\mathbf{2 - 8}$ are shown in supplementary. MS spectra were performed on Finnigan-Mat TSQ-700 ( 70 eV , direct inlet for chemical ionization [CI]) or Waters Micromass ZQ equipped with ESCi source for electrospray ionization mass spectra (ESI). HRMS spectra of final compounds (compounds $\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{8}$ ) were recorded on LTQ Orbitrap XL plus (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA) equipped with an ESI ionization source, with positive or negative ions (Spray capillary voltage: $3000 \mathrm{~V}(+), 2500 \mathrm{~V}(-)$ ). Compound 9[23] was prepared following already described procedure. IR spectra of final compounds (compounds $\mathbf{2 - 8}$ ) were recorded on FT-IR (PerkinElmer SPECTRUM BXII, KBr dispersions) using a diffuse reflectance apparatus DRIFT ACCY (see supplementary to visualize the spectra).
4.1.2. Ethyl 4-(benzyloxy)-1-[(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazole-5-carboxylate (10) and ethyl 5-(benzyloxy)-2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)methyl]-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate (11). Cesium carbonate ( $3.29 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-(chloromethyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzene $(1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of $9(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. When the reaction was complete, the mixture was diluted with water ( 20 mL ) and the pH adjusted to 7 with 0.5 N HCl . The solution was concentrated to half of its volume under reduced pressure, extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a colorless oil. The latter showed two spots on TLC (eluent: petroleum ether /EtOAc 80/20 $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) relative to two substituted triazole isomers. The two isomers were separated using flash chromatography (eluent: petroleum ether / EtOAc $80 / 20 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). First eluted isomer, 10, white solid (m.p. $80.6-81.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $31 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.34(3 \mathrm{H}, t, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, s), 3.85(3 \mathrm{H}$, $s), 4.33(2 \mathrm{H}, q, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.52(2 \mathrm{H}, s), 5.75(2 \mathrm{H}, s), 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}, d, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.90-6.95$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, m), 7.28-7.39(3 \mathrm{H}, m), 7.46-7.49(2 \mathrm{H}, m) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 14.3,54.4$, $56.0,61.3,71.6,110.7,111.0,111.3,121.0,127.6,127.7,128.2,128.5,136.5,149.1,149.2$, 158.8, 161.3. MS (ESI) $398[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Second eluted isomer, 11, white solid (m.p. $95.8-97.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $41 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.38(3 \mathrm{H}, t, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, s), 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, s), 4.40(2 \mathrm{H}, q, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.33$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, s), 5.38(2 \mathrm{H}, s), 6.80-6.94(3 \mathrm{H}, m), 7.29-7.38(3 \mathrm{H}, m), 7.41-7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, m) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.5,56.01,56.03,59.6,61.2,72.3,111.1,111.3,121.1,124.1$, 126.9, 127.8, 128.2, 128.5, 136.1, 149.2, 149.4, 160.6, 161.0. MS (ESI) $398[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.1.3. Ethyl 4-(benzyloxy)-1-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-5-carboxylate (12) and ethyl 5-(benzyloxy)-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate (13). Cesium carbonate ( $2.37 \mathrm{~g}, 7.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-(bromomethyl)-4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzene ( 1.65 g , $6.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of $9(0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 3.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. When the reaction was complete, the mixture was diluted with water ( 20 mL ) and the pH adjusted to 7 with 0.5 N

HCl . The solution was concentrated to half of its volume under reduced pressure, extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a colorless oil. The latter showed two spots on TLC (eluent: petroleum ether /EtOAc $85 / 15 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) relative to two substituted triazole isomers. The two isomers were separated using flash chromatography (eluent: petroleum ether / EtOAc $85 / 15 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). First eluted isomer, 12, white solid (m.p. 100.0-102.1 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $33 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 14.2,53.8,61.5,71.7,110.8,120.5(\mathrm{q}, J=257.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 121.3(\mathrm{q}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.7,128.2,128.6,129.8,133.8,136.4,149.2(\mathrm{q}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 158.7, 161.3. MS (ESI) $422[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Second eluted isomer, 13, white solid (m.p. 29.3-30.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $45 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $14.5,58.8,61.3,72.4,120.5(\mathrm{q}, J=257.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.3(\mathrm{q}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.5,127.9,128.3$, 128.5, 129.7, 133.1, 136.0, 149.4 (q, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 160.4, 161.1. MS (ESI) $422[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

