Accepted Manuscript

Lepidorbitoides (Foraminifera) from the lower Maastrichtian Kallankuruchchi Formation, Cauvery Basin, India: Morphometry and paleobiogeography

Nallamuthu Malarkodi, Ercan Özcan, Deepak Venkataraman, Subhash Chandra Somappa, Suresha Gowda, P. Kumar Tindlu Nagaraja, Ali Osman Yücel

PII: S0195-6671(16)30388-3

DOI: 10.1016/j.cretres.2017.05.009

Reference: YCRES 3606

To appear in: Cretaceous Research

Received Date: 14 December 2016

Revised Date: 3 May 2017

Accepted Date: 6 May 2017

Please cite this article as: Malarkodi, N., Özcan, E., Venkataraman, D., Chandra Somappa, S., Gowda, S., Kumar Tindlu Nagaraja, P., Yücel, A.O., *Lepidorbitoides* (Foraminifera) from the lower Maastrichtian Kallankuruchchi Formation, Cauvery Basin, India: Morphometry and paleobiogeography, *Cretaceous Research* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.cretres.2017.05.009.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1	Lepidorbitoides (Foraminifera) from the lower Maastrichtian							
2	Kallankuruchchi Formation, Cauvery Basin, India: morphometry and							
3	paleobiogeography							
4								
5	Nallamuthu Malarkodi ¹ , Ercan Özcan ^{2,*} , Deepak Venkataraman ¹ , Subhash Chandra Somappa ¹ ,							
6	Suresha Gowda ¹ , P. Kumar Tindlu Nagaraja ¹ , Ali Osman Yücel ²							
7								
8	¹ Department of Geology, Bangalore University, 560056– Bangalore, India,							
9	nallamuthumalarkodi@gmail.com; malargeo@rediffmail.com							
10								
11	² Department of Geological Engineering, Faculty of Mines, İstanbul Technical University (İTU),							
12	Maslak- 34469 İstanbul, Turkey. ozcanerc@itu.edu.tr, ercanozcan034@yahoo.com,							
13	Phone:+90 212 2856148; +90 5363678689							
14								
15								
16								
17	*Corresponding author: Ercan Özcan, ercanozcan034@yahoo.com, ozcanerc@itu.edu.tr							
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								

24 Abstract

The southernmost occurrence of the early Maastrichtian larger benthic foraminifera (LBF) in 25 Tethys is known from the Kallankuruchchi Formation in the Cauvery Basin, SE India, 26 represented by Lepidorbitoides-Siderolites assemblages. The systematics. age and 27 paleobiogeography of Lepidorbitoides here have as yet remained unresolved due to lack of 28 information particularly on the nepionic arrangement, whereas their links with the Western 29 30 Tethyan and Caribbean biogeographic domains were speculated. Lepidorbitoides, studied from 31 the same level in seven samples in two separate areas, invariably possess quadriserial nepionts and adauxiliary chamberlets, whose mean number ranges from 3.79 to 4.67. The ratio between 32 33 the sample means of the internal diameter of deuteroconch and protoconch varies between 1.72 and 1.86. The equatorial layer in the early stage consists of arcuate chambers with basal stolons, 34 and ogival-to spatulate chamberlets with annular and oblique stolons in the later stages. These 35 36 features are consistent with the phylogenetically advanced members of the Western Tethyan Lepidorbitoides lineage, such as L. minor (Schlumberger) and L. socialis (Leymerie), and all 37 samples were assigned to the transitional development stages of these species based on the 38 morphometry. The taxonomic status of some Lepidorbitoides species, originally described from 39 the Kallankuruchchi Formation and widely adopted in previous works, such as L. blanfordi 40 (Rao) and L. inornata (Rao), are not justified. We extend the geographic range of Western 41 Tethyan Lepidorbitoides to southern India. 42

43

44 Keywords: Lepidorbitoides; Morphometry; Maastrichtian; Cauvery Basin; India

45

46

47 **1. Introduction**

The larger benthic foraminiferal (LBF) genus Lepidorbitoides Silvestri, 1907, along with closely 48 allied Orbitoides d'Orbigny, 1848 and Omphalocyclus Bronn, 1853, occurs commonly in 49 circum-tropical Campanian- Maastrichtian shallow-marine deposits (Fig. 1) (Fleury et al., 1985; 50 van Gorsel, 1978; Goldbeck and Langer, 2009 and references therein; Robles-Salcedo et al., 51 2013). Morphological change in time, as recorded in the embryon and the equatorial layer of the 52 test, and wide geographic distribution make Lepidorbitoides a valuable stratigraphic marker 53 (Papp, 1954, 1955; Mac Gillavry 1955; van Gorsel, 1975, 1978; Caus et al., 1988; Drooger, 54 1993; Özcan and Özkan-Altıner, 1999a, b). This facilitates the global correlation in shallow-55 56 marine realm (Aguilar et al., 2002; Goldbeck and Langer, 2009). Cauvery Basin, interpreted to be a part of the tropical-subtropical climatic zone in Maastrichtian times (Zakharov et al., 2011), 57 marks the southernmost occurrence of Lepidorbitoides in the southern hemisphere. Here, 58 59 Lepidorbitoides occurs abundantly in the Dherani limestone member of the shallow marine Kallankuruchchi Formation, which is widely exposed in the Ariyalur region. The 60 Kallankuruchchi Formation, historically known as the Gryphaea-beds, is characterized by the 61 common occurrence of gryphaeid oysters, inoceramids, echinoids, terebratulid brachiopods, rare 62 ammonoids and abundant LBF (Stoliczka, 1873; Rao, 1941). The LBF are represented by 63 abundant orbitoidiform taxa, variously assigned to Orbitoides, Lepidorbitoides, and 64 Orbitocyclina in the previous works, and other less diagnostic genera such as Siderolites 65 Lamarck, 1801 and Goupillaudina Marie, 1958. Despite its biostratigraphic and 66 paleobiogeographic significance, a detailed study of Lepidorbitoides from the Kallankuruchchi 67 Formation is lacking, a fact evidenced by previous works that resulted in conflicting taxonomical 68

and paleobiogeographic conclusions (Rao, 1941; van Gorsel, 1978; Hart et al., 2000; Goldbeckand Langer, 2009).