> 4.1.4. 4-(Benzyloxy)-1-[(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methyll $]$ - $1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole- 5 -carboxylic acid (14). 6 M NaOH $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $10(0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The resulting solution was neutralized with 2 M HCl and concentrated under reduced pressure to half of its volume. 2M HCl was added until pH 1-2, observing precipitation of a white solid. The solid was isolated by filtration and washed with water to give carboxylic acid $14\left(\mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p} .141 .3-142.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Yield $92 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.2 Hz and $J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 13.69(\mathrm{broad} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 53.5,55.4,55.5,71.0,111.0,111.6,111.8,120.0,127.97$, $128.04,128.1128 .4,136.5,148.6,148.7,159.2,160.4$. MS (ESI) $370[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.1.5. 4-(Benzyloxy)-1-[[4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]methyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazole-5-carboxylic acid (15). This product was synthetized following the same procedure of $\mathbf{1 4}$, starting from 12. White solid (m.p. $179.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-181.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $93 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$ ): $\delta 5.44$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}\right.$ ) : $\delta 52.9,71.2$, $111.3,120.12(\mathrm{q}, J=256.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.4(\mathrm{q}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.1,128.2,128.5,129.5,135.3$, 136.4, 148.0, 159.1, 160.5. MS (ESI) 416 [M + Na] ${ }^{+}$.

### 4.1.6. 4-(Benzyloxy)-1-[(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methyl]-N-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1H-

 1,2,3-triazole-5-carboxamide (16). Dry DMF ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and oxalyl chloride ( $2.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 206$ $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) were added to a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $\mathbf{1 4}(1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 369 \mathrm{mg})$ in dry THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred for 3 hours at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in dry THF (this process was repeated for three times). The resulting acyl chloride was dissolved in dry THF ( 20 mL ) and used without any further purification in the next step. Dry pyridine ( 242 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-trifluoromethylaniline ( $177 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to the described solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 hours at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the resulting mixture, which was concentrated under reduced pressure to half of its volume. The resulting suspension was acidified with 0.5 M HCl to pH 2 and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic phases were collected, washed with brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and the solvent was evaporated. The crude product was purified using flash chromatography (gradient of petroleum ether /ethyl acetate $80 / 20 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \rightarrow 0 / 100 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to obtain the amide $\mathbf{1 6}$ as a white solid (150.5-151.4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $61 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $5.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$,$7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 54.4,55.98,56.02,73.4,111.1$, $111.9,112.4,116.6(\mathrm{q}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.3(\mathrm{q}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.6,122.9(\mathrm{q}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.6$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=250.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.6,128.6,129.1,129.3,129.8,131.4(\mathrm{q}, J=32.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 135.3,138.0$, 149.0, 149.3, 155.6, 158.6. MS (ESI) $513[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.1.7. 4-(Benzyloxy)-1-[[4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]methyl]-N-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]$1 H-1,2,3$-triazole-5-carboxamide (17). This product was synthetized following the same procedure of 16, starting from 15 . White solid (m.p. 136.5-137.1 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $69 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.62$ (s, 2H), 5.96 (s, 2H), 7.18 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.31 - 7.66 (m, $11 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 53.7,73.5,112.5,116.7(\mathrm{q}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $120.5(\mathrm{q}, J=257.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.3(\mathrm{q}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.4(\mathrm{q}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.9(\mathrm{q}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $126.9(\mathrm{q}, J=266.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 128.6,129.1,129.4,129.9,130.3,131.7(\mathrm{q}, J=32.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 133.7$, $135.2,137.8,149.4(\mathrm{q}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 155.5,158.6$. MS (ESI) $537[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.1.8. 1-[(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)methyl]-4-hydroxy-N-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazole-5-carboxamide (2). Compound $\mathbf{1 6}(0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 102 \mathrm{mg})$ was dissolved in dry THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hydrogenated in presence of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ for 1 hour at atmospheric pressure. The reaction mixture was filtered off through a short layer of celite and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure yielding the desired compound. White solid (m.p. 215.1 $216.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $90 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 53.5,55.3,55.5,111.2,111.7,111.8,116.1(\mathrm{q}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 120.4,120.5(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.7(\mathrm{q}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.1(\mathrm{q}, J=272.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.0,129.6(\mathrm{q}, J=31.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 130.20, 138.8, 148.6, 148.7, 156.7, 158.5. MS (ESI) $445[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. ESI-HRMS (m/z) [M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} 423.1275$, obsd. 423.1271. IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) v\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 2960,1691,1640$, 1519, 1449, 1340, 1120.
4.1.9. 4-Hydroxy-1-[[4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]methyl]-N-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazole-5-carboxamide (3). This product was synthetized following the same procedure of 2, starting from 17. White solid (m.p. $197.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-198.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $98 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300$ MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : $\delta 5.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 52.8,11.3,116.2(\mathrm{q}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 120.0(\mathrm{q}, J=256.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $120.5(\mathrm{q}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.2(\mathrm{q}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.8(\mathrm{q}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.0(\mathrm{q}, J=272.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 129.5 (q, $J=31.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 129.7, 130.0, 135.1, 138.5, 148.0, (q, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 156.4, 158.2. MS (ESI) $447[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. ESI-HRMS (m/z) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} 447.0886$, obsd. 447.0888. IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) \vee\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3113,3036,1679,1635,1575,1454,1336,1278,1129$.
4.1.10. 2-Bromo-6-fluoro-N-hydroxy-N-[(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]benzamide (20). Dry DMF $(30 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and 2 M oxalyl chloride in DCM ( $18.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.13 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added to a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of 2-bromo-6-fluorobenzoic acid ( $1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 7.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 40 $\mathrm{mL})$. The reaction was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere, then the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in dry THF (this process was repeated for three times). The resulting acyl chloride $\mathbf{1 8}$ was dissolved in dry THF $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and used without any further purification in the next step. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and N -[(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]hydroxylamine $\mathbf{1 9}(1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 7.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to the described solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere, then the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude material was diluted with DCM, washed with water and brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and the solvent was evaporated. The crude product was purified through flash chromatography (gradient of petroleum ether lethyl acetate from 90/10 v/v to $70 / 30 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to