71

Since the previous studies are based mostly on the vertical and oblique sections of the 72 Lepidorbitoides from the thin sections and the nepionic development of the genus is not known, 73 a study of embryon and equatorial chambers in oriented sections is necessary. We have carried 74 75 out a morphometric study of Lepidorbitoides specimens from the Dherani limestone member in two localities to the north and northeast of Ariyalur area in the Cauvery Basin for a more robust 76 description of the nepionic features of the genus. The data are also used to assess phylogenetic 77 78 stage of the genus by comparing them with the evolutionary model of Lepidorbitoides from the Western Tethys and to evaluate the Maastrichtian palaeobiogeography of the genus. 79

80

81 2. Geological setting and Stratigraphy

The Cauvery Basin fringing the southeastern coastal area of India preserves an almost complete 82 Upper Cretaceous-Paleocene sequence in the Ariyalur-Pondicherry depression, formed by the 83 Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous rifting (Sastri et al., 1981). The deposits of the Campanian-84 Maastrichtian Ariyalur Group, widely exposed along a belt trending roughly NE-SW in the 85 Ariyalur area, are subdivided into three formations: Sillakudi, Kallankuruchchi and Kallamedu 86 (Fig. 2A-C). The Kallankuruchchi Formation, ca. 40 m thick, is a prominent shallow marine 87 carbonate unit characterized by the occurrence of large shells of various invertebrate groups, as 88 well as LBF. The unit has been subdivided into several members (Tewari et al., 1996; 89 Ramkumar, 1999). Tewari et al. (1996) recognized the Tancem Limestone, Dalmia biostromal 90 limestone and Dherani sandstone members, roughly equivalent to the lower arenaceous and 91

Inoceramus limestone and upper fragmented shell limestone and Gryphean limestone members 92 of Ramkumar (1999). The Tancem Limestone member is a thick-bedded to massive bioclastic 93 limestone, composed of mainly rudist fragments. The Dalmia biostromal member is a highly 94 fossiliferous limestone, predominantly made up of the shells of ovsters (e. g. Pycnodonte), 95 inoceramids, terebratulid brachiopods, echinoids (e. g. Gongrochanus), bryozoans, sponges and 96 rare ammonites, and is recognized in the field by the occurrence of *Pycnodonte* colonies 97 (Stoliczka, 1873; Ayyasami, 1990; Radulovic and Ramamoorthy, 1992; Srivastava, 2012) (Fig. 98 3). We could not find any LBF in this unit, while Tewari et al. (1996) noted the occurrence of 99 Orbitoides sp., Lepidorbitoides sp. and Siderolites sp. The Dherani limestone member is a thick-100 101 bedded, friable grainstone with abundant LBF, bryozoans, sponges and rare large invertebrates.

102

The Lepidorbitoides studied here comes from a ca. 1.5-2 m-thick friable, fragmental limestone 103 104 bed just above the Gryphaea beds of the Dalmia biostromal member, corresponding to the Dherani Limestone member of Tewari et al. (1996). This bed contains abundant Lepidorbitoides 105 accompanied by Siderolites calcitrapoides and Goupillaudina daguini and lacks Orbitoides and 106 Omphalocyclus, as noticed in some of the previous works (Rama Rao, 1957; Fleury et al., 1985; 107 Tewari et al., 1996; Hart et al., 2000). The Kallankuruchchi Formation is unconformably 108 overlain either by the shallow marine siliciclastics of the Ottakoil member of the Maastrichtian 109 110 Kallemedu Formation or the fluvial and coastal marine sandstones of the same unit containing dinosaur remains. 111

112

113 The age of the Kallankuruchchi Formation is not firmly constrained, while it was mostly dated114 either as Maastrichtian (early Maastrichtian) or late Campanian-early Maastrichtian based on

LBF, rare planktonic foraminifera, ammonites, and other stratigraphically less significant fossil 115 groups (Figure 4). The unit was constrained by Rai et al (2013) as early Maastrichtian in age 116 based on presence of late Maastrichtian nannofossils CC25 Arkhangelskiella cymbiformis Zone 117 in the overlying Ottakoil sandstone. Nevertheless, a more precise direct dating of this unit is not 118 yet available partly because of the absence high-resolution fossil taxa and facies limitations. The 119 Kallankuruchchi Formation was interpreted to represent a transgressive carbonate ramp setting 120 121 with occasional hardgrounds, shoals, tidal channels and storm beds (Tewari et al., 1996; Ramkumar, 1999; Watkinson et al., 2007). 122

123

124 **3. Historical background**

The occurrence of LBF in Cauvery Basin has been known since Stoliczka (1873) assigned the 125 orbitoidiform specimens from the Kallankuruchchi Formation in Chakkanathapuram to 126 Orbitoides faujasi (Defrance) (Fig. 4). The only figure (Pl. 12, fig. 5) illustrating the outer 127 chambers of the equatorial layer undoubtedly shows the pointed arcuate chamberlets in the 128 equatorial section. Vredenburg (1908) assigned the specimens figured by Stoliczka to Orbitoides 129 minor by referring the rhombic character of the equatorial chambers, a characteristic feature 130 observed in the outer parts of the equatorial layer of this species, introduced by Schlumberger 131 (1901) from the Maastrichtian type-section. Rao (1941) erected two new species from the same 132 area, L. inornata for the specimens supposedly lacking the external piles (granules) and L. 133 blanfordi for the specimens with well-developed pillars and arcuate to rhomboidal equatorial 134 chamberlets, previously assigned to O. minor by Vredenburg (1908). Rao argued that L. 135 blanfordi differs from O. minor (O. faujasi of Stoliczka) in the shape of the equatorial 136 chamberlets, which are spatulate to ogival in shape in the latter species. It is worth to note that 137

this author did not illustrate any equatorial section of L. blanfordi, which according to him 138 differs from Western Tethyan L. minor. Rao further proposed a Caribbean affinity for L. 139 inornata because of the lack of piles, a feature attributed to some Caribbean Lepidorbitoides 140 (Thiadens, 1937). This species concept of Rao (1941) was used invariably in following works 141 (Rama Rao, 1957; Gowda, 1964; Srivastava and Tewari, 1967), while Nagappa (1959) and 142 Ravindran (1980) recorded Lepidorbitoides sp. from the Ariyalur group without specific 143 identification. Van Gorsel (1975, 1978) noted that, in the lack of any information about the 144 nepionic stage, the relationship of these Asian forms to European Lepidorbitoides would be 145 uncertain. Lastly, Hart et al. (2000) assigned the Ariyalur specimens to Lepidorbitoides cf. 146 147 socialis (Leymerie, 1851), representing the final evolutionary stage of the genus in the late Maastrichtian in Western Tethys (peri-Mediterranean/Europe), without any illustration or 148 description of the nepionic stage. The latter authors, however, noted the contradiction on the 149 150 occurrence of 'most advanced' developmental stage of the genus in upper Campanian-lower Maastrichtian deposits and concluded that further taxonomic work should be carried out for a 151 more robust species identification. 152