yield the title compound as a yellow solid (m.p. 164.7-165.9 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $55 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 3.65$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, major rotamer), 3.75 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ major and 3 H minor rotamers), 3.78 (s, 6 H , minor rotamer), $4.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer), 4.54 (d, $J=$ $13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer), 4.75 (d, $J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, major rotamer), 4.87 (d, $J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H , major rotamer), 6.16 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer), 6.23 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, major rotamer), $7.21-7.57$ (m, 3 H ), 9.47 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, major rotamer), 9.62 (s, 1 H , minor rotamer). MS (ESI) $414[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.1.11. 4-Bromo-2-[(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]-1,2-benzoxazol-3(2H)-one (21). $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(0.805 \mathrm{~g}, 6.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $20(1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 3.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was partitioned between water ( 50 mL ) and diethyl ether ( 50 mL ), the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain 21 as a pale yellow solid (m.p. $127.3-130.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $70 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}\right.$ ): $\delta 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75$ MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : $\delta 38.6,55.3,55.9,90.8,101.8,109.8,114.3,116.9,127.4,134.8,159.5$, 159.8, 160.4, 161.4. MS (ESI) $394[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.1.12. General procedure for the preparation of substituted 2-[(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]-1,2-benzoxazol-3(2H)-ones 22-25: the appropriate aniline (1-1.4 eq), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.179 \mathrm{~g}, 5.50 \mathrm{mmol})$, palladium(II) acetate ( $0.004 \mathrm{~g}, 0.019 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and BINAP $(0.021 \mathrm{~g}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of $21(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.507 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene under inert atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3-5$ hours, then it was allowed to reach room temperature and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate ( 30 mL ) and $\mathrm{HCl} 2 \mathrm{M}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure.
4.1.12.1. 4-[3-(Trifluoromethyl)anilino]-2-[(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]-1,2-benzoxazol$3(2 \mathrm{H}$ )-one (22). 1 Eq of 3 -(trifluoromethyl)aniline was used. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $80: 20 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give the title compound as a white solid (m.p. $121.3-124.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $73 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 3.76$ (s, 6H), 3.79 (s, 3H), $5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.59$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\left._{6}\right): \delta 38.4,55.3,55.9,90.8,100.3,102.1$, $103.2,106.3,116.0,122.3,123.0,126.1(\mathrm{q}, J=272.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.1(\mathrm{q}, J=31.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.4$, 135.2, 141.4, 141.7, 159.6, 160.9, 161.4, 162.6. MS (ESI) 497 [M + Na] ${ }^{+}$.
4.1.12.2. 4-[3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)anilino]-2-[(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]-1,2-benzoxazol-3(2H)-one (23). 1 Eq of 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)aniline was used. Flash chromatography eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $80: 20 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Pale yellow solid (m.p. $125.5-128.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $41 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47$ (s and t, $J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 39.3$, $50.1,55.6,90.5,101.8,102.0,104.5,107.6,118.1(\mathrm{q}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.1,131.4(\mathrm{q}, J=32.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 134.7(\mathrm{q}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 141.8(\mathrm{q}, J=270.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 145.2,149.9,159.5,160.8,161.4,161.9$. MS (ESI) $543[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.1.12.3. 4-(3-Nitroanilino)-2-[(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]-1,2-benzoxazol-3(2H)-one (24). 1.4 Eq of 3-nitroaniline was used. The crude material was purified by two subsequent flash chromatography separations (first eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate from 80:20 to $60: 40 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$; second eluent: DCM/ethyl acetate $95: 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). Yellow solid (m.p. $122.2-125.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $81 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.42$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 38.6,55.5,56.1,90.6,100.6,103.0,103.6,104.9,113.8,117.3,125.8,130.3,134.7,141.7$, 142.1, 149.2, 160.1, 161.0, 161.8, 169.1. MS (ESI) $474[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.
4.1.12.4. 4-[3-(Pentafluorosulfanyl)anilino]-2-[(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]-1,2-benzoxazol-3(2H)-one (25). 1.2 Eq of 3-(pentafluorosulfanyl)aniline was used. Flash chromatography eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $80: 20 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yellow solid (m.p. 123.4$125.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $79 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.17$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.49-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 38.6,55.5$, 56.1, $90.6,100.1,103.1,103.3,104.3,118.0$ (quint, ${ }^{2} J_{\text {CF }}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 120.3 (quint, ${ }^{3} J_{\text {CF }}=4.8$ Hz ), 123.3, 129.6, 134.7, 140.4 (quint, ${ }^{3} J_{\text {сf }}=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) 141.1, 142.3, 160.1, 161.1, 161.8, 163.0. MS (ESI) $533[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.1.13. General procedure for the preparation of substituted 1,2-benzoxazol-3-ols 4, 6, 7, 8: Triisopropylsilane $(0.044 \mathrm{~g}, 0.276 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TFA $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to a solution of the correspondent 2-[(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]-1,2-benzoxazol-3(2H)-one (22-25, 0.256 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water, the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure.
4.1.13.1. 4-[3-(Trifluoromethyl)anilino]-1,2-benzoxazol-3-ol (4). White solid (m.p. 148.6 $149.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, from hexane). Yield $82 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.06-6.84$ (t and s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $12.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right): \delta 102.0,104.8,108.1,114.8(\mathrm{q}, J=3.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 117.4(\mathrm{q}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.8,124.2(\mathrm{q}, J=272.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.0(\mathrm{q}, J=31.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.3$, 132.5, 138.7, 143.1, 164.8, 165.4. ESI-HRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 295.0689 , obsd. 295.0685. IR (KBr) $v\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3413,3364,1656,1626,1536,1333,1112$.
4.1.13.2. 4-[3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)anilino]-1,2-benzoxazol-3-ol (6). Pale pink solid (m.p. 215.3-220. $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, from hexane). Yield $71 \% .^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right): \delta 7.07$ (d, $J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.84$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 104.1,106.3$, 111.0, 112.48 (q, $J$ $=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 116.51(\mathrm{q}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.39(\mathrm{q}, J=273.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.10(\mathrm{q}, J=32.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 132.4$, 136.7, 145.3, 164.9, 165.0. ESI-HRMS (m/z) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 363.0563, obsd. 363.0560. IR (KBr) $v\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3413,3373,1668,1618,1531,1383,1284,1123$.
4.1.13.3. 4-(3-Nitroanilino)-1,2-benzoxazol-3-ol (7). Yellow solid (m.p. 216.1-221.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, from acetonitrile). Yield $50 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}\right): ~ \delta 6.97-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.99-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 102.9$, $105.5,109.4,111.7,115.1,123.9,130.4,138.0,144.1,146.8$, 148.6, 164.9, 165.2. ESI-