153

154 **4. Materials and Methods**

Seven samples were collected from two localities, Chokkanathapuram (11°15'99" N; 79°07"68'E) and Kallankuruchchi (11°09'52" N; 79°06"78'E) from the Dherani limestone member of the Kallankuruchchi Formation (Figs. 2B, 3A, E). This work is based on the loose specimens extracted from the friable limestone and the investigation of the oriented sections prepared through equatorial and axial planes of the test. The equatorial sections of megalospheric generation (A-forms), essential for taxonomy, require the grinding of the lateral parts of the free

161 test on both sides of the equatorial layer by a fine grinding paper to a certain level in the equatorial layer to obtain a section exposing the embryon and equatorial chambers. The 162 measurements and counts used in the morphometry include number of the adauxiliary 163 chamberlets (adc: these are the chamberlets directly arising from the deuteroconch), inner 164 diameters of the protoconch and deuteroconch (P and D) perpendicular to their common axis and 165 their ratios (D/P), executed in the equatorial sections of the megalospheric specimens (Fig. 5). 166 The microspheric specimens (B-forms) are rare and only one specimen was sectioned. Because 167 of poor quality of this section, microspheric generation was excluded from the description. 168

169

170 **5. Result**

171 **5.1 Description of the** *Lepidorbitoides*

172 5.1.1 General test features (Fig. 6):

173

Only megalospheric (A-forms) specimens have been studied. The microspheric generation is 174 very rare and only one was found among 154 sectioned specimens. The test is of medium size, 175 circular, mostly symmetrical with regard to the equatorial layer, and lenticular-discoidal. The test 176 diameter and thickness range between 1200-4700 µm and 600-1450 µm respectively. The 177 surface is smooth with uniformly distributed piles, coarser the central part of the test about (110-178 160 µm in diameter) and finer towards the periphery (30-65 µm in diameter). The equatorial 179 layer is about 30-50 µm high near the embryon, and it reaches up to 80-90 µm along its 180 periphery. The lateral chamberlets are open, in vertical rows, 60-110 µm in diameter in the 181 central part of the test. 182

183

184 *5.1.2 Embryon and nepionic stage*:

185

The embryon consists of a (sub)-circular protoconch (P) and a kidney-shaped, larger 186 deuteroconch (D) with thick hyaline wall (Fig. 7.1-3). The protoconch shows a single stolon, ca. 187 10 microns in diameter, located in a marginal position with respect to the P-D axis in the 188 equatorial layer (Fig. 7.2). The deuteroconch possesses invariably two stolons at the junction 189 with the protoconch on either side of the P-D axis, leading to the formation of auxiliary 190 chambers, almost same size as the other chambers around the embryon. The average diameters of 191 protoconch and deuteroconch range between 99.21-113.75 µm and 171.75-195.83 µm 192 193 respectively (Table 1). The ratio of deuteroconch to protoconch (parameter D/P) varies from 1.72 to 1.86. The radial stolons, easily detected because of the iron-infilling on the deuteroconch 194 wall, lead to the formation of adauxiliary chamberlets. The number of adauxiliary chamberlets 195 196 counted in 139 specimens varies between 1 and 7, with sample averages between 3.79-4.67. The equatorial chambers arising from the auxiliary chamberlets exhibit short spiral development on 197 the protoconchal side (Figs. 7C, 8-10). 198

199

200 5.1.3 Equatorial chamberlets and stolons:

201

The equatorial chamberlets notably vary in shape through ontogeny. The early chambers are commonly open arcuate in shape and the bases of the chambers do not touch to each other (Fig. 5B). These chambers have only basal stolons, and occasionally may exhibit oblique stolons (Fig. 7.1). The following chambers are ogival in shape and the walls of the adjacent chambers may have a common wall consisting of annular stolons. The oblique stolons are formed on the lateral sides of these chamberlets (Fig. 7.4-5), and occasionally more than one of them are observed
next to each other in the same chamberlet wall (Fig. 7.5). These chamberlets are usually either
ogival or spatulate in shape at the peripheral parts of the equatorial layer. Typical hexagonal
chamberlets may appear occasionally at the periphery of the equatorial layer.

211

212 **5.2** Morphometry and species designation

Lepidorbitoides, along with its ancestor Helicorbitoides, forms a single evolutionary lineage 213 ranging from the (late) Campanian to Maastrichtian in Tethys, subdivided into seven successive 214 species based on the morphometric criteria and some qualitative features such as the arrangement 215 of spiral chambers, shape of the equatorial chambers and type of stolons (Papp, 1954, 1955; van 216 Gorsel, 1975; Drooger, 1993; Özcan & Özkan Altıner, 1999a, b). Mac Gillavry (1955), on the 217 other hand, argued that two species, L. minor from Netherlands and L. socialis from France have 218 had independent origins based on his preliminary work. Caus et al. (1988) also concluded that L. 219 minor and L. socialis are time-equivalent after studying the same localities. We think that the 220 insufficient planktonic foraminiferal and calcareous nannofossil data presented by these authors 221 do not fully justify the age-relationship of the localities, and planktonic foraminiferal and 222 calcareous nannofossil zones adopted for the comparison of the aforementioned species should 223 be considered by caution. The evolutionary scheme of Tethyan Lepidorbitoides is shown in 224 Figure (Fig. 11). The most significant changes after the formation of quadriserial nepionts 225 include the increase in the number of adauxiliary chamberlets and a notable increase in the 226 deuteroconch diameter with respect to the protoconch. The shape of the equatorial chamberlets 227 and type of the stolons are considered significant at species level. The arcuate chambers are 228 replaced with pointed arcuate, ogival and spatulate ones through the time as equatorial chambers 229

become more densely spaced in the equatorial layer. This trend can also be observed in the successive stages of a single specimen of a species representing an advanced developmental stage in *Lepidorbitoides* lineage, such as *L. minor* and *L. socialis*. The simple arcuate chamberlets possess only basal stolons, while the ogival and spatulate chamberlets have annular and oblique stolons.

236 The relation of nepionic arrangement, expressed by the sample means of number of adauxiliary chamberlets (adc) and the sample means of protoconch (P) and deutroconch (D) and their ratios 237 (D/P) in seven samples from the Kallankuruchchi Formation are shown in Figures 12-14 (also 238 239 see Table 1). The parameter adc in all samples fall very close to the morphometric limit of L. minor and L. socialis, within the species limit of L. minor, except for the only sample KAL5A, 240 which falls to the L. socialis field. The sample means of protoconch and deuteroconch in Tethys 241 242 have proven to show a wide distribution and are not used in the species discrimination (Figs. 12, 13). According to the D/P parameter, samples fall very close to L. minor-L. socialis boundary, 243 either within the former or latter species (Fig. 14). Considering above parameter, specifically the 244 adc and D/P, we assign our samples to a transitional developmental stage of L. minor and L. 245 socialis based on the morphometric species definition proposed by van Gorsel (1978). Since adc 246 is a more significant parameter than D/P, we conclude that all samples, except for KAL5A, are 247 within the species limits of L. minor and assign our specimens to L. ex. interc. minor-socialis 248 following Drooger (1993) for the species designation for the intermediate forms of two 249 successive species of an evolutionary lineage. 250

251

252 **5.3 Age of the studied samples**

²³⁵

A general contradiction on the age assignment of Upper Cretaceous LBF in the literature stems 253 from the modifications in the global correlation of planktonic foraminifera with respect to the 254 Campanian-Maastrichtian boundary in the last decades. A correlation of the evolutionary stages 255 of Lepidorbitoides with planktonic foraminifera and other fossil groups has been loosely 256 established in the boreal and sub-tropical regions of Tethys in Europe (van Gorsel, 1975, 1978; 257 Caus et al., 1988). The tentative correlation chart with planktonic foraminifera proposed by van 258 259 Gorsel (1975, 1978) is based on the scanty data from the Maastricht tuff chalk in the Netherlands, the Campanian type-section in Aubeterre (France), and additional data from the 260 Vienna Basin, Sweden and the Pyreneans in Spain (Fig. 11B). This author also used LBF, such 261 262 as Orbitoides, Siderolites, Pseudosiderolites, Praesiderolites, Omphalocyclus, Hellenocyclina, *Clypeorbis*, to characterize the accompanying fauna and biostratigraphic zones spanning the late 263 Campanian-Maastrichtian time interval (Figure 11B). In this zonation, the R. calcarata zone, the 264 265 upper boundary of which was regarded to coincide with Campanian-Maastrichtian boundary (sensu Bolli, 1966), was crucial to fix the stratigraphic position of L. campaniensis as a marker 266 species in the upper Campanian and lowermost Maastrichtian, following the developmental 267 stages of Lepidorbitoides. The base of the Lepidorbitoides minor Zone was recognized here 268 above the G. gansseri Zone, the first appearance (FA) datum of which was placed in a 269 significantly younger level in the Maastrichtian. The most advanced species of the lineage, L. 270 socialis, was correlated with A. mayaroensis Zone. 271

272

A revised concept for the correlation of the planktonic foraminiferal zones to belemnite and ammonite zones and magnetostratigraphy lowers the *R. calcarata* and *G. gansseri* zones significantly with respect to the Campanian-Maastrichtian boundary (sensu Robaszynski and

276 Caron, 1995). The correlation of G. gansseri Zone with the upper Campanian and lower Maastrichtian has significant consequences for the stratigraphic ranges of late Cretaceous LBF, 277 which require a thorough revision, although the first occurrence of G. gansseri appears to be not 278 isochronous. The first appearance datum of this species is interpreted either as corresponding to 279 the base of the Maastrichtian stage in high latitudes (Li and Keller, 1998) or below the 280 Campanian-Maastrichtian boundary in a low latitude setting (Voigt et al., 2012). We here adapt 281 282 the stratigraphic scheme of Gradstein et al. (2012) for the correlation of the G. gansseri Zone with GTS2012, and for the correlation of the evolutionary stages of Lepidorbitoides. The 283 correlation of Lepidorbitoides with planktonic foraminifera in Turkey (Özcan and Özkan-284 285 Altıner, 1999b; Özcan, 2007) reveals that the primitive forms of L. minor and also L. socialis first appear in the G. gansseri Zone in late Campanian- early Maastrichtian times (Fig. 15). The 286 advanced stages of L. socialis with numerous adauxiliary chamberlets are characteristic for the 287 288 A. mayaroensis Zone. The previous poor records of G. gansseri, established without zonal precision, from the Kallankuruchchi Formation were used to interpret the age of the unit as late 289 Campanian-early Maastrichtian (sensu Hart et al., 2000). Our data from Turkey suggest that 290 transitional forms of L. minor and L. socialis appear in the G. gansseri Zone crossing the 291 Campanian-Maastrichtian boundary (sensu Gradstein et al., 2012), suggesting a similar 292 stratigraphic position for the studied material. We favor an early Maastrichtian age for the 293 Dherani Limestone member based on a) the developmental stage of Lepidorbitoides; b) the 294 occurrence of *Siderolites calcitrapoides*, a common Maastrichtian species in Tethys; and c) the 295 absence of any typical Tethyan Campanian LBF, such as Praesiderolites, Pseudosiderolites, and 296 Arnaudiella. 297

298

299 **6. Discussion**

The *Lepidorbitoides* species widely used in the Upper Cretaceous stratigraphy of the Cauvery 300 Basin, i.e., L. blanfordi Rao, 1941 and L. inornata Rao, 1941, are not justified in this study. The 301 description of these taxa is based on incomplete test features or test characters never illustrated 302 (Rao, 1941). Later studies on the Cauvery Basin Lepidorbitoides also failed to provide a detailed 303 description of the nepionic stage and other test features. In addition, we were unable to identify 304 305 any form corresponding to Orbitocyclina ariyalurensis Rao, 1941. The equatorial section of this 306 species illustrated by Rao (1941) shows that the embryon, with two auxiliary chambers, is followed by a long primary spire. It is interesting to note that Rao did not illustrate any equatorial 307 308 section of L. minor-socialis (his L. blanfordi), the most common and possibly only Lepidorbitoides in the Ariyalur region, but instead illustrated a perfect equatorial section of O. 309 ariyaluensis. Based on the nepionic acceleration, this form is either a primitive Lepidorbitoides 310 from an older level in the Upper Cretaceous sequence, and thus has been mistakenly 311 incorporated to the Ariyalur collection of the author, or a very rare Maastrichtian form of 312 unknown affinity. The fact that most of the Ariyalur specimens are iron-stained such that the 313 chamberlets are occupied by ferruginous material, and a perfect contrast is formed between the 314 chamberlet walls and cavities may suggest that Rao's O. ariyaluensis is possibly from a different 315 locality. We could not observe any specimen without pillars (piles) in the studied material. The 316 absence of pillars in some specimens, supposedly a characteristics feature of L. inornata, is not 317 confirmed here. The section illustrated by Rao does not clearly show the peri-embryonic part of 318 the nepiont of this species. We think that L. inornata corresponds to L. ex. interc. minor-socialis. 319 We show that the Lepidorbitoides in Cauvery basin have similar morphologic features, such as 320 development of similar stolon system in the equatorial chamberlets and morphometric features as 321