HRMS (m/z) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 272.0666, obsd. 272.0664. IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) v\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3390,3352,3094,1660,1623,1534,1349,1060$.
4.1.13.4. 4-[3-(Pentafluoro-sulfanyl)anilino]-1,2-benzoxazol-3-ol (8). White solid (m.p. 174.3-177. $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, from diisopropyl ether). Yield $44 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta$ $6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), 12.48 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ): $\delta 102.4,105.1,108.5,115.56$ (quint, $J$ $=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 117.89 (quint, $J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 121.4, 130.0, 132.5, 138.4, 143.4, 153.73 (quint, $J=$ $14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 164.9,165.3$. ESI-HRMS (m/z) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 353.0378$, obsd. 353.0374. IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) v\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3413,3364,2975,1664,1623,1604,1528,1364$.
4.1.14. Methyl 2-fluoro-5-[3-(trifluoromethyl)anilino]benzoate (27). 3(Trifluoromethyl)aniline ( $0.387 \mathrm{~g}, 2.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.912 \mathrm{~g}, 2.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), palladium(II) acetate $(22.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\operatorname{BINAP}(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.160 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of methyl 5-bromo-2-fluorobenzoate $26(0.466 \mathrm{~g}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene under inert atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, then it was allowed to reach room temperature and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ) and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified through flash chromatography (eluent: petroleum ether / ethyl acetate $90: 10 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield the title compound 27 as a white solid. M.p. $93.7-95.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, from petroleum ether). Yield $71 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 300 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 3.48$ (s, 3 H ), 7.13 (d, $J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.1,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 52.4,111.9(\mathrm{q}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $115.8(\mathrm{q}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 118.1(\mathrm{q}, J=23.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 118.5(\mathrm{q}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 119.1,120.2(\mathrm{q}, J=0.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 124.2(\mathrm{q}, J=272.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.4(\mathrm{q}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.2(\mathrm{q}, J=31.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.5,138.6(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 144.3,155.6(\mathrm{q}, J=251.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 164.0(\mathrm{q}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
4.1.15. Methyl 5-[(tert-butoxycarbonyl)[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]amino]-2-fluorobenzoate (28). Di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $0.818 \mathrm{~g}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.458 g , $3.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of $27(0.783,2.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 hour, then poured in phosphate buffer ( pH 5 ). The resulting solution was extracted twice with diethyl ether and the combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified through flash chromatography (eluent: petroleum ether / ethyl acetate $90: 10 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield the title compound as a colorless oil. Yield $70 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.44$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75$ MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : $\delta 27.7,52.6,81.5,117.9(\mathrm{q}, J=23.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 118.6(\mathrm{q}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.6(\mathrm{q}$, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.7(\mathrm{q}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.8(\mathrm{q}, J=272.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.6(\mathrm{q}, J=31.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.2$, $130.4(\mathrm{q}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.8,134.3(\mathrm{q}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 138.3(\mathrm{q}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.0,152.4,158.8$ (q, $J=257.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $163.44(\mathrm{q}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) 436[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.
4.1.16. 5-[(Tert-butoxycarbonyl)[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]amino]-2-fluorobenzoic acid (29). $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $28(0.700 \mathrm{~g}, 1.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(25$ mL ), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The mixture was concentrated to half of its volume and acidified with 2 M HCl until pH 2 was reached. The suspension was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. White solid (m.p. 156.6-157. $6^{\circ}$ C). Yield $81 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.38$ (s, 9 H ), $7.41-7.26$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 27.8$, $81.5,117.8(\mathrm{q}, J=24.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 119.8(\mathrm{q}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.6(\mathrm{q}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.7(\mathrm{q}, J=0.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 123.9(\mathrm{q}, J=272.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.6(\mathrm{q}, J=31.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.2,130.6(\mathrm{q}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.8$, $133.8(\mathrm{q}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 138.2(\mathrm{q}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.1,152.5,159.0(\mathrm{q}, J=256.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 164.5(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ESI) $422[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.
4.1.17. 2-Fluoro-N-hydroxy-N-[(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]-5-[(tert-butoxycarbonyl)[3(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]aminolbenzamide (30). $N, N^{\prime}$-Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide ( 0.310 g , $1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution od $15(0.600 \mathrm{~g}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM. After 40 minutes, $N$-hydroxy-1-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)methanamine ( $0.320,1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMAP ( $0.018 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered, and the solid washed with cold DCM. The filtrate was evaporated and the resulting crude purified through flash chromatography (eluent: DCM / ethyl acetate 90:10 v/v) to obtain a white solid (m.p. 169.6-171.5 ${ }^{\circ}$ C). Yield $54 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.37$ (s, 9H), 3.58 (s, 3 H , major rotamer), 3.69 ( s , 6 H , major and 3 H minor rotamer), 3.77 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, minor rotamer), 4.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, minor rotamer), 4.78 (s, 2H, major rotamer), 6.18-6.21 (m, 2H), $7.18-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 9.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI) $595[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.1.18. 2-[(2,4,6-Trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]-5-[(tert-butoxycarbonyl)[3(trifluoromethyl)phenyl] amino]-1,2-benzoxazol-3(2H)-one (31). $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $55.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.400$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\mathbf{3 0}(119 \mathrm{mg}, 0.200 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled to r.t. and concentrated under reduced pressure, the resulting solid was partitioned between water ( 30 mL ) and diethyl ether ( 30 mL ). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified through flash chromatography (eluent: DCM / ethyl acetate $95: 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to obtain to obtain 31 as a pale yellow solid (m.p. $169.0-170.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $83 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta$ 1.37 (s, 9H), $3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.41-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 27.7,48.0,55.2,55.7,81.2,90.6,99.0,101.9,110.8,116.6,121.4$ (q, $J=273.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 122.9, 123.8, 129.7 (q, $J=32.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 129.8, 133.3, 137.7, 138.2, 143.3, 152.6, 157.3, 159.4, 160.6, 161.3. MS (ESI) $575[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.1.19. 5-[3-(Trifluoromethyl)anilino]-1,2-benzoxazol-3-ol (5). Triisopropylsilane (26 mg, $0.162 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TFA $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to a solution of $\mathbf{3 1}(87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water, the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with DCM. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Pale pink solid (m.p. $161.1-162.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, from hexane). Yield $63 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.08$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 75 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 109.4,111.1$ (q, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 111.2, $115.1(\mathrm{q}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 115.1, $118.2,124.4(\mathrm{q}, J=272.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.6,130.2(\mathrm{q}, J=31.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.5,137.7,145.5,159.2$, 165.2. MS (ESI) 293 [M - H]. ESI-HRMS (m/z) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 295.0689, obsd. 295.0686. IR (KBr) $v\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3411,1611,1561,1537,1463,1341,1108$.