322 of Western Tethyan Lepidorbitoides. A Caribbean affinity for the Cauvery Basin Lepidorbitoides, as proposed by Rao (1941) is not supported. Our study supports the 323 paleobiogeographic interpretation of van Gorsel (1978), who extended the boundaries of his 324 'Eurasian assemblage' to southern India and Madagascar and considered the Late Cretaceous 325 LBF from the Indo-Malayan region to be of 'unknown affinity'. According to Goldbeck and 326 Langer (2009), India is a part of Asian faunal province, which includes Lepidorbitoides, 327 Orbitoides, Omphalocyclus and Siderolites in its western part (Indian subcontinent), whereas 328 these genera are little known at species level. Our data indicate that at least the western part of 329 this province is characterized by Lepidorbitoides of Western Tethyan affinity. 330

331

332 6. Concluding Remarks

The orbitoidal foraminifera in the Kallankuruchchi Formation in the Cauvery Basin are 333 334 characterized by Lepidorbitoides Silvestri, occurring abundantly in the Dherani limestone member. The seven samples studied from this unit in Chokkanathapuram and Kallankuruchchi 335 revealed that the *Lepidorbitoides* is characterized by the transitional development stages of L. 336 minor and L. socialis relying on the evolutionary scheme of the genus, morphometry and species 337 limits proposed by van Gorsel (1978) and Özcan and Özkan-Altıner (1999b). We propose that 338 the age of the Dherani limestone member is early Maastrichtian based on the developmental state 339 of Lepidorbitoides, occurrence of Siderolites and absence of typical Campanian LBF. The 340 specimens without piles (L. inornata), never described in equatorial sections in the previous 341 studies, have not been found in the Kallankuruchchi Formation. This species was used to 342 demonstrate the Caribbean origin of some Cretaceous orbitoidal taxa in India. Orbitocyclina 343 ariyalurensis Rao is a problematic species and previous records for its occurrence in 344

Kallankuruchchi Formation is challenged here. We failed to find this species in the studied
material. *Orbitocyclina ariyalurensis* is either from an older stratigraphic unit and, thus, has been
mistakenly interpreted to occur in the Maastrichtian deposits of the Cauvery Basin by Rao (1941)
or it represents a separate rare lineage of unknown affinity.

349

350 Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Chairman, Department of Geology, Bangalore University, Bangalore, for the encouragement and facilities. Ercan Özcan thanks Dr. Nallamuthu Malarkodi for the financial support for his fieldwork in India and to Subir Sarkar and Nivedita Chakraborty (Jadavpur University, Kolkata) for providing literature. We are grateful to Johannes Pignatti (Rome), Lorenzo Consorti (Barcelona) and an anonymous reviewer for their feedback.

356

357

358 **References**

Aguilar, M., Bernaus, J.M., Caus, E., Hottinger, L., 2002. *Lepidorbitoides minima* Douvillé from Mexico, a foraminiferal index fossil for the Campanian. Journal of Foraminiferal Research 32, 126–134.

- 362 2. Ayyasami, K., 1990. Cretaceous heteromorphy ammonoid biostratigraphy of southern
 363 India. Newsletters on Stratigraphy 22, 111–118.
- Banerji, R.K., 1973. Stratigraphy and micropalaeontology of the Cauvery Basin, Part-1.
 Exposed area. Journal of the Palaeontological Society of India 17, 7–30.

366	4.	Bolli, H.M., 1966. Zonation of Cretaceous to Pliocene marine sediments based on
367		planktonic foraminifera. Boletin Informativo Asociacion Venezolana de Geologia,
368		Mineria y Petroleo 9, 3–32.
369	5.	Caus, E., Gomez-Garrido, A., Rodes, D., 1988. Reevaluation of Lepidorbitoides
370		evolution as a function of the age relations between species as established with
371		nannoplankton biostratigraphy. Revue de Paléobiologie 2, 421–428.
372	6.	Drooger, C.W., 1993. Radial Foraminifera; morphometrics and evolution.
373		Verhandelingen der Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen, Afdeling
374		Natuurkunde 41, 1–242.
375	7.	Fleury, J.J., Bignot, G., Blondeau, A., Poignant, A., 1985. Biogéographie de
376		Foraminifères bentiques téthysiens du Senonien à l'Éocène supérieur. Bulletin de la
377		Societé géologique de France 8, 757–770.
378	8.	Goldbeck, E. J., Langer, M.R., 2009. Biogeographic provinces and patterns of diversity in
379		selected Upper Cretaceous (Santonian-Maastrichtian) larger foraminifera. Geologic
380		Problem Solving with Microfossils: A volume in Honor of Garry D. Jones. SEPM
381		Special Publication No. 93, p. 187–232.
382	9.	Govindan, A., Ravindran, C.N., Rangaraju, M.K., 1996. Stratigraphy and planktonic
383		foraminiferal zonation of Cauvery Basin, South India. In: Sahni, A. (Ed.), Cretaceous
384		stratigraphy and paleoenvironments. Geological Society of India, Memoir, 37, pp. 155-
385		187.
386	10	. Gowda, S.S., 1964. The foraminifera of the south Indian Cretaceous-Eocene. Eclogae
387		Geologicae Helvetiae 57, 299–313.

388	11. Gradstein F.M., Ogg, J.G., Schmitz, M.D, Ogg, G.M. (eds.), 2012. The Geologic Time
389	Scale 2012. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 315–1144.
390	12. Hart, M.B., Bhaskar, A., Watkinson, M.P., 2000. Larger Foraminifera from the Upper
391	Cretaceous of the Cauvery Basin, S.E. India. Memoir Geological Society of India 46,
392	159–171.
393	13. Li, L., Keller, G., 1998. Maastrichtian climate, productivity and faunal turnovers in
394	planktic foraminifera in South Atlantic DSDP sites 525A and 21. Marine
395	Micropaleontology 33, 55–86.
396	14. Mac Gillavry, H. J., 1955. Two evolving species of the genus Lepidorbitoides Silvestri, a
397	biometrical study. Mededelingen van de Geologische Stichting 9, 11-42.
398	15. Nagappa, Y., 1959. Foraminiferal biostratigraphy of the Cretaceous- Eocene succession
399	in the India-Pakistan-Burma region. Micropaleontology 5, 145–192.