### 4.2. Expression and purification of recombinant human AKR1C3 and AKR1C2

Escherichia coli BL21 (DE) Codon Plus RP cells expressing recombinant AKR1C3 and AKR1C2 proteins were obtained as previously described.[22] AKR1C3 showed one mutation

His5Gln in comparison to the NCBI sequence, described in the literature as a single nucleotide polymorphism very common (refSNP: rs12529). Protein expression and purification were performed as previously described.[22] Briefly, bacteria cells were grown in YT2X media supplemented with ampicillin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and at OD600 $\mathrm{nm}=0.6$ the expression was induced by IPTG $(0.5 \mathrm{mM})$ at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Then, bacteria were centrifuge and lysed with four freeze-thaw cycles in presence of lysozyme and protease inhibitors. DNA was digested with benzonase ( 25 U ) in presence of $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2} 5 \mathrm{mM}$. The lysate was centrifuged for 30 min at $13,000 \mathrm{xg}$ and the supernatant was collected. AKR1C3 and AKR1C2 were affinity purified via N-terminal GST-tag on glutathione (GT) sepharose (GE-Healthcare) and cleaved of by thrombin according to the manufacturer's protocol. Expression and purification was monitored by SDS-PAGE.

### 4.3 In vitro AKR1C3 and AKR1C2 inhibition assays

The inhibition assays were performed on purified recombinant enzymes as previously described.[22] Briefly, the enzymatic reaction was fluorimetrically (exc/em; $340 \mathrm{~nm} / 460$ nm ) monitored by the measurement of NADPH production on a "Ensight" plate reader (Perkin Elmer) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Assay mixture contained S-tetralol (in ETOH ), inhibitor (in ETOH), 100 mM phosphate buffer, $\mathrm{pH} 7,200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ NADP $^{+}$, and purified recombinant enzyme ( $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) in a final volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ and $10 \%$ ETOH were added in 96 -well plate. The S-tetralol concentration used in the AKR1C2 and AKR1C3 inhibition assay were $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $160 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, the same as the Km described for the respective isoforms under the same experimental conditions. Percent inhibition with respect to the controls containing the same amount of solvent, without inhibitor, was calculated from the initial velocities, obtained by linear regression of the progress curve, at different concentrations of inhibitor. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were obtained using PRISM 7.0, GraphPad Software. The values are the means of two separate experiments each carried out in triplicate.