- 400 16. Özcan, E., 2007. Morphometric analysis of the genus *Omphalocyclus* from the late
 401 Cretaceous of Turkey: new data on its stratigraphic distribution in Tethys and description
 402 of two new taxa. Cretaceous Research 28, 621–641.
- 403 17. Özcan, E., Özkan-Altıner, S., 1999a. The genera *Lepidorbitoides* and *Orbitoides*:
 404 evolution and stratigraphic significance in some Anatolian basins. Geological Journal 34,
 405 275–286.
- 406 18. Özcan, E., Özkan-Altıner, S., 1999b. The genus *Lepidorbitoides*: evolution and
 407 stratigraphic significance in some Anatolian basins (Turkey). Revue de
 408 Micropaléontologie 42, 111–131.
- 409 19. Özcan, E., Özkan-Altıner, S., 2001. Description of an early ontogenetic evolutionary step
 410 in Lepidorbitoides: Lepidorbitoides bisambergensis asymmetrica subsp. n., Early

- 411 Maastrichtian (Central Turkey). Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia 107, 137–
 412 144.
- 20. Papp, A., 1954. Über die Entwicklung von *Pseudorbitoides* und *Lepidorbitoides* in
 Europa. Verhandlungen der Geologischen Bundesanstalt 3, 162–170.
- 415 21. Papp, A., 1955. Morphologisch-genetische Untersuchungen an Foraminiferen.
 416 Paläontologische Zeitschrift 29, 74–78.
- 417 22. Radulovic, V., Ramamoorthy, K., 1992. Late Cretaceous (early Maastrichtian)
 418 brachiopoda from south India. Senckenbergiana Lethaea 72, 77–89.
- 23. Rai, J., Ramkumar, Mu., Sugantha, T., 2013. Calcareous Nannofossils from the Ottakoil
 Formation, Cauvery Basin, South India: implications on age and late Cretaceous
 environmental conditions. In: Ramkumar, Mu. (Ed), On a sustainable future of the
 Earth's natural resources. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, pp. 109–122.
- 423 24. Rama Rao, L., 1953. Orbitoids from the Cretaceous rocks near Ariyalur (S. India).
 424 Current Science 22, 266–268.
- 425 25. Rama Rao, L., 1957. Fossil foraminifera from the Cretaceous rocks of south India. Part I.
 426 Ariyalur area orbitoids. Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences 45, 263–281.
- 427 26. Ramkumar, M., 1999. Lithostratigraphy, depositional history and constraints on sequence
 428 stratigraphy of the Kallankurichchi Formation (Lower Maastrichtian) Ariyalur group,
 429 South India. Annales géologiques de la Peninsule balkanique 63, 19–42.
- 27. Ramkumar, M., Stüben, D., Berner, Z., Schneider, J., 2010. Sr⁸⁷/Sr⁸⁶ anomalies in late
 Cretaceous-early Tertiary strata of the Cauvery Basin, south India: constraints on nature
 and rate of environmental changes across K-T boundary. Journal of Earth System Science
 119, 1–17.

434	28. Rao, B.R.J., Mamgain, V.D., Sastry, M.V.A., 1968. <i>Globotruncana</i> in Ariyalur Group of
435	Trichinopoly Cretaceous, S. India. Memoirs of the Geological Survey of India 2, 18–29.
436	29. Rao, S.R.N., 1941. Cretaceous orbitoids from the upper Ariyalur beds (Maestrichtian) of
437	the Trichinopoly district, S. India. Journal of Mysore University 2, 61–66.
438	30. Ravindran, C.N., 1980. Foraminiferal biostratigraphic studies of the Ariyalur Group of
439	Truchirapalli Cretaceous rocks of Tamil Nadu State (Unpubl. PhD thesis). University of
440	Madras, 259 pp.
441	31. Robaszynski, F., Caron, M., 1995. Foraminifères planctoniques du Crétacé: commentaire
442	de la zonation Europe-Méditerranée. Bulletin de la Société géologique de France 166,
443	681–692.
444	32. Robles-Salcedo, R., Rivas, G., Vicedo, V., Caus, E., 2013. Paleoenvironmental
445	distribution of larger foraminifera in Upper Cretaceous siliciclastic-carbonate deposits
446	(Arén sandstone Formation, south Pyrenees, northeastern Spain). Palaios 28, 637-648.
447	33. Sastri, V.V., Raju, A.T.R., Sinha, R.N., Venkatachala, B.S., Banerji, R.K., 1977.
448	Biostratigraphy and evolution of the Cauvery Basin, India. Journal of the Geological
449	Society of India 18, 355–377.
450	34. Sastri, V.V., Venkatachala, B.S., Narayanan, V., 1981. The evolution of the east coast of
451	India. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 36, 23–54.
452	35. Schlumberger, C., 1901. Premiere note sur les Orbitoïdes. Bulletin de la Société
453	géologique de France 4, 459–467.
454	36. Scotese, C.R., 2014. Atlas of Paleogene Paleogeographic Maps (Mollweide Projection),
455	Maps 8-15, Volume 1, The Cenozoic, PALEOMAP Atlas for ArcGIS, PALEOMAP
456	Project, Evanston, IL.

457	37. Srivastava, D.K., 2012. An annotated bibliography of fossil echinoids (Echinodermata) of
458	India and Pakistan. Journal of the Palaeontological Society of India 57, 163–203.
459	38. Srivastava, R.P., Tewari, B.S., 1967. Biostratigraphy of the Ariyalur stage, Cretaceous of
460	Trichinopoly. Journal of the Palaeontological Society of India 12, 48–54.
461	39. Stoliczka, F., 1873. Cretaceous fauna of southern India. The Brachiopoda, Ciliopoda,
462	Echinodermata, Anthozoa, Spongiozoa, Foraminifera, Arthrozoa and Spondylozoa.
463	Memoirs of the Geological Survey of India 4, 1–70.
464	40. Tewari, A., Hart, M.B., Watkinson, M. P., 1996. A revised lithostratigraphic
465	classification of the Cretaceous rocks of the Trichinopoly District, Cauvery Basin,
466	Southeast India. In: Pandey, J., Azmi, R.J., Bhandaria, A., Dave, A. (Eds.), Contributions
467	to the Xth Indian Colloquium on Micropaleontology and Stratigraphy, pp. 789–800.
468	41. Thiadens, A. A., 1937. Cretaceous and Tertiary foraminifera from southern Santa Clara
469	province, Cuba. Journal of Paleontology 11, 91–109.
470	42. van Gorsel, J.T. 1975. Evolutionary trends and stratigraphic significance of the late
471	Cretaceous Helicorbitoides-Lepidorbitoides lineage. Utrecht Micropaleontological
472	Bulletins 12, 1–99.
473	43. van Gorsel, J.T., 1978. Late Cretaceous orbitoidal foraminifera. In R. G. Hedley, C. G.
474	Adams (Eds), Foraminifera, Academic Press, London, 1–120.
475	44. Voigt, S., Gale, A.S., Jung, C., Jenkyns, H.C., 2012. Global correlation of Upper
476	Campanian-Maastrichtian successions using carbon-isotope stratigraphy: development of
477	a new Maastrichtian timescale. Newsletters on Stratigraphy, 45, 25–53.
478	45. Vredenburg, E., 1908. Cretaceous orbitoids of India. Records of Geological Survey of
479	India 36, 171–213.

480	46. Watkinson, M.P., Hart M.B., Joshi, A., 2007. Cretaceous tectonostratigraphy and the
481	development of the Cauvery Basin, southeast India. Petroleum Geoscience 13, 181-191.
482	47. Zakharov, Y.D., Shigeta, Y., Nagendra, R., Safronov, P.P., Smyshlyaeva, O. P., Popov,
483	A.M., Velivetskaya, T.A., Afanasyeva, T.B. 2011. Cretaceous climate oscillations in the
484	southern palaeolatitutes: new stable isotope evidence from India and Madagascar.
485	Cretaceous Research 32, 623–645.
486	
487	Figure Captions
488	
489	Figure 1. Global distribution of Lepidorbitoides (synthesized from van Gorsel, 1978; Fleury et
490	al., 1985; Goldbeck and Langer, 2009). Letters F, N, S and T (F: France, N: Netherlands, the
491	Maastrichtian stratotype, S: Spain, and T: Turkey) refer to localities from Western Tethys for
492	which the morphometric data are available, that were used for the morphometric comparison of
493	the Indian specimens. The paleogeographic map is from Scotese (2014).
494	
495	Figure 2. A- Location of the study area in SE India. B- Geological map of Ariyalur area and
496	location of the studied outcrops (sample abbreviations: CHO and KAL). C- Lithostratigraphy of
497	the Campanian-Maastrichtian deposits in the Cauvery Basin. Map and lithostratigraphy are
498	simplified after Tewari et al. (1996). The 'Dherani sandstone' in Tewari et al. (1996) is here
499	replaced by the 'Dherani Limestone' after Hart et al. (2000). The stratigraphic level with
500	Lepidorbitoides probably corresponds to the 'Gryphean fragmental shell limestone' unit of
501	Ramkumar (1999).

502

Figure 3. Field aspects of the Kallankuruchchi Formation and common fossils in
Chokkanathapuram (A-D, K-L) and Kallankuruchchi localities (E-J). Sample localities are
shown in A and E. Close-up view of Dalmia biostrome (B-C, F-I) and bed with *Lepidorbitoides*in the Dherani Limestone member (G-H) and their fossil associations (D, J-L). py: *Pycnodonte*,
ino: inoceramids, amm: ammonoids, te: *Teichichnus* isp. (identified by Dr. Huriye Demircan,
MTA, Ankara), go: *Gongrochanus herschelianus* (identified by Dr. Nils Schlüter, Berlin), br:
bryozoans, le: *Lepidorbitoides*.

510

511 Figure 4. Stratigraphically significant fossils recorded in previous woks from the 512 Kallankuruchchi Formation and assigned biostratigraphic zones and ages. White lines refer to the 513 works on the planktonic foraminifera and bold lines either to studies on LBF, other fossil groups 514 or the stratigraphy of the unit.

515

Figure 5. Axial (A) and equatorial sections of *Lepidorbitoides* test (B-C) from Chokkanathapuram and Kallankuruchchi localities showing the equatorial and lateral layers, piles, disposition of the equatorial chamberlets and terminology. A: KAL.5D-18, B: CHO.2-1, C: CHO.5-19. P: protoconch, D: deuteroconch, pr: protoconchal stolon, ds: deuteroconchal stolon, rs: radial stolon, bs: basal stolon, as: annular stolon, os: oblique stolon, ac: auxiliary chamberlets, adc: adauxiliary chamberlets, ech: equatorial chambers and chamberlets.

522

523

Figure 6. External views (1-2) and axial sections (3-5) of *Lepidorbitoides* ex. interc. *minor- socialis* from the Kallankuruchchi Formation. 1: KAL.5A-16, 2: KAL.5B-6, 3: KAL.5A-28, 4:

- 526 CHO.2-3, 5: KAL.5C-27. e: embryon, el: equatorial layer, lc: lateral chamberlets, pi: piles 527 (granules).
- 528
- Figure 7. The embryon, shape of equatorial chambers/chamberlets and stolons in *Lepidorbitoides*ex. interc. *minor-socialis* in samples CHO.2 and CHO.5. 1: CHO.5-19, 2: CHO.2-1, 3: CHO.2-5,
 4: CHO.5-17, 5: CHO.5-12, 6: CHO.5-6, 7-9: CHO.5-1. Note early arcuate chamberlets followed
 by pointed arcuate to ogival chamberlets of the same specimen in 7-9 and spatulate chamberlets
 in 6. See Figure 7 for the abbreviations. Thick arrow shows the position of embryon.
- 534

Figure 8. Equatorial sections of *Lepidorbitoides* ex. interc. *minor-socialis* from the Kallankuruchchi Formation in Chokkanathapuram locality. 1: CHO.2-1 (see Fig. 5A for the same specimen), 2: CHO.2-4, 3: CHO.2-5, 4: CHO.2-13, 5: CHO.4-12, 6: CHO.5-22, 7: CHO.4-20, 8: CHO.4-7, 9: CHO.5-19 (see Fig. 5B for the same specimen), 10: CHO.5-15, 11: CHO.5-12.

540

Figure 9. The embryon and adauxiliary chamberlets in *Lepidorbitoides* ex. interc. *minor-socialis*in Chokkanathapuram and Kallankuruchchi localities.

- 543
- Figure 10. Equatorial sections of *Lepidorbitoides* ex. interc. *minor-socialis* in Kallankuruchchi
 locality. 1: KAL.5A-11, 2: KAL.5A-3, 3: KAL.5A-25, 4: KAL.5A-15, 5: KAL.5B-3, 6:
 KAL.5D-17, 7: KAL.5D-10, 8: KAL.5D-15.
- 547

Figure 11. A- Nepionic arrangement and nepionic acceleration recorded in the Helicorbitoides-548 Lepidorbitoides lineage, and morphometric species concept in Western Tethys (modified after 549 van Gorsel, 1975, 1978). The chambers with single stolon (Y) after the formation of embryon 550 (protoconch and deuteroconch) are shown by green color. Arrows denote the spirals. The 551 nepionic arrangement after the introduction of second auxiliary chamberlet (ac) in L. 552 bisambergensis and onwards is called 'quadriserial'. The subspecies L. bisambergensis 553 asymmetrica has conspicuously asymmetrical auxiliary chamberlets (Özcan and Özkan-Altıner, 554 2001). B- Relationship of Lepidorbitoides species (zones) to the planktonic foraminiferal zones 555 according to van Gorsel (1978). C-Shape of the equatorial chamberlets and stolons as observed 556 557 in equatorial sections: a and b- arcuate, c-pointed arcuate, d-ogival, e-spatulate chamberlets (from van Gorsel, 1975). 558