### 4.4 COX1 and COX2 inhibition assays

References and selected compounds were tested for their ability to inhibit COX1 and COX2 using a COX (ovine/human) Inhibitor Screening Assay Kit (Cayman Chemical Co., Ann Arbor, MI), following manufacturer's instructions. The assay directly measured $\mathrm{PGF}_{2 \alpha}$ by $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ reduction of COX-derived $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ produced in the COX reaction. The prostanoid product was quantified via enzyme immunosorbent assay (ELISA); absorbance measurements were obtained on a PerkinElmer 2030 Multilabel Reader. IC ${ }_{50}$ values were obtained by linear regression using PRISM 7.0, GraphPad Software. Results were calculated as mean value $\pm$ standard error (SE) of at least three experiments.

### 4.5 Tumor cell lines and cell culture

22RV1 castration-resistant prostate cancer cells were used. Cells were routinely maintained as monolayers in RPMI supplemented with $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ fetal calf serum, $2 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ penicillinstreptomycin and $0.03 \%$ L-glutamine. Cells were grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

### 4.6 Cell proliferation assay

Cell growth inhibition was evaluated by sulforhodamine B colorimetric proliferation assay (SRB assay) modified by Vichai and Kirtikara, as previously described.[37, 38] 10.000 cells/well were seeded into 96 -well plates in RPMI containing $10 \%$ charcoal stripped serum, and incubated for 24 hours. Then, various dilutions of inhibitors in ethanol were added in triplicate, and incubated for 72 h . Control cells were incubated with the same final concentration of ethanol (maximum concentration $1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). For co-treatment experiments,

22 RV 1 cells were treated with $\mathrm{ABI}(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ or ENZA $(20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ with or without compounds 3 and $6(20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 72 h .
The statistical analysis were performed with PRISM 7.0, GraphPad Software. The values are the means of two separate experiments each carried out in triplicate.

### 4.7. Viability/death cell determination

22RV1 cell lines cultured as above were trypsinized at 72 h and counted by using the NucleoCounter® NC-200 ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (ChemoMetech, Allerod, Denmark) and the propidium iodine uptake to distinguish dead (uptake of propidium iodine without lysis of cells) and total cells (after lysis). The difference between total and dead cells is the number of live cells.[39]

### 4.8 Western blot

Protein extraction from both untreated and treated 22RV1 cells was conducted using a RIPA lysis buffer containing the Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). The protein concentration was determined by use of a Bradford assay. Protein samples ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) were denatured by Tris-Glycine SDS Sample Buffer (Biorad), aliquots of which were loaded into polyacrylamide gel wells alongside identical volumes of $\beta$-actin. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was run at 120V for 2 hours. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) by electro-blotting at 300 mA for 3 hours, then blocked using $5 \%$ dried milk powder in $0.05 \%$ Tris-buffered saline (TBS) + Tween 20. The membrane was incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a shaker with specific primary antibody diluted in $5 \%$ milk powder $0.05 \%$ TBS + Tween 20 . The following primary antibodies were used: mouse $\beta$-actin (1:20:000, Sigma-Aldrich), mouse monoclonal anti-AKR1C3 (1:333, Sigma Aldrich), goat polyclonal PSA (C-19) (1:500, sc-7638 Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The membrane was washed with TBS and incubated with a HRPconjugated secondary antibody (Santa-Cruz Biotechnology) diluted 1:5000 in 5\% dried milk powder with $0.05 \%$ TBS + Tween 20 at room temperature. Ponceau Red Staining (SigmaAldrich) was used to ensure samples were equally loaded in SDS-PAGE. Visualisation was achieved using a chemiluminescent substrate (Amersham ECL, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and captured into Kodak film.

### 4.9 Determination of PSA expression by Elisa

22RV1 cell lines are cultured as above described. Supernatants were collected, centrifuged to eliminate cell debris and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until to use when $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were analyzed by a PSA (Total) Human ELISA Kit (Termofisher scientific (Life Technologies Italia, Monza Italy). PSA ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) were normalized for the cell number. The statistical analysis were performed with PRISM 7.0, GraphPad Software. Results are representative of one replicated experiment performed in triplicate.

### 4.10 Inhibition of AKR1C3-Mediated production of testosterone in 22RV1 cells

22RV1 cells were seeded into 96 -well plates in RPMI media containing $10 \%$ charcoal stripped serum, at a density of 30,000 cells per well, and were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for 24 h . Compound 3 and Compound 6 were added to the wells at 4 different concentrations and incubated for 1 h . Equimolar ( 28 nM ) concentration of androstenedione was then added to the wells. The plate was returned to the incubator for a further 24 h . Cell supernatant was removed for analysis of testosterone by ELISA following the manufacturer's guide (Testosterone ELISA kit was purchased from Cayman Chemical Company). The statistical analysis were performed with PRISM 7.0, GraphPad Software. The values are the means of two separate experiments each carried out in triplicate.

### 4.10 Protein expression, purification and crystallization

Plasmid coding for human AKR1C3[22] was modified by removing the thrombin cleavagesite and adding the TEV (Tobacco Etch Virus) cleavage-site. The new plasmid construct was transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE) Codon Plus RP (Agilent Technologies).
The cells were grown in LB media supplemented with ampicillin ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and chloramphenicol ( $34 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with continuous shaking at 200 rpm . At OD600nm $=$ 0.5 the expression was induced by IPTG $(0.4 \mathrm{mM})$ and the temperature was lower to $22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for overnight. The cell pellet was resuspended in the binding buffer A ( $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} \mathbf{N P O}_{4}, 1.8$ $\left.\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KH} \mathrm{KO}_{4}, 140 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2.7 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.3\right)$ supplemented with DNase $1(0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per gram of wet cells), lysozyme ( $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). The suspension was passed through the emulsiflex 3 times at 12000 psi at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cell lysate was centrifuged at $40000 \mathrm{xg}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1$ hour in JA25 rotor. The clarified lysate was loaded on to 5 ml GST TRAP column (GE healthcare) prequlibriated with the binding buffer. The loaded column was washed until no more protein was eluted. The protein was eluted using the elution buffer 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0,10 \mathrm{mM}$ Glutathione reduced. The eluted fractions were pooled and subjected to overnight TEV protease digestion at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to remove GST tag. TEV protease was used in 1:100 dilution for the digestion. Next day, protein solution was loaded on to a 5 ml His TRAP column equilibriated with buffer Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0, \mathrm{NaCl} 150 \mathrm{mM}$, DTT 1 mM . The flowthrough containing cleaved AKR1C3 protein was collected and concentrated using Vivaspin 10 kDa cutoff. For final polishing step, size exclusion chromatography was performed using superdex 200 column (GE healthcare). The concentrated protein was applied to s 200 column with 10 mM potassium phosphate $\mathrm{pH} 7,1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA and 1 mM DTT. Fractions were run on the SDS PAGE to check the purity. Pure fractions containing AKR1C3 were pooled and concentrated to $75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$.

Co-crystals of AKR1C3 and inhibitors were obtained using hanging vapour diffusion method. Both NADP and inhibitor were added in final concentration of 2 mM to the protein solution ( $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For crystallization, $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ the overnight incubated protein was mixed with the reservoir solution ( 100 mM MES pH 6.0, $12-25 \%$ PEG 8000).[40] The crystallization plates were incubated both, at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystals for both the inhibitors appeared in 100 mM MES $\mathrm{pH} 6.0,25 \%$ PEG 8000. Crystals for compound 1 appeared at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while that of 6 formed at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crystals were cryoprotected using $20 \%$ ethylene glycol in mother liquor prior to cryo-cooling.

### 4.11 X-ray data collection, structure determination and refinement

X-ray diffraction data of compound 6 was collected at ID23-1 while for compound 1 was collected at ID30A-3 beamlines of ESRF. X-ray diffraction spots were processed using XDS, pointless and Scala.[41] PDB id1ry09[40] was used as the molecular replacement template for the structure determination using Phaser of PHENIX suite.[42] Both structures were refined using PHENIX. Data collection and refinement statistics are summarised in Table S16.

### 4.12 Docking

The structures of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ were built in their dissociated forms using the 2D Sketcher tool implemented in Maestro GUI. Ligand docking was performed using Schrödinger GLIDE extra precision (XP) protocol.[43] or this purpose, the X-ray crystallographic structure of AKR1C2 was retrieved from RCSB Database (PDB code: 4JQA). Before docking, the crystal
structure of the protein underwent an optimization process using the Protein Preparation Wizard tool, implemented in Maestro ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ GUI. Missing hydrogen atoms were added and bond orders were assigned. Then, DMS, non-structural water molecules and impurities (such as solvent molecules) were removed. Reorienting automatically optimized the hydrogen bond network: hydroxyl and thiol groups, amide groups of asparagine (Asn) and glutamine (Gln), and the imidazole ring in histidine (His). Moreover, the protonation states prediction of Hisaspartic acid (Asp), glutamic acid (Glu), and tautomeric states of His-were accomplished using PROPKA. ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ A grid of $10 \AA \times 10 \AA \times 10 \AA(x, y$, and $z)$ was created and centred on the co-crystalized ligand mefenamic acid.
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