559

Figure 12. Relation between the number of adauxiliary chamberlets (adc) and protoconch 560 diameter (P) in the studied samples and their comparison with Lepidorbitoides populations from 561 Europe and Turkey. The numbers along the empty circles refer to the code numbers of the 562 samples from Europe (Netherlands, France, and Spain) studied by van Gorsel (1975). The black 563 circles refer to samples from Turkey (Özcan and Özkan-Altıner, 1999a, b): Samples in L. 564 bisambergensis field; 19: sample CIDE.K-84, in L. socialis field: 24: CIDE.G-56, 25: CIDE.G-565 38, 26: CIDE.G-26, 27: CIDE.G-5, 28: CIDE.K-88. Locations of the samples from Europe are 566 tabulated in van Gorsel (1975). 567

568

Figure 13. Relation between the number of adauxiliary chamberlets (adc) and deuteroconch
diameter (D) in the studied samples and their comparison with *Lepidorbitoides* populations from

Europe and Turkey. The numbers along the empty circles refer to the code numbers of the
samples from Europe (Netherlands, France, and Spain) studied by van Gorsel (1975). See Figure
12 for explanation.

574

Figure 14. Relation between the number of adauxiliary chamberlets (adc) and ratio of deuteroconch-protoconch (D/P) in the studied samples and their comparison with *Lepidorbitoides* populations from Europe. The numbers along the empty circles refer to the code numbers of the samples from Europe (Netherlands, France, and Spain) studied by van Gorsel (1975). See Figure 12 for explanation.

580

Figure 15. Stratigraphic relationships between the *Lepidorbitoides* species in Turkey, their correlation to planktonic foraminiferal zones and tentative position of Cauvery Basin *Lepidorbitoides*. Arrows indicate the continuation of species (in typological sense; e.g., specimens having *L. campaniensis*-type nepiont may co-occur with specimens having *L. bisambergensis*- type nepionts). Question marks show either the stratigraphic intervals without data or uncertainties in the delineation of the species boundaries (slightly modified from Özcan and Özkan-Altıner (1999b).

588

Table 1. Morphometric data of *Lepidorbitoides* from Kallankuruchchi Formation (see text for the
nomenclature). N denotes the number of measured specimens in each sample.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT								
r		1		1			- T	1
SAMPLE	Ν	range	P	range	D	D/P	ac	IC mean
CHO.2	19	70-120	99.21±2.96	130-275	181.58±7.53	1.83	3-5	3.80
CHO.4	25	80-125 75-125	105.63±2.62	125-250 145-255	189.20±5.07 193.46±10.01	1.79	1-6 3-6	3.79 4.27
KAL.5A	20	80-140	107.50±3.61	140-250	188.50±6.19	1.75	3-7	4.67
KAL.5B	18 20	90-140 80-130	113.89±3.41	160-245 125-210	195.83±5.27	1.72	3-6	4.45 4.21
KAL.5D	24	85-140	107.92±2.78	160-250	191.46±4.37	1.77	3-7	4.40
)	
)		
C								







ý,

CAMP.	MAASTRICHTIAN early middle-late	LARGER BENTHIC AND PLANKTONIC FORAMINIFERA & OTHER FOSSIL GROUPS RECORDED FROM THE KALLANKURUCHCHI FORMATION			
1-	-Senonian> Stoliczka, 1873	Orbitoides faujasi			
2-	Late Cretaceous 🖚 Vredenburg, 1908	Orbitoides minor			
3	Rao, 1941	Lepidorbitoides inornata, L. blanfordi, Orbitocyclina ariyalurensis			
4	→? Rama Rao, 1953	Orbitoids and Siderolites sp.			
5	Rama Rao, 1957	Lepidorbitoides inornata, L. blanfordi, Orbitocyclina ariyalurensis and presumed occurrence of some unnamed taxa of Caribbean affinity such as <i>Helicostegina</i>			
6	Gowda, 1964	Lepidorbitoides inornata, L. blanfordi, Orbitocyclina ariyalurensis, Orbitocyclinoides sp., Siderolites calcitrapoides; G.fornicata, G. cf. marginata, Hedbergella monmouthensis, Heterohelix globulosa, H. striata			
7	Erivastava & Tewari, 1967	G. gansseri Zone: G. contusa, G. cretacea, G. arca, G. gansseri, G. stuarti-stuartiformis, G. fornicata associated with Lepidorbitoides inornata, L. blanfordi, Orbitocyclina ariyalurensis, Siderolites calcitrapoides			
8	 Rao et al., 1968	Lepidorbitoides-Siderolites assemblage associated with G. contusa-G. gansseri-G. stuarti- stuartiformis			
9	Banerji, 1973	refers to previous studies for the fossil content			
10	Sastry et al., 1977	G. gansseri - A. mayaroensis zones ?: subsurface data; rock stratigraphic classification is not shown			
11	Ayyasami, 1990	based on the Hauericeras rembda ammonites Zone			
12	Radulovic & Ramamoorthy, 1992	based on the Hauericeras rembda ammonites Zone			
13 🗖	Govindan et al., 1996	<i>G. elevata- G. ventricosa</i> Zone (Late Campanian): <i>Lepidorbitoides-Siderolites</i> assemblage in this zone <i>G. stuarti</i> Zone (early Maastrichtian): <i>G. aegyptiaca</i> , <i>G. mariei</i> , <i>G. subcircumnodifer</i> , <i>Pseudoguembelina</i> excolata, <i>Ps. costulata</i> , <i>Ps. palpebra</i> ,			
14 —	Tewari et al., 1996	Orbitoides spp., Siderolites calcitrapoides, Goupillaudina daguini			
15	Ramkumar, 1999	refers to previous studies for the fossil content			
16 🗕	Hart et al., 2000	Lepidorbitoides cf. socialis, Siderolites calcitrapoides, Goupillaudina daguini associated with G. gansseri			
17 —	Watkinson et al., 2007	Lepidorbitoides/ Orbitoides, Siderolites calcitrapoides associated with Archaeoglobigerina sp., G. gansseri (G. gansseri Zone)			
18 —	Ramkumar et al., 2010	G. aegyptiaca- G. gansseri zones			

A 2010 G. gan. al. 2010 G. aegyptiaca- G. gu...

